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#### Abstract

The present study describes a new species Dorycnium vuralii (Fabaceae) from Çankırı, Türkiye. The new species is compared morphologically to the taxa of sect. Bonjeanea and sect. Dorycnium along with pollen micromorphology, leaflet, and seed micromorphology. The geographical distribution of Dorycnium vuralii is mapped. The phylogenetic relationships between the new species and other closely related species in the genus are inferred based on DNA data from both cpDNA and nrDNA (rbcL1\&ITS). Although phylogenetic implications are different in the two DNA barcoding regions, D. vuralii is shown to be a new species in both molecular data. The new species is assessed as critically endangered (CR) following the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria.
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## 1. Introduction

The genus Dorycnium Miller belongs to the Loteae DC. tribe in the subfamily Papilionoideae within the family Leguminosae. Lotus L., Tetragonolobus Scop., and Dorycnium belong to the same tribe, Loteae, within the family Leguminosae. The genus Dorycnium comprises 12 species distributed mainly in the Mediterranean region and Macaronesia (Slavík, 1995). According to Rikli (1901), the genus is divided into three sections: sect. Dorycnium, sect. Bonjeanea (Rchb.)Taubert, and sect. Canaria Rikli. The first revision of the genus Dorycnium in Türkiye was conducted by Demiriz (1969).

According to Demiriz, 8 taxa grow in Türkiye. Later, Vural (1983) described a new species, named Dorycnium sanguineum, from Türkiye. Today, the genus has 7 species, 3 subspecies, and 2 varieties ( 12 taxa) in Türkiye (Kocabaş, 2014; Kocabaş and İlçim, 2020). Dorycnium is known as "gernevük" in Turkish.

Many Dorycnium species have similar morphological characteristics that make them difficult to distinguish. In such cases, macro- and micromorphological characteristics such as pollen, seed, and phylogeny are frequently used to distinguish taxa (Kocabaş and İlçim 2020; Kramina and Polevova, 2022; Kramina et al. 2021, 2022).

DNA barcoding techniques, or the analysis of standardized DNA markers, have proven useful for this
purpose (Zhang and Jiang, 2020). Kress et al. (2005), Chen et al. (2010), the China Plant BOL Group (2011), and Kress (2017) all detail the widespread usage of these markers in the context of species discrimination and the preservation of threatened species. In particular, the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of nuclear ribosomal DNA (nrDNA) has found widespread application in this context. Additionally, the large subunit of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase ( rbcL ) gene region on chloroplast DNA has also been used recently as a barcode in plants. Studying both cpDNA and nrDNA in one study provides reliable results for phylogenetic studies (China Plant BOL Group, 2011). There are many molecular studies on Dorycnium genus (Kramina et al. 2021, 2022; Kocabaş, 2014). Kocabaş (2014) made a revision study with both morphological and molecular data in his study.

During a field trip near Çankırı in June 2022 some interesting specimens of Dorycnium were collected by Şahin, an author of the present paper. A detailed morphological examination of the collected specimens revealed that they are close to $D$. sect. Bonjeanea and sect. Dorycnium, but they are distinct from both known species of these sections.

This study aims 1) to describe a new species, Dorycnium vuralii, 2) to distinguish micromorphological differences of the new species from sect. Bonjeanea and

[^0]sect. Dorycnium), 3) to determine the phylogenetic relationships of the newly described species Dorycnium vuralii within the genus Dorycnium by using herbarium specimens and Gen Bank data.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Plant materials

Flowering materials of the new species were collected twice during field trips in Çankırı in June and July 2022. All the specimens were identified using the relevant literature (Davis, 1970; Güner et al., 2000; Kocabaş, 2014). They were also compared with the type materials and other representative collections at GAZI and ANK herbaria, and the images of type specimens from E (Appendix 1). The authors followed Brummitt and Powell (1992) and IPNI 2020 (<www.ipni.org>) for the abbreviations of plant names.

Light and scanning electron microscopy were used to examine pollen for clues about its structure. The Wodehouse (1935) method was used to prepare pollen slides for LM. With the use of a Leica DM 500 digital imaging system LM, the following parameters of at least 30 pollen grains were measured and analysed; pollen shape, P: polar axis, E: equatorial axis, ratio P/E, Clg: colpus length; Clt: colpus width, Plg: porus length; Plt: porus width, $\mathrm{Plg} / \mathrm{Plt}$, aperture shape, and operculum membrane ornamentation, apocolpium and mezocolpium, intine and exine measurements and ornamentation type.

Gold particles were coated onto aluminum stubs using a sputter-coater (Çeter et al., 2013) to create SEM images of dried pollen grains, leaflets (adaxial and abaxial), and seeds. Kastamonu University's SEM Laboratory used a Jeol JSM 6490LV to make morphological observations. The shape classification of pollen grains is based on the P/E ratio proposed by Erdtman (1966) and the nomenclature is drawn from Faegri and Iversen (1992), Punt et al. (2007), Hesse et al. (2009), Punt and Hoen (2009), Çeter et al. (2013), and Halbritter et al. (2018).
2.2 Total DNA extractions, PCR amplifications, and phylogenetic analysis
Dorycnium vuralii leaf specimens were collected in the wild to analyze molecular data. Moreover, the herbarium samples of some Dorycnium species native to Türkiye were retrieved from the GAZI herbarium. The extraction of DNA from the complete genome was performed using a plant DNA extraction kit manufactured by MACHEREYNAGEL(NucleoSpin PlantII, MinikitforDNA fromplants). The amplification of the ITS region (ITS1+5.8S+ITS2) and $\mathrm{rbcL}(\mathrm{rbcL} 1)$ was conducted using the primer pairs specified by Hsiao et al. (1995) and Savolainen et al. (2000), respectively. In a total volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{PCR}$ amplifications were performed with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 5 Hot FirePol Blend PCR Mix (Solis Biodyne) ( 12.5 mM MgCl 2 ), $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each
primer pair, $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of template DNA , and $15.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of water. PCR reactions were performed using SuperCyclerTM (Kyratec) with the following optimized cycling parameters: 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for initial denaturation, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for template denaturation, 30 s at $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the primers of ITS and rbcL regions, respectively, for annealing, 90 s at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for extension, and 10 min at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for final extension. BM Labosis Company (Ankara) purified and sequenced the materials after they were checked on an agarose gel (2\%). Finch Tv software version 1.4.0 (Patterson et al., 2004), developed by the Geopiza Research Team, was used to validate the acquired sequences prior to analysis. The sequences were aligned using the multiple sequence comparison by log expectation (MUSCLE) tools in molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) version 11 software (Tamura et al. 2021). In addition, the optimal replacement model for reconstructing the species' phylogeny was identified using MEGA. To analyze the sequences, the computer recommended the general time reversible (GTR) (Nei and Kumar 2000) model with Gamma distribution, and the phylogenetic tree was constructed using the maximum likelihood approach based on the GTR+G model and bootstrap test analysis. The Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees (BEAST) software package was employed in this study, utilizing the GTR+G substitution model with uniform rates for data partitions. The Yule tree prior was applied, and a starting tree was randomly generated with 10,000,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) runs. Additionally, the raxmlGUI 2.0 program was utilized, implementing the GTR+fast bootstrap values method, to validate and ensure the reliability of the obtained results. The phylogenetic complex trees were then condensed and merged utilizing the Tree Annotator software, employing a posterior probability threshold of 1 (Drummond et al., 2012; Bouckaert et al., 2019). The visualization of the trees was accomplished using Fig Tree V 1.4.4. The phylogenetic trees generated by the MEGA and BEAST software separately with same model $(G T R+G)$ and the bootstrap and posterior probability values were added on one tree manually for subjecting to a comparative and integrative analysis. The sequences of Dorycnium species, as well as selected species from allied genera such as Lotus and Ornithopus from the family Fabaceae, were obtained from the NCBI database. These sequences were included with the samples used in the study to offer an evolutionary context (Appendix 2).

