

Research Article

Turk J Agric For 36 (2012) 297-308 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/tar-1105-17

Identification of species of *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia* (Fabaceae) using chloroplast DNA data

Nadia HAIDER*, Imad NABULSI, Nizar MIRALI

Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Atomic Energy Commission, P.O. Box 6091, Damascus - SYRIA

Received: 09.05.2011

Abstract: *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia* (Fabaceae) contains several economically important food and forage legume species. Generally, the taxonomy and identification of these species have relied heavily on a few diagnostic morphological characters (e.g., the number of flowers per inflorescence). However, there are several specimens with intermediate morphological characters that make diagnosis of these species using those characters a difficult task for nonspecialists and render results that are not always accurate. The use of molecular markers, therefore, is the most attractive alternative strategy for a more accurate identification of species of the subgenus *Vicia*. In the present study, an attempt was made to investigate polymorphism in the chloroplast DNA of 22 species of the subgenus *Vicia* using polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) on 9 chloroplast regions and a set of 12 consensus chloroplast simple sequence repeat (ccSSR) primer pairs for the diagnosis of the targeted species. Based on the data generated, it was possible to identify all of the species analyzed, except for 4 species that were placed in 2 groups (1, *V. noeana* and *V. dionysiensis*; and 2, *V. serratifolia* and *V. hyaeniscyamus*), each of which had a unique chloroplast type. Species-specific markers developed here are useful for the early diagnosis of targeted *Vicia* species and can provide essential baseline data for the evolution of systematic breeding and conservation strategies, as well as guidance for germplasm collections.

Key words: Diagnosis, legumes, markers, taxonomy, Vicia

Introduction

Legumes (Fabaceae or Leguminosae) are the third largest flowering plant family behind orchids (Orchidaceae) and asters (Asteraceae). The temperate and herbaceous genus *Vicia* L. is a member of the legume tribe Vicieae of the Papilionoideae (Frediani et al. 2004). *Vicia* comprises 166 (Allkin et al. 1986) annual or perennial species distributed mainly in Europe, Asia, and North America, also extending to the temperate regions of South America and tropical Africa (Maxted 1993; Jaaska 2005).

Taxonomic treatments of the genus have been based on the traditional morphotypological

taxonomy, with subgenera and sections delimited variously by differently selected diagnostic characters (Jaaska 2005). Maxted et al. (1991) divided the genus into 2 subgenera (Kupicha 1974, 1976), *Vicilla* and *Vicia*. The 2 subgenera can be distinguished using the following characters: stipule nectary, peduncle length, style type, keel shape, legume, and canavanine (Kupicha 1976). Maxted (1993) classified the subgenus *Vicia* into 9 sections, 9 series, 38 species, 14 subspecies, and 22 varieties. The center of diversity and the possible origin for subgenus *Vicia* is the northeastern Mediterranean. This area includes Iraq, Iran, the southwestern republics of the former Soviet Union, Syria, and Turkey (Maxted 1995).

^{*} E-mail: ascientific3@aec.org.sy

The subgenus *Vicia* contains several economically important food and forage legume species that have a center of distribution in the eastern Mediterranean. It contains the extensively cultivated fava bean (broad bean), *Vicia faba*, and the minor forages *V. narbonensis* and *V. sativa* subsp. *sativa* (common vetch) (Maxted 1995). The subgenus also contains taxa that have a high potential for use as forages of the future: *V. hyaeniscyamus*, *V. noeana*, and *V. sativa* subsp. *amphicarpa* (Maxted et al. 1990).

Generally, diagnoses of the major subgeneric groupings within the subgenus Vicia have relied heavily on a few diagnostic characters that have been used commonly and consistently. These are: the presence of peduncle and, if present, the length of the peduncle in relation to either the flower or the subtending leaf length; the number of flowers per inflorescence; the presence of stipular nectarines; and the positioning of hairs around the style. Many more characters are used, however, to distinguish subgroups in the subgenus (Maxted 1995). These characters are life span, plant stature, the number of flowers per inflorescence, the number of leaflets per leaf, the size of leaflets, calyx mouth shape, flower color, vexillum shape, vexillum pubescence, legume pubescence, legume protrusion, relative legume size, relative legume shape, legume suture curvature, legume hair types, seed shape, relative hilum length, relative position of the seed hilum and lens, and seed surface type. A key to Vicia subgenus Vicia sections, series, and species was presented by Maxted (1995). Hosseinzadeh et al. (2008) reported, however, that there are several specimens with intermediate morphological characters and that there are many polymorphisms in these characters that share a common habitat. This means that species diagnosis using morphological characters is a difficult task for nonspecialists and results are not always accurate (Haider et al. 2010).

In recent years, karyological and C-banding studies have played an important role in solving taxonomic problems for the identification of some species of the subgenus *Vicia*, such as *V. sativa*, *V. grandiflora*, *V. pannonica*, *V. narbonensis* (Navratilova et al. 2003), and *V. bithynica* (İnceer and Hayırlıoğlu Ayaz 2005). A similar karyotype, however, does not always indicate affinity. For these reasons, coupled with the skill and time required to record cytological features, these techniques generally have little utility for species diagnosis.

Based on total protein, albumin, and globulin electrophoresis, Sakr et al. (2010) studied 5 wild Egyptian species of *Vicia*, 4 of which belonged to the subgenus *Vicia* (*V. sativa* subsp. *amphicarpa*, *V. ervilia*, *V. narbonensis*, and *V. villosa*). However, the limitations of seed storage protein analysis may hinder its effective use for diagnostic purposes (Haider 2011). These limitations include the low number of loci analyzed and the influence of different environmental conditions and developmental stages on band profiles.

