

Turkish Journal of Botany Turk J Bot

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/botany/

(2016) 40: 121-129 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/bot-1501-41

Research Article

Assessment of resistance gene analog, peroxidase, and WRKY gene polymorphisms in the genus *Lens* **Miller**

Duygu SARI¹, Nedim MUTLU², Cengiz TOKER^{1,}*
¹ Department of Field Crope, Fearly of Agriculture, Aledenia University

¹Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey 2 Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey

Abstract: Resistance gene analogs (RGAs), peroxidases (POXs), and WRKY transcription factors are gene families related to stressresponse pathways that regulate enhancement of stress tolerance in crop plants. In this study, the polymorphisms of these gene families were determined in the genus *Lens* Miller and *Vicia montbretii* (Fish. & Mey) Davis and Plitmann. A total of 32 genotypes of 7 lentil taxa, including 1 accession of cultivated lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik. subsp. *culinaris*), 11 accessions of *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* (Boiss.) Ponert, 3 accessions of *L. culinaris* subsp. *tomentosus* Ladiz., 4 accessions of *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* Ladiz., 3 accessions of *L. ervoides* (Brign.) Grande, 4 accessions of *L. lamottei* Czefr., 4 accessions of *L. nigricans* (M.Bieb.) Godr., and 2 accessions of *V. montbretii*, were used. Sixty-eight RGA, 22 POX, and 13 WRKY primers were used for molecular analysis. After using these degenerate primers in polymerase chain reaction, the highest polymorphism rate (90%) was observed in WRKY, followed by POX (89%) and RGA (79%). *Lens culinaris* Medik. subsp. *culinaris* had the lowest number of RGA and POX bands. Most RGA markers were obtained from *L. culinaris* subsp. *tomentosus*, *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis*, *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans*. Similarly, the number of WRKY markers was the highest in *L. nigricans* and *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis*. *Lens nigricans* had the highest number of POX markers among lentils. The highest numbers of RGA, POX, and WRKY markers in *L. nigricans* and *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* were in agreement with resistance for biotic and abiotic stresses, as these two taxa in the genus *Lens* Miller were resistant to many stresses. These results indicate that primers related to RGA, POX, and WRKY gene families may be considered potential markers for developing stress-related resistance genotypes.

Key words: Biotic and abiotic stress, disease resistance, lentil, molecular markers, polymorphism

1. Introduction

Many biotic and abiotic stress factors, such as cold, drought, salinity, diseases, and insects, adversely affect plant growth and productivity worldwide (Ujagir et al., 2009). Under these stress conditions, plants activate their defense mechanisms to provide resistance (Sharma and Muehlbauer, 2007). These mechanisms are often initiated by the presence of resistance (R) genes in the plants (Mutlu et al., 2006). Resistance gene analogs (RGAs), which are associated with R genes, are tightly linked to plant–pathogen interactions (Mutlu et al., 2006). Other gene families, including peroxidases and WRKY transcription factors, are also related to stressresponse pathways that regulate enhancement of stress tolerance in crop plants (Century et al., 2008).

Many R genes have been determined in plants to identify resistance against viruses, bacteria, and nematodes. These genes have been sequenced, and results of these sequences indicate that the R genes are represented largely by domains of nucleotide-binding sites

(NBSs) and leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) (Martin et al., 2003). Although R genes exist in varied taxonomic groups, they share conserved domains and structural similarities. NBS-LRR, coiled-coil, extracellular transmembrane, and cytoplasmic serine/threonine kinase catalytic domains are parts of plant R genes (Ellis et al., 2000; Schulze-Lefert and Panstruga, 2011).

Plant peroxidases are heme-containing glycoproteins (Yoshida et al., 2003). Most peroxidase isoenzymes are encoded by multigene families in higher plants. Peroxidases have been characterized as having several physiological functions, such as oxidation of toxic reductants, biosynthesis and degradation of lignin in cell walls (Mader and Fussl, 1982; Lagrimini, 1991), auxin catabolism (Gazaryan and Lagrimini, 1996), and responses to pathogens or insects (Dowd and Lagrimini, 1997). The distal heme-binding domain, the central domain, and the proximal heme-binding domain are highly conserved motifs in plant peroxidases (Hiraga et al., 2001).