Additionally, the Splits Tree 4 (v 4.19.0) program was used to indicate the clusteral network analysis for Dorycnium species based on both ITS and rbcL regions. GTR parameters were used for the analysis of the clusteral network, and the networks were drawn separately for each region.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Taxonomy

Dorycnium vuralii Karaman, B.Şahin \& Aytaç sp. nov. (Figure 1,2\&3)

## Diagnosis

Dorycnium vuralii is similar to $D$. hirsutum (L.) Ser. but it is distinguished by having stem $10-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ long (versus 30-65 cm ), leaves composed of 5 leaflets (versus 5-7); internodes $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ long (versus $1.5-5.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ ); inflorescence with 3-6 flowers (versus 6-11 flowers); calyx $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long (versus $6-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); legume valves contorting at maturity (versus not contorting at maturity). Also, D. vuralii is similar to D. rectum (L.) Ser. but it is distinguished by having a stem $10-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ long (versus $35-100 \mathrm{~cm}$ long); leaves composed of 5 leaflets (versus composed of 5-7); internodes 1-2 cm long (versus $2-7 \mathrm{~cm}$.); inflorescence with 3-6 flowers (versus 12-35), peduncle $1-1.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ long (versus $3-13 \mathrm{~cm}$ long); calyx teeth shorter than tube (versus teeth longer than tube), standard white and with purple lines, 4-5 mm long (versus white or pink, 6-7 mm.), legume ovateoblong, $5-7 \times 2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, glabrous, with a beak of $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 1 -seeded (versus linear-cylindrical, $8-14 \times 1.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, beak $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 3-7 seeded).

On the other hand, it is similar to D. graecum (L.) Ser. distinguished from it by having stem $10-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ long (versus $25-90 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), leaves composed of 5 leaflets (versus 5-7), rachis $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long (versus $1-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), leaflets $3-17 \times 1-6$ mm long (versus $10-40 \times 3-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ long), internodes $1-2$ cm long (versus $1,5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), inflorescence with 3-6 flowers (versus with 7-47), peduncle $1-1.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ long (versus $1.5-7$ cm ), calyx $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long (versus $2-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), standard $4-5$ mm long (versus $7-7.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), keel purple (versus white); style 2 mm long (versus ca. 1 mm ); legume ovate oblong, 1 -seeded, opens at maturity (versus oblong-cylindrical to conic, $1-4$-seeded, not open at maturity), seeds oblongreniform (versus ovoid-orbicular).

## Type

Türkiye, Çankırı: Bozkır mountain, Elmalıpınar village, 800-900 m, steppe, 08.06.2022, B. Şahin 8117 (holotype GAZI, isotypes: ANK, HUB).

## Paratype

Türkiye, Çankırı: Bozkır mountain, Elmalıpınar village, 800-900 m, steppe, 10.07.2022, B. Şahin 8151 (GAZI).

## Description

Glaucous, erect, suffrutescent, $10-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ high, with erectopatent branches. Stems and branches with dense appressed to ascending sericeous hairs. Stipules minute, subulate, 1-2 mm , free. Leaves sessile, composed of 5 obovate-oblong leaflets; leaflets 3-17×1-6 mm, mucronate, long spreading to appressed-hairy, caduceus. Inflorescence a fewflowered subcapitate umbel, with 3-6 flowered. Peduncle $1-1.4 \mathrm{~cm}$. Pedicels appressed to ascending sericeous, $8-16$ mm long. Bract $1.5-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. Calyx $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, densely
appressed-pubescent, green; teeth triangular, green, acute, equal, slightly shorter than the calyx tube. Standard white with purple lines, ovate, $4-5 \times 2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, obtuse to slightly emarginate at the apex, glabrous; wings white with purple lines, $3.5-4 \mathrm{~mm}$; keel purple, $3.5-4 \mathrm{~mm}$. Stamen-tube 2-3 mm . Ovary subsessile. Stylus 2 mm , glabrous. Legume ovate-oblong, $5-7 \times 2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, glabrous, with a beak 1.5-2 $\mathrm{mm}, 1$-seeded. Seeds light brown, spotted or not, oblongreniform, $2-3 \times 1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Phenology

Flowering is in June, and fruiting is in July.

## Etymology

This species is named in honor of Prof. Dr. Mecit VURAL, who defined a new species belonging to the genus Dorycnium from Türkiye for the first time and contributed a lot to the flora of Türkiye.

## Suggested Turkish name

Dorycnium is called "gernevük" in Turkish. We propose "Beygernevüğu" as a vernacular name (Kandemir et al., 2016).

## Distribution and habitat

Dorycnium vuralii is currently known only from the type locality in Bozkır mountain, Elmalıpınar village, Çankırı, Türkiye (Figure 4). The area where D. vuralii is found in a place where evaporitic depositional rocks occurring in the tertiary and ophiolitic rocks come together and form a mixture. Clay soils are formed by the accumulation of soils formed on the rocks on the slopes by erosion. This species lives on a slope with heavy textured soil consisting of very dense clay compared to its environment. Microelement analysis was carried out from the soil on this slope since no plants were grown in some parts or sparsely at most. According to the analysis, boron is low in the vegetation part of these soils and high in the bare part, and salinity is the same. Potassium, magnesium, and phosphorus are all found to be high, along with a moderate level of iron, and little nitrogen. pH is neutral or slightly alkaline. In this case, salinity and boron levels are seen as prominent values. While there are no plants in salty and high-boron areas, there is a sparse variety of plants in some areas. Likewise, high clay saturation exists. Under the influence of these limiting factors, a special soil structure with dense clay and salt has developed and covered an area of about 10 ha , unlike the marly-gypsum-calcareous soils around it. D. vuralii is found at the base of about 1 ha of this area with invasive species in places. It has a habitat and ecological environment here in the form of an isolated island. Therefore, the living space is very limited. There are no ancestral species in close proximity with which it can hybridize, transfer, or share genes. Some processes which were created by extreme factors originating from the particular habitat may have probably been effective in the differentiation of this species. Therefore, future studies on the ecological environment would be useful.