The development of various molecular techniques that generate molecular markers, which are more stable within species, has made it possible to accurately identify plants. The use of molecular approaches for species identification (Jürgen and Weder 2002), therefore, is the most attractive alternative strategy available for a more accurate identification of species of the subgenus *Vicia*.

Due to the low rate of chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) evolution compared to the nuclear genome, the utility of polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based markers derived from cpDNA analysis for the identification of plant species has been clearly established (Haider 2003; Haider and Nabulsi 2008; Ford et al. 2009). In the present study, PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) (Haider 2012) and consensus chloroplast simple sequence repeat (ccSSR) were used to investigate polymorphism in cpDNA among 22 species of the subgenus *Vicia* for their diagnosis.

Material and methods

Plant material and DNA extraction

Seeds of landrace accessions belonging to 22 species of the subgenus *Vicia* were provided by the Genetic Resources Unit of the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) in Aleppo, Syria, and by the General Commission for Scientific Agriculture Research (GCSAR) in Damascus, Syria. There were generally 10 accessions for each of the subgenus *Vicia* species used, apart from *V. sativa* (14 accessions); *V. melanops* (2 accessions); *V. lathyroides* and *V. bithynica* (9 accessions); *V. assyriaca* and *V. serratifolia* (1 accession); *V. dionysiensis, V. villosa*, and *V. hyaeniscyamus* (4 accessions); *V. lutea* (11 accessions); and *V. narbonensis* (12 accessions). Accessions were selected to represent as much of the geographic range of each species as possible.

A total of 5 seeds from each accession were sown in a 0.5-L plastic pot containing turf (Euroveen B.V., USA). Seeds were allowed to germinate under laboratory conditions (temperature: 21 °C) and grow for 1 month. A single randomly selected accession from each of the 22 species to be identified was used for molecular analysis (Table 1). For DNA extraction, 5-10 fresh young leaves were collected from plants 3-4 weeks after seed germination. The collected leaves were washed 3 times in sterile distilled water, immersed in liquid nitrogen, and kept at –60 °C until use. For total genomic DNA extraction, carried out according to the procedure described by Doyle and Doyle (1987), approximately 0.5 g of leaves collected from each accession was ground into a fine powder in liquid nitrogen. Recovered DNA pellets were dried under the laminar flow and then resuspended in 150 μ L of double distilled and sterilized water. DNA was quantified using a GeneQuant Spectrometer (Amersham Biosciences, UK) and the concentration of all samples was set at 10 ng μ L⁻¹.

 Table 1. Accessions of the subgenus Vicia species used in this study (nomenclature according to Maxted 1993).

No. refer to species	Genus/species	ICARDA accession used
1	V. sativa L. (subsp. macrocarpa)	IG. 64093/TUR
2	V. lutea L. (subsp. lutea)	IG. 111980/MAR
3	V. melanops Sibth. & Smith	IG. 60951/BGR
4	V. lathyroides L.	IG. 63425/SYR
5	V. assyriaca Boiss.	IG. 64098/TUR
6	V. serratifolia Jacq.	IG. 108443/SYR
7	V. grandiflora Scop.	IG. 64096/TUR
8	V. noeana (Reuter in Boiss.) Boiss.	IG. 64241/TUR
9	V. dionysiensis Mout.	IG. 62650/LBN
10	V. michauxii Sprenge	IG. 62521/JPN
11	V. hybrida L.	IG. 64259/TUR
12	V. peregrina L.	IG. 64620/TKM
13	V. anatolica Turrill	IG. 137455/ARM
14	V. aintabensis Boiss. & Hausskn. ex Boiss.	IG. 65029/TUR
15	<i>V. pannonica</i> Crant (subsp. <i>pannonica</i>) IG. 64340/AZE	
16	V. hyaeniscyamus Mout. IG. 64108/SYR	
17	<i>V. sericocarpa</i> Fenzl	IG. 64291/JOR
18	<i>V. bithynica</i> (L.) L.	IG. 64581/AZE
19	V. ervilia (L.) Willd.	IG. 63417/SYR
20	<i>V. villosa</i> Roth	IG. 683
21	V. faba L.	IG. 72432/SYR
22	V. narbonensis L.	IG. 2388/574

PCR-RFLP analysis of cpDNA

PCR was carried out on DNA samples of targeted species to amplify a total of 9 chloroplast coding and noncoding loci using universal primer pairs. Of these loci, there were 7 loci (*rps7&rps12.2, psbB-F-petB-petD.3, 23S,4.5S&5S, atpE&rmM, psaB.3, petB&D,* and the *psbC-trnS* intergenic spacer) that were targeted with primers developed by Haider and Wilkinson (2011). Primers that target the chloroplast *trnL* intron (Taberlet et al. 1991) were also used. The remaining locus was *matK* (FP: 5' cctatccatctggaaatcttag 3' and RP: 5' gaagccagaatggattttcc 3').