^{*} Correspondence: toker@akdeniz.edu.tr

The WRKY genes encode important transcription factors for plants that regulate physiological responses to stress factors, senescence, seed development, and production of secondary metabolites (Kim et al., 2000; Yoda et al., 2002). These genes contain sequences of 60 amino acids, referring to WRKY domain. In this domain, a conserved WRKYGQK sequence is followed by a C_2H_2 or C_2 HC-type of zinc-finger motif. The WRKY domain binds to a DNA sequence designated as the W-box in the promoter of the target gene to regulate gene expression (Eulgem et al., 2000).

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik. subsp. *culinaris*) is a selfpollinating, diploid (2n = 14), annual, cool-season food legume crop (Zohary, 1972; Erskine, 1997). It is one of the important protein sources for human nutrition (Laserna-Ruiz et al., 2012). As in many crops, several abiotic stresses, especially drought, heat, salinity susceptibility, and iron deficiency, affect lentil and play a large role in reducing its seed yield (Saxena, 1993; Bansal et al., 2014). Various biotic stress factors, such as *Ascochyta* blight, anthracnose, *Fusarium* wilt, *Sclerotinia* white mold, rust, and various aphid-transmitted viruses, cause adverse effects during plant growth (Muehlbauer et al., 2006). Breeding for resistant varieties requires screening methodologies and selection for resistant plants, which are often laborious and require extensive knowledge of plant–pathogen interactions (Jayashree et al., 2010). Specific molecular markers related to the genes conferring resistance have great advantages to combat biotic and abiotic stress factors. The conserved motifs in all these domains have allowed, via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using degenerate primers, for amplification of RGA, peroxidase (POX), and WRKY gene families from many plant species. The RGA (Mutlu et al., 2006; Satya et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014), POX (Gulsen et al., 2007, 2010; Ceylan et al., 2014; Nemli et al., 2014; Satya et al., 2014), and WRKY (Borrone et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013) polymorphisms have been used in genetic diversity studies in different plants.

The goal of this study, therefore, was to identify RGA, POX, and WRKY gene families to enhance breeding and resistance studies in lentil. This is the first comprehensive report for which three different gene families were used among *Lens* species.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material

Thirty accessions of *Lens* and two accessions of *Vicia* were used as genetic material in this study (Table 1). Out of *L. culinaris* Medik. subsp. *culinaris*, 29 accessions of wild species were used, comprising 3 of *L. ervoides* (Brign.) Grande, 4 *L. lamottei* Czefr., 4 *L. nigricans* (M.Bieb.) Godr., 4 *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* Ladiz., 11 *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis*

(Boiss.) Ponert, and 3 *L. culinaris* subsp. *tomentosus* Ladiz. Two accessions of *V. montbretii* (Fisch. and Mey.) Davis and Plitmann were used for confirmation, since *Vicia montbretii* was systematically classified as a member of *Lens* Miller before 1982 (Ladizinsky and Sakar, 1982).

2.2. DNA extraction

Total DNA was extracted from fresh leaves with some modifications of the CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle, 1990). Modifications consisted of extra chloroform and isoamyl addition and also ethanol washing in order to obtain higher purity. The concentration and the quality of DNA were tested using 1% agarose gel with a DNA standard. The DNA extracts were suspended in Milli-Q PCR water and stored at –20 °C until use.

2.3. Degenerate primers

Sixty-eight RGA degenerate primers were used in this study. Out of 68, 20 primers were designed by Mutlu et al. (2006) from the highly conserved motifs of Kinase-1a (K) and the hydrophobic domain (HD) of NBS-LRR-type resistance genes. In the PCR reaction, K and HD primers acted as forward and reverse primers, respectively. Other RGA degenerate primers were acquired from the work of Rajesh et al. (2002), using 48 of the available RGA primers and their 24 different combinations.

Twenty-two combinations of 14 forward and 16 reverse peroxidase primers (Gulsen et al., 2007) were handled to target the amplification of peroxidase sequences from genomic DNA for genotypes.

Thirteen WRKY degenerate primers were used, and 8 primers were studied by Kim et al. (2007). One of the primers (WS primer) was designed from nucleotides encoding WRKYG (the WRKY core sequence), and the other primer (G primer) was designed from conserved sequences of each group. The other 5 primers were obtained from the study of Borrone et al. (2004). Two of the 5 primers (WRKY 1 FP and WRKY 2 RP) were selected from the generated list. Three additional primers (WRKY 2 FP, WRKY 3 FP, and WRKY 3 RP) were selected based on the study of Borrone et al. (2004).

