

Research Article

Influence of mycorrhizal strategy on the foliar traits of the plants on the Tibetan Plateau in response to precipitation and temperature

Zhaoyong SHI^{1,2,*}, Yanying LIU², Fayuan WANG², Yinglong CHEN³

¹College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University, Beijing - CHINA

²Agricultural College, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang - CHINA

³School of Earth and Environment, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Perth - AUSTRALIA

> Received: 26.04.2011 Accepted: 09.12.2011

Abstract: Mycorrhizas play key roles in important ecosystem processes and functions. To enhance our understanding of the impact of mycorrhizal strategies on important foliar traits of host plants and their response to climate changes, we analysed 6 selected foliar traits among 54 plant species in 129 sampling sites of ascertained mycorrhizal types on the Tibetan Plateau based on their functional groups. The selected traits were leaf mass per area (LMA), nitrogen concentration (on mass and area bases, $N_{\rm mass}$ and $N_{\rm area}$, respectively), photosynthetic capacity ($A_{\rm mass}$ and $A_{\rm area}$) and photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency (PNUE). The analysed foliar traits showed no significant difference between arbuscular mycorrhizas (AM) and non-mycorrhizas (NM) + AM in the grass group. Mycorrhizal strategies remarkably affected $N_{\rm mass}$ of the herb group, and LMA, $A_{\rm mass}$ and $N_{\rm mass}$ in the shrub group. Responses of foliar traits to precipitation and temperature varied among different mycorrhizal strategies. The index of LMA, $A_{\rm area}$ and $N_{\rm area}$ of AM, $N_{\rm area}$ of NM/AM, and PNUE of mean annual precipitation (MAP) were significantly affected by mean annual temperature (MAT). The responses among different mycorrhizal types to precipitation and temperature differed among plant functional groups.

Key words: Mycorrhizal strategy, functional groups, foliar traits, precipitation, temperature

Introduction

Vascular plant species acquire sufficient water, nutrients, and light to drive carbon fixation, and make enough carbon profit to ensure continuity to future generations (Wright et al., 2005). Leaf mass per area (LMA), nitrogen (N) concentration (on mass and area bases, $N_{\rm mass}$ and $N_{\rm area}$, respectively), photosynthetic capacity (similarly, $A_{\rm mass}$ and $A_{\rm area}$), and photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency (PNUE, defined

392

as photosynthetic capacity per unit leaf nitrogen) are fundamental foliar traits playing key roles in plant functioning (Schulze, 1994; Grime et al., 1997; Mooney et al., 1999; Ackerly, 2004). As a reflection of the dry-mass cost for producing new leaves, LMA correlates positively with leaf lifespan and negatively with leaf N concentration across species (Reich et al., 1997; Westoby et al., 2002; Wright et al., 2004). Studies show that leaf N concentration is strongly correlated with photosynthetic capacity (Field & Mooney, 1986;

^{*} E-mail: shizy1116@126.com

Evans, 1989; Reich et al., 1994), as N is essential for the synthesis of Rubisco, the key enzyme of photosynthesis (Field & Mooney, 1986; Taiz & Zeiger, 1998). This correlation provides a useful link between processes on short-term leaf-level, and long-term plant- and stand-level, and has been used to estimate maximum CO₂ uptake over a broad range of species (Schulze, 1994; Baldocchi & Harley, 1995; Harley & Baldocchi, 1995; Aber et al., 1996; Williams et al., 1997; Larocque, 2002). Therefore, understanding the relationships between these fundamental traits and their large-scale patterns is essential for scaling up ecophysiological processes from the leaf level to the ecosystem level and in predicting ecosystem functioning in response to environmental change (Ehleringer & Field, 1993; Peterson et al., 1999; Norby & Luo, 2004). Over the past few decades, plant ecologists and physiologists have become increasingly concerned with quantifying correlations between key foliar traits (Arabaci et al., 2010; Güvenç et al., 2011; Mavi et al., 2011), and between foliar traits and climatic characters (Field & Mooney, 1986; Reich et al., 1992; Schulze, 1994; Körner, 1995; Grime et al., 1997; Reich et al., 1997; Niinemets, 2001; Wright & Westoby, 2002; He et al., 2006).

There is growing recognition that plant species and plant functional types are important controllers of ecosystem processes and functions (Hobbie, 1992; Chapin et al., 1997; Díaz & Cabido 1997; Grime et al., 1997; Tilman et al., 1997; Wardle et al., 1998), particularly those linking plants with the soils in which they grow (van Breemen, 1993; Berendse, 1994; Wardle et al., 1997; Cornelissen et al., 1999). At the same time, there is consensus that mycorrhizal symbioses between plants and soil fungi play some key roles in important ecosystem processes and functions (Grime et al., 1987; Fitter, 1990; Brundrett, 1991; Read, 1991; Rygiewicz & Andersen, 1994; Smith & Read, 2008; Heijden et al., 1998a, 1998b). We therefore expect that classifying plant species according to their mycorrhizal associations may provide a new dimension to research on functional type classification and application, from which, with few exceptions (Michelsen et al., 1996; Cornelissen et al., 2001), mycorrhizas have so far been excluded.