Figure 1. Holotype of Dorycnium vuralii sp. nov. (scale bar: 1 cm ).

## Conservation status

Dorycnium vuralii is known from a single location; its extent of occurrence (EOO) and area of occupancy (AOO) are approximately $1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (criterion B1, B2); number of mature individuals are less than 250 (D1); number of locations is only one (a), estimated continuing decline (b) in area of occupancy (ii), quality of habitat (iii), and number of mature individuals (v). Threats such as urbanization, overgrazing, habitat loss because of agricultural activities, and climate change exacerbate the situation. As a result, this new species is assessed as 'Critically Endangered: CR B1ab (ii,iii,v) + B2ab (ii,iii,v)' (IUCN, 2021).

## Distinguishing key of Dorycnium species in Türkiye

1. Calyx with 5 equal teeth; corolla wings free at apex; legume 1-8 seeded, valves contorting or not contorting at maturity (Sect. Bonjeanea).
2. Leaves composed of 5-7 leaflets; inflorescence more than 6 flowered (6-47).
3. Flower 9-16 mm; legume valves not contorting at maturity
.D. hirsutum
4. Flower $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$; legume valves contorting or not contorting at maturity.
5. Rachis 5-11 mm; legume valves contorting at maturity;
6. Rachis $1-3(-5) \mathrm{mm}$; legume valves not contorting at maturity; ..D. graecum 2. Leaves composed of 5 leaflets; inflorescence 3-6 flowered
.D. vuralii
7. The calyx slightly 2 -lipped, 2 upper calyx teeth wider compared to the other 3 lower teeth; corolla wings connected at apex and inflated; legume 1 -seeded, valves not contorting at maturity.
(Sect. Dorycnium)
8. Inflorescences almost sessile, 2-3 flower clusters per leaf axil. ...D.axilliflorum
9. Inflorescences pedunculate and leaf axils with single flower clusters


Figure 2. Dorycnium vuralii A- standard, B- wing, C- keel, D-calyx, E- ovary, F-staminal tube, G- legume valves contorting at maturity, H- legume, I- seed, J- calyx and corolla, K- adaxial surface of leaflet, L- abaxial surface (scale bar: 1 mm ).


Figure 3. Habit of Dorycnium vuralii in its natural habitat. A- general view, B- flower, C- legume (Photographed by B. Şahin).


Figure 4. Distribution map of Dorycnium vuralii (•).
5. Leaflets up to 5 mm wide, lateral inflorescenceslong, pedunculate.
..D. pentaphyllum
5. Leaflets $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide; lateral inflorescences short, pedunculate ,or subsessile
6. Flowers white or bright yellow-white. $\qquad$ D. amani
6. Flowers blood red
..D. sanguineum

### 3.2. Pollen morphology

Pollen grains were observed to be trizonocolporate, radially symmetrical, and isopolar in Dorycnium vuralii. The pollen shape of $D$. vuralii is prolate-spheroidal, spheroidal, or rarely oblate-spheroidal (ratio P/E $1.01 \pm 0.02$ ), polar view (P) 22.72 $\pm 0.93 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, equatorial view (E) $22.41 \pm 1.03 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Colpus length $(\mathrm{Clg}) 14.93 \pm 1.15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and colpus width (Clt) $3.45 \pm 0.44 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Pore prolate-spheroidal (pore length/pore width (Plg/Plt)1 09 $\pm 0.09$ ), pore length $(\mathrm{Plg}) 7.43 \pm 0.77 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and pore width (Plt) $6.73 \pm 1.08 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The aperture is operculate, and the operculum membrane has granulate ornamentation. Apocolpium $11.27 \pm$ $3.58 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, mezocolpium $15.14 \pm 0.81 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Intine $0.68 \pm 0.07 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and exine $0.69 \pm 0.07 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Ornamentation is psilate-perforate (Figure 5).

### 3.3. Leaflet morphology

The leaflets of $D$. vuralii have densely simple hairs at the upper and lower surfaces. Hairs are slender and spiral-like, showing striate-verrucate surface ornamentation. Leaflets are amphistomatic, and the stomata are mesomorphic (Figure 6).

### 3.4. Seed morphology

The seeds of Dorycnium vuralii are light brown, spotted or not, oblong-reniforme, $3.22 \pm 0.32 \times 2.32 \pm 0.24 \mathrm{~mm}$. The surface ornamentation is psilate-verrucate near the hilum microreticulate. The hilum shape is spheroidal, and $0.27 \pm$ $0.04 \times 0.27 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ in size (Figure 7).

### 3.5. Phylogeny

ITS sequences were obtained as a total of 587 bp in length (after exclusion of gap-rich and ambiguous bases) and 84 of them were variable. Moreover, rbcL1 sequences (after exclusion of gap-rich and ambiguous bases) were obtained partially as 570 bp in length, and 11 of them were variable. The overall mean genetic divergence among Dorycnium species based on ITS and rbcL1 regions were calculated as 0.034 and 0.007 , respectively. According to the phylogenetic tree constructed using ITS region (Figure 8), there are 2 main clusters, and D. vurallii is positioned in the one with $D$. sanguineum, $D$. rectum, $D$. graceum, $D$. amani, and $D$. axilliforum. The other cluster is composed of $D$. hirsutum, $D$. pentaphyllum species, and their subspecies and varieties. Even if the overall mean genetic divergence is lower than the ITS region genetic divergence value, the phylogenetic tree of rbcL 1 region (Figure 9) indicates sufficient phylogenetic separations supported with morphological data. D. pentaphyllum species and its subspecies formed a cluster, and the other species are positioned at another cluster. The new species, D.vuraliiis positioned alone in the 2nd cluster and separated from $D$. hirsutum with high pp and bootstrap values. Moreover, both ITS and rbcL1 data were used to show network tree with the same parameters (GTR) and bootstrap analysis. The network indicates similar separations with phylogenetic trees (Figures 10 and 11).