PCR was performed in 0.2-mL microtubes (Eppendorf, Germany) using the Eppendorf Mastercycler. Each reaction contained 10× PCR buffer (Eurobio, Italy), 10× MgCl₂ (50 mM) (Eurobio), forward primer (15 µM) (Invitrogen, UK), reverse primer (15 µM) (Invitrogen), dNTP mix (10 mM) (Fermentas, Lithuania), and Taq polymerase (5 U μ L⁻¹) (Eurobio). DNA was added to each PCR reaction at a rate of 20 ng and the total volume was adjusted with double distilled H_2O to 20 μ L. For 35 cycles, PCR reactions were subjected to 94 °C for 30 s for DNA denaturation, 46.5-58 °C for 1 min for the annealing of primers, 72 °C for 1 min for the extension of the target chloroplast region, and 72 °C for 5 min for the final extension. For the visualization of PCR products, 2 µL of each PCR product was loaded into 1.5% agarose gel that was run at 120 V for 30 min.

Restriction digests were performed on PCR amplicons generated from the targeted loci for the detection of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in those loci (Haider et al. 2010). PCR products (1-5 μ L) were digested according to the manufacturer (Fermentas) instructions using 23 endonucleases (Table 2). Digested fragments were separated by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel that was run at 100 V for 2 h in 1× TAE buffer and visualized under UV light using a gel documentation system (GDS8000, UVP, UK).

Analysis of ccSSRs

A set of 12 consensus chloroplast primer pairs developed by Chung and Staub (2003) for amplification of ccSSRs was used for the analysis of 6 ccSSRs in the 12 species (*V. assyriaca, V. serratifolia, V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. hybrida, V.*

Table 2.The cpDNA loci and enzymes used for the restriction of
their amplification products.

Chloroplast locus	Restriction enzyme
<i>trnL</i> intron	Hae III, Taq I, Dde I, and Tru 1I
rps7&rps12.2	Rsa I
psbB-F-petB-petD.3	Hae III, Hinf I and Dpn I
psbC-trnS	Tru1 I, Hae III and Hinf I
238,4.58&58	Rsa I and Alu I
atpE&trnM	Alu I and Dpn I
psaB.3	Alu I and Taq I
petB&D	Hpa II, Hae III, and Tru 1I
matK	Tru 1I, Mbo I, and Hae III

peregrina, V. anatolica, V. aintabensis, V. pannonica, V. hyaeniscyamus, V. sericocarpa, and V. narbonensis) that could not be identified by PCR-RFLP. These were ccSSR-1 (*TrnK*), ccSSR-2 (*TrnQ-TrnS*), ccSSR-5 (*Rps2-RpoC2*), ccSSR-12 (*PsbB-PsbT*), ccSSR-15 (*Rpl2-Rpl23*), and ccSSR-16 (*TrnL*).

Each 20- μ L PCR reaction volume contained 3.75 mM of MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 20 ng of DNA, 10 μ M of each primer (F and R), 10× PCR buffer, and 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase. All amplifications were conducted using the following cycling profile: 93 °C for 1 min; 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 49-53 °C for 60 s, and 72 °C for 60 s; and 72 °C for 5 min for the final extension. For the visualization of PCR products, 5 μ L of each PCR product was loaded into 2% agarose gel that was run at 100 V for 2 h.

Detection of species specificity of generated PCR-RFLP and ccSSR markers

Locus-enzyme combinations that were useful for generating PCR-RFLP markers that aided in the discrimination of 7 *Vicia* species [*V. bithynica, V. melanops*, and *V. faba* (*trnL* intron-Tru 11); *V. sativa* (*petB&D*-Hpa II); *V. villosa* (*trnL* intron-Dde I); *V. ervilia* (*trnL* intron-Taq I); and *V. grandiflora* (*psbC-trnS*-Tru 11)] were applied for 2-14 accessions (Table 3) of each of these species. Similarly, ccSSRs that were useful for generating markers for the identification of 4 *Vicia* species [*V. hybrida* and *V. pannonica* (ccSSR-15); *V. anatolica* (ccSSR-2); and *V. peregrina* (ccSSR-16)] were applied for 10 accessions of each of these species (Table 3).

Species name Accessions analyzed		Species name	IG numbers of accessions analyzed	
V. sativa subsp. sativa		V. pannonica subsp. pannonica	60080/DEU, 60081/HUN, and	
var. plathyspermum var. melanosperma	61973/AUS 61287/TUR and 107557/MAR	subsp. striata	60085/ARM 60087/TUR, 116291/TUR, 62697/ HUN 60088/TUP and 60100/CPC	
var. <i>leucosperma</i> subsp. <i>nigra</i>	60353/FRA and 60331/ROM 63451/SYR and 63049/SYR	V. pannonica	141565/ARM	
subsp. amphicarpa subsp. cordata V. sativa	63877/SYR and 63412/SYR 64215/EGY and 64187/JOR 62921/SYR and 62861/SYR	V. melanops	62523/JAP	
V. lutea subsp. vestita	132522/AZE 64531/DZA, 61042/AUS, 111558/TUN, and 107940/MAR	V. peregrina	64169/JOR, 62544/JAP, 115825/IRN, 61156/ITA, 62550/ SYR, 60620/SYR, 60711/TUR, 61170/ TUR and 64155/DZA	
subsp. lutea	107765/MAR, 116385/TUR, 64338/AZE, and 111660/TUN			
subsp. hirta	2993		60971/TUR, 60969/TUR,	
V. grandiflora	63664/TUR, 63285/SYR, 141522/ ARM, 126956/ARM, 64095/ TUR, 137558/ARM, 141530/ARM, 60950/ITA, 60640/USA, and 60945/SWE	V. anatolica	60967/TUR, 60965/TUR, 60960/TUR, 60992/TUR, 60959/TUR, 60980/TUR and 61024/TKM	
subsp. <i>incisa</i> 63641/ TUR		V. villosa subsp. dasycarpa	3236 and 717	
V. hybrida	59985/SYR, 60008/IRQ, 61026/ AUS, 61029/BEL, 61031/ITA, 61033/UNK, 115691/MAR, 62511/JAP, and 64286/JOR		64029/TUR, 60890/SWE, 60891/ MLT, 60887/ITA, 60892/DEU, 60899/GRC, 62841/SYR and 64090/TUR	
V. ervilia	63848/ SYR, 62917/ SYR 1117941/ SYR, 110589/ SYR 63562/ SYR, 63564/ SYR 63853/ SYR, 63220/ SYR and 63852/ SYR		12264/ SYR, 72492/ SYR 72426/ SYR, 12751/ SYR 72331/ SYR, 72494/ SYR 72387/ SYR, 74198/ SYR and 72449/ SYR	

