

Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/agriculture/

Research Article

Turk J Agric For (2014) 38: 591-602 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/tar-1311-31

Variation of some seed mineral contents in open pollinated faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) landraces from Turkey

Faheem Shehzad BALOCH^{1,*}, Tolga KARAKÖY², Ahmet DEMİRBAŞ², Faruk TOKLU³, Hakan ÖZKAN⁴, Rüştü HATİPOĞLU⁴

¹Department of Agricultural Genetic Engineering, Section of Plant Genetic Resources,

Faculty of Agricultural Science and Technology, Niğde University, Niğde, Turkey

²Organic Agriculture Program, Vocational School of Sivas, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey

³Vocational School of Kozan, Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey

⁴Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Çukurova University, Balcalı, Adana, Turkey

Received: 07.11.2013	٠	Accepted: 20.03.2014	٠	Published Online: 15.08.2014	٠	Printed: 12.09.2014
----------------------	---	----------------------	---	------------------------------	---	---------------------

Abstract: The first step towards the biofortification of edible portions of crop species with improved nutritional value is to understand the genetic diversity available to breeders in germplasm collections. A faba bean germplasm (129 landraces and 4 cultivars) from diverse geographic regions of Turkey was assessed for micro- and macroelement contents of seeds. The results showed high diversity in open-pollinated faba bean germplasm for contents of N (27.5–93.3 g kg⁻¹), P (1.24–4.89 g kg⁻¹), K (4.5–19.3 g kg⁻¹), Fe (29.7–96.3 mg kg⁻¹), Mn (15.5–29.2 mg kg⁻¹), Cu (10.3–33.0 mg kg⁻¹), and Zn (10.4–49.3 mg kg⁻¹). Meanwhile, the ranges of mineral elements in the landraces were significantly higher than those in the commercial cultivars. Concentrations of these mineral elements were significantly and positively correlated with each other. Principal component analysis clearly split the faba bean landraces into 2 groups and the first 2 principal components accounted around 70.91% of the total variations. These findings indicate a wide range of variations for the investigated minerals in the Turkish gene pool that can provide a good source of diversity to use in faba bean biofortification for increased levels of available mineral elements and better yield.

Key words: Biofortification, breeding, diversity, faba bean, landraces, mineral elements

1. Introduction

The importance of nutrients (micro and macro) for normal human growth is universally recognized (White and Broadley, 2005; Khan et al., 2008; Menkir, 2008; Chatzav et al., 2010). The mineral elements most frequently lacking in human diets are iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), and iodine (I), although other elements such as calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), copper (Cu), and selenium (Se) could be deficient in the diets of some populations in the developing world (Welch and Graham, 2002; White and Broadley, 2005). It has been estimated that over 3.7 billion people worldwide are facing Fe deficiency (60%), and 54% of these 3.7 billion people are severely deficient (Yang et al., 1998). Similarly, one-third of the world's population is suffering from Zn malnutrition and its severity varies between 4% and 73% in people in developing countries, depending upon the living and economic conditions that ultimately affect their diets.

Interventions to address mineral malnutrition can be implemented through dietary diversification and mineral supplementation. However, none of these remedies are

* Correspondence: balochfaheem13@gmail.com

universally successful. This has led to the suggestion of a complementary solution for mineral malnutrition though the development of food varieties with enhanced levels of bioavailable mineral micronutrients in the edible portion of crops. This would be accomplished through agronomic intervention or genetic selection that would ameliorate the incidence of these mineral deficiencies in humans. This phenomenon is called biofortification. Enrichment of food crops with mineral nutrients is currently a high-priority research area. To ensure healthy diets, increasing emphasis is being placed on the content of essential elements in seeds (Moraghan et al., 2006). Producing micronutrientenriched cultivars (biofortified), particularly those with either agronomically or genetically increased Zn and Fe, and improving the bioavailability of these nutrients are considered promising and cost-effective methods to manage micronutrient deficiencies (Duc et al., 2010)

Faba bean is one of the major food legume crops in Turkey as well in the Mediterranean region. Faba beans are an important dietary source of protein, starch, minerals, vitamins, and antioxidant compounds (Karaköy et al., 2014). Legumes, including faba bean, are consumed by people throughout the world. Therefore, increasing the bioavailability of mineral elements in legume seeds could be used to decrease mineral deficiency.

Exploring natural biodiversity as a source of novel alleles to improve the productivity, adaptation, quality, and nutritional value of crops is of prime importance in 21st century breeding programs (Saha et al., 2009). Genetic variations exist for all the mineral elements most frequently lacking in human diets. This can be used in breeding programs to increase mineral concentrations in edible products (White and Broadley, 2005). A large number of faba bean landraces have arisen over time because of differences in traditional farming practices and taste preferences. These landraces are a valuable source of genetic variation. In the recent years, few faba bean varieties were released within Turkey; most of the faba bean cultivars were introduced from different countries and started to replace the landraces. These faba bean landraces are still local agroecotypes, usually named after their cultivation area. The Mediterranean region, particularly Turkey, with a concentration of large-seeded forms, is considered to be a secondary center (Muratova, 1931) with thousands of landraces in their natural habitat. Faba bean is one of the most neglected crops worldwide and is considered an "orphan crop". Although faba bean is also one of the most important legumes in Turkey and other Mediterranean countries, very limited genetic and breeding studies have been conducted. Therefore, there is a dire need to study and characterize the faba bean germplasm from its areas of origin and domestication for different traits of interest for breeders and consumers. We studied the morphological and quality traits in a set of 178 faba bean landraces (Karaköy et al., 2014) and we found that Turkish faba bean landraces harbored high phenotypic diversity compared with Chinese, Greek, and International Center of Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) varieties. Therefore, we expected that these germplasms also harbored high variations for mineral elements. Here our objective was to investigate the available diversity of 7 mineral elements (N, P, K, Fe, Mn, Cu, and Zn) in seeds of 129 open-pollinated faba bean landraces and 4 commercial cultivars.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material and crop sowing

The research materials included 129 open-pollinated faba bean landraces and 4 commercial cultivars (Eresen87, Salkım, Filiz99, and Kıtık2003) released in the last few years. Cultivars Eresen87 and Salkım are grown in the Marmara region, and Filiz99 and Kıtık2003 are mostly grown in the Aegean and Mediterranean regions. During the last 2 decades, faba been landraces from Turkey were collected and preserved at the gene bank of ICARDA. Therefore, all the seeds of the landraces were kindly provided by the ICARDA gene bank in Aleppo, Syria. Names of the landraces and their collection sites are shown in Table 1 and their collection sites are shown in Figure 1. All faba bean landraces and the 4 cultivars were sown in December 2010 on a well-prepared seedbed using a randomized block design with 3 replications at the research and implementation area of the Cukurova Agricultural Research Institute, Adana (37°00'56"N, 35°21'29"E), Turkey, which has a typical Mediterranean climate with high precipitation in winter and spring and high temperatures and drought conditions in summer. All landraces and cultivars were grown in plots of 4 rows, each 4 m in length, with 10 cm between plants within a row and 50 cm between rows. All faba bean landraces were grown on homogeneous soil and were treated identically with standard local agricultural practices. Harvest was done in June 2011. Since V. faba is a partially allogamous species undergoing considerable outcrossing (Suso et al., 2001) and the field plots were not protected from the insect pollinators, some degree of hybridization might have occurred. Thus, the seeds used for the determination of the minerals were not the initial landraces but some unspecified hybrids resulting from open pollination among the several landraces. Therefore, the plant material used in this study for observing the mineral elements variations was referred to as open-pollinated landraces.

The upper 0–15 cm of the soil at the experimental site was classified as a clay loam structure that contained low organic matter contents with an average of 1.89%, salt of 0.29 mmhos cm⁻¹, lime of 11.6% with pH 7.6, 1100 kg ha⁻¹ and 29.30 kg ha⁻¹ available K and P, 0.10% total N, 0.45 mg kg⁻¹ Zn, and 2.73 mg kg⁻¹ Fe. Total precipitation of the growing season (sowing to physiological maturity) was around 633.3 mm. After soil analysis, composite NP fertilizers were applied as a basal dose containing 40 kg ha⁻¹ N and 50 kg ha⁻¹ P₂O₅.