## 4. Discussion and conclusion

A detailed morphological examination of the collected specimens from Dorycnium vuralii revealed that it is close to D. sect. Bonjeanea and sect. Dorycnium and that


Figure 5. Pollen grain SEM microphotographs of Dorycnium vuralii A- equatorial view, B- polar view; ornamentation B - equatorial view, C - polar view; pollen grain light microphotographs, E- equatorial view, F- polar view. Scale bars: A, B: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; C: $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; D: $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; E,F: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 6. Leaflet SEM microphotographs of Dorycnium vuralii. A,C,E- adaxial surface; B,D,F- abaxial surface. Scale bars: A,B: 2 mm ; C, D: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; E: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; F: 5 mm .


Figure 7. Seed SEM microphotographs of Dorycnium vuralii. A-general view of hilum side, B-ornamentation of hilum side; light microphotographs, C- general view, D- hilum. Scale bars: A: 1 mm ; B: $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; C,D: $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 8. Bayesian consensus tree with GTR model with Gamma distribution of ITS gene region of species (FigTree v 1.4.4). The results of ML analysis with subsequent optimization (the posterior probabilities (PP) and bootstrap values with 1000 replicates) and values of over one are given next to the nodes and separated with slashes (the bootstrap values lower than 50 and posterior probability values lower than 0.90 are not shown).
it is distinct from both known species of the section. $D$. vuralii is similar to Sect. Bonjeanea by having calyx with 5 equal teeth corolla wings free at apex; valves contorting at maturity and Sect. Dorycnium by having calyx teeth shorter than calyx and 1-seeded legume. When the morphological features of the species were examined, it was deemed appropriate to be included in the sect. Bonjeana. D. vuralii
is similar to $D$. hirsutum, D. graecum, and D. rectum, but distinguished from them by having leaves composed of $3-5$ leaflets and inflorescence more than 3-6 flowered. The comparison of diagnostic characteristics of species that belong to genus Dorycnium of Türkiye is given in Table 1.
D. vuralii grows on a slope with heavy textured soil consisting of very dense clay compared to its surroundings


Figure 9. Bayesian consensus tree with GTR model with Gamma distribution of rbcL gene region of species (FigTree v 1.4.4). The results of ML analysis with subsequent optimization (the posterior probabilities (PP) and bootstrap values with 1000 replicates) values of over one are given next to the nodes and separated with slashes (the boot strap values lower than 50 and posterior probability values lower than 0.90 are not shown).


Figure 10. The clusteral network that is drawn using ITS data with GTR model at Splits Tree 4 (v 4.19.0) software (Bootstrap values are indicated on network branches).


Figure 11. The clusteral network that is drawn by using rbcL data with GTR model at Splits Tree 4 (v 4.19.0) software (Bootstrap values are indicated on network branches).
at an altitude of $800-900 \mathrm{~m}$. D. hirsutum var. hirsutum generally spreads in vegetations at flow altitude, high temperatures, and high humidity levels in the Aegean, Marmara, and Eastern Mediterranean regions close to the coast. D. hirsutum. var. syriacum is localized only in a narrow coastal area in Hatay Province. D. rectum grows in the Mediterranean and Marmara regions close to the sea but at different heights. D. graecum prefers temperate places at different altitudes but is partially humid in the western Black Sea, most of the Eastern Mediterranean, Central Anatolia, the northern Aegean, and the Marmara regions. D. sanguineum Vural is found in a narrow area in Karaman (Kocabaş, 2014).

When the pollen morphology of $D$. vuralii is examined, it differs from other species of Dorycnium taxa that grows in Türkiye with its spheroidal pollen shape. $D$. sanguineum is the closest species in terms of pollen shape and size (Kocabaş, 2014). In terms of seed morphology, D. vuralii differs from all species distributed in Türkiye with its psilate verrucate seed ornamentation. Seed shape of $D$. vuralii is closer to D. pentaphyllum Scop. and D. hirsutum (Kocabaş, 2014). Kramina and Polevova (2022) indicate that the seed size, shape, and color only partially allow distinguishing species in the studied group.

Internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions in plants are frequently indicative of rapid concerted evolution with low levels of intragenomic sequence variation and a small number of polymorphic positions (Nieto-Feliner and Rosselló, 2007; Xu et al., 2017; Osuna-Mascaró
et al., 2022). The diversity of ITS sequences is often accompanied by hybridization events and concerted evolution, resulting in the homogenization of sequences. The process of sequence homogeneity is not fully achieved across ITS sequences, leading to a relatively high level of intragenomic variability (Kramina et al., 2021; OsunaMascaró et al., 2022). Conversely, nonconcerted evolution is expected to occur in recently produced hybrid species, when both parental ITS sequences are present (Álvarez and Wendel, 2003). The present study revealed variations in phylogenetic trees as a result of the ITS diversity, which is frequently associated with hybridization occurrences (Álvarez and Wendel, 2003; Osuna-Mascaró et al. 2022). This relatively slow evolutionary process, together with the recent emergence of the group, may not provide adequate differentiation between genetic lineages. On the other hand, the evolutionary trajectory of the nrITS in concerts may have a significant impact on the evolution of taxa at lower taxonomic levels, functioning as a mechanism similar to lineage sorting (Mort et al., 2007).

Additionally, Kramina et al. (2022) studied morphology, biogeography, and molecular data of the genus Lotus. They found that nuclear ITS results conflicted with plastid phylogeny. They reported that although their plastid region has low variable percentage, the plastid tree has a well-supported clade that combines accessions of $L$. dorycnium s.I. and $L$. hirsutus from the eastern parts of their ranges (including Türkiye). Moreover, Kocabaş (2014), who studied Turkish Dorycnium taxa in
Table 1. Comparison of diagnostic characteristics of species which belong to genus Dorycnium of Türkiye (Kocataş, 2014).