Table 3. Accessions used for the detection of intraspecific variation of PCR-RFLP and ccSSR markers generated. The GCSAR only provided accessions of *V. lutea* subsp. *hirta* and *V. villosa* subsp. *dasycarpa*.

Results

PCR-RFLP analysis

When PCR was carried out on DNA samples of the targeted species in order to amplify 9 chloroplast loci, no size variation was observed among amplicons for 8 of these loci. The exception to this rule was the amplicons generated using the *trnL* intron primers (Figure 1).

The restriction of amplicons from each locus targeted using 1-6 endonucleases was either complete or absent. The number of restriction profiles within the different locus-enzyme combinations (summarized in Table 4) that were applied ranged from 1 (positive or negative restriction in all samples) to 7 for *trnL* intron-Tru 1I. There was failure to restrict across all of the 22 samples for 10 of the 23 locus-enzyme combinations tested, while



Figure 1. Amplification of *trnL* intron in the 22 species targeted.

5 of the remaining combinations had a positive and identical restriction profile in all samples. As for the 8 locus-enzyme combinations that revealed polymorphism among the species analyzed (an example is shown in Figure 2), the highest level of polymorphism was observed for trnL intron-Tru 1I, while the locus-enzyme combination petB&D-Hpa II revealed the least polymorphism (only 1 SNP unique to V. sativa). These combinations had diagnostic utility for 10 out of 22 species examined (V. sativa, V. lutea, V. melanops, V. lathyroides, V. grandiflora, V. michauxii, V. bithynica, V. ervilia, V. villosa, and V. faba). The remaining species were divided into 3 groups, each of which contained 3-5 species with identical restriction profiles. The first group included species V. serratifolia, V. hyaeniscyamus, and V. narbonensis; the second contained V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. peregrina, and V. aintabensis; and the third group included V. assyriaca, V. hybrida, V. anatolica, V. pannonica, and V. sericocarpa.

Species *V. faba* had the highest number of speciesspecific markers, with a total of 4. These were *trnL* intron PCR marker and *trnL* intron-Hae III, -Taq I, and -Tru 1I. This was followed by *V. villosa* (*trnL* intron-Dde I and -Tru 1I) and *V. sativa* (*petB&D*-Hpa II and *trnL* intron-Tru 1I). *PsbC-trnS*-Tru 1I was specific to *V. grandiflora*, *trnL* intron-Taq I generated a specific profile to *V. ervilia*, and *trnL* intron-Tru 1I also generated a specific profile to each of *V. lutea*, *V. melanops*, and *V. bithynica*.

ccSSRs

Size polymorphism among SSR products generated for the 12 species analyzed (*V. assyriaca, V. serratifolia, V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. hybrida, V. peregrina, V. anatolica, V. aintabensis, V. pannonica, V. hyaeniscyamus, V. sericocarpa, and V. narbonensis*) was observed using all primer pairs except ccSSR-1 (*TrnK*). Primer ccSSR-15 (*Rpl2-Rpl23*, Figure 3) revealed the highest polymorphism, with 4 different sizes of SSR products observed.

Specific ccSSR profiles were generated for samples of *V. narbonensis* (ccSSR-5 and ccSSR-12), *V. peregrina* and *V. pannonica* (ccSSR-16), and *V. anatolica* (ccSSR-2). The results of the ccSSR analysis are summarized in Table 5.

Specificity of PCR-RFLP and ccSSR markers generated

No PCR-RFLP polymorphism was observed (Figure 4) when 5 locus-enzyme combinations were applied to different representatives of 6 species (V. bithynica, V. melanops, V. faba, V. villosa, V. ervilia, and V. grandiflora) of the subgenus Vicia species. Polymorphism in *petB&D*-Hpa II restriction profiles was observed for the 2 samples (63877/SYR and 63412/SYR) of V. sativa that belong to subspecies amphicarpa. As for the ccSSR markers developed for 4 species (V. narbonensis, V. peregrina, V. pannonica, and V. anatolica), no ccSSR polymorphism was observed when 3 ccSSR primer pairs (ccSSR-2, ccSSR-15, and ccSSR-16) were targeted in different representatives of each of those species (an example is shown in Figure 5).

Table 4. A summary of the PCR-RFLP results generated for the 22 samples analyzed and the number of chloroplast types observed using 23 locus-enzyme combinations.