2.2. Micro- and macronutrient analysis

Some amount of seed samples was taken from every landrace with 3 replications and seeds were bulked. Seed samples (0.4 g) were digested in a closed microwave digestion system (MARSxpress, CEM Corp.) in 5 mL of concentrated HNO₃ and 2 mL of concentrated H₂O and were then analyzed for mineral nutrients with an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES; Vista-Pro Axial; Varian Pty Ltd., Australia). Nitrogen was measured using the method given by the AOAC (1984) on a Leco TruSpec CN3342 System (LECO Corp., USA) with 0.2 g of sample. Three readings for each mineral element were recorded from the seed lot.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Standard one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for each mineral element using the PROC GLM

×
р
st
6
·=
-8
_
.Е
g
š
Ĩ,
~
÷Ξ
5
÷Ĕ
H
5
_
9
9
σ
S
S
ž
Ľ,
Ч
ц
а
ц
a
ĕ
1
a
р
а,
Ŧ.
Ч
IS.
<u> </u>
· 🛏
,⊐
F
6
õ.
H
ц.
Ö
Ś
2
0
tior
atior
viation
eviation
deviation
l deviatior
deviation
ard deviation
dard deviation
ndard deviation
andard deviation
standard deviation
standard deviation
d standard deviation
nd standard deviation
and standard deviation
s, and standard deviation
es, and standard deviation
ues, and standard deviatior
alues, and standard deviation
values, and standard deviation
ı values, and standard deviatior
in values, and standard deviation
ean values, and standard deviation
nean values, and standard deviation
mean values, and standard deviation
, mean values, and standard deviation
es, mean values, and standard deviation
tes, mean values, and standard deviation
sites, mean values, and standard deviation
1 sites, mean values, and standard deviation
on sites, mean values, and standard deviation
ion sites, mean values, and standard deviation
tion sites, mean values, and standard deviation
ection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
lection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
ollection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
e, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
me, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
ame, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
Name, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
Name, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
1. Name, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
: 1. Name, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
le 1. Name, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
ble 1. Name, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
able 1. Name, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation
Table 1. Name, collection sites, mean values, and standard deviation

Number in Figures 1 and 2	Name of landrace	Collection site	N (g kg ⁻¹)	$P (g kg^{-1})$	K (g kg^{-1})	Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)	Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	Cu(mg kg ⁻¹)	Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)
1	Adana 1	Adana	33.9 ± 0.24	2.51 ± 0.04	16.2 ± 0.32	55.9 ± 2.93	21.7 ± 1.66	19.7 ± 1.15	23.6 ± 6.34
2	Adana 2	Adana	32.8 ± 0.16	3.76 ± 0.03	6.8 ± 0.07	39.8 ± 1.52	19.4 ± 0.73	11.8 ± 1.34	13.8 ± 0.84
3	Adana 3	Adana	37.1 ± 0.44	3.13 ± 0.04	15.5 ± 0.16	72.8 ± 2.34	22.8 ± 2.42	20.0 ± 1.23	33.3 ± 1.96
4	Antakya 1	Antakya	36.9 ± 0.13	3.07 ± 0.02	14.4 ± 0.18	62.0 ± 1.49	24.6 ± 1.03	19.6 ± 1.12	29.7 ± 1.06
5	Antakya 2	Antakya - Kücüknehir	36.1 ± 0.37	1.67 ± 0.02	6.1 ± 0.08	31.8 ± 1.73	17.2 ± 1.53	10.7 ± 1.48	14.3 ± 1.10
6	Antakya 3	Antakya - Serinyol	34.2 ± 0.31	3.00 ± 0.03	15.1 ± 0.23	40.9 ± 1.58	22.9 ± 2.61	17.8 ± 1.49	28.5 ± 0.79
7	Antalya 1	Antalya - Taşağıl	34.9 ± 0.32	1.52 ± 0.03	5.8 ± 0.17	31.7 ± 1.35	18.3 ± 1.05	11.5 ± 1.55	15.9 ± 1.41
8	Antalya 2	Antalya - Çamyuva	34.1 ± 0.06	2.71 ± 0.04	14.9 ± 0.07	52.2 ± 2.56	22.8 ± 2.35	18.3 ± 1.07	28.4 ± 1.22
6	Antalya 3	Antalya - Kestel	31.8 ± 0.15	1.24 ± 0.02	6.4 ± 0.11	29.7 ± 1.22	17.2 ± 1.72	10.3 ± 0.68	12.6 ± 1.38
10	Antalya 4	Antalya	31.1 ± 0.57	3.79 ± 0.05	5.1 ± 0.06	37.4 ± 2.11	17.1 ± 1.83	13.7 ± 2.24	10.9 ± 1.41
11	Antalya 5	Antalya	35.9 ± 0.18	2.37 ± 0.02	13.3 ± 0.11	60.9 ± 1.64	20.9 ± 1.79	16.9 ± 1.69	25.5 ± 2.09
12	Aydın 1	Aydın - Gökçealan	37.4 ± 0.13	1.66 ± 0.03	5.7 ± 0.06	41.1 ± 1.13	16.7 ± 1.37	13.3 ± 1.15	27.4 ± 1.28
13	Aydın 2	Aydın	39.5 ± 0.11	3.84 ± 0.03	5.4 ± 0.05	64.6 ± 1.63	17.9 ± 0.19	13.2 ± 0.98	12.3 ± 1.01
14	Aydın 3	Aydın	40.3 ± 0.14	3.61 ± 0.04	16.6 ± 0.11	62.1 ± 1.37	23.5 ± 2.33	21.3 ± 1.63	49.3 ± 0.71
15	Aydın 4	Aydın	38.5 ± 0.18	2.12 ± 0.02	11.9 ± 0.03	54.7 ± 2.19	24.8 ± 1.44	20.7 ± 1.03	27.1 ± 1.51
16	Balıkesir 1	Balıkesir - Can	37.1 ± 0.22	1.92 ± 0.02	6.9 ± 0.07	32.1 ± 1.65	18.8 ± 0.97	12.4 ± 1.16	16.3 ± 0.88
17	Balıkesir 2	Balıkesir	33.8 ± 0.48	1.53 ± 0.03	6.5 ± 0.07	33.1 ± 2.23	16.3 ± 2.10	12.6 ± 1.59	11.6 ± 1.52
18	Balıkesir 3	Balıkesir	32.4 ± 0.31	2.25 ± 0.03	12.2 ± 0.08	61.5 ± 1.57	23.0 ± 2.75	16.6 ± 1.40	20.5 ± 1.96
19	Balıkesir 4	Balıkesir	37.3 ± 0.24	2.57 ± 0.04	11.3 ± 0.11	60.2 ± 1.55	24.0 ± 1.31	18.2 ± 1.69	23.4 ± 2.19
20	Balıkesir 5	Balıkesir	34.7 ± 0.32	1.55 ± 0.03	5.0 ± 0.08	37.8 ± 1.40	17.3 ± 1.68	10.5 ± 1.23	12.2 ± 1.77
21	Balıkesir 6	Balıkesir	33.1 ± 0.23	2.69 ± 0.04	13.5 ± 0.13	54.3 ± 2.06	22.6 ± 2.21	19.5 ± 1.55	22.1 ± 1.54
22	Balıkesir 7	Balıkesir	34.4 ± 0.45	3.33 ± 0.05	13.1 ± 0.25	52.2 ± 2.46	25.6 ± 1.73	23.2 ± 1.97	35.2 ± 2.06
23	Balıkesir 8	Balıkesir	38.9 ± 1.13	2.49 ± 0.02	13.6 ± 0.11	59.6 ± 2.66	23.1 ± 2.47	19.3 ± 2.02	23.5 ± 2.45
24	Balıkesir 9	Balıkesir	35.1 ± 0.27	2.78 ± 0.03	14.5 ± 0.21	56.4 ± 2.28	27.4 ± 1.22	21.0 ± 1.46	29.4 ± 2.09
25	Balıkesir 10	Balıkesir	36.1 ± 0.19	2.75 ± 0.04	14.6 ± 0.13	63.1 ± 2.65	24.3 ± 2.18	19.8 ± 4.22	25.6 ± 2.20
26	Balıkesir 11	Balıkesir	33.4 ± 0.11	2.81 ± 0.05	16.4 ± 0.23	59.7 ± 2.49	25.3 ± 2.80	17.0 ± 2.16	24.6 ± 1.61
27	Balıkesir 12	Balıkesir	42.8 ± 0.53	1.47 ± 0.02	5.4 ± 0.11	38.5 ± 2.78	17.9 ± 1.63	11.1 ± 1.63	20.2 ± 1.52
28	Balıkesir 13	Balıkesir	33.1 ± 0.17	1.41 ± 0.04	4.5 ± 0.09	34.2 ± 1.89	15.5 ± 2.10	11.3 ± 1.37	16.2 ± 1.85
29	Balıkesir 14	Balıkesir	43.1 ± 0.35	2.73 ± 0.06	14.5 ± 0.23	68.8 ± 2.99	25.8 ± 2.44	22.1 ± 1.83	29.8 ± 1.64
30	Balıkesir 15	Balıkesir	34.7 ± 0.37	1.65 ± 0.04	5.7 ± 0.05	35.7 ± 1.27	17.4 ± 2.03	11.0 ± 1.68	14.9 ± 2.70
31	Balıkesir 16	Balıkesir - Kayapınar	41.5 ± 0.25	2.66 ± 0.03	14.7 ± 0.19	68.6 ± 3.16	25.0 ± 2.49	22.5 ± 2.95	29.5 ± 1.25