| Section | Sect. Bonjeanea |  |  |  | Sect. Dorycnium |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | D. vuralii | D.hirsutum | D. rectum | D. graecum | D. amani | D. pentaphyllum | D. axilliflorum | D. sanguineum |
| Stem | $10-25 \mathrm{~cm}$, branches with dense appressed to ascending sericeous hairs | $30-65 \mathrm{~cm}$, sparsely short soft and subappressed hairs | 35-100 cm, sparsely short soft and appressed hairs | $25-90 \mathrm{~cm}$, curly rough bristly hairs | 25-45 cm long, sparsely short appressed hairs | $17-65 \mathrm{~cm}$, dense or not, short, soft and subappressed and erect long or short hairs | $10-25 \mathrm{~cm}$, sparsely short appressed hairs | $20-25 \mathrm{~cm}$, thin long hairs |
| Leaves | Composed of 3-5 leaflets, rachis 2-5 mm | Composed of 57 leaflets, rachis $1-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Composed of 5-7 leaflets, rachis 3-11 mm | Composed of 5-7 leaflets, rachis 1-2.5 mm | Composed of 5 leaflets, rachis $1.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Composed of 5 leaflets, rachis absent | Composed of 5 leaflets, rachis absent | Composed of 5 leaflets, rachis $1-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Leaflets | $3-17 \times 1-6 \mathrm{~mm}$, obovate-oblong, long, spreading to appressed hairy, mucronate. | 8-20 $\times 3-10$ mm, oblongobovate, dense soft hairy, mucronate. | $12-35 \times 5-21 \mathrm{~mm}$, obovate-oblong, sparsely short and appressed-hairy, appressed-hairy, appressedlonghairyorglabrous mucronate | $10-40 \times 3-15 \mathrm{~mm},$ obovate-oblong to oblanceolate, sparsely short and appressed-hairy, appressed-hairy, appressed-long hairy | $10-18 \times 5-12 \mathrm{~mm}$, obovate-oblong, sparsely short and appressed-hairy, obtuse. | $6-20 \times 1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$, oblong, oblanceolate, obovate or linear, erect to spreading and long and appressed hair, acute to mucronate, obtuse | $10-17 \times 2-5$ <br> mm , oblong- <br> linear, soft <br> appressed hairy, acute. | 5-20 $\times 3-10$ mm , obovateelliptic, dense thin long soft hairy, mucronate |
| Internods | $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $1.5-5.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $2-7 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $1.5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $2.3-7.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $1-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $1.6-4.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $1-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Inflorescence | with 3-6 flowers, peduncle 1-1.4 cm; pedicel 1-1.5 mm long | with 6-11 <br> flowers, peduncle <br> $1.5-4 \mathrm{~cm}$; pedicel <br> $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. | with 12-35 flowers, peduncle $3-13 \mathrm{~cm}$; pedicel $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. | with 7-47 flowers, peduncle $1.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$.; pedicel $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$. | with 5-12 flowers, peduncle 1.2-2 cm, pedicel $0.4-$ 0.8 mm . | with 3-35 flowers, peduncle $1-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}$., pedicel0.7-2.2 mm. | with 2-15 <br> flowers, peduncle 0.5-5 <br> mm., pedicel <br> very short | with 4-8 flowers, peduncle 0.5-2 cm., pedicel absent or 1 mm . |
| Calyx | 4-5 mm long, densely appressedpubescent, green, teeth triangular, green, acute, equal, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long | 6-12 mm long, densely soft long hairs, pinkish, teeth lanceolatesubulate, red to purple at the apex, 3.5-6.5 mm long | $3-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, soft long hairs, base of tube pink, teeth subulate, $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long | 2-4.5 mm long, thin soft long hairs, , pinkish, teeth lanceolate-subulate, $1-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. long | 3-4 mm long, sparsely short appressed hairs, yellowish-green, teeth triangularelanseolate, 1-2 mm long | $1.2-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, densely or sparsely long or short hairs, yellowish or nearly pinkish , teeth dark green or purplish, $0.3-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, soft appressed hairs, teeth triangular, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, | $4.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, soft long hairs, , yellowish-green, teeth narrow triangularlanceolate, $1-5-2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, purplishreddish |
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Table 1. (Continued.)

| Standard | white with purple lines, ovate, 4-5 mm long | white or pink, 15-16 mm long | white or pink, 6-7 mm long | white, $57-7.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long | white or yellowwhite, $4-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long | white, $4-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long | white, 4-5 mm long | red, $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$, mm long |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wing | white with purple li | ines, $3.5-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long |  |  | white or yellowwhite, 4 mm long |  |  |  |
| Keel | purple, 3.5-4 mm lo | ong |  |  | white or yellowwhite, $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. |  |  |  |
| Style | 2 mm . long | 3 mm long | 2-2.5 mm long | ca. 1 mm long | ca. 1 mm long | 0.5 mm long | ca. 1 mm long | ca. 1 mm |
| Legume | ovate-oblong, $5-7 \times 2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, glabrous, with a beak $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$, 1 -seeded, opens at maturity | ovate-oblong 6-10 $\times 2-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, with a beak $4 \mathrm{~mm}, 2-7-$ seeded, not open at maturity | linear- cylindrical , $8-14 \times 1.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, beak 3-4 mm, 3-7seeded, opens at maturity | oblong- cylindrical to conic, 4-10 $\times$ $1.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, beak 1-2 mm, 1-4-seeded, not open at maturity | ellipsoid, 4-6 x <br> 2-5 mm, beak <br> $1.4-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, <br> 1 -seeded, not open at maturity | ovoid, $2-8 \times 1-3.5$ mm , beak $1-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, 1 -seeded, not open at maturity | ellipsoid, $4-6 \times 2-5$ mm, beak 1.4-1.8 long, 1-seeded, not open at maturity | ovoid, 5-5.5 <br> $\times 2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, <br> beak1.5 mm,1-2 <br> seeded, not open <br> at maturity |
| Seeds | oblongreinformed | oblongreinformed | oblong-spheroidal | ovoid-orbicular | oblong | reniform or oblong | elliptic | oblong |

his PhD thesis, concluded that ITS data cannot support morphological data but provides a different perspective for taxonomic studies. Therefore, these discrepancies, which previously suggested a taxonomic perspective on species differentiation within the phylogenetic trees, now provide new insights for discriminating species within the genus Dorycnium.

Allan et al. (2004) studied molecular phylogenetics in Lotus genus species. They used Dorycnium hirsutum and Dorycnium pentaphyllum species to compare the controversy of the Dorycnium species. In the phylogenetic tree, the two Dorycnium species were positioned close to the Lotus species but formed a separate clade. Again, Allan and Porter (2000) investigated the phylogenetic relationships of the families Lotea and Coronillae. In their study, the phylogenetic tree of the nrITS region indicated that Dorycnium species (Dorycnium graecum, D. herbaceum, D. hirsutum, D. rectum) were grouped with old-world Lotus species but formed a separate clade. Therefore, even if Dorycnium species were positioned with old-world Lotus species, they seemed to be a separated genus.
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## Appendix 1: Examined Specimens

## D. hirsutum (L.) Ser. var. hirsutum

Balıkesir: Akhisar-Sindırgı, 30 km S of Sındırgı, Pinus brutia forest, Quercus infectoria, Cistus creticus, Paliurus spinachristi, Colutea cilicica, serpentine soil, 276 m, 31.05.2003, Reeves \& N. Adıgüzel 2647 (photo, E00248631!).

İstanbul: Büyükada, 29.05.1931, H. Demiriz 3294 (ANK!).

İzmir: Near Urla, slopes, sea level, 26.04.1965, Davis 41850 (photo, E00354834!); Smyra, dans lersbroussaillers, 20.05.1854, Balansa 386 (photo, E00354832!).