Mycorrhizal functional types should be based on the current understanding that different types of mycorrhizal symbiosis, which also involve different fungal taxa, promote plant uptake of nutrients from different sources, notably nitrogen (N) versus phosphorus (P), inorganic versus organic forms (Read, 1991; Schulze, 1994; Chapin, 1995; Michelsen et al., 1998; Näsholm et al., 1998). In particular, the plant species that utilise complex organic N sources, as promoted by probably all ericoid mycorrhizal (Read, 1991; Kielland, 1994; Michelsen et al., 1996, 1998) and many or most of the ectomycorrhizal fungal associations (Read, 1991; Kielland, 1994; Northup et al., 1995; Michelsen et al., 1996, 1998; Näsholm et al., 1998) appear to contrast with plant species with arbuscular-mycorrhizal (AM) fungi, which generally have no access to complex organic nutrient sources. Instead, AM plants tend to take up inorganic P efficiently (Newsham et al., 1995; Heijden, 1998b; Smith & Read, 2008). It is thought that mycorrhizal symbioses could be central players in regulating leaf quality and plant growth rate (Pastor et al., 1984; Reich et al., 1992; Cornelissen et al., 1999; Aerts & Chapin, 2000). Cornelissen et al. (2001) analysed 83 British plants species of known functional and mycorrhizal categories and observed large variation in leaf parameters between mycorrhizal functional types. They claimed that plant species with ericoid mycorrhizas showed consistently lower inherent relative growth rate (RGR), lower foliar N and P concentrations, and poor litter decomposability, whilst ectomycorrhizal plant species had intermediate RGR, higher foliar N and P, and AM plants showed higher RGR, higher foliar N and P, and fast litter decomposition in contrast.

Different mycorrhizal types may have significant impact on important foliar traits (Smith & Read, 2008). However, mycorrhizal strategies are rarely noted when assessing the generality of foliar traits and their response to climate changes in large-scale patterns. The present study analysed 6 selected important foliar traits, i.e. LMA, N concentration ($N_{\rm mass}$ and $N_{\rm area}$), photosynthetic capacity (similarly, $A_{\rm mass}$ and $A_{\rm area}$), and PNUE, in comparison with their mycorrhizal strategies. The aim of this study was to determine the effect of different mycorrhizal functional types or mycorrhizal strategies of plants on their foliar traits, and to compare the response of foliar traits to precipitation and temperature based on mycorrhizal strategies.

Materials and methods

Description of research area and sampling methods

Sampling was carried out between late July and early August 2003 along a transect in the Central Tibetan Plateau. The transect covers latitudes from 28.19° to 36.32°N and longitudes from 86.83° to 100.93°E, and is approx. 2000 km long and 250 km wide. The sampling sites selected were subject to minimal grazing and other anthropogenic disturbances (He et al., 2006). At each site, the dominant plant species were collected at the flowering stage. A more detailed description can be found in He et al. (2006).

Data compilation

Data of foliar traits and site-specific precipitation and temperature for this study were drawn from the paper of He et al. (2006). The predominant mycorrhizal association of each species was classified according to the published literature and our observations (Harley & Harley, 1987a, 1987b, 1990; Wang & Qiu, 2006; Wang & Shi, 2008). The following 4 mycorrhizal groups were classified according to the types of mycorrhizas associated with the studied host plants: (1) AM, plant species was colonised by arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi only; (2) NM+AM, plant species is non-mycorrhizal, or colonised by AM fungi; (3) Multi-mycorrhizas including ECM, plant was reported ever as ECM type, which represented ECM (shrub), ECM+AM (herb), and ECM+AM+NM (grass); (4) ericoid mycorrhizas (ERM) (Table 1).

The influences of mycorrhizal strategies on foliar traits were examined in 3 plant functional groups, i.e. grass, herb, and shrub (see He et al., 2006 for definitions).

The mycorrhizal strategies of 54 plant species in 129 plant sampling sites belonging to 35 genera and 20 families were ascertained and examined in this study (Table 1). Among them, 3 strategies (AM, NM+AM, and ECM+AM+NM) were included in grass, 4 (AM, NM+AM, and ECM+AM) in herbs, and another 4 types (AM, NM+AM, ECM, and ERM) in shrubs.

Table 1. Numbers of plant species, samples, genera, and families in each mycorrhizal type in 1 of the 3 plant functional groups.