Nu Locus-enzyme combination res		Number of restriction profiles	Species that share the same chloroplast type	
	01 6		V. sativa, V. lathyroides, V. serratifolia, V. grandiflora, V. hyaeniscyamus, V. bithynica, and V. narbonensis	
	Size of		V. lutea, V. melanops, V. assyriaca, V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. michauxii, V. hybrida, V. peregrina, V. anatolica, V.	
	product	3	aintabensis, V. pannonica, V. sericocarpa, V. ervilia, and V. villosa	
	F		V. faba	
			V. sativa, V. lathyroides, V. serratifolia, V. grandiflora, V. hyaeniscyamus, V. bithynica, V. villosa, and V. narbonensis	
			V. lutea, V. melanops, V. assyriaca, V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. michauxii, V. hybrida, V. peregrina, V. anatolica, V.	
	Hae III	3	aintabensis, V. pannonica, V. sericocarpa, and V. ervilia	
			V. faba	
			V. sativa, V. lathyroides, V. serratifolia, V. grandiflora, V. hyaeniscyamus, and V. bithynica	
			V. lutea, V. melanops, V. assyriaca, V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. michauxii, V. hybrida, V. peregrina, V. anatolica, V.	
	Taq I	4	aintabensis, V. pannonica, V. sericocarpa, V. villosa, and V. narbonensis	
			V. ervilia	
			V. faba	
			V. sativa, V. lathvroides, and V. grandiflora	
			V. lutea, V. melanops, V. assyriaca, V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. michauxii, V. hybrida, V. peregrina, V. anatolica, V.	
	Dde I		aintabensis, V. pannonica, V. sericocarpa, and V. faba	
trul introp		4	V. serratifolia, V. hyaeniscyamus, V. bithynica, V. ervilia, and V. narbonensis	
I'IL IIIIOII		4	V. villosa	
			V. lathyroides, V. serratifolia, V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. michauxii, V. peregrina, V. aintabensis, V. hyaeniscyamus, V.	
			ervilia, and V. narbonensis	
			V. assyriaca, V. grandiflora, V. hybrida, V. anatolica, V. pannonica, and V. sericocarpa	
	Tru 1I	7	V. melanops	
			V. sativa	
			V. lutea and V. villosa	
			V. bithynica	
	Rsal	1	All negative	
23S,4.5S&5S	Alu I	1	All negative	
			V. sativa, V. lathyroides, V. serratifolia, V. hyaeniscyamus, V. bithynica, V. ervilia, V. villosa, V. faba, and V. narbonensis	
	TT 11	2	V. lutea, V. melanops, V. assyriaca, V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. michauxii, V. hybrida, V. peregrina, V. anatolica, V.	
tal C true S	Tru 1I	3	aintabensis, V. pannonica, and V. sericocarpa	
psbC-ims			V. grandiflora	
	Hae III	1	All negative	
	Hinf I	1	All positive	
atpE&trnM	Alu I	1	All positive	
tsaB 3	Alu I	1	All negative	
p3uD.5	Tag I	1	All positive	
psbB-F-petB-petD.3	Hae III	1	All negative	
	Hinf I	1	All positive	
	Dpn I	1	All negative	
	Hpa II	2	V. sativa	
		1	Rest	
рывер		1	An negative V assuriaça V hubrida and V sericocarna	
	Tru 1I	2	Rest	
rps7&rps12.2	Rsa I	1	All positive	
			V. assyriaca, V. michauxii, V. hybrida, V. anatolica, V. pannonica, and V. sericocarpa	
	Tru 1I	2	Rest	
matK	Mbo I		All negative	
	Hae III		All negative	



Figure 3. Amplification of ccSSR-15 (*Rpl2-Rpl23*) in the 22 species targeted.

Table 5.	A summary of the ccSSR results generated for 12 samples and the number of chloroplast types observed using 6 ccSSR primer
	pairs (Chung and Staub 2003).

ccSSR	Annealing T/°C	Number of ccSSR profiles	Species that share the same ccSSR profile and product size
ccSSR-1	53	1	195 bp
ccSSR-2	49	2	<i>V. anatolica</i> (195 bp)
			Rest (200 bp)
ccSSR-5	50	2	<i>V. narbonensis</i> (280 bp)
			Rest (265 bp)
ccSSR-12	50	2	V. sericocarpa (240 bp)
			Rest (230 bp)
ccSSR-15	50	4	V. assyriaca, V. noeana, V. dionysiensis, V. anatolica, and V. sericocarpa (400 bp)
			<i>V. hybrida</i> (450 bp)
			<i>V. pannonica</i> (410 bp)
			V. serratifolia, V. peregrina, V. aintabensis, V. hyaeniscyamus, and V. narbonensis (280 bp)
ccSSR-16	53	2	V. peregrina and V. pannonica (no product)
			Rest (360 bp)



Figure 4. Restriction of amplicons of *trnL* intron in 10 representatives of *V. ervilia* with Taq I. Lane 1, 100 bp DNA ladder; lane 2, template amplicon; lanes 3-12, restriction products of *V. ervilia* samples (Table 3).



Figure 5. Amplification products using ccSSR-15 primers in 11 representatives of *V. lutea*. Lane 1, 100 bp DNA ladder; lanes 2-12, amplification products of *V. lutea* samples (Table 3); lane 13, a negative control.

Discussion

The high economic importance of the genus *Vicia* has led to a large body of studies on the molecular characterization and investigation of phylogenetic relationships among species that belong to this genus. These studies have been based on rDNA (Raina and Ogihara 1995), *in situ* hybridization with repetitive sequences (Navratilova et al. 2003), random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Haider et al. 2000; Sakowicz and Cieślikowski 2006), repetitive DNA sequences as probes (Frediani et al. 2004), capillary electrophoresis (Piergiovanni and Taranto 2005), and sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) on seed storage proteins (MirAli et al. 2007).