BALOCH et al. / Turk J Agric For

(Continued).
Ι.
ble
Ta

32	Balıkesir 17	Balıkesir - Gökçeağac	41.7 ± 0.16	2.74 ± 0.05	15.3 ± 0.04	65.9 ± 2.84	23.5 ± 2.29	21.6 ± 1.71	30.9 ± 2.06
33	Balıkesir 18	Balıkesir - Tepeören	42.4 ± 0.73	2.61 ± 0.07	12.8 ± 0.24	55.7 ± 3.26	23.6 ± 1.51	19.9 ± 1.16	29.7 ± 1.33
34	Balıkesir 19	Balıkesir	34.4 ± 0.44	3.37 ± 0.04	16.5 ± 0.11	65.1 ± 1.67	27.8 ± 1.44	21.5 ± 1.70	26.2 ± 2.17
35	Balıkesir 20	Balıkesir - Geçitli	33.2 ± 0.47	2.85 ± 0.04	15.9 ± 0.25	61.1 ± 2.84	25.8 ± 1.56	21.0 ± 1.82	31.6 ± 1.90
36	Burdur	Burdur	32.3 ± 0.45	2.78 ± 0.05	15.9 ± 0.15	54.8 ± 2.15	21.8 ± 2.04	21.1 ± 2.07	30.1 ± 1.59
37	Bursa 1	Bursa - Yenice	34.3 ± 0.39	3.13 ± 0.04	15.7 ± 0.19	74.4 ± 3.86	21.9 ± 2.26	24.4 ± 1.42	24.2 ± 1.69
38	Bursa 2	Bursa - Yenice	46.9 ± 0.49	2.42 ± 0.05	12.7 ± 0.22	96.3 ± 2.84	24.5 ± 1.53	21.5 ± 1.60	33.8 ± 1.26
39	Bursa 3	Bursa	41.9 ± 0.41	3.40 ± 0.04	16.5 ± 0.13	66.3 ± 4.26	27.7 ± 1.16	33.0 ± 1.68	33.6 ± 1.74
40	Bursa 4	Bursa	37.6 ± 0.21	2.84 ± 0.03	17.3 ± 0.16	62.9 ± 1.76	27.5 ± 1.68	22.5 ± 1.66	27.2 ± 1.63
41	Bursa 5	Bursa - Mürseller	35.8 ± 0.41	2.56 ± 0.03	15.8 ± 0.18	61.9 ± 2.16	22.0 ± 2.36	18.2 ± 1.51	31.8 ± 2.04
42	Bursa 6	Bursa	43.8 ± 0.53	4.17 ± 0.03	7.3 ± 0.06	41.3 ± 2.05	19.4 ± 1.64	13.8 ± 1.74	12.8 ± 1.83
43	Bursa 7	Bursa - Orhaneli	34.9 ± 0.48	3.73 ± 0.06	18.4 ± 0.15	80.3 ± 1.35	25.5 ± 2.18	21.5 ± 1.55	36.1 ± 1.77
44	Çanakkale 1	Çanakkale	39.8 ± 0.55	3.58 ± 0.09	16.5 ± 0.31	63.2 ± 1.77	25.5 ± 2.43	21.8 ± 1.92	29.7 ± 1.85
45	Çanakkale 2	Çanakkale	40.6 ± 0.13	4.12 ± 0.11	15.3 ± 0.22	61.5 ± 2.06	23.0 ± 1.61	23.7 ± 2.18	27.8 ± 1.55
46	Çanakkale 3	Çanakkale	35.4 ± 0.33	2.66 ± 0.03	13.4 ± 0.12	55.2 ± 1.53	21.9 ± 1.62	19.7 ± 1.62	26.6 ± 1.68
47	Çanakkale 4	Çanakkale - Karkın	34.5 ± 0.33	3.00 ± 0.04	1.5 ± 0.27	72.2 ± 2.07	22.6 ± 2.58	18.9 ± 2.53	31.6 ± 1.62
48	Çanakkale 5	Çanakkale - Ezine	33.5 ± 0.43	4.19 ± 0.04	6.3 ± 0.11	39.5 ± 1.89	19.4 ± 1.77	11.4 ± 1.34	10.4 ± 1.29
49	Çanakkale 6	Çanakkale - Biga	40.4 ± 0.24	3.90 ± 0.02	5.7 ± 0.07	39.4 ± 1.75	19.1 ± 1.21	10.6 ± 1.01	17.8 ± 1.73
50	Çanakkale 7	Çanakkale - Adatepe	37.5 ± 0.28	3.28 ± 0.05	13.4 ± 0.11	71.1 ± 1.62	23.3 ± 2.07	28.3 ± 1.60	29.8 ± 1.42
51	Çanakkale 8	Çanakkale - Göktepe	36.4 ± 0.24	2.35 ± 0.04	13.1 ± 0.11	62.9 ± 1.74	26.1 ± 1.55	19.9 ± 1.51	30.2 ± 1.86
52	Çanakkale 9	Çanakkale - Şerbetli	30.1 ± 0.34	4.89 ± 0.03	6.5 ± 0.05	56.9 ± 1.53	23.8 ± 1.28	31.6 ± 1.52	33.1 ± 2.16
53	Çanakkale 10	Çanakkale	27.5 ± 0.07	3.05 ± 0.03	17.4 ± 0.17	63.8 ± 1.32	27.8 ± 1.53	17.8 ± 1.34	25.7 ± 1.43
54	Çanakkale 11	Çanakkale	41.2 ± 0.13	3.42 ± 0.05	16.8 ± 0.17	62.4 ± 3.13	25.2 ± 2.15	21.8 ± 1.49	28.1 ± 1.49
55	Çanakkale 12	Çanakkale	40.6 ± 0.18	2.92 ± 0.06	14.2 ± 0.03	57.9 ± 1.56	23.8 ± 1.51	19.7 ± 1.65	24.7 ± 1.61
56	Çanakkale 13	Çanakkale	42.6 ± 0.46	2.27 ± 0.02	13.1 ± 0.08	71.4 ± 1.89	24.7 ± 1.59	22.4 ± 0.92	28.2 ± 1.54
57	Çanakkale 14	Çanakkale - Gelibolu	41.9 ± 0.36	2.47 ± 0.04	11.9 ± 0.15	66.4 ± 2.59	24.6 ± 1.80	20.3 ± 1.71	24 ± 10.5
58	Çanakkale 15	Çanakkale	31.5 ± 0.24	2.74 ± 0.04	15.9 ± 0.16	49.4 ± 1.97	21.7 ± 1.67	18.0 ± 1.38	20.7 ± 2.44
59	Çanakkale 16	Çanakkale - Bihramlı	35.2 ± 0.32	3.22 ± 0.03	15.9 ± 0.22	68.4 ± 2.03	25.2 ± 2.10	20.5 ± 1.92	23.8 ± 2.38
60	Çanakkale 17	Çanakkale	31.5 ± 0.12	2.51 ± 0.04	14.7 ± 0.16	54.1 ± 1.78	21.7 ± 1.37	19.4 ± 1.13	31.6 ± 2.04
61	Çanakkale 18	Çanakkale - Hurmaköy	38.5 ± 0.18	4.69 ± 0.02	16.4 ± 0.12	93.6 ± 2.54	27.7 ± 2.07	21.6 ± 2.73	25.5 ± 2.32
62	Çanakkale 19	Çanakkale - Can	36.7 ± 0.13	3.02 ± 0.02	14.1 ± 0.04	64.1 ± 1.99	26.3 ± 1.90	19.7 ± 1.55	28.6 ± 1.14
63	Çanakkale 20	Çanakkale - Guyemalan	35.1 ± 0.09	3.11 ± 0.03	13.1 ± 0.19	49.5 ± 1.88	26.8 ± 1.52	21.7 ± 1.54	23.7 ± 1.49
64	Çanakkale 21	Çanakkale - Can	37.5 ± 0.12	3.59 ± 0.02	16.4 ± 0.11	70.1 ± 1.53	27.5 ± 1.09	21.8 ± 1.58	30.5 ± 0.97
65	Çanakkale 22	Çanakkale - Guyemalan	33.6 ± 0.24	3.14 ± 0.03	15.8 ± 0.17	65.3 ± 1.99	20.9 ± 1.73	21.3 ± 1.83	35.4 ± 2.15