Plant functional groups ^{a)}	Mycorrhizal functional types ^{b)}	Plant species/plant samples (sites)	Genera	Families
	AM	10/32	6	2
Grass	NM/AM	2/9	2	2
	ECM+AM+NM	1/1	1	1
	AM	13/26	9	6
Herb	NM/AM	10/21	9	7
	ECM/AM+ECM	1/4	1	1
Shrub	AM	11/23	8	5
	NM/AM	1/2	1	1
	ECM	3/8	1	1
	ERM	2/3	1	1
Total		54/129	35	20

^{a)} Grass, including graminoids and sedges; Herb, including annuals, biennials and perennial forbs; Shrub, including deciduous shrubs and evergreen shrubs.

^{b)} AM, arbuscular mycorrhiza; ECM ectomycorrhiza; EEM ectendomycorrhiza; NM/AM, nonmycorrhiza or arbuscular mycorrhiza; ECM+AM+NM, ectomycorrhiza, or arbuscular mycorrhiza, or nonmycorrhiza; ECM/AM+ECM, ectomycorrhiza, with or without arbuscular mycorrhiza; ERM, ericoid mycorrhiza; NM, nonmycorrhiza and mycoheterotrophy.

Data analysis

The data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance using SPSS version 11.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). All foliar traits were normalised by logarithm transformation prior to statistical analysis. Group means for the various foliar traits were compared by *t*-test for 2-group tests or by multiple comparison tests where more than 2 groups were compared by least significant difference (LSD) at the 5% or 1% level. Bivariate correlation analysis was performed to determine the correlation of foliar traits with mean annual precipitation (MAP) or mean annual temperature (MAT) in different mycorrhizal groups.

Results

ANOVAs revealed that there were significant variations among different mycorrhizal strategy types for foliar LMA, A_{area} , A_{mass} , N_{area} , and N_{mass} . No significant difference in PNUE was observed with the change in mycorrhizal strategies (Table 2). Furthermore, the influence of mycorrhizal types on foliar traits was verified among different functional groups (Table 3). All foliar traits measured were not significantly different between AM and NM+AM in the grass group. As far as the herb group was concerned, mycorrhizal types affected leaf N_{mass} and A_{masc} , with no such effect on LAM, A_{area} , N_{area} , or

Table 2. The effects of mycorrhizal strategies on foliar traits of plants on the Tibetan Plateau.

Mycorrhiza functional type	LMA	$A_{ m area}$	$A_{ m mass}$	$N_{ m area}$	$N_{ m mass}$	PNUE
AM	$1.87 \pm 0.015 b^{a)}$	1.01 ± 0.023b	2.13 ± 0.021b	$0.28\pm0.014b$	$1.42\pm0.012b$	0.73 ± 0.022a
NM/AM	$1.87\pm0.024\mathrm{b}$	1.13 ± 0.026a	$2.25\pm0.030a$	$0.34\pm0.028a$	1.47 ± 0.021a	$0.80 \pm 0.020a$
ECM/ECM+AM+NM	$1.88 \pm 0.024 b$	1.10 ± 0.051ab	2.23 ± 0.045ab	$0.33 \pm 0.027 ab$	$1.47\pm0.026a$	0.77 ± 0.046a
ERM	2.14 ± 0.088a	$0.96 \pm 0.092c$	1.81 ± 0.085c	0.29 ± 0.014 ab	$1.20 \pm 0.055c$	0.67 ± 0.016a

^{a)} The number is average \pm SE, different letters following the number mean significantly different at the 5% level.

Table 3. The effects of mycorrhizal strategies on foliar traits of plants in different functional groups on the Tibetan Plateau.

Plant functional groups	Mycorrhiza functional type	LMA	$A_{ m area}$	$A_{ m mass}$	$N_{ m area}$	$N_{ m mass}$	PNUE
	AM	$1.81 \pm 0.017 a^{a)}$	0.91 ± 0.044a	$2.09\pm0.040a$	0.25 ± 0.021a	1.43 ± 0.014a	0.67 ± 0.040a
Grass	NM+AM	$1.88\pm0.064a$	$1.04\pm0.078a$	$2.17\pm0.044a$	$0.31\pm0.083a$	$1.43\pm0.028a$	$0.78\pm0.060a$
	ECM+AM+NM	1.68	1.03	2.33	0.27	1.59	0.76
Herb	AM	1.87 ± 0.033a	$1.09\pm0.038a$	$2.19\pm0.040b$	$0.30\pm0.025a$	$1.43 \pm 0.028b$	$0.79\pm0.037a$
	NM+AM	$1.85\pm0.024a$	1.17 ± 0.021a	2.31 ± 0.029a	$0.36 \pm 0.023a$	1.50 ± 0.025ab	$0.81\pm0.022a$
	ECM+AM	1.81 ± 0.015a	1.06 ± 0.101a	$2.24\pm0.110b$	$0.33\pm0.034a$	1.51 ± 0.027a	$0.73\pm0.098a$
Shrub	AM	1.92 ± 0.026b	1.05 ± 0.021a	2.12 ± 0.023ab	0.30 ± 0.030a	1.38 ± 0.021ab	0.76 ± 0.030a
	NM+AM	2.00 ± 0.032ab	0.94 ± 0.019a	1.93 ± 0.051c	$0.24\pm0.018a$	1.24 ± 0.014bc	$0.70\pm0.037a$
	ECM	$1.90\pm0.024b$	1.13 ± 0.070a	2.21 ± 0.051a	0.35 ± 0.041 a	1.44 ± 0.036a	$0.79\pm0.060a$
	ERM	$2.14\pm0.088a$	0.96 ± 0.092a	$1.81\pm0.085c$	$0.30\pm0.014a$	$1.20\pm0.055c$	$0.67\pm0.087a$