The subgenus *Vicia* is one of the largest and most important in the genus *Vicia* (Haider et al. 2000). This subgenus has been most thoroughly studied with different approaches, such as morphological analysis (Maxted et al. 1993), isozymes (Jaaska 1997), RAPD and restriction of cpDNA using PCR-RFLP (Potokina et al. 1999), and a combination of morphology and isozymes (Leht and Jaaska 2002). Among these species, *V. faba*, being a major food and feed legume because of the high nutritional value of its seeds (Duc et al. 2010), has been the focus of the majority of studies based on the analysis of cpDNA (Fennell et al. 1998), mtDNA, and nDNAs (van de Ven et al. 1993). Other studies have been carried out to reveal relationships in the subgenus sections Hypechusa (e.g., Caputo et al. 2006), Peregrinae (e.g., Frediani et al. 2005), and Faba (e.g., Zimniak-Przbylska and Przybylska 1995), and between the latter and sections Bithynica and Narbonensis, which belong to the same subgenus (Sakowicz and Cieślikowski 2006).

In spite of the large body of studies that have been carried out to reveal phylogenetic relationships among species of the subgenus *Vicia*, however, very few attempts have been made to establish the diagnosis of these species using molecular markers. Haider et al. (2000) determined the genetic diversity between fava bean and the closely related species of *V. sativa*, *V. villosa*, and *V. narbonensis* based on RAPD and isozymes. Piergiovanni and Taranto (2005) applied capillary electrophoresis for the discrimination of 13 *Vicia* species belonging to 4 sections. Only 7 (*V. bithynica*, *V. ervilia*, *V. villosa*, *V. sativa*, *V. lutea*, *V. melanops*, and *V. peregrina*) of those species belong to the subgenus *Vicia*. However, these techniques have several shortcomings, such as problems in reproducibility, reliability, species specificity, and the identification of species that are difficult to resolve. Additionally, they are time-consuming and can be influenced by environmental conditions (Haider 2011).

The efficiency of PCR-RFLP for the detection of variation in the cpDNA of *Vicia* species was established by Potokina et al. (1999), who found that PCR-RFLP of cpDNA provided more precise information concerning phylogenetic relationships among 29 subgenus *Vicia* species than RAPD analysis. Raina and Ogihara (1994) also used this technique to detect cpDNA diversity among *V. faba* and closely related species.

PCR-RFLP applied in this study to template DNAs representing 22 *Vicia* species revealed that all of the primer pairs used generated single-band amplicons of the appropriate size (Taberlet et al. 1991; Haider and Wilkinson 2011). The noncoding regions (*trnL* intron and *psbC-trnS*), which are supposed to evolve more rapidly than coding regions (Gielly and Taberlet 1994), showed a higher level of polymorphism among the species analyzed compared to the coding regions (Haider et al. 2010). Among the coding regions, *petB&D* showed the highest variation. A similar observation was previously reported by Haider and Wilkinson (2011).

PCR-RFLP detected a sufficient level of polymorphism in the cpDNA to allow for the diagnosis of the majority of the species targeted. Among all of the species analyzed, V. faba was the most divergent species. This was congruent with the observations of Raina and Ogihara (1994), who provided new evidence that the wild species closely related to V. faba did not contribute their plastomes to the evolution of V. faba; hence, the authors concluded that none of those species can be considered to be putative allies of the broad bean (Haider et al. 2000). The dendrogram constructed by MirAli et al. (2007) for some Vicia species based on the analysis of seed storage proteins showed that the 2 outcrossing species, V. faba and V. villosa (the latter revealed here to be the second most divergent species), were the most distant among all of the species they targeted. In a previous study, Haider et al. (2000) also found that V. faba and V. narbonensis have specific isozyme patterns different from those of *V. sativa* and *V. villosa*. Our data also showed that *V. bithynica* and *V. sativa* possess a unique chloroplast type. Maxted (1995) also referred to the uniqueness of these 2 species, which have peduncles longer than the subtending leaf, a characteristic that would place them in subgenus *Vicilla*; however, they are linked to subgenus *Vicia* by the presence of a nectary on the stipule and other characteristics.

The divergence observed in this study among *V. sativa*, *V. grandiflora*, *V. pannonica*, and *V. narbonensis* was also reported earlier by Navratilova et al. (2003), who observed profile variations in the positions and numbers of rDNA loci in those species.

It is worth noting that the 3 species (*V. lutea*, *V. melanops*, and *V. peregrina*) that could not be discriminated by capillary electrophoresis (Piergiovanni and Taranto 2005) were able to be successfully identified in the present study.

The high homology observed among species *V. assyriaca, V. melanops, V. hybrida,* and *V. sericocarpa* was revealed earlier by Caputo et al. (2006), since they formed a monophyletic unit based on nuclear DNA contents, rDNAs, and karyotype analysis. Two of these species (*V. sericocarpa* and *V. assyriaca*) and *V. anatolica*, which had a very similar chloroplast type to *V. sericocarpa* and *V. assyriaca*, belong to the same section, Hypechusa, as classified by Maxted (1993). A high homology was also observed among the species *V. peregrina, V. aintabensis,* and *V. michauxii* (sect. Peregrinae). According to Maxted (1995), these 3 species are the only ones to lack a peduncle and are obviously closely related. This led Ponert (1973) to regard the latter 2 taxa as subspecies of *V. peregrina.*

Unsurprisingly, no intraspecific variation was observed in this study for any of the *Vicia* species examined when PCR, PCR-RFLP, and ccSSR markers were applied for a geographically wide selection of accessions and representative plants of each species. Although polymorphism was observed in *V. sativa* restriction profiles of *petB&D*-Hpa II for the 2 samples of subsp. *amphicarpa*, these 2 samples were still distinct from the other 21 species samples analyzed, all of which had a negative restriction for the same locus-enzyme combination.