2.4. PCR amplification conditions

The PCR analyses were conducted with templates for the PCR reactions set up for 15 µL as follows: 1.5 µL of 10X PCR buffer, 200 μM of each dNTP, 2 mM $MgCl₂$, 10 pM μL^{-1} of the primer pairs, 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas Life Sciences, Burlington, Canada), 1 µL of genomic DNA template, and Milli-Q water to a final volume of 15 µL. Amplification was performed in a programmable thermocycler (MyGenie, Bioneer) under the following conditions: 94 °C for 2 min; 34 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, annealing temperature of 48-57 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 90 s; and a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. The PCR products were separated in 2%–3% agarose gels in

SARI et al. / Turk J Bot

1X TBE buffer and visualized under ultraviolet light after staining with ethidium bromide. The expected bands were determined visually and recorded.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Each band was scored as present (1) or absent (0), and data were analyzed with the NTSYS-pc version 2.1 software package (Exeter Software, Setauket, NY, USA) (Rohlf, 1993). A similarity matrix was constructed based on Dice's coefficient (Dice, 1945), which considers only one-to-one matches between genotypes for similarity.

3. Results

The RGA primers were tested with bulk DNA, and amplicons yielded were identified in all 32 accessions. After this process, polymorphic primer combinations were selected. These combinations are given in Table 2. A total of 103 bands for RGA primers were scored in *Lens* and *Vicia* taxa. Eighty-five (79%) bands were polymorphic. Band sizes ranged from 50-1500 bp (Table 3). Similarity of bands of RGAs ranged from 0.63 to 0.91, averaging 0.77. The LM 637/LM 638 primer combinations had the lowest number of bands with 5, while the S2-INV/AS3-INV combination produced the highest number of bands per amplification (Figure 1). The K03/HD01 primer combination had 7 polymorphic bands out of 10 that ranged from 50 to 1000 bp. Five polymorphic bands were obtained using the K04/ HD01 combination in the 150-950-bp range. The K06/ HD03 primer combination produced 6 bands in the 210- 1400-bp range. One band of 210 bp was monomorphic in all genotypes. All other bands were polymorphic. All of the 12 polymorphic bands were observed with the S2INV/ AS3INV primer combination.

The polymorphisms of the POX marker sexhibited appropriate numbers of different fragments for 22 primer pairs. Primer sizes ranged from 13 (POX9F) to 24 (POX14R), averaging 18.4 bases. The highest number of bands was observed in the 7 primer combinations that were selected. A total of 66 DNA bands, amplified by 7 different oligonucleotide primers, were scored among each accession. Fifty-nine out of 66 (89%) bands were polymorphic (Table 3). The approximate sizes of the bands varied from approximately 150 to 1250 bp. Amplification with the POX 3 FP/RP and POX 5 FP/RP primer combinations yielded the highest number of bands with 11, while the POX 7 primer produced the lowest number of bands with 7 (Figure 1).

Of the 10 possible WRKY primer combinations, only the GI, GII, GIII, and WRKY 2 FP/WRKY 3 RP and WRKY 3 FP/WRKY 3 RP combinations consistently resulted in prominent PCR products. A total of 52 bands were obtained with the primer combinations. Band sizes ranged from 200 to 1450 bp. Forty-seven of the scored bands were polymorphic; the rate of polymorphism was 90% (Table 3). The WRKY 3 FP/WRKY 3 RP combination produced the fewest number of bands, while GI and GII produced the highest numbers of bands per amplification (Figure 1). All of the bands obtained using the GI and WRKY 3 FP/WRKY 3 RP primers were polymorphic.

The RGA, WRKY, and POX primers amplified different numbers of bands for each species (Figure 2). The cultivated species, *L. culinaris* subsp. *culinaris*, produced the least number of bands in agarose gel after RGA and POX analyses. *L. culinaris* subsp. *tomentosus*, *L. lamottei*, and *V. montbretti* produced the highest number of bands using RGA, WRKY, and POX primers, respectively.

Table 2. Polymorphic primers of RGA, POX, and WRKY primers of *Lens* and *Vicia* taxa.

Gene-specific primer	Combination	References
RGA primers	K03/HD01, K04/HD01, K05/HD01, K06/HD02, K06/HD03, K06/ HD06, K07/HD03, K07/HD10, K08/HD03, K10/HD03, LM 637/ LM 638, XLRR F/R, S2-INV/AS3-INV, Xa1-NBS F-R	Rajesh et al., 2002; Mutlu et al., 2006
POX primers	POX 1, POX 2, POX 3, POX 5, POX 7, POX 8c, POX 10	Gulsen et al., 2007
WRKY primers	G1, GII, GIII, WRKY 2/3, WRKY 3/3	Borrone et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2007

Table 3. Comparison of primers for their capacity to generate polymorphisms in *Lens* and *Vicia* taxa.