^{a)} The number is average \pm SE, different letters following the number mean significantly different at 5% level.

PNUE. In the shrub functional group, in contrast, mycorrhizal types had an impact on foliar LMA, $A_{\rm mass}$, and $N_{\rm mass}$, but no significant influence on $A_{\rm area}$, $N_{\rm area}$, or PNUE.

The influence of mycorrhizal strategies on the responses of foliar traits to precipitations and temperature is given in Tables 4 and 5. Among all parameters, PNUE showed a negative correlation with MAP in the mycorrhizal type of ERM (Table 4). The index of LMA, A_{area} and N_{area} of AM, N_{area} of NM/ AM, and PNUE of ERM were significantly affected by MAT, showing various correlations (Table 4). The response of different mycorrhizal types to MAP and MAT varied among plant functional groups. In the grass group, significant influences of MAP and MAT on foliar traits were observed in AM rather than in the NM/AM group. MAP was not significantly correlated with any index in either the herb or shrub groups. A positive relationship was observed between LMA and A_{area} of the AM group, and N_{mass} of NM/AM and MAT, respectively (Table 5). When compared with the grass and herb groups, the shrub group showed a positive relationship between A_{area} and A_{mass} of the ECM group and MAT, but no significant correlation between any traits of the AM group and MAP or MAT (Table 5).

Table 4. Foliar traits in relation to mean annual precipitation (MAP) and mean annual temperature (MAT) in different mycorrhizal strategies.

Mycorrhiza functional type	LMA	$A_{_{ m area}}$	$A_{\rm mass}$	$N_{_{ m area}}$	$N_{ m mass}$	PNUE
AM	—/+**a)	—/+*	—/+	—/+**	—/+	+/+
NM/AM	—/+	+/+	—/+	—/+*	—/+	—/—
ECM/ECM+AM	—/+	—/+	—/+	+/+	+/	—/+
ERM	—/—	—/—	—/—	—/—	+/+	_*/*

^{a)} The minus (—) or the plus (+) symbol refers to the negative or positive relationship between foliar traits and MAP (in numerator position), or MAT (in denominator position), respectively.

* and ** mean significant correlation at the 5% and 1% level, respectively.

Table 5. Foliar traits in relation to mean annual precipitation (MAP) and mean annual temperature (MAT) in different mycorrhizal strategies in each functional group.

Plant functional groups	Mycorrhizal functional type	LMA	$A_{_{ m area}}$	$A_{_{ m mass}}$	$N_{_{ m area}}$	$N_{_{ m mass}}$	PNUE
Grass	AM	—/+	—**/+	_*/	—*/+*	—/+	—/—
	NM/AM	—/+	+/+	+/	—/+	—/+	+/
Herb	AM	—/+*	+/+*	—/+	—/+	+/	—/+
	NM/AM	+/	—/+	—/+	+/+	—/+ [*]	—/+
	ECM/AM+ECM	+/	—/+	—/+	+/	+/+	—/+
Shrub	AM	—/+	—/+	+/+	—/+	—/+	+/
	ECM	—/+	—/+**	—/+**	+/+	+/	—/+

^{a)} The minus (—) or the plus (+) symbol refers to the negative or positive relationship between foliar traits and MAP (in numerator position), or MAT (in denominator position), respectively.

* and ** mean significant correlation at the 5% and 1% level, respectively.

Discussion

The important function of mycorhizal strategy in ecosystems has trigged interest in discussing further the effects of mycorrhizal association on ecosystems (Zhu & Miller, 2003; Vargas et al., 2010). It is well known that mycorrhizas influence nutrient cycling. Furthermore, the detailed mechanisms of mycorrhizal roles in nutrient cycling have partly been elucidated by major experimental efforts in mycorrhizal research. Previous studies have shown that mycorrhizal strategies influenced foliar traits such as foliar N and P (Cornelissen et al., 2001) and foliar d¹⁵N (Craine et al., 2009) at ecosystem or biome level. Therefore, mycorrhizal symbiosis plays an important role in foliar traits.