For the diagnosis of the 12 species that could not be diagnosed by PCR-RFLP, 6 ccSSR regions were analyzed in these species using consensus primers, which generated single bands of a size similar to those generated by Chung and Staub (2003) for the closely related Pisum sativum. These primers have been previously used for the determination of genetic relationships within Cucurbitaceae (Chung et al. 2003) and among Avena species (Li et al. 2009). This is the first attempt to target the ccSSR regions targeted here for the identification of plant species apart from ccSSR-1, which was sequenced by Eurlings and Gravendeel (2006) to discriminate cultivated from wild gaharu (agarwood). In that study, 4 out of 5 ccSSR regions did not show any interspecific variation. The exception was the trnR-atpA region, which showed minor differences (a single nucleotide repeat). Therefore, the authors recommended the use of ccSSR primers for species identification. Similarly, by targeting ccSSR regions in this study, we could identify all 12 of the species examined except for 4 species that were divided in 2 groups (1, V. noeana and V. dionysiensis; and 2, V. serratifolia

References

- Allkin R, Goyder DJ, Bisby FA, White RJ (1986) Names and synonyms of species and subspecies in the Vicieae: Issue 3. Vicieae Database Project 7: 0263-8517.
- Caputo P, Frediani M, Venora G, Ravalli C, Ambrosio M, Cremonini R (2006) Nuclear DNA contents, rDNAs, and karyotype evolution in subgenus *Vicia*: III. The heterogeneous section Hypechusa. Protoplasma 228: 167-177.
- Chung SM, Decker-Walters DS, Staub JE (2003) Genetic relationships within the Cucurbitaceae as assessed by consensus chloroplast simple sequence repeats (ccSSR) marker and sequence analyses. Can J Bot 81: 814-832.
- Chung SM, Staub JE (2003) The development and evaluation of consensus chloroplast primer pairs that possess highly variable sequence regions in a diverse array of plant taxa. Theor Appl Genet 107: 757-767.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochem Bull 19: 11-15.
- Duc G, Bao SY, Baum M, Redden B, Sadiki M, Suso MJ (2010) Diversity maintenance and use of *Vicia faba* L. genetic resource. Field Crops Res 115: 270-278.
- Eurlings MCM, Gravendeel B (2006) Final report of CITES-financed pilot project: Search for DNA markers to discriminate cultivated from wild gaharu (agarwood). CITES, Geneva. Available at: http://www.cites.org/common/com/PC/16/X-PC16-01-Inf.pdf.

and *V. hyaeniscyamus*), each of which had a unique chloroplast type, and no intraspecific variation was found in the sizes of SSR products among species for each ccSSR. Hence, we also suggest that this approach is suitable for carrying out species discrimination since it is cheap, fast, and reliable, and it generates data that can be easily interpreted.

The species-specific markers developed here can be useful for the early diagnosis of targeted *Vicia* species and provide essential baseline data for evolving systematic breeding and conservation strategies and for guiding germplasm collections.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. I. Othman, the Director General of the Atomic Energy Commission of Syria, for his support throughout the period of this research. The technical assistance of N.S. Eldeen, Q. Ganimeh, I. Fawaz, and M. Abou-Kahleh is much appreciated.

- Fennell SR, Powell W, Wright F, Ramsay G, Waugh R (1998) Phylogenetic relationships between *Vicia faba* (Fabaceae) and related species inferred from chloroplast trn*L* sequences. Plant Syst 212: 247-259.
- Ford CS, Ayres KL, Toomey N, Haider N, van Alpen Stahl J, Kelly LJ, Wikström N, Hollingsworth PM, Duff RJ, Hoot SB, Cowan RS, Chase MW, Wilkinson MJ (2009) Selection of candidate DNA barcoding regions for use on land plants. Bot J Linn Soc 159: 1-11.
- Frediani M, Caputo P, Venora G, Ravalli C, Ambrosio M, Cremonini R (2005) Nuclear DNA contents, rDNAs, and karyotype evolution in *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia*: II. Section Peregrinae. Protoplasma 226: 181-90.
- Frediani M, Maggini F, Gelati MT, Cremonini R (2004) Repetitive DNA sequences as probes for phylogenetic analysis in *Vicia* genus. Caryologia 57: 379-386.
- Gielly L, Taberlet P (1994) The use of chloroplast DNA to resolve plant phylogenies: noncoding versus *rbcL* sequences. Mol Biol Evol 11: 769-777.
- Haider A, Hassanin BR, Mahmoud N, Madkour M (2000) Molecular characterization of some species of the genus Vicia. Arab Council for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, Cairo. Available at: http://www.acgssr.org/BioTechnology/ V4N2July2001/fullpaper/p17.pdf.