Figure 1. Agarose gel (2.5%) images of best primer pairs in 1: *L. culinaris* subsp. *culinaris*, 2–5: *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis*, 6–13: *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis*.

4. Discussion

Generation of RGA, POX, and WRKY polymorphisms used a PCR-based approach with degenerate primer combinations that targeted the conserved domains in these gene families. The RGA, POX, and WRKY primers were evaluated in this study. They also were studied by Rajesh et al. (2002) in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), Borrone et al. (2004) in cacao (*Theobroma cacao*), Mutlu et al. (2006) in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), Gulsen et al. (2007) in buffalograss (*Buchloe dactyloides* [Nutt.] Engelm.), and Kim et al. (2007) in chili pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Several primers yielded scorable bands that were used to understand resistance genes among the lentil genetic resources. Eighty-five out of the 103 RGA markers (79%) from *Lens* sp. and *Vicia* sp. were polymorphic. The polymorphism rate was 89% in POX markers and 90% in WRKY markers. Different results previously were reported using gene families in other plants. Only one RGA primer pair (Ptokin1: 5'GCATTGGAACAAGGTGAA-3'; Ptokin2:5' AGGGGGACCACCACGTAG-3') revealed polymorphism in the *Cicer* genotypes studied by Rajesh et al. (2002). Such a low level of polymorphism in *Cicer* has also been observed using various other marker systems (Winter et al., 2000). In our study, we observed no scorable bands with this primer combination, and the polymorphism value was 79%. Toklu et al. (2009), using AFLP for 38 Turkish lentil landraces and 6 commercial lentil cultivars, observed 119 polymorphic bands with a polymorphism value of 56%. Thus, the level of RGA polymorphism was higher compared to AFLP polymorphism. The same POX primers were analyzed in apple collections by Gulsen et al. (2010). All primers used in their study yielded scorable bands, except for POX gene

polymorphism (POGP) 9. Similarly, we also observed no scorable bands with this primer. Fifty-nine out of the 66 POGP markers (89%) from *Lens* sp. and *Vicia* sp. were polymorphic. Zhang et al. (2001) indicated that the POX gene family had the second-lowest sequence conservation among 25 multigene families. Degenerate PCR primer pairs designed to specifically amplify WRKY gene fragments directly from genomic DNA have been reported in cacao (Borrone et al., 2004) and chili pepper (Kim et al., 2007). A number of bands ranging from 250 bp to more than 1.5 kb were observed. These results were consistent with our results.

RGA polymorphism analysis indicated that similarity ranged from 0.63 to 0.91, averaging 0.77 among the 32 accessions. The results showed significant diversity among the wild and cultivated types of plants used in this study. The number of amplified fragments ranged from 5 to 12, with a mean of 11.2 bands per amplification. The

K07HD10 and LM 637/LM 638 primer combinations produced the lowest number of bands, while S2-INV/ AS3-INV produced the highest number per amplification. In the POGP analysis, band size ranged from 150 to 1250 bp. The POGP bands exhibited a similarity range of 0.58- 0.92, averaging 0.75. All of the amplified fragments were polymorphic using POX 7 and POX 10 primers. Results indicating POX markers appear to be useful for identifying polymorphism in lentil.

Turkey is within the diversity center for the genus *Lens* Miller. Although abundant variation exists among species of *Lens*, advances in breeding studies on lentil have not been achieved due to the lack of traits related to stress factors and low genetic diversity in cultivated lentils (Erskine et al., 1994; Erskine, 1997; Ferguson et al., 2000; Duràn and Pérez de la Vega, 2004). The wild species are good sources to combat biotic or abiotic stresses (Table 4) and for many other useful agronomic characters. As