Mersin: Kuzucubelen Adana: Karataş, nature reserve of Yumurtalık lagoon, dune, 1 m, 19.04.1998, H.Şağban 2058 (GAZI!). Kuzucu-Belen road, Kutuluk-Cemilli, Pinus brutia forest, $200 \mathrm{~m}, 08.05 .1952$, Demiriz 965 (photo, E00354835!).

Muğla: Marmaris, near the hill, 250 m, 27.06.1997, H.Şağban 1841 (GAZI!).

Osmaniye: Gavur mountain, 18.05.1956, Hub.Mor. 47 (ANK!); Hatay: İskenderun, Nergislik, Amanos mountains, $700 \mathrm{~m}, 08.06 .1967$, Y. Akman 46 (ANK!).

## D. rectum (L.) Ser.

Gaziantep: 6 km west of Fevzipaşa, 1 km wordem Nurdağı, 15.07.1973, Holtz, Hanel\&Kesercioğlu 00.687 (photo, E00339821!).

Muğla: Köyceğiz, Beyobası village, 50 m , A.Güner 9300 (GAZI!).

## D. graecum (L.) Ser.

Ankara: Çamkoru, $1300 \mathrm{~m}, 10.1958$, Alpay 2544 (photo, E00339560!). Çamkoru-Çamlıdere, 1300 m , 10.1958, Alpay 2545 (photo, E00339646!).

Adana: Osmaniye, Zorkun plateau, Amanos mountains, Pinus nigra forest, $1300 \mathrm{~m}, 04.06 .1968$, Y. Akman 7772 (ANK!); Karsantı, Yapraklı province, bedrock: serpentine, P. nigra community, $100 \mathrm{~m}, 30.06 .1973$, E. Yurdakulol 1620 (ANK)!.

Amasya: Karadağı, Moghuer mountain, 04.06.1889, Bornmueller 262 (photo, E00339543!).

Artvin: Prov. Çoruh, mountain above Artvin, 1450 m, banks, 19.06.1957, Davis\&Hedge 29742 (ANK!, photo E00339540!).

Ankara: Kızılcahamam, Kargasekmezcol, 1000 m, 40'24 N,32’41 E, 27.07.1989, H.M.Nesbitt\& D.J. Samuel 2504 (GAZI!); Kızılcahamam, Soğuksu National Park, 1530 m, 31.07.1989, O. Eyuboğlu 1043 (GAZI!).

Bolu: Abant, abiesforest, $850 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 07.07 .1978$, E.Yurdakulols.n.(ANK!).Yedigöller national park, Köyyeri, $1100 \mathrm{~m}, 13.06 .1977$, R. İlarslan s.n.(ANK!); Ilgazcol open Abies muntain, 29.06.1958, Markgraf \&Birand 10594 (ANK!); Kale, Kırık plateau, 1550 m, 24.06.1990, İ.Kılınç 1126 (GAZI!); Akçakoca-Karasu, c, 1 km from Halk into Akçakoca, by the main road, c. 60 m , roadside in Corylus maxima plantation, Lampinen 7891 (photo, E00339571!).

Aladağ, Kartalköy 1750m, 12.07.1957, Bozakman 2549 (photo, E00339563!). Aladağ on Kartal kaya hill, 1700 m, rock igneous slope, 12.07.1962, Davis\&Coode 37429 (photo E00339643!). 10 km sudl Yiğilca, Karadesetal, $400 \mathrm{~m}, 02.06 .1958$, macchie am Sudhang, Kühne 2626 (photo, E00339644!). Sunnice mountain nörld, Bolu, 1550 m (Fagus orientalis, Abies bornmülleriana), 12.09.1957, Wagenitz and Beng 92 (photo E00339667!).

Bursa: on road from Bursa to Uludağ, 10 km from hotel at Uludağ, 1000 m , sandy cliffs and scree along road, 16.05.1962, Dudley 34714 (photo, E00339648!). Uludağ, new Bursa, Garig vegetation, 09.06.1964, De Wilde 4049 (photo, E00339556!).

Çanakkale : Kara Biga province, scrub, 22.05.1976, Y.Akman and Quezel 9291 (ANK!).

Eskișehir: Namazlar, Pinus nigra forest area, ca. 1550 m, 04.07.1970, T. Ekim 1211 (photo, E00339649!) Above Mihalicçık, 1500 m , open Pinus nigra forest, Davis\&Coode 37208 (photo, E00339570!).

Hatay: mountain Amanos, regiond'tlosan, 4000$5000 \mathrm{~m}, 06.1908$, Haradjian 2244 (photo, E00339557!); Amanos mountain, Kusliji Mountain, 5000-6500 m, 08.1908, Haradjian 2516 (photo, E00339555!).

İstanbul: Aydos Mountain, S slope, 360m, 26.08.1964, Demiriz 3768 (photo, E00339576!); Yakacık-Aydos road, roadside, 23.04.1966, Demiriz\&Enberker 5603 (photo, E00339577!); Alemdağ, near summit, macchie and dry meadow, 29.05.1962, Demiriz 4921 (photo, E00339578!); Alemdağ- Ömerli, 10 km, roadside, 04.06.1967, Demiriz 25498 (photo, E00339579!); Anadolu Hisarı, Elmalıbendi (Yeni bend), roadside, 02.07.1966, Demiriz5662 (photo, E00339580!); Sarıyer, around Hünkarsuyu, slopes, 18.06.1967, Demiriz 25543 (photo, E00339581!); Derbent karayolu-İstiridye, macchie, 26.05.1966, Demiriz 5605 (photo, E00339582!); FatihormanıBahçeköy road, 3 km from Bahçeköy, roadside and edge of forest, 08.06.1966, Demiriz\&Enberker 5625 (photo, E00339583!); Büyükada, S of Dilburnu, 02.05.1965, Demiriz 5211 (photo, E00339573!); Kartal, Aydos Mountain, around Altınpınar, Aytuğ\&Yaltırık 3333 (photo, E00339651!); Aydos Mountain, S slopers, stony macquis, 19.05.1967, ISTF 25470 (photo, E00339652!); 4 km E Beykoz, roadside, 23.06.1973, Edmondson 422 (photo, E00339655!); Terkos, 3 km ofter Arnavutköy, between Cinilihan, ruines and Tabyabayırı, 200 m , macchie, maquis, 06.06.1958, H. Demiriz 3878 (photo, E00339641!); Kilyos, 27 km N of İstanbul, hill, 2 km E of village, clearings in low oak scrub, $40 \mathrm{~m}, 21.06 .1971$, Edmondson 394 (photo, E00339647!).