Many researchers have shown that different mycorrhizal types involving different fungal taxa promote plant uptake of nutrients from different sources (Cornelissen et al., 2001). For example, ECM and ERM are suitable for stimulating plants to utilize complex organic N sources (Read, 1991), whilst AM plants tend to take up inorganic P efficiently (Smith & Read, 2008). Consequently, mycorrhizal types regulate plant growth rate and foliar nutrition properties differently (Cornelissen et al., 2001). Our results were consistent with previous observations indicating various impacts of different mycorrhizal types on foliar traits. LMA in the ERM group was higher than that in other groups due to the enhancement of ERM mycorrhizal type on plant LMA and the inherent high LMA in shrub species. Foliar A_{area} , A_{mass} , and N_{mass} showed general agreement with the variation of mycorrhizal types, supporting the findings of Cornelissen et al. (2001). The possible reason for the low levels of A_{area} , A_{mass} , and N_{mass} in the ERM group may be slow growing plant species with low nutrient concentration in the leaves (Cornelissen et al., 2001). In addition, N_{mass} , N_{area} , A_{area} , and A_{mass} in the NM/AM group had higher values than in the AM group. There may be connection with AM function that AM mostly contributed to the acquisition of P rather than N (Smith & Read, 2008). The A_{area} and $A_{\rm mass}$ in the NM/AM group were also high, which may be caused by high N_{area} or N_{mass} since N is closely related to photosynthetic capacity. However, each observed foliar trait was not significantly different between the ECM/ECM+AM+NM and AM groups, or between the ECM/ECM+AM+NM and NM/AM groups. Furthermore, the values of $N_{\rm mass}$, $N_{\rm area}$, $A_{\rm area}$, and $A_{\rm mass}$ in the AM group were lower than those in the NM/AM group. These results were in contrast to those of previous studies (Cornelissen et al., 2001; Parádi et al., 2003; Gamper et al., 2005; Baum et al., 2009). It is anticipated that some plant species in the NM/AM group could have developed mycorrhizal associations on the Tibetan Plateau and/or these plants could have other survival strategies under this particular ecosystem.

The influence of mycorrhizal types on foliar traits varied among plant functional groups. Mycorrhizal strategies did not significantly influence foliar traits in the functional group of grass. This result requires further investigation in terms of the small number of plant species sampled. High N_{mass} in the ECM+AM group among herbs may result from the difference of N uptake between ECM and AM (Read, 1991; Schulze, 1994; Chapin, 1995; Michelsen et al., 1998; Näsholm et al., 1998). This was also the case for the ECM group of shrub plants. The NM+AM group had the highest A_{mass} , confirming that the plant photosynthetic capacity was not correlated to leaf N concentration. Variation in inherent characteristics among plant species may explain the high LMA values observed for the ERM group among shrubs. Mycorrhizal strategy had no significant influence on N concentration, whether we analysed the data on an integrative scale or functional group scale. The N concentration could be sufficient so that there would be no limitation on the process of photosynthesis.

Previous studies analysed the influence of MAP or MAT on a number of foliar traits (He et al., 2006). To the best of our understanding, this study was the first attempt to compare the effects of MAP or MAT on foliar traits based on their mycorrhizal types. He et al. (2006) showed that the effect of MAT was significant for LMA, N_{area} , and A_{area} , but not for A_{mass} ; the effect of MAP was not significant for any observed traits when mycorrhizal roles were ignored. That study, however, identified different patterns of influence of MAT or MAP on foliar traits when taking mycorrhizal associations into account (He et al., 2006). The significance of mycorrhizal roles on plant adaptive strategy is emphasised (Marjanovic et al., 2005; Mukhin & Betekhtina, 2006).

The finding of the different responses of foliar traits to precipitation and temperature related to mycorrhizal strategies enhances our understanding of plant responses to global climate changes and mycorrhizal ecology. The plant community or ecosystem would response to climate changes differently when the role of mycorrhizas is concerned. Vargas et al. (2010) indicated that ecosystem CO₂ fluxes of vegetation types predominated by AM and ECM were differentially influenced by precipitation and temperature. Moreover, in terrestrial ecosystems, symbiotic associations between plant roots and mycorrhizal fungi are near ubiquitous, with 90% of all plant species forming mycorrhizas (Smith & Read, 2008). The symbiosis is well known with its function as an exchange of plant carbohydrate for fungal P; mycorrhizal colonization has also been shown to increase N acquisition (Perez-Moreno & Read, 2001) and improve the plant's resistance to drought and pathogen (Smith & Read, 2008). Clearly, considerable research effort is required in the future to allow