resistance sources, anthracnose resistance in *L. ervoides*, *L. lamottei*, and *L. nigricans* (Tullu et al., 2006); *Ascochyta* blight resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and subsp. *orientalis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (Bayaa et al., 1994; Ahmad et al., 1997; Tullu et al., 2010); *Fusarium* wilt resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* and *L. ervoides* (Bayaa et al., 1994; Gupta and Sharma, 2006); powdery mildew resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* and *L. nigricans* (Gupta and Sharma, 2006); rust resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and subsp. *orientalis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (Gupta and Sharma, 2006); *Stemphylium* blight resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and subsp. *orientalis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans*; drought resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (Hamdi and Erskine, 1996; Gupta and Sharma, 2006); cold tolerance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* (Hamdi et al., 1996); and *Sitona* weevil resistance in *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and subsp. *orientalis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (El-Bouhssini et al., 2008) have been identified. In the present study, a parallelism was found between the number of identified RGA, POX, and WRKY markers and resistance for biotic and abiotic stresses in wild species (Table 4), as wild lentils had more markers than cultivated lentil (Figure 2). Furthermore, *V. montbretii* had more markers than the cultivated lentil (Figure 2). Most RGA markers were obtained from *L. culinaris* subsp. *tomentosus*, followed by *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis*, *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis*, *L. ervoides*, and *L. nigricans* (Figure 2). These 5 taxa were reported as resistance sources (Table 4). Similarly, the number of WRKY markers was the most in *L. nigricans* and *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* (Figure 2). *Lens nigricans* had the most number of POX markers among lentils and *V. montbretii* (Figure 2). As seen in Table 1, *L. nigricans* and *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* had the

most desirable genes responsible for resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Bayaa et al., 1994; Ahmad et al., 1997; Gupta and Sharma, 2006; El-Bouhssini et al., 2008; Tullu et al., 2010). The cultivated lentil had fewer resistance markers for biotic and abiotic stresses compared to wild species (Figure 2), since the cultivated lentils, especially local types, lost most biotic and abiotic resistance genes during domestication. Erskine et al. (1994) reported that indigenous lentils were lacking desirable genes. Therefore, these markers should be evaluated for further crop breeding and selection. Introgression of desirable genes from wild *Lens* taxa to cultivated lentil might help the flow of useful genes into cultivated lentil. However, there has been limited success in transferring biotic and abiotic stress resistance from wild *Lens* to cultivated lentil, mainly because of postzygotic barriers (Muehlbauer et al., 2006). *L. culinaris* subsp. *orientalis* is readily crossable with the cultivated lentil (Ladizinsky et al., 1984), and *L. culinaris* subsp. *odemensis* and both other subspecies of *L. culinaris* crosses produce partially fertile hybrids (Abbo and Ladizinsky, 1991). However, cultivated lentil crossed with *L. ervoides* or *L. nigricans* causes pod abortion (Abbo and Ladizinsky, 1991). Embryo rescue techniques make possible the development of interspecific hybrids between the cultivated lentil and *L. ervoides* and *L. nigricans* (Ladizinsky et al., 1985).

Identification of resistance-related genes using wild species is important for developing new markers. The markers obtained in this study were considered to be related to abiotic or biotic stresses. These potential markers should also be taken into consideration to develop stressrelated resistance genotypes via crosses between wild types and cultivars.