Kastamonu: Ilgaz Mountain, Picea forest, south slope, 2000 m, 20.07.1969, J.Darrah 114 (photo, E00038185!); Daday, Sarıoluk, oaky, 1000 m, 19.06.1978, O.Ketenoğlu

1218 (ANK!); north slope of Ilgaz Mountain, 1600 m , 08.09.1954, Davis \&O.Polunin 25049 (ANK!). İneboluAbana exit, scrub community, 20 m, 12.06.1990, E. Yurdakulol 3193 (ANK!); Tosya, Çukurhan, Kazdağıprovince, deduction field, $1600 \mathrm{~m}, 12.09 .1976$, 6245 (ANK!); Ayancik, down slope of beech-fir mixed forest, $1480 \mathrm{~m}, 10.08 .1949$, H.Demiriz 1967 (ANK!). Küre-İnebolu, ledges of metomorphic rock, 07.06.1954, Davis 21612 (photo, E00339642!). N. side of Ilgaz Mountain, 1600 m , banks in Arboretum, 08.09.1954, Davis\&Polunin 25049 (photo, E00339544!); Ilgaz Mountain (from Ilgaz pass, Abies forest, NW near top of ridgei glades and dry clearings, 17.10.1967, Watson (photo, E00339549!); Inebolu-Küre, $2000 \mathrm{ft}, 08.06 .1954$, Davis 21664 (photo, E00339545!); 150 m, 05.07.1934, Balls 1571 (ANK!).

Kırklareli: Istranca forest, Karamanbayırı, 21.07.1965, Demiriz 5550 (photo, E00339584!). S.E. of Demirköy, Fagus orientalis forest, 07.1978, Polunin 15141 (photo, E00339550!); Istranca forest, Valika köprüsü-Balaban deciduous forest and roadside, 21.07.1965, Demiriz 5568 (photo, E00339658).

Kütahya: Dumlupınar, Gökdağ, Akdere, P.nigra ormanı, $1350 \mathrm{~m}, 22.07 .1983$, M.Vural\&H.Malyer 2459 (GAZI!, E00339546!); Simav, Kiçir to Akdağ, on forest road, Pinus nigra forest, $19.06 .1965,1600 \mathrm{~m}$, Coode and Jones 2686 (photo, E00339553!).

Manisa: Soma, up to middle stationbetween 200 m .,to $400 \mathrm{~m}, 12.05 .1977$, Ö.Seçmen 961 (ANK!).

Samsun: New Ankara road, 5milesinland, 08.06.1963, Tobay 288 (photo, E00339554!).

Sinop: Samsun-Sinop border (Gerze), 250 m , growelly clay roadside bank, $10.06 .1965, \mathrm{C}$. Tobey (photo, E00339548!).

Trabzon: Sürmene, 10 m , road side, 12.07.1966, Demiriz, H. 569 (photo, E00339572!); Çukurçayır, 1 ml S of USAF radar station, $240 \mathrm{~m}, 25.05 .1966$, Denton 26 (photo, E00339645!) Sürmene, Kale üstü, 450 m, 20.06.1984, M.Vural (GAZI!).

Uşak: c. 13 miles Dumlupınar to Banaz, 13.06.1965, open Pinus nigra, c. 1000 m , woodland, Coode\&Jones 2368 (photo, E00339558!).

Yozgat: Akdağmadeni, İşletme müdürlüğü 6. kısım, 04.07.1979, T. Ekim 3930 (ANK!); Akdağmadeni, Çulhalıbölgesi, AktaşDeresi, $1700 \mathrm{~m}, 30.08 .1965, \mathrm{H}$. Kayacık, G. Eliçin 3859 (photo, E00339552!).

Zonguldak: E outskirts of town, $70 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{E}$ and E-SE of the hill Fenerburnuhill, 26.08.1964, Demiriz 2473 (photo, E00339574!); North east of Zonguldak, between Kazanyeri and Kapuz, 120 m, edge maquis, 05.05.1951, Demiriz 337 (photo, E00339575!). Mezarlıksırtlar1-İhs aniyearasıtepeleringüneyyamaçları, 123 (ANK!); Cide, maki, $100 \mathrm{~m}, 22.06 .1978$, O. Ketenoğlu 1219 (ANK!).

## D. pentaphyllum subsp. herbaceum (Vill.) Rouy

Ankara: Beynam forest, 28.06.1948, H.Bağdas.n. (ANK!).
Artvin: Çoruh, Borçka, 21.06.1957, 150 m, Davis \& Hedge 29995 (ANK!); Azdavur, 26.06.1957, 1100 m , Davis \&Hedge 30084 (ANK!); Ardanus-Kardevandağı, 1100 m , eroded sholey banks, 26.06.1957, Davis\&Hedge 30084 (photo, E00339767!); Borçka, 150 m , igneous banks, 24.06.1957, Davis\&Hedge 29995 (photo, E00339768!).

Balıkesir: Edremit, Kazdağı, 1000 m, 11.08.1970, R. Çetik 6 (ANK!); Edremit, Kazdağı, 17.06.1955, K. Karamanoğlu (ANK!)

Bursa: Çekirge, 24.06.1948, H. Bağda (ANK!); Çekirge, 02.07.1936, Gassner 586 (ANK!), İnegöl to Tahtaköprü, 300 m , bozkır, 2.7.1962, Davis\&Coode 36633 (photo, E00339772!)

Çanakkale: Bayramiç, between Bayraramiç-Evciler, $600 \mathrm{~m}, 07.1966$, H. Uçar 7725 (photo, E00038184!).

Eskişehir: Sündikenmountain, Mihaliççik, 1200 m , 27.07.1976, T. Ekim 152 (ANK!).

İstanbul: Dragos, roadside sand maguis, 04.06.1966, Demiriz S611 (photo, E00339774!); Şile, Seashore, limestone slopes, $10-15 \mathrm{~m}, 08.07 .1952$, Demiriz 1188 (photo, E00339775!); Side, Ağlayan kaya, macchie, 0-10 m, 04.06.1967, Demiriz 25515 (photo, E00339776!) Kilyos, 4 km W, $3,5 \mathrm{~km}$ W of Hisarkaya, 08.06 .1966 , sandy slopes, Demiriz\&Enberker S627 (photo, E00339778!); Yakacık, S slopes, macchie, 05.06.1966, Demiriz 5617 (photo, E00339779!); Sariyer, Belgrad forest (near Kartal hill), 18.07.1960, Yaltrik 1394 (photo, E00339763!).

Kocaeli: İstanbul-Kocaeli road, c. 55 km from İstanbul, 100 m , damp clay slope by road side, 20.07.1956, McNeill 228 (photo, E00339764!).