ontinued).	
Ŭ	
1 .(
Table	

66	Çanakkale 23	Çanakkale - Burhaniye	42.8 ± 0.24	2.32 ± 0.04	15.7 ± 0.16	89.5 ± 1.20	27.0 ± 1.59	19.6 ± 1.40	32.1 ± 1.36
67	Çanakkale 24	Çanakkale	37.1 ± 0.09	3.23 ± 0.05	14.1 ± 0.04	55.5 ± 1.97	23.9 ± 1.67	21.6 ± 1.60	25.7 ± 2.44
68	Çanakkale 25	Çanakkale	36.4 ± 0.21	2.91 ± 0.02	14.4 ± 0.05	73.3 ± 3.06	27.1 ± 1.62	22.1 ± 1.69	28.8 ± 0.83
69	Çanakkale 26	Çanakkale - Seddulbahir	41.4 ± 0.37	3.35 ± 0.04	14.4 ± 0.12	66.1 ± 1.58	23.0 ± 2.68	18.2 ± 1.71	25.4 ± 2.01
70	Çanakkale 27	Çanakkale - Eskipazar	37.1 ± 0.29	3.57 ± 0.03	14.8 ± 0.04	63.3 ± 2.47	22.9 ± 2.47	19.1 ± 1.87	37.7 ± 1.43
71	Çanakkale 28	Cannakale	34.7 ± 0.27	1.92 ± 0.02	6.2 ± 0.03	40.6 ± 2.44	21.3 ± 1.30	11.7 ± 1.65	14.2 ± 1.81
72	Çanakkale 29	Çanakkale - Altınoluk	35.2 ± 0.44	3.26 ± 0.04	1.6 ± 0.25	50.1 ± 1.09	27.3 ± 2.21	21.1 ± 1.48	28.2 ± 1.67
73	Çanakkale 30	Çanakkale - Kepez	35.8 ± 0.19	2.05 ± 0.03	5.5 ± 0.02	34.5 ± 1.14	17.8 ± 1.54	12.2 ± 1.02	16.2 ± 2.31
74	Çanakkale 31	Çanakkale	27.7 ± 0.22	3.64 ± 0.02	16.2 ± 0.11	49.8 ± 1.32	21.4 ± 1.72	12.9 ± 1.41	24.7 ± 1.69
75	Çanakkale 32	Çanakkale	34.1 ± 0.22	3.04 ± 0.02	14.7 ± 0.22	70.8 ± 1.36	27.7 ± 1.06	20.8 ± 2.10	21.7 ± 2.31
76	Çanakkale 33	Çanakkale	31.2 ± 0.08	2.9 ± 0.02	16.2 ± 0.19	70.0 ± 1.46	23.1 ± 1.85	18.3 ± 1.51	23.5 ± 2.21
77	Çanakkale 34	Çanakkale	36.5 ± 0.42	2.47 ± 0.02	15.7 ± 0.11	57.8 ± 1.80	26.6 ± 2.79	18.9 ± 2.40	22.4 ± 1.40
78	Çanakkale 35	Çanakkale - Ezine	29.9 ± 0.14	4.83 ± 0.07	5.1 ± 0.03	41.5 ± 2.14	18.8 ± 1.29	10.5 ± 1.86	13.1 ± 1.64
79	Çanakkale 36	Çanakkale - Ezine	39.9 ± 0.21	3.61 ± 0.02	14.4 ± 0.08	62.2 ± 1.44	26.1 ± 1.73	21.3 ± 1.90	21.5 ± 1.65
80	Edirne 1	Edirne	31.7 ± 0.18	3.02 ± 0.02	16.5 ± 0.13	50.4 ± 1.85	20.6 ± 0.78	16.5 ± 1.01	21.4 ± 1.47
81	Edirne 2	Edirne	39.9 ± 0.19	3.29 ± 0.05	15.3 ± 0.04	64.6 ± 1.66	21.8 ± 1.83	19.0 ± 1.87	23.6 ± 1.07
82	Edirne 3	Edirne	37.3 ± 0.25	3.23 ± 0.03	7.3 ± 0.09	38.9 ± 1.70	17.2 ± 1.67	13.4 ± 2.13	12.1 ± 1.53
83	Elazığ	Elazığ	39.5 ± 0.08	2.94 ± 0.02	15.6 ± 0.15	67.8 ± 4.11	25.5 ± 1.34	22.2 ± 1.38	24.5 ± 2.32
84	İçel 1	İçel	40.3 ± 0.17	3.18 ± 0.03	16.7 ± 0.11	77.7 ± 1.39	23.2 ± 1.98	21.3 ± 2.31	24.8 ± 1.16
85	İçel 2	İçel	36.4 ± 0.22	3.08 ± 0.02	12.8 ± 0.05	65.4 ± 1.21	24.6 ± 1.45	23.1 ± 1.28	22.3 ± 2.12
86	İçel 3	İçel	35.1 ± 0.08	2.76 ± 0.02	14.3 ± 0.07	60.9 ± 2.55	28.2 ± 1.89	21.2 ± 1.96	24.3 ± 0.97
87	İçel 4	İçel	41.4 ± 0.33	4.44 ± 0.03	6.1 ± 0.03	40.9 ± 2.11	18.4 ± 1.00	13.5 ± 0.66	12.2 ± 1.62
88	İzmir 1	İzmir - Karşıyaka	39.2 ± 0.17	2.96 ± 0.06	13.6 ± 0.07	63.7 ± 1.65	23.6 ± 2.37	18.6 ± 2.10	19.6 ± 1.57
89	İzmir 2	İzmir - Karşıyaka	39.4 ± 0.09	2.44 ± 0.04	13.2 ± 0.04	60.3 ± 1.40	23.1 ± 2.66	19.4 ± 1.11	20.8 ± 2.42
06	İzmir 3	İzmir - Karşıyaka	33.5 ± 0.05	2.73 ± 0.02	13.7 ± 0.05	63.7 ± 3.10	22.4 ± 2.11	18.8 ± 1.37	25.5 ± 2.01
91	İzmir 4	İzmir - Kaşıiyaka	41.2 ± 0.28	2.83 ± 0.03	14.2 ± 0.08	72.5 ± 1.65	25.2 ± 1.53	21.4 ± 1.02	24.2 ± 2.42
92	İzmir 5	İzmir	37.5 ± 0.16	1.47 ± 0.03	5.6 ± 0.07	32.0 ± 1.58	18.2 ± 1.10	13.1 ± 1.51	12.2 ± 1.16
93	İzmir 6	İzmir	36.9 ± 0.22	3.48 ± 0.02	16.9 ± 0.17	81.3 ± 1.95	29.2 ± 7.14	23.3 ± 2.10	23.5 ± 0.75
94	İzmir 7	İzmir	36.1 ± 0.29	2.89 ± 0.03	17.4 ± 0.16	66.5 ± 1.44	28.3 ± 1.65	24.2 ± 1.16	26.2 ± 1.45
95	İzmir 8	İzmir	35.9 ± 0.14	4.28 ± 0.03	6.4 ± 0.04	64.9 ± 2.65	18.8 ± 1.19	13.0 ± 1.17	18.2 ± 1.53
96	İzmir 9	İzmir - Karşıyaka	41.9 ± 0.32	3.13 ± 0.04	13.3 ± 0.06	57.1 ± 1.53	21.2 ± 2.28	22.6 ± 2.01	28.7 ± 1.42
97	İzmir 10	İzmir	93.3 ± 0.82	3.47 ± 0.03	14.5 ± 0.05	69.7 ± 1.36	26.3 ± 1.30	19.8 ± 1.40	23.4 ± 0.91
98	İzmir 11	İzmir - Karşıyaka	42.5 ± 0.19	4.14 ± 0.11	13.7 ± 0.09	63.3 ± 2.18	26.3 ± 1.52	22.1 ± 1.05	26.6 ± 1.85
66	İzmir 12	Menemen	34.6 ± 0.34	3.09 ± 0.02	14.4 ± 0.11	73.9 ± 1.36	27.3 ± 1.87	20.3 ± 1.22	25.6 ± 1.86