References

- Aber JD, Reich PB & Goulden ML (1996). Extrapolating leaf CO_2 exchange to the canopy: a generalized model of forest photosynthesis compared with measurements by eddy correlation. *Oecologia* 106: 257-265.
- Ackerly DD (2004). Functional strategies of chaparral shrubs in relation to seasonal water deficit and disturbance. *Ecological Monographs* 74: 25-44.
- Aerts R & Chapin FS III (2000). The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: a re-evaluation of processes and patterns. *Advances in Ecological Research* 30: 1-67.
- Arabaci T, Dirmenci T & Celep F (2010). Morphological character analysis in Turkish Micromeria Benth. (Lamiaceae) species with a numerical taxonomic study. *Turkish Journal of Botany* 34: 379-389.
- Baum C, Toljander Y K, Eckhardt KU & Weih M (2009). The significance of host-fungus combinations in ectomycorrhizal symbioses for the chemical quality of willow foliage. *Plant and Soil* 323: 213-224.
- Baldocchi DD & Harley PC (1995). Scaling carbon-dioxide and water-vapor exchange from leaf to canopy in a deciduous forest.
 II. Model testing and application. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 18: 1157-1173.
- Berendse F (1994). Litter decomposability a neglected component of plant fitness. *Journal of Ecology* 82: 87-190.

fully quantitative investigation of the function of mycorrhizal associations on a global ecosystem scale.

Conclusion

Our results provide consistent support for the hypothesis that the mycorrhizal types play important roles in some foliar traits. The responses of foliar characteristics to precipitation and temperature varied under different mycorrhizal strategies.

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 40971150), and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (20090450004, 20103018), the Science Foundation Fostering Innovative Ability (2009CZ0006), Scientific Research Fund (2007ZY06), and Doctoral Foundation (No. 06-34) of Henan University of Science and Technology.

- Brundrett M (1991). Mycorrhizas in natural ecosystems. *Advances in Ecological Research* 21: 171-313.
- Chapin FS III (1995). New cog in the nitrogen cycle. *Nature* 377: 199-200.
- Chapin FS III, Walker BH, Hobbs RJ, Hooper DU, Lawton JH, Sala OE & Tilman D (1997). Biotic control over the functioning of ecosystems. *Science* 277: 500-504.
- Cornelissen JHC, Aerts R, Cerabolini B, Werger MJA & Heijden MGA van der (2001). Carbon cycling traits of plant species are linked with mycorrhizal strategy. *Oecologia* 129: 611-619.
- Cornelissen JHC, Pérez-Harguindeguy N, Díaz S, Grime JP, Marzano B, Cabido M, Vendramini F & Cerabolini B (1999). Leaf structure and defence control litter decomposition rate across species and life forms in regional floras on two continents. *New Phytologist* 143: 191-200.
- Craine JM, Elmore AJ, Aidar MPM, Bustamante M, Dawson TE, Hobbie E A, Kahmen A, Mack MC, McLauchlan KK, Michelsen A, Nardoto GB, Pardo LH, Peñuelas J, Reich PB, Schuur EAG, Stock WD, Templer PH, Virginia RA, Welker JM & Wright IJ (2009). Global patterns of foliar nitrogen isotopes and their relationships with climate, mycorrhizal fungi, foliar nutrient concentrations, and nitrogen availability. *New Phytologist* 183: 980-992.
- Díaz S & Cabido M (1997). Plant functional types and ecosystem function in relation to global change. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 8: 463-474.

- Ehleringer JR & Field CB (1993). *Scaling physiological processes: leaf to globe*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Evans JR (1989). Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C₃ plants. *Oecologia* 78: 9-19.
- Field C & Mooney HA (1986). The photosynthesis–nitrogen relationship in wild plants. In: Givnish TJ (ed.) *On the economy of plant form and function*, pp. 25-55. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fitter AH (1990). The role and ecological significance of vesiculararbuscular mycorrhizas in temperate ecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 29: 257-265.
- Gamper H, Hartwig UA & Leuchtmann A (2005). Mycorrhizas improve nitrogen nutrition of *Trifolium repens* after 8 yr of selection under elevated atmospheric CO_2 partial pressure. *New Phytologist* 167: 531-542.
- Grime JP, Mackey JML, Hillier SH & Read DJ (1987). Floristic diversity in a model system using experimental microcosms. *Nature* 328: 420-422.
- Grime JP, Thompson K, Hunt R, Hodgson JG, Cornelissen JHC, Rorison IH, Hendry GAF, Ashenden TW, Askew AP, Band SR, Booth RE, Bossard CC, Campbell BD, Cooper JEL, Davison AW, Gupta PL, Hall W, Hand DW, Hannah MA, Hillier SH, Hodkinson DJ, Jalili A, Liu Z, Mackey JML, Matthews N, Mowforth MA, Neal AM, Reader RJ, Reiling K, RossFraser W, Spencer RE, Sutton F, Tasker DE, Thorpe PC & Whitehouse J (1997). Integrated screening validates primary axes of specialization in plants. *Oikos* 79: 259-281.
- Güvenç A, Hürkul MM & Erdem A (2011). The leaf anatomy of naturally distributed *Juniperus* L. (Cupressaceae) species in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Botany* 35: 251-260.
- Harley JL & Harley EL (1987a). A check-list of mycorrhiza in the British flora. *New Phytologist* 105: 1-102.
- Harley JL & Harley EL (1987b). A check-list of mycorrhiza in the British flora - addenda, errata and index. New Phytologist 107: 741-749.
- Harley JL & Harley EL (1990). A check-list of mycorrhiza in the British flora - second addenda and errata. *New Phytologist* 115: 699-711.
- Harley PC & Baldocchi DD (1995). Scaling carbon-dioxide and water-vapor exchange from leaf to canopy in a deciduous forest.
 1. Leaf model parameterization. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 18: 1146-1156.
- He JS, Wang ZH, Wang XP, Schmid B, Zuo WY, Zhou M, Zheng CY, Wang MF & Fnag JY (2006). A test of the generality of leaf trait relationships on the Tibetan Plateau. *New Phytologist* 170: 835-848.
- Heijden MGA van der, Boller T, Wiemken TA & Sanders IR (1998a). Different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal species are potential determinants of plant community structure. *Ecology* 79: 2082-2091.