References

- [Abbo S, Ladizinsky G \(1991\). Anatomical aspects of hybrid](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/337895) [embryo abortion in the genus](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/337895) *Lens*. Bot Gaz 152: 316-320.
- [Ahmad M, Fautrier AG, McNeil DL \(1997\). Identification and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1003095423132) [genetic characterization of different resistance sources to](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1003095423132) *Ascochyta* [blight within the genus](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1003095423132) *Lens*. Euphytica 97: 311-315.
- [Bansal KC, Lenka SK, Mondal TK \(2014\). Genomic resources for](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12117) [breeding crops with enhanced abiotic stress tolerance. Plant](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12117) [Breed 133: 1-11.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12117)
- [Bayaa B, Erskine W, Hamdi A \(1994\). Response of wild lentil to](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00053049) *Ascochyta fabae* f.sp. *lentis* [from Syria. Genet Resour Crop](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00053049) [Evol 41: 61-65.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00053049)
- Borrone JW, Kuhn DN, Schnell RJ (2004). Isolation, characterization, and development of WRKY genes as useful genetic markers in *Theobroma cacao*. Theor Appl Genet 109: 495-507.
- [Century K, Reuber TL, Ratcliffe OJ \(2008\). Regulating the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.117887) [regulators: the future prospects for transcription-factor](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.117887)[based agricultural biotechnology products. Plant Physiol](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.117887) [147: 20-29.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.117887)
- [Ceylan A, Ocal N, Akbulut M \(2014\). Genetic diversity among](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2014.01.014) [the Turkish common bean cultivars \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2014.01.014)*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) [as assessed by SRAP, POGP, and cpSSR markers. Biochem](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2014.01.014) [Syst Ecol 54: 219-229.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2014.01.014)
- [Dice LR \(1945\). Measures of the amount of ecologic association](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1932409) [between species. Ecology 26: 297-302.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1932409)
- Dowd PF, Lagrimini LM (1997). The role of peroxidase in host insect defenses. In: Carozzi N, Koziel M, editors. Transgenic Plants for Control of Insect Pests. London, UK: Taylor and Francis, pp. 195-223.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1990). A rapid total DNA preparation procedure for fresh plant tissue. Focus 12: 13-15.
- [Duràn Y, Pérez de la Vega M \(2004\). Assessment of genetic](http://dx.doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2004024-110) [variation and species relationships in a collection of](http://dx.doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2004024-110) *Lens* [using RAPD and ISSR. Span J Agric Res 2: 538-544.](http://dx.doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2004024-110)
- [El-Bouhssini M, Sarker A, Erskine W, Joubi A \(2008\). First](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-007-9297-3) [sources of resistance to](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-007-9297-3) *Sitona* weevil (*Sitona crinitus* Herbst) in wild *Lens* [species. Genet Resour Crop Ev 55: 1-4.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-007-9297-3)
- [Ellis J, Dodds P, Pryor T \(2000\). Structure, function, and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(00)00080-7) [evolution of plant disease-resistance genes. Curr Opin](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(00)00080-7) [Plant Biol 3: 278-284.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(00)00080-7)
- [Erskine W \(1997\). Lessons for breeders from landraces of lentil.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1002939704321) [Euphytica 93: 107-112.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1002939704321)
- [Erskine W, Tufail M, Russell A, Tyagi MC, Rahman MM, Saxena](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00027189) [MC \(1994\). Current and future strategies in breeding lentil](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00027189) [for resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. Euphytica 73:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00027189) [127-135.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00027189)
- [Eulgem T, Rushton PJ, Robatzek S, Somssich IE \(2000\). The](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01600-9) [WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01600-9) Trends [Plant Sci 5: 199-206.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01600-9)
- [Ferguson ME, Maxed N, Slageren MV, Robertson LD \(2000\). A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2000.tb01536.x) [re-assessment of the taxonomy of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2000.tb01536.x) *Lens* Mill. (*Leguminosae*, *Papilionoideae*, *Vicieae*[\). Bot J Linn Soc 133: 41-59.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2000.tb01536.x)
- [Gazaryan IG, Lagrimini LM \(1996\). Tobacco anionic peroxidase](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(96)00096-9) [overexpressed in transgenic plants: aerobic oxidation of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(96)00096-9) [indole-3-acetic acid. Phytochem 42: 1271-1278.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(96)00096-9)
- [Gulsen O, Kaymak S, Ozongun S, Uzun A \(2010\). Genetic](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2010.04.023) [analysis of Turkish apple germplasm using peroxidase](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2010.04.023)[gene-based markers. Sci Hortic 125: 368-373.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2010.04.023)
- [Gulsen O, Shearman RC, Heng-Moss TM, Mutlu N, Lee DJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0496) [Sarath G \(2007\). Peroxidase gene polymorphism in](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0496) [buffalograss and other grasses. Crop Sci 47: 767-772.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2006.07.0496)