(Continued).
Γ.
Table

100	İzmir 13	İzmir - Kurfalliyi	37.5 ± 0.36	2.62 ± 0.05	15.6 ± 0.11	67.7 ± 2.17	25.0 ± 1.44	19.5 ± 1.64	22.3 ± 2.26
101	İzmir 14	İzmir - Karşıyaka	34.9 ± 0.24	2.92 ± 0.02	16.6 ± 0.11	68.5 ± 1.87	23.7 ± 1.76	21.9 ± 1.23	26.2 ± 1.81
102	İzmir 15	İzmir - Kızılcaayas	40.2 ± 0.23	1.68 ± 0.03	7.4 ± 0.12	37.4 ± 2.07	18.3 ± 1.27	13.7 ± 1.60	15.3 ± 2.01
103	İzmir 16	İzmir - Karşıyaka	38.1 ± 0.21	2.78 ± 0.04	14.1 ± 0.06	64.9 ± 1.26	27.4 ± 1.01	21.8 ± 1.23	24.4 ± 1.26
104	İstanbul	İstanbul	32.7 ± 0.26	3.10 ± 0.04	13.4 ± 0.09	66.8 ± 1.54	25.3 ± 1.99	21.5 ± 1.75	22.2 ± 1.33
105	Kars	Kars	39.1 ± 0.43	3.02 ± 0.03	16.5 ± 0.11	68.7 ± 2.57	25.6 ± 1.81	23.1 ± 2.04	23.3 ± 1.42
106	Kırklareli	Kırklareli	37.5 ± 0.25	3.63 ± 0.06	13.8 ± 0.09	73.4 ± 2.02	26.9 ± 1.36	21.3 ± 1.73	26.7 ± 1.39
107	Konya 1	Konya	42.3 ± 0.31	3.94 ± 0.07	7.3 ± 0.05	91.3 ± 3.79	17.4 ± 1.05	14.6 ± 1.73	13.8 ± 1.51
108	Konya 2	Konya	40.6 ± 0.22	3.08 ± 0.02	15.8 ± 0.15	82.8 ± 1.68	22.9 ± 1.65	20.3 ± 1.67	23.8 ± 1.64
109	Konya 3	Konya	27.6 ± 0.28	3.02 ± 0.03	14.2 ± 0.10	70.1 ± 1.18	23.8 ± 1.68	18.6 ± 2.45	24.5 ± 2.38
110	Konya 4	Konya	38.1 ± 0.31	2.88 ± 0.07	14.6 ± 0.08	78.4 ± 3.59	27.2 ± 2.10	21.8 ± 1.52	24.5 ± 2.39
111	Manisa 1	Manisa	39.2 ± 0.24	3.23 ± 0.03	14.3 ± 0.11	58.1 ± 4.26	24.3 ± 1.90	18.8 ± 2.10	23.7 ± 1.61
112	Manisa 2	Manisa	37.3 ± 0.26	3.04 ± 0.03	14.6 ± 0.09	57.4 ± 1.69	24.9 ± 1.50	23.3 ± 2.01	24.4 ± 2.18
113	Manisa 3	Manisa - Kınık	39.3 ± 0.16	3.12 ± 0.04	15.5 ± 0.13	65.5 ± 0.66	27.1 ± 1.53	21.2 ± 2.99	23.4 ± 1.98
114	Manisa 4	Manisa	42.4 ± 0.04	3.43 ± 0.07	19.3 ± 0.13	78.1 ± 1.86	23.9 ± 1.32	22.4 ± 2.19	25.1 ± 1.52
115	Manisa 5	Manisa	37.7 ± 0.18	3.83 ± 0.04	15.1 ± 0.08	64.0 ± 1.63	27.1 ± 2.31	19.4 ± 0.73	27.4 ± 1.93
116	Manisa 6	Manisa	33.6 ± 0.35	3.34 ± 0.04	6.2 ± 0.05	34.7 ± 1.66	19.5 ± 1.79	10.4 ± 0.75	11.9 ± 1.55
117	Muğla 1	Muğla	37.7 ± 0.11	3.15 ± 0.03	15.4 ± 0.27	58.7 ± 1.67	25.5 ± 2.05	17.3 ± 2.09	24.1 ± 2.03
118	Muğla 2	Muğla	39.5 ± 0.09	3.78 ± 0.05	18.9 ± 0.11	67.0 ± 2.31	27.9 ± 1.66	22.5 ± 1.93	26.2 ± 2.18
119	Muğla 3	Muğla	37.9 ± 0.28	2.23 ± 0.02	14.9 ± 0.12	71.3 ± 2.31	26.3 ± 1.13	19.8 ± 1.21	29.2 ± 2.07
120	Muğla 4	Muğla - Fethiye	34.1 ± 0.17	2.02 ± 0.02	15.8 ± 0.13	65.3 ± 2.48	25.9 ± 1.64	19.9 ± 1.52	25.3 ± 1.99
121	Muğla 5	Muğla	42.2 ± 0.31	3.45 ± 0.07	16.6 ± 0.11	62.5 ± 2.10	25.7 ± 3.32	21.4 ± 1.81	24.4 ± 2.06
122	Tekirdağ 1	Tekirdağ - Uçmakdere	39.9 ± 0.12	3.10 ± 0.03	14.2 ± 0.12	69.5 ± 2.12	25.2 ± 2.65	23.3 ± 1.98	26.8 ± 1.70
123	Tekirdağ 2	Tekirdağ - Naip	37.4 ± 0.47	2.90 ± 0.04	15.2 ± 0.24	65.6 ± 3.12	24.8 ± 1.67	20.3 ± 0.71	22.1 ± 3.43
124	Tekirdağ 3	Tekirdağ - Yaci	39.9 ± 0.08	3.78 ± 0.02	6.5 ± 0.12	39.5 ± 1.73	19.4 ± 1.90	10.7 ± 1.31	13.6 ± 1.63
125	Tekirdağ 4	Tekirdağ	37.9 ± 0.22	2.32 ± 0.02	13.9 ± 0.08	53.5 ± 1.88	23.8 ± 1.65	18.7 ± 1.27	17.5 ± 2.12
126	Tekirdağ 5	Tekirdağ - Ortaca	40.2 ± 0.24	3.03 ± 0.02	14.7 ± 0.17	58.2 ± 1.81	22.3 ± 2.15	18.0 ± 2.30	23.2 ± 1.62
127	Tekirdağ 6	Tekirdağ - Hayranbolu	35.7 ± 0.37	2.60 ± 0.03	13.5 ± 0.12	62.3 ± 2.01	26.9 ± 1.67	15.4 ± 2.03	18.3 ± 1.06
128	Tekirdağ 7	Tekirdağ	35.1 ± 0.34	3.14 ± 0.06	13.7 ± 0.07	60.9 ± 2.55	24.7 ± 2.56	15.7 ± 1.81	21.4 ± 1.46
129	Urfa	Ezgil	41.8 ± 0.34	2.79 ± 0.04	13.5 ± 0.08	75.0 ± 2.29	24.0 ± 2.48	21.3 ± 2.75	24.3 ± 1.85
Varieties									
130	Kıtık2003		39.4 ± 0.22	2.92 ± 0.03	14.4 ± 0.05	64.6 ± 1.67	23.4 ± 2.25	17.9 ± 1.53	17.4 ± 1.61
131	Filiz99		34.5 ± 0.28	2.74 ± 0.04	13.9 ± 0.06	58.7 ± 2.50	25.2 ± 1.13	20.2 ± 1.03	19.6 ± 0.85
132	Eresen87		39.6 ± 0.11	1.55 ± 0.03	5.9 ± 0.04	39.5 ± 1.59	18.0 ± 1.64	14.0 ± 1.55	16.7 ± 1.12
133	Salkım		39.1 ± 0.12	2.85 ± 0.03	12.9 ± 0.07	73.6 ± 3.04	26.5 ± 1.52	20.5 ± 0.88	20.1 ± 1.32