- Heijden MGA van der, Klironomos JN, Ursic M, Moutoglis P, Streitwolf-Engel R, Boller T, Wiemken TA & Sanders IR (1998b). Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. *Nature* 396: 69-72.
- Hobbie SE (1992). Effects of plant species on nutrient cycling. *Trends* in Ecology & Evolution 7: 336-339.
- Kielland K (1994). Amino acid absorption by arctic plants: implications for plant nutrition and nitrogen cycling. *Ecology* 75: 2373-2383.
- Körner C (1995). Leaf diffusive conductances in the major vegetation types of the globe. In: Schulze ED & Caldwell MM (eds.) *Ecophysiology of Photosynthesis*, pp. 463-490. Berlin: Springer-Verlag Press.
- Larocque GR (2002). Coupling a detailed photosynthetic model with foliage distribution and light attenuation functions to compute daily gross photosynthesis in sugar maple (*Acer saccharum* Marsh.) stands. *Ecological Modelling* 148: 213-232.
- Marjanovic Z, Uwe N & Hampp R (2005). Mycorrhiza formation enhances adaptive response of hybrid poplar to drought. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1048: 496-499.
- Mavi DO, Dogan M & Cabi E (2011). Leaf anatomy of *Agropyron* Gaertn. (Gramineae) in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Botany* 35: 527-534.
- Michelsen A, Quarmby C, Sleep D & Jonasson S (1998). Vascular plant 15N natural abundance in heath and forest tundra ecosystems is closely correlated with presence and type of mycorrhizal fungi in roots. *Oecologia* 115: 406-418.
- Michelsen A, Schmidt I K, Jonasson S, Quarmby C & Sleep D (1996). Leaf ¹⁵N abundance of subarctic plants provides field evidence that ericoid, ectomycorrhizal and non- and arbuscular mycorrhizal species access different sources of soil nitrogen. *Oecologia* 105: 53-63.
- Mooney HA, Canadell J, Chapin FSI, Ehleringer JR, Körner C, McMurtrie RE, Parton WJ, Pitelka LF & Schulze ED (1999). Ecosystem physiology responses to global change. In: Walker B, Steffen W, Canadell J & Ingram J (eds.) *The terrestrial biosphere and global change*, pp. 141-189. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mukhin VA & Betekhtina AA (2006). Adaptive significance of endomycorrhizas for herbaceous plants. *Russian Journal of Ecology* 37: 1-6.
- Näsholm T, Ekblad A, Nordin A, Giesler R, Högberg M & Högberg P (1998). Boreal forest plants take up organic nitrogen. *Nature* 392: 914-916.
- Newsham, KK, Fitter AH & Watkinson AR (1995). Multifunctionality and biodiversity in arbuscular mycorrhizas. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 10: 407-411.
- Niinemets U (2001). Global-scale climatic controls of leaf dry mass per area, density, and thickness in trees and shrubs. *Ecology* 82: 453-469.