- [Gupta D, Sharma SK \(2006\). Evaluation of wild](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-005-2932-y) *Lens* taxa for [agro-morphological traits, fungal diseases, and moisture](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-005-2932-y) [stress in northwestern Indian Hills. Genet Resour Crop](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-005-2932-y) Evol *[53: 1233](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-005-2932-y)*-1241.
- Hamdi A, Erskine W (1996). Reaction of wild species of the genus *Lens to drought.* Euphytica 91: 173-179.
- [Hamdi A, Kusmenoglu I, Erskine W \(1996\). Sources of winter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00126942) [hardiness in wild lentil. Genet Resour Crop Ev 43: 63-67.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00126942)
- [Hiraga S, Sasaki K, Ito H, Ohashi Y, Matsui H \(2001\). A large](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pce061) [family of class-III plant peroxidases. Plant Cell Physiol 42:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pce061) [462-468.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pce061)
- Jayashree J, Selvi A, Nair NV (2010). Characterization of resistance gene analog polymorphisms in sugarcane cultivars with varying levels of red rot resistance. Electron J Plant Breed 1: 1191-1199.
- [Kim CY, Lee SH, Park HC, Bae CG, Cheong YH, Choi YJ, Han](http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.4.470) [CD, Lee SY, Lim CO, Cho MJ \(2000\). Identification of rice](http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.4.470) [blast fungal elicitor-responsive genes by differential display](http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.4.470) [analysis. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 13: 470-474.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2000.13.4.470)
- Kim HJ, Lee HR, Han JH, Yeom S, Harn CH, Kim BD (2008). Marker production by PCR amplification with primer pairs from conserved sequences of WRKY genes in chili pepper. Mol Cells 25: 196-204.
- [Ladizinsky G, Braun D, Goshen D, Muehlbauer F \(1984\). The](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/337454) [biological species of the genus](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/337454) *Lens*. Bot Gaz 145: 253-261.
- [Ladizinsky G, Cohen D, Muehlbauer FJ \(1985\). Hybridization](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00264489) in the genus *Lens* [by means of embryo culture. Theor Appl](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00264489) [Genet 70: 97-101.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00264489)
- [Ladizinsky G, Sakar D \(1982\). Morphological and cytogenetical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1982.tb02587.x) characterization of *Vicia montbretii* [Fisch. & Mey. \(Synonym:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1982.tb02587.x) *Lens montbretii* [\[Fisch. & Mey.\] Davis &Plitmann\). Botanic](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1982.tb02587.x) [J Linnean Soc 85: 209-212.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1982.tb02587.x)
- [Lagrimini LM \(1991\). Wound-induced deposition of polyphenols](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.96.2.577) [in transgenic plants overexpressing peroxidase. Plant](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.96.2.577) [Physiol 96: 577-583.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.96.2.577)
- [Laserna-Ruiz I, De-Los-Mozos-Pascual M, Santana-Méridas O,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0752-7) [Sánchez-Vioque R, Rodríguez-Conde MF \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0752-7) Screening and selection of lentil (*Lens* [Miller\) germplasm resistant to](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0752-7) [seed bruchids \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0752-7)*Bruchus* spp.). Euphytica *188: 153*-162.
- [Lee HR, Kim KT, Kim HJ, Han JH, Kim JH, Yeom SI, Kim HJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13580-011-0002-2) [Kang WH, Jinxia-Shi, Park SW et al. \(2011\). QTL analysis](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13580-011-0002-2) [of fruit length using rRAMP, WRKY, and AFLP markers in](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13580-011-0002-2) [chili pepper. Hortic Environ Biotechnol 52: 602-661.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13580-011-0002-2)
- [Li T, Guo JE, Li YY, Ning H, Sun X, Zheng CS \(2013\). Genetic](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2013.08.027) [diversity assessment of chrysanthemum germplasm using](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2013.08.027) [conserved DNA-derived polymorphism markers. Sci](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2013.08.027) [Hortic 162: 271-277.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2013.08.027)
- [Mader M, Fussl R \(1982\). Role of peroxidase in lignification of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.70.4.1132) [tobacco cells. Plant Physiol 70: 1132-1134.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.70.4.1132)
- [Muehlbauer FJ, Cho S, Sarker A, McPhee KE, Coyne CJ, Rajesh](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-006-7108-0) [PN, Ford R \(2006\). Application of biotechnology in](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-006-7108-0) [breeding lentil for resistance to biotic and abiotic stress.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-006-7108-0) [Euphytica 147: 149-165.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-006-7108-0)
- [Mutlu N, Miklas PN, Coyne DP \(2006\). Resistance gene analog](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11032-005-4474-6) [polymorphism \(RGAP\) markers co-localize with disease](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11032-005-4474-6)[resistance genes and QTL in common bean. Mol Breed 17:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11032-005-4474-6) [127-135.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11032-005-4474-6)
- [Nemli S, Kaya HB, Tanyolac B \(2014\). Genetic assessment](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6477) [of common bean \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6477)*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) accessions [by peroxidase gene-based markers. J Sci Food Agr 94: 1672-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6477) [1680.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6477)