Figure 1. Geographic distribution of 129 faba bean landraces collected from different provinces of Turkey. Adana: 1–3, Antakya: 4–6, Antalya: 7–11, Aydin: 12–15, Balikesir: 16–35, Burdur: 36, Bursa: 37–43, Çanakkale: 44–79, Edirne: 80–82, Elazig: 83, İçel (now Mersin): 84–87, İzmir: 88–103, İstanbul: 104, Kars: 105, Kırklareli: 106, Konya: 107–110, Manisa: 111–116, Muğla: 117–121, Tekirdağ: 122–128, Urfa: 129.

procedure of the SAS computer program. Significant differences between accessions ($P \le 0.05$) were detected for all studied mineral traits. Standard deviations (SDs) were calculated for each landrace for different studied mineral characteristics. Principal component analysis (PCA) based on 7 mineral elements was used to identify the patterns of variation within the set of 129 open-pollinated landraces and 4 cultivars. The PCA was done using JMP statistical software. The eigenvalue-one criterion was used to retain the principal components that contributed considerable variability. Correlation among studied traits was calculated using the Pearson correlation using the PROC CORR procedure of SAS program.

3. Results

Based on initial ANOVA analysis (data not shown), the 129 open-pollinated faba bean landraces and 4 cultivars

differed significantly for all studied mineral traits. All landraces harbored high diversity for most of the studied mineral elements. Due to the outcrossing of the landraces, resulting from insect pollination, the seeds used for the mineral determinations were hybrids among the landraces and no accurate data could be presented for any specific landrace. However, we give the mean values and standard deviations for all landraces and cultivars in Table 1. The maximum, minimum, and mean and SD values for landraces as well as cultivars are presented in Table 2. The mean nitrogen content of all landraces and cultivars was 37.3 g kg⁻¹; values ranged from 27.5 g kg⁻¹ to 93.3 g kg⁻¹. The potassium contents of the studied faba bean germplasm varied between 4.5 g kg⁻¹ and 19.3 g kg⁻¹ with an average of 13.0 g kg⁻¹. The highest value of P content was 4.89 g kg⁻¹ while the lowest value was 1.24 g kg⁻¹, with an average value of 2.97 g kg⁻¹.

Table 2. Mean and range of some mineral element contents of 129 Turkish faba bean landraces and 4 cultivars.

Demonsterne		Landra	.ces			Cultiva	irs		
Parameters	Abbreviation	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD
Nitrogen (g kg ⁻¹)	N	27.5	93.3	37.3	0.662	34.5	39.9	38.1	0.241
Phosphorous (g kg ⁻¹)	Р	1.24	4.89	2.97	0.072	1.55	2.93	2.52	0.065
Potassium (g kg ⁻¹)	K	4.5	19.3	13.0	0.391	5.9	14.3	11.8	0.395
Iron (mg kg ⁻¹)	Fe	29.7	96.3	59.6	13.913	39.4	73.5	59.1	14.45
Manganese (mg kg ⁻¹)	Mn	15.5	29.2	23.3	3.291	18.0	26.4	23.2	3.708
Copper (mg kg ⁻¹)	Cu	10.3	33.0	18.9	4.292	13.9	20.4	18.2	3.003
Zinc (mg kg ⁻¹)	Zn	10.4	49.3	24.2	6.691	16.6	20.1	18.5	1.649

There was high diversity of microelements in the studied faba bean germplasm collection. The amount of iron in the seeds of the studied faba bean germplasm varied highly from 29.7 mg kg⁻¹ to 96.3 mg kg⁻¹, and the mean value was 59.6 mg kg⁻¹. Mn contents in Turkish faba bean germplasm ranged from 15.5 mg kg⁻¹ to 29.2 mg kg⁻¹ with a mean of 23.3 mg kg⁻¹. The mean Cu concentration of the landraces and cultivars was 18.9 mg kg⁻¹ and it varied between 10.3 mg kg⁻¹ and 33.0 mg kg⁻¹. For Zn contents, substantial variation was observed among open-pollinated faba bean landraces (Table 1). The overall average value of Zn contents among all landraces was 24.2 mg kg⁻¹, ranging from 10.4 mg kg⁻¹ to 49.3 mg kg⁻¹.

For all of the studied mineral element traits, with the exception of N, mean values and range for landraces were higher than those for cultivars. The maximum and minimum values for seed Zn, Fe, and other macro- and microminerals were within a narrow range for cultivars when compared with the landraces, which exhibited wide ranges for these traits.

Correlations among 7 mineral elements in 129 openpollinated faba bean landraces and 4 cultivars are presented in Table 3. There were significant and positive correlations among different mineral elements. Most of the minerals harbored significant and positive relationships with each other; however, the large number of observations increased the test power, resulting in significance for most of the correlations. Hence, only values of 0.5 or above are discussed. K harbored significant and positive associations with all 4 microelements. Fe had positive and significant correlations with Mn (r = 0.679; P < 0.01), Cu (r = 0.680; P < 0.01), and Zn (r = 0.586; P < 0.01). Cu had positive and significant correlations with all 4 microelements. Similarly, Zn also exhibited positive and significant associations with K (r = 709; P < 0.01), Fe (r = 0.586; P < 0.01), Mn (r = 0.593; P < 0.01), Cu (r = 0.718; P < 0.01), and macroelements (except N).

Finally, PCA, based on 7 mineral traits, was used to assess the patterns of variation within a set of 129 open-

pollinated faba bean landraces and 4 cultivars. Using PCA based on the correlation matrix, we calculated eigenvalues, percentages of variation, and load coefficients of the first 5 components for all 7 mineral traits. In this study, the results of PCA among accessions showed consistent and large diversity in investigated element contents (Table 4). Using PCA based on the correlation coefficient, it appeared that the first 5 principal components accounted for 94.22% of the total variance (Table 4). The first principal component (PC1) was important and accounted for more than half of the total variation (with 56.28%). The mineral elements with the highest contribution in PC1 were Cu and K, closely followed by Mn, Fe, and Zn. The second principal component (PC2) accounted for 14.63% of the variability and was highly dependent on N. The third principal component was built mostly on P content and accounted for 12.54% of total variability. The first 2 principal components were very important and accounted for approximately 70.91% of the total available variability; hence, they were plotted graphically to demonstrate the relationship among open-pollinated Turkish faba bean germplasm collection (Figure 2).

4. Discussion

Landraces are defined as geographically or ecologically distinct crop populations developed under the influence of the local and cultural environment (Camacho Villa et al., 2005). Landraces constitute important genetic resources for faba bean breeding schemes and can be maintained as inbred lines (Terzopoulos and Bebeli, 2008). To fully and effectively utilize the genetic variability of the Turkish faba bean germplasm for the enrichment of faba bean seeds with bioavailable mineral elements, it is necessary to study and evaluate the variations for mineral traits of faba bean germplasms from different origins and to identify germplasm groups from which elite inbred lines with high mineral elements could be created (Cakmak, 2008).

Considering the great value of plant germplasm collections, it is very important to characterize the local

Table 3. Correlation coefficients among the concentrations of seed mineralelements of 133 faba bean landraces and cultivars.

	Р	K	Fe	Mn	Cu	Zn
N	0.095	0.052	0.232**	0.135	0.161	0.074
Р		0.209*	0.326**	0.254**	0.289**	0.192*
Κ			0.697**	0.793**	0.736**	0.709**
Fe				0.679**	0.680**	0.586**
Mn					0.759**	0.593**
Cu						0.718**

^{*}: $P \le 0.05$, ^{**}: $P \le 0.01$.