- Norby RJ & Luo Y (2004). Evaluating ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric CO₂ and global warming in a multi-factor world. *New Phytologist* 162: 281-293.
- Northup RR, Yu Z, Dahlgren RA & Vogt KA (1995). Polyphenol control of nitrogen release from pine litter. *Nature* 377: 227-229.
- Parádi I, Bratek Z & Lang F (2003) Influence of arbuscular mycorrhiza and phosphorus supply on polymine content, growth and photosynthesis of *Plantago lanceolata*. *Biologia Plantarum* 46: 563-569.
- Pastor J, Aber JD, McClaugherty CA & Melillo JM (1984). Aboveground productivity and N and P cycling along a nitrogen mineralization gradient on Blackhawk Island, Wisconsin. *Ecology* 65: 256-268.
- Perez-Moreno J & Read DJ (2001). Exploitation of pollen by mycorrhizal mycelial systems with special reference to nutrient recycling in boreal forests. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 268: 1329-1335.
- Peterson AG, Ball JT, Luo YQ, Field CB, Reich PB, Curtis PS, Griffin KL, Gunderson CA, Norby RJ, Tissue DT, Forstreuter M, Rey A & Vogel CS (1999). The photosynthesis-leaf nitrogen relationship at ambient and elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* 5: 331-346.
- Read DJ (1991). Mycorrhizas in ecosystems. Experientia 47: 376-391.
- Reich PB, Walters MB, Ellsworth DS & Uhl C (1994). Photosynthesisnitrogen relations in Amazonian tree species. 1. Patterns among species and communities. *Oecologia* 97: 72-62.
- Reich PB, Walters MB & Ellsworth DS (1992). Leaf life-span in relation to leaf, plant, and stand characteristics among diverse ecosystems. *Ecological Monographs* 62: 365-392.
- Reich PB, Walters MB & Ellsworth DS (1997). From tropics to tundra: global convergence in plant functioning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 94: 13730-13734.
- Rygiewicz PT & Andersen CP (1994). Mycorrhizae alter quality and quantity of carbon allocated below ground. *Nature* 369:58-60.
- Schulze ED (1994). Relationships among maximum stomatal conductance, ecosystem surface conductance, carbon assimilation rate and plant nitrogen nutrition: a global ecology scaling exercise. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 25: 629-660.
- Smith SE & Read DJ (2008). *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*, Third Edition. London: Elsevier Ltd.
- Taiz L & Zeiger E (1998). *Plant physiology*. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates Inc.
- Tilman, D, Knops J, Wedin JD, Reich PB, Ritchie M & Siemann E (1997). The influence of functional diversity and composition on ecosystem processes. *Science* 277: 494-499.

- van Breemen N (1993). Soils as biotic constructs favouring net primary productivity. *Geoderma* 57: 183-211.
- Vargas R, Baldocchi DD, Querejeta JI⊠Curtis PS, Hasselquist NJ, Janssens IA, Allen MF & Montagnani L (2010). Ecosystem CO₂ fluxes of arbuscular and ectomycorrhizal dominated vegetation types are differentially influenced by precipitation and temperature. New Phytologist 185: 226-236.
- Wang B & Qiu YL (2006). Phylogenetic distribution and evolution of mycorrhizas in land plants. *Mycorrhiza* 16: 299-363.
- Wang FY & Shi ZY (2008). Biodiversity of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in China: a Review. Advances in Environmental Biology 2: 31-39.
- Wardle DA, Barker GM, Bonner KI & Nicholson KS (1998). Can comparative approaches based on plant ecophysiological traits predict the nature of biotic interactions and individual plant species effects in ecosystems? *Journal of Ecology* 86: 405-420.
- Wardle DA, Bonner KI & Nicholson KS (1997). Biodiversity and plant litter: experimental evidence which does not support the view that enhanced species richness improves ecosystem function. *Oikos* 79: 247-258.
- Westoby M, Falster DS, Moles AT, Vesk PA & Wright IJ (2002). Plant ecological strategies: some leading dimensions of variation between species. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 33: 125-159.
- Williams M, Rastetter EB, Fernandes DN, Goulden ML, Shaver GR & Johnson LC (1997). Predicting gross primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecological Applications* 7: 882-894.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Cornelissen JHC, Falster DS, Garnier E, Hikosaka K, Lamont BB, Lee W, Oleksyn J, Osada N, Poorter H, Villar R, Warton DI & Westoby M (2005). Assessing the generality of global leaf trait relationships. *New Phytologist* 166: 485-496.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M, Ackerly DD, Baruch Z, Bongers F, Cavender-Bares J, Chapin T, Cornelissen JHC, Diemer M, Flexas J, Garnier E, Groom PK, Gulias J, Hikosaka K, Lamont BB, Lee T, Lee WJ, Lusk C, Midgley JJ, Navas M-L, Niinemets U, Oleksyn J, Osada N, Poorter H, Poot P, Prior L, Pyankov VI, Roumet C, Thomas SC, Tjoelker MG, Veneklaas EJ & Villar R (2004). The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature* 428: 821-827.
- Wright IJ & Westoby M (2002). Leaves at low versus high rainfall: coordination of structure, lifespan and physiology. *New Phytologist* 155: 403-416.
- Zhu YG & Miller RM (2003). Carbon cycling by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil-plant systems. *Trends in Plant Science* 8: 407-409.