- [Podder R, Banniza S, Vandenberg A \(2013\). Screening of wild and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1479262112000329) [cultivated lentil germplasm for resistance to](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1479262112000329) *Stemphylium* [blight. Plant Genet Resour 11: 26-35.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1479262112000329)
- Rajesh PN, Tullu A, Gil J, Gupta VS, Ranjekar PK, Muehlbauer FJ (2002). Identification of an STMS marker for the doublepodding gene in chickpea. Theor Appl Genet 105: 604-607.
- Rohlf FJ (1993). Relative warp analysis and an example of its application to mosquito wings. In: Marcus LF, Bello E, Garcia-Valdecasas A, editors. Contributions to Morphometrics. Madrid, Spain: Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, pp. 131-159.
- [Satya P, Banerjee R, Biswas C, Karan M, Ghosh S, Ali](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2013.08.0518) [N \(2014\). Genetic analysis of population structure](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2013.08.0518) [using peroxidase gene and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2013.08.0518) [gene-based DNA markers: a case study in jute \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2013.08.0518)*Corchorus* [spp.\). Crop Sci 54: 1609-1620.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2013.08.0518)
- Saxena MC (1993). The challenge of biotic and abiotic stress resistance in cool-season food legumes. In: Singh KB, Saxena MC, editors. Breeding for stress tolerance in coolseason food legumes. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons, pp. 245–270.
- [Schulze-Lefert P, Panstruga R \(2011\). A molecular evolutionary](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.01.001) [concept connecting non-host resistance, pathogen host](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.01.001) [range, and pathogen speciation. Trends Plant Sci 16: 117-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.01.001) [25.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.01.001)
- [Sharma KD, Muehlbauer FJ \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-007-9401-y) *Fusarium* wilt of chickpea: [physiological specialization, genetics of resistance, and](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-007-9401-y) [resistance gene tagging. Euphytica 157: 1-14.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-007-9401-y)
- [Toklu F, Karako T, Hakl E, Bicer T, Brandolini A, Kilian B,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2008.01548.x) [Ozkan H \(2009\). Genetic variation among lentil \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2008.01548.x)*Lens culinaris* [Medik.\) landraces from southeast Turkey. Plant](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2008.01548.x) [Breed 128: 178-186.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2008.01548.x)
- [Tullu A, Banniza S, Tar'an B, Warkentin T, Vandenberg A \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-010-9547-7) Sources of resistance to *Ascochyta* [blight in wild species of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-010-9547-7) lentil (*Lens culinaris* [Medik.\). Genet Resour Crop Ev 57:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-010-9547-7) [1053-1063.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-010-9547-7)
- [Tullu A, Buchwaldt L, Lulsdorf M, Banniza S, Barlow B, Slinkard](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-004-1586-5) [AE, Sarker A, Tar'an TD, Warkentin TD, Vanderberg A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-004-1586-5) [\(2006\). Sources of resistance to anthracnose \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-004-1586-5)*Colletotrichum truncatum*) in wild *Lens* [species. Genet Resour Crop Ev 53:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-004-1586-5) [111-119.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10722-004-1586-5)
- Ujagir R, Byrne OM (2009). Insect pests and their management. In: Erskine W, Muehlbauer FJ, Sarker A, Sharma B, editors. The Lentil: Botany, Production and Uses. Wallingford, UK: CAB International, pp. 282-305.
- [Wang XL, Xu L, Song ZH, Zhu XW, Wang Y, Wang RH, Gong](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-014-1105-5) [YQ, Limera C, Liu LW \(2014\). Resistance gene analogue](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-014-1105-5) [isolation and RGA-based marker development for](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-014-1105-5) [identifying downy mildew resistance in radish \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-014-1105-5)*Raphanus sativus* [L.\). Euphytica 198: 289-303.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-014-1105-5)
- [Winter P, Benko-Iseppon AM, Huttel B, Ratnaparkhe M, Tullu](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051592) [A, Sonnante G, Pfaff T, Tekeoglu M, Santra D, Sant VJ et al.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051592) [\(2000\). A linkage map of the chickpea \(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051592)*Cicer arietinum* L.) [genome based on the recombinant inbred lines from a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051592) *C. arietinum×C. reticulatum* [cross: localization of resistance](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051592) genes for *Fusarium* [races 4 and 5. Theor Appl Genet 101:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051592) [1155-1163.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001220051592)
- Yoda H, Ogawa M, Yamaguchi Y, Koizumi N, Kusano T, Sano H (2002). Identification of early-responsive genes associated with the hypersensitive response to tobacco mosaic virus and characterization of a WRKY-type transcription factor in tobacco plants. Mol Genet Genomics 267: 154-161.
- [Yoshida K, Kaothien P, Matsui T, Kawaoka A, Shinmyo A \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-002-1157-7) [Molecular biology and application of plant peroxidase](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-002-1157-7) genes. [Appl Microbiol Biotechnol](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-002-1157-7) *60: 665*-670.
- Zhang L, Pond SK, Gaut BS (2001). A survey of the molecular evolutionary dynamics of 25 multigene families from four taxa. J Mol Evol 52: 144-156.
- [Zohary D \(1972\). The wild progenitor and place of origin of the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02860702) cultivated lentil, *Lens culinaris*[. Econ Bot 26: 326-332.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02860702)