V:. hl	Eigenvectors				
variables	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5
Nitrogen (g kg ⁻¹)	0.1099	0.8537	-0.4683	0.1208	0.1038
Phosphorous (g kg ⁻¹) 111111111111111)	0.1924	0.4142	0.8725	0.1011	0.0909
Potassium (g kg ⁻¹)	0.4493	-0.1993	-0.0772	-0.1395	0.0564
Iron (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.4256	0.1170	-0.0034	-0.3289	-0.8090
Manganese (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.4425	-0.0612	-0.0655	-0.4600	0.4630
Copper (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.4525	-0.0571	-0.0331	0.1120	0.3040
Zinc (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.4089	-0.1978	-0.0886	0.7894	-0.1280
Eigenvalue	3.93	1.02	0.87	0.42	0.33
Percent	56.28	14.63	12.54	6.03	4.73
Cum. percent	56.28	70.91	83.46	89.49	94.22

Table 4. Eigenvectors, eigenvalues, and individual and cumulative percentages of variation explained by the first 5 principal components (PCs) of 129 open-pollinated Turkish faba bean landraces for contents of some mineral elements.

populations with respect to their nutritional value. Deficiencies in essential mineral cations affect large populations in several parts of the world, as is well-known for Fe and Zn. The importance of P, Cu, Ca, and Mn in the human diet, in addition to Zn and Fe, should also be taken into consideration (Pinheiro et al., 2010). Nutritionally enhanced grain legumes can not only contribute to health improvement directly by enhancing micronutrient availability, but also indirectly through improved agronomic performance (Welch, 1999; Gomez-Galera

et al., 2010). Mudryja et al. (2011) reported that pulse consumption had influenced and increased the mineral nutrient uptakes in Canadian adults of all ages, and pulses had been recommended as alternative food groups in the Canadian food guide. The amount of minerals in legumes is higher than that in cereal crops. Thus, identification of the nutritional characteristics of different landraces is an important prerequisite for their effective utilization in breeding programs to improve the mineral status of faba bean cultivars. A large number of faba bean landraces



Figure 2. Multivariate principal component analysis of 129 open-pollinated Turkish faba bean landraces and 4 commercial cultivars. The number given to each landrace can be seen in Table 1.

have been collected from different parts of Turkey, the most important center of diversity. However, information on the extent and pattern of genetic diversity for mineral elements in these landraces is not systematically available.

The current study presents a comprehensive analysis of micronutrient (Zn, Fe, Cu, and Mn) and macronutrient (N, K, and P) concentrations for a large germplasm collection. The open-pollinated faba bean landraces exhibited a wide variation in the seed concentrations of all nutrients (Table 2). Moreover, traditional landraces showed significantly higher concentrations of seed mineral nutrients relative to commercial faba bean cultivars. For example, the highest values for Zn in the landraces were more than 2-fold greater than in the cultivars. The other previous studies also demonstrated that chickpea and faba bean landraces exhibited much higher diversity for nutrient traits compared with other cultivars (Özer et al., 2011; Karaköy et al., 2014). There appears to be considerable genetic variation in the mineral concentrations present in edible portions of most crop species, such as in the grains of maize, rice, and wheat (Graham et al., 1999; Welch and Graham, 2004; Garvin et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2008; Menkir, 2008) and in legumes such as lentil (Karaköy et al., 2012) and bean (Talukder et al., 2010). The physiological basis for the varying rates of mineral accumulation in the seeds of different genotypes is yet to be clearly elucidated (Welch and Graham, 2002). In their report, Bonfil and Kafkafi (2000) described that when any nutrient is naturally deficient in the soil, genotypes that store a higher concentration of that nutrient in the seed will have an extra advantage under such conditions. The amount of minerals in the seed depends on a plethora of processes, including absorption from the soil, uptake by the roots, translocation and redistribution within the plant tissues, and remobilization to the seed (Grusak and Cakmak, 2005; Cakmak et al., 2010). However, this is the first report about the mineral variations among large sets of open-pollinated faba bean germplasms.

This wide diversity for mineral elements, such as Zn and Fe and other elements present in the Turkish openpollinated faba bean landraces, demonstrates huge potential and should complement the ongoing efforts for faba bean improvement programs. For example, the wide variation in Zn content (10.4–49.3 mg kg⁻¹) in these landraces indicates a wealth of allelic variation for the development of cultivars with high bioavailable Zn concentration. In addition, the landraces were sampled from a very variable set of environmental and geographical locations (Figure 1), which also tends to increase the level of diversity. Variation in chemical composition depends upon genotype, seed characteristics, seed composition, agronomic practices, climatic factors, soil type, pollination type, and other factors.

Correlation coefficients for the mineral elements were examined to determine whether selection for stability in one mineral trait might concurrently affect the stability in other mineral parameters. Correlation coefficients among studied grain concentrations of different mineral nutrients may indicate the existence of one or more common genetic-physiological mechanisms involved in mineral absorption or uptake by the root system, translocation and redistribution within the plant tissues, remobilization to the grain, or accumulation in the developing grain (Çakmak, 2008; Peleg et al., 2008; Chatvaz et al., 2010). Seed Zn and Fe concentration were significantly and positively correlated (r = 0.586; P < 0.01) with each other in this study. Significant associations of Fe and Zn have been reported in numerous crops, such as in lentil (Karaköy et al., 2012), wheat (Garvin et al., 2006; Chatvaz et al., 2010), and Phaseolus vulgaris (Pinheiro et al., 2010). Similarly, Zn was positively correlated with P, K, Cu, and Mn, similar to the findings of Karaköy et al. (2012) in lentil and Jiang et al. (2008) in rice. Positive associations among different traits showed that improvement of one character might simultaneously improve another desired trait (Yücel et al., 2009; Cömertpay et al., 2012). Selection of the right character is also important because of correlation among different traits (Yücel et al., 2009). For example, the significant and positive correlations between Fe and Zn concentrations also indicate the possibility of increasing the 2 minerals simultaneously.

Multivariate analyses were utilized to measure the variation in germplasm collections and to evaluate the relative contributions that various traits add to the total variability in a crop germplasm collection. These analyses permit germplasm entries to be classified into groups with similar traits (Andeden et al., 2013; Karaköy et al., 2014). To analyze the structure of the genetic diversity among a set of 129 open-pollinated faba bean landraces and 4 cultivars, we performed PCA based on mean values. Using PCA, it appeared that there were 2 important underlying components for accessions (70.91% of total variation; Table 4), which can be defined as mineral elements in the faba bean germplasm. PC1 was the most important, accounting for more than half of the total variability (around 56%) in accessions, and the traits responsible for this high variation were Cu, K, Mn, Fe, and Zn among accessions. The interrelationships described by PC1 entailed a very important point of practical significance for an attempt to breed for high seed Cu, Mn, Fe, K, and Zn contents in the faba bean. PC2 was responsible for 14.63% of the total variability. Only N was the biggest contributor of this variation. The principal component graph showed that open-pollinated faba bean landraces were clearly split into 2 groups. The main variants of this grouping were Cu, Mg, Fe, and K in PC1 and N in PC2. Figure 2 shows that some of the landraces were clearly different from the rest of landraces for the above-mentioned mineral traits and that these mineral traits were the main source of variations in the germplasm. However, the data presented here are from unspecified hybrid samples resulting from 129 openpollinated faba bean landraces and 4 cultivars. It is desirable that future work on the landraces, taking precautions against faba bean outcrossing, be performed in order to precisely characterize each specific Turkish landrace, or characterization should be done for the selfed genotypes. One plant from each landraces was selfed and future research should be focused on association mapping of the mineral elements by using these selfed genotypes. This will help to identify the locus responsible for increased mineral element in the faba bean, which will also help to develop the faba bean cultivars with high mineral elements.