- Haider N (2003) Development and Use of Universal Primers in Plants. PhD thesis. The University of Reading, Reading, UK.
- Haider N (2011) Identification of plant species using traditional and molecular-based methods, pp. 1-62. In: Wild Plants: Identification, Uses and Conservation (Ed. RE Davis), Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, USA.
- Haider N (2012) Evidence for the origin of the B genome of bread wheat based on chloroplast DNA. Turk J Agric For 36: 13-25.
- Haider N, Nabulsi I (2008) Identification of *Aegilops L. species and Triticum aestivum L. based on chloroplast DNA. Genet Resour Crop Ev 55: 537-549.*
- Haider N, Nabulsi I, Kamary Y (2010) Identification of species of Orchidaceae in Northern West of Syria based on chloroplast DNA. Russ J Genet 46: 1067-1078.
- Haider N, Wilkinson MJ (2011) A set of plastid DNA-specific universal primers for flowering plants. Russ J Genet 47: 44-55.
- Hosseinzadeh Z, Pakravan M, Tavassoli A (2008) Micromorphology of seed in some *Vicia* species from Iran. Rostaniha 9: 96-107.
- İnceer H, Hayırlıoğlu Ayaz S (2005) Giemsa C-banded karyotypes of *Vicia cracca* L. subsp. *cracca* and *V. bithynica* L. Turk J Bot 29: 311-316.
- Jaaska V (1997) Isoenzyme diversity and phylogenetic affinities in *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia* (Fabaceae). Genet Resour Crop Ev 44: 557-574.
- Jaaska V (2005) Isozyme variation and phylogenetic relationships in *Vicia* subgenus *Cracca* (Fabaceae). Ann Bot 96: 1085-1096.
- Jürgen K, Weder P (2002) Species identification of beans, peas and other legumes by RAPD-PCR after DNA isolation using membrane columns. LWT 35: 277-283.
- Kupicha FK (1974) Taxonomic Studies in the Tribe Vicieae (Leguminosae). PhD thesis. University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.
- Kupicha FK (1976) The infrageneric structure of *Vicia* L. Notes Roy Bot Garden Edinb 34: 287-326.
- Leht M, Jaaska V (2002) Cladistic and phenetic analysis of relationships in *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia* (Fabaceae) by morphology and isozymes. Plant Syst Evol 232: 237-260.
- Li WT, Peng YY, Wei YM, Baum BR, Zheng YL (2009) Relationships among *Avena* species as revealed by consensus chloroplast simple sequence repeat (ccSSR) markers. Genet Resour Crop Ev 56: 465-480.
- Maxted N (1993) A phenetic investigation of *Vicia* L. subgenus *Vicia* (Leguminosae-Vicieae). Bot J Linn Soc 111: 155-182.
- Maxted N (1995) An ecogeographical study of *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia*. Systematic and Ecogeographic Studies on Crop Genepools 8. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy. Available at: http://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PNABU773.pdf.

- Maxted N, Callimassia MA, Bennett MD (1991) Cytotaxonomic studies of eastern Mediterranean *Vicia* species (Leguminosae). Plant Syst 177: 221-234.
- Maxted N, Khattab A, Ehram T, Bisby FA, Obari H, Sweidan Y, Goyder DJ (1993). Important collections of Vicieae from Syria. Proceedings of the 5th OPTIMA Meeting, pp. 693-699.
- Maxted N, Obari H, Tan A (1990) New and interesting Vicieae from the Eastern Mediterranean. Plant Genetic Resources-Newsletter 78: 21-26.
- MirAli N, El-Khouri S, Rizq F (2007) Genetic diversity and relationships in some *Vicia* species as determined by SDS-PAGE of seed proteins. Biol Plantarum 51: 660-666.
- Navratilova A, Neumann P, Macas J (2003) Karyotype analysis of four *Vicia* species using *in situ* hybridization with repetitive sequences. Ann Bot 91: 921-6.
- Piergiovanni AR, Taranto G (2005) Specific differentiation in *Vicia* genus by means of capillary electrophoresis. J Chromatogr A 1069: 253-260.
- Ponert J (1973) Combinationes novae, stat novi et taxa nova non tantum specierum turcicarum. Feddes Repert 83: 617-644.
- Potokina E, Tomooka N, Vaughan DA, Alexandrova T, Xu RQ (1999) Phylogeny of *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia* (Fabaceae) based on analysis of RAPDs and RFLP of PCR-amplified chloroplast genes. Genet Resour Crop Ev 46: 149-161.
- Raina SN, Ogihara Y (1994) Chloroplast DNA diversity in *Vicia faba* and its close wild relatives: implications for reassessment. Theor Appl Genet 88: 261-266.
- Raina SN, Ogihara Y (1995) Ribosomal DNA repeat unit polymorphism in 49 *Vicia* species. Theor Appl Genet 90: 477-486.
- Sakowicz T, Cieślikowski T (2006) Phylogenetic analyses within three sections of the genus *Vicia*. Cell Mol Biol Lett 11: 594-615.
- Sakr MM, Gowayed SMH, Hassan WM, Almghraby OA (2010) Identification of some wild *Vicia* species using electrophoretic analysis of seed proteins and amino acids composition. Indian J Sci Technol 3: 490-498.
- Taberlet P, Gielly L, Pautou G, Bouvet J (1991) Universal primers for amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. Plant Mol Biol 17: 1105-1109.
- van de Ven WTG, Duncan N, Ramsay G, Phillips M, Powell W, Waugh R (1993) Taxonomic relationships between *V. faba* and its relatives based on nuclear and mitochondrial RFLPs and PCR analysis. Theor Appl Genet 86: 71-80.
- Zimniak-Przbylska Z, Przybylska J (1995) Electrophoretic seed globulin patterns and species relationships in *Vicia* section Faba (Fabaceae). J Appl Genet 36: 299-312.