In spite of the availability of rich genetic resources and the heavy consumption of legumes, including faba bean, in the Turkish diet, legume breeding in Turkey and other countries falls far behind cereals and other industrial crops (Baloch et al., 2010; Özer et al., 2011; Karaköy et al., 2012; Özer et al., 2012). The yield and the quality of cereals, soybean, cotton, and other crops in Turkey have shown tremendous improvements due to breeding activities. During the recent decade, scientists at several consultative groups have been investigating the genetic potential to increase bioavailable Fe and Zn and other minerals and vitamins in edible portions of staple food crops such as rice, wheat, maize, and legumes through breeding. However, breeding activities for faba beans in Turkey, as well as in the world, are negligible. That is why faba bean is referred to as an "orphan crop". The genetic resources of faba beans with high diversity have not yet been evaluated for their

References

- Andeden EE, Baloch FS, Derya M, Kilian B, Özkan H (2013). iPBS-Retrotransposons-based genetic diversity and relationship among wild annual *Cicer* species. J Plant Biochem Biotechnol 22: 453–466.
- AOAC (1984). Official Method of Analysis. 14th ed. Washington, DC, USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Baloch FS, Kurt C, Arioğlu H, Özkan H (2010). Assaying of diversity among soybean (*Glycin max* (L.) Merr.) and peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) genotypes at DNA level. Turk J Agric For 34: 285–301.
- Bonfil DJ, Kafkafi U (2000). Wild wheat adaptation in different soil ecosystems as expressed in the mineral concentration of the seeds. Euphytica 114: 123–134.
- Cakmak I (2008). Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: agronomic or genetic biofortification? Plant Soil 302: 1–17.
- Cakmak I, Pfeiffer WH, McClafferty B (2010). Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc and iron. Cereal Chem 87: 10–20.

mineral elements. This is the initial step: we are reporting the diversity of faba bean genetic resources, which will be important for the success of breeding programs in Turkey. Our results demonstrate the importance of landraces as the base material for developing superior faba bean cultivars with high mineral concentrations in breeding projects

Lev-Yadun et al. (2000) proposed a "core area" for the origins of agriculture within the Fertile Crescent. This was based on the proposition that wild einkorn and wild emmer from this area are genetically more closely related to domesticated crop plants than elsewhere; legume crops such as chickpea and lentil are believed to have most probably originated in southeastern Turkey. Earlier studies have proposed the Near East and southeastern Turkey as the postulated area of faba bean domestication. Therefore, it is very important to study and characterize landraces from its area of diversity. In our previous study, we studied the genetic variation among faba bean landraces for 15 morphological and 6 quality traits (Karaköy et al., 2014). Karaköy et al. (2014) also observed that Turkish faba bean landraces harbored high phenotypic diversity compared with the landraces from China and Greece (Duc et al., 2010). Identification of genetic variation is essential for achieving improvements in the mineral content of crops. Such variation can also be used to identify quantitative trait loci associated with mineral uptake and transport. Further detailed investigation by conducting field trials at multiple locations to verify the results and to study genotype × environment interactions and precautions should be done to study the mineral contents in selfed faba bean lines. These landraces and associated information are useful to researchers and breeders from all over the world who are interested in Turkish faba bean genetic resources.

- Camacho Villa TC, Maxted N, Scholten M, Ford-Lloyd B (2005). Defining and identifying crop landraces. Plant Genet Resour 3: 373-384.
- Chatzav M, Peleg Z, Özturk L, Yazici A, Fahima T, Cakmak I, Saranga Y (2010). Genetic diversity for grain nutrients in wild emmer wheat: potential for wheat improvement. Ann Bot 105: 1211–1220.
- Cömertpay G, Baloch FS, Kilian B, Ülger AC, Özkan H (2012). Diversity assessment of Turkish maize landraces based on fluorescent labelled SSR markers. Plant Mol Biol Rep 30: 261– 274.
- Duc G, Bao S, Baum M, Redden B, Sadiki M, Suso MJ, Vishniakova M, Zong X (2010). Diversity maintenance and use of *Vicia faba* L. genetic resources. Field Crops Res 115: 270–278.
- Garvin DF, Welch RM, Finley JW (2006). Historical shifts in the seed mineral micronutrient concentration of US hard red winter wheat germplasm. J Sci Food Agric 86: 2213–2220.

- Gómez-Galera S, Rojas E, Sudhakar D, Zhu C, Pelacho AM, Capell T, Chritou P (2010). Critical evaluation of strategies for mineral fortification of staple food crops. Transgenic Res 19: 165–180.
- Graham RD, Senadhira D, Beebe S, Iglesias C, Monasterio I (1999). Breeding for micronutrient density in edible portions of staple food crops: conventional approaches. Field Crops Res 60: 57–80.
- Graham RD, Welch RM, Bouis HE (2002). Addressing micronutrient malnutrition through enhancing the nutritional quality of staple foods: principles, perspectives and knowledge gaps. Adv Agron 70: 77–142.
- Grusak MA, Çakmak I (2005). Methods to improve the crop-delivery of minerals to humans and livestock. In: Broadley MR, White PJ, editors. Plant Nutritional Genomics. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing, pp. 265–286.
- Jiang SL, Wu JG, Thang NB, Feng Y, Yang XE, Shi CH (2008). Genotypic variation of mineral elements contents in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Eur Food Res Technol 228: 115–122.
- Karaköy T, Baloch FS, Toklu F, Özkan H (2014). Variation for selected morphological and quality-related traits among 178 faba bean landraces collected from Turkey. Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization 12: 5–13.
- Karaköy T, Erdem H, Baloch FS, Toklu F, Eker S, Kilian B, Özkan H (2012). Diversity of macro- and micronutrients in the seeds of lentil landraces. The Scientific World Journal 2012: 1–9.
- Khan MA, Fuller MP, Baloch FS (2008). Effect of soil applied zinc sulphate on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown on a calcareous soil in Pakistan. Cereal Res Commun 36: 571–582.
- Lev-Yadun S, Gopher A, Abbo S (2000). The cradle of agriculture. Sci 288: 1602–1603.
- Menkir A (2008). Genetic variation for grain mineral content in tropical-adapted maize inbred lines. Food Chem 110: 454–464.
- Moraghan MT, Etchevers JD, Padilla J (2006). Contrasting accumulations of calcium and magnesium in seed coats and embryos of common bean and soybean. Food Chem 95: 554–561.
- Mudryja AN, Yua N, Hartmana TJ, Mitchella DC, Lawrencea FR, Aukema HM (2011). Pulse consumption in Canadian adults influences nutrient intakes. Brit J Nutr 108: S27–S36.
- Muratova VS (1931). Common beans (*Vicia faba* L.). B Appl Bot Genet Plant Breed 50: 1–298.
- Özer S, Karaköy T, Toklu F, Baloch FS, Kilian B, Özkan H (2011). Nutritional and physicochemical variation in Turkish kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) landraces. Euphytica 175: 237–249.

- Özer S, Tümer E, Baloch FS, Karaköy T, Toklu F, Özkan H (2012). Variation for nutritional and cooking properties among Turkish field pea landraces. J Food Agric Environ 10: 324–329.
- Peleg Z, Saranga Y, Yazici A, Fahima T, Özturk L, Çakmak I (2008). Grain zinc, iron and protein concentrations and zinc-efficiency in wild emmer wheat under contrasting irrigation regimes. Plant Soil 306: 57–67.
- Pinheiro C, Baeta JP, Pereira AM, Domingues H, Ricardo CP (2010). Diversity of seed mineral accumulation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. germplasm. J Food Comp Analysis 23: 319–325.
- Saha S, Singh G, Mahajan V, Gupta HS (2009). Variability of nutritional and cooking quality in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L) as a function of genotype. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 64: 174–180.
- Suso MJ, Pierre J, Moreno MT, Esnault R, Le Guen J (2001). Variation in outcrossing levels in faba bean cultivars: role of ecological factors. J Agric Sci 136: 399–405.
- Talukder ZI, Anderson E, Miklas PN, Blair MW, Osorno J, Dilawari M, Hossain KG (2010). Genetic diversity and selection of genotypes to enhance Zn and Fe content in common bean. Can J Plant Sci 90: 49–60.
- Terzopoulos PJ, Bebeli PJ (2008). Genetic diversity analysis of Mediterranean faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) with ISSR markers. Field Crops Res 108: 39–44.
- Welch RM (1999). Importance of seed mineral nutrient reserves in crop growth and development. In: Rengel Z, editor. Mineral Nutrition of Crops: Fundamental Mechanisms and Implications. New York, NY, USA: Food Products Press, pp. 205–226.
- Welch RM, Graham RD (2002). Breeding crops for enhanced micronutrient content. Plant Soil 245: 205–214.
- Welch RM, Graham RD (2004). Breeding for micronutrients in staple 556 food crops from a human nutrition perspective. J Exp Bot 55: 353–364.
- White PJ, Broadley MR (2005). Biofortifying crops with essential mineral elements. Trends Plant Sci 10: 586–593.
- Yang X, Ye ZQ, Shi CH, Zhu ML, Graham RD (1998). Genotypic differences in contents of iron, manganese, copper and zinc in Polish rice grain. J Plant Nutr 21: 1453–1462.
- Yücel C, Baloch FS, Ozkan H (2009). Genetic analysis of some physical properties of bread wheat grain (*Triticum aestivum* L. em Thell). Turk J Agric For 33: 525–535.