Kütahya: Kütahya to Tavşanlı 10 km from Tavşanlı, 23.06.1962, Dudley 36116 (photo, E00339773!).

Ordu: southern part of Ordu at $20 \mathrm{~km}, 600 \mathrm{~m}, 05.07 .1958$, H. Birand 10754 (ANK!). Fatsa-Aybast1, 450 m, clay over volcanic rock, 21.07.1965, Tobay 1347 (photo, E00339770!).

Samsun: KızilırmakofterAsarvillage, 250 m , limestone, slopes, 08.07.1967, Tobay 2241 (photo, E00339765!).

Sinop: Sinop-Bayabat, 18.08.1973, F. Holtz 01.228 (photo, E00339771!).

Trabzon: Meryemana-Maçka, 2 km , roadside, 13.7.1966, Demiriz 5741 (photo, E00339777!).

Zonguldak: Zonguldak to Çaycuma, 450 m , scrubby slopes, 17.07.1962, Davis, Coode\&Yaltirik 37634 (photo, E00339759!). 15 km sudl. Ereğli, macchie, Kühne 3293, 09.07.1958 (photo, E00339762!).

Zonguldak: Yeltepe, above Sorgunplateau, 1600 m , limestone, 20.07.1962, D. 37881 (photo, E00339766!).

## D. pentaphyllum subsp. anatolicum (Boiss.) Gams

Adapazarı: nr. Geyve, 100 m , eroded banks, 01.07.1962, Davis 36308 (photo, E00339799!).

Amasya: Yaylacık village, open forest, 1250 m , 19.06.2003, E.Yücel 1043; Yenice-Direkliarası, 950 m , 30.05.1987, S.Peker (GAZI!)

Kastamonu: Tosya, Yapraklıyolu, 1350-1400 m, 03.07.1976, M.Kılınç 6228 (ANK!); Devrenkani, İnceğizcivarı, $1150 \mathrm{~m}, 23.07 .1990$, E.Yurdakulol 3146 (ANK!).

Ankara: Beynam, 55 km south west of Ankara, 12.07.1965, Ledingham\&Ekim 4315 (photo, E00339786!). Beypazarı, P.nigra forest, H.Demiriz 8851 (ANK!); Ayaş, H. Karamanoğlu (ANK!); Kalecik, Kalkan province, 17.06.1971, M.Kılınç 90 (ANK!); Beynam mountain, 08.06.1968, Y.Akman 6908 (ANK!); Çubuk, OvacıkSaraycık village, $1250-1380 \mathrm{~m}, 27.08 .1992$, E. Dündar (GAZI!); Kızılcahamam, 1600 m, 01.08.1991, M.Vural 5885 (GAZI!); Kızılcahamam, Soğuksu National Park, 1150 m, 10.06.1990, O. Eyüboğlu 1578 (GAZI!); Ayaşbeli, 1150 m, 27.06.1986, M. Vural 4208 (GAZI!); Çubuk II barajı, 1101200 m, 28.06.1982, F. Demircioğlu 1127 (GAZI!).

Bolu: Pelitçik road junction, $900 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 07.07 .1978$, E.Yurdakulol (ANK!)

Burdur: Dirmil-Armutlu, 2-3 km N., scattered, Quercus $s p$ forest, $1200 \mathrm{~m}, 02.06 .1965, \mathrm{H}$. Deviriz, G. Attila, T. Aslanerer\& I Dölek 5406. (photo, E00339795!); ArmutluDirmil, N of Dirmil; N of Dirmilgeçidi (pass), Pinus brutia-Quercus forest, $1150 \mathrm{~m}, 02.06 .1965, \mathrm{H}$. Demiriz, G. Attila, T. Aslanerer\& I Dölek 5386 (photo, E00339797!)

Çankırı: Atkaracalar, Dumanlı mountain, 1400-1500 m, 05.07.1992, A. Duran 1591 (GAZI!), Eskişehir: 7 km NE of Mihalıccik, 1430 m , serpentine oil, , R.D. Reeven 2030, A.R. Kruckeberg, N. Adıgüzel, 28.7.1998 (photo, E00281535!)

Elazığ: Yayılım mountain, 1250 m, 23.06.1983, H.Evren 1564 (ANK!)

Erzincan: Eğin, Kodschodur dagh, 18.06.1890, Sintenis 2656 (photo, E00339789!)

Eskişehir: 7 km NE of Mihalıccık, 1430m, serpentine soil, in pine forest, 18.07.1998, Reeves, Kruckeberg\&Adıgüzel 2030 (photo, E00281535!); Sündiken Mountain, 1400 m, 22.06.1974, T.Ekim 953 (ANK!); Türkmen Mountain, Hizanderesi, 1500 m, T.Ekim 2485 (ANK!); Afyon: Sandıklı, Kemer village, 1300-1400 m, 25.06.1985, Y.Akman 13970 (ANK!).

Kastamonu: between Kastamonu and Aras, 06.1967, 1200 m, T. Baytop 911373 (photo, E00339800!)

Kayseri: between Yahyalı-Kuzoluk, Habib bridge province, 1450 m, 09.06.1995, Y. Bağcı 603; Kıranardı, 1560 m, 17.07.1973, R.Çetik (ANK!);

Tokat: Artova, Arabacı Musa village, 1200-1300 m, 11.06.1981, R. İlarslan 1259 (ANK!).

Kayseri: Bakırdağ above Kisge, 1400 m, 28.06.1952, Davis, Dodds\&Çeltik 19306 (photo, E00339787!).

Kırıkkale: Delice, Büyükavşar village, 1150 m,
10.07.1990, C.Birden 1172 (GAZI!); Koçubaba kasabası, bozkır, 1150 m, 16.06.1990, A.Dönmez 1966 (GAZI!).

Konya: in collibus agricis planiti, Von Heldreich 1845 s.n. (photo, E00339788!); Ağaçyurdu village, 1400 m, 21.06.1979, M.Vural 1637 (ANK!); Ermenek, Damlaçalı, 1800 m, 08.07.1978, M.Vural 967 (GAZI!).

Kütahya: Tavşanlı to Emet, 800 m , calcareus banks, Davis\&Coode 36578, 03.07.1962 (photo, E00339790!); Murat Mountain (above Gediz) at Hamam, 1400 m, Pinus nigra forest, on slopes, Davis\&Coode, 03.07.1962 (photo, E00339732!); N above Şaphane, S foot of Şaphane mountain, stay pasture, 1050-1150m, 24.06.1954, H. Deniz 2099 (photo, E00339796!).

Mersin: 1240 m, 23.06.1971, T.Uslu 891 (ANK!); Kavaklıpınar, 1240 m, 23.06.1971, T.Uslu 891 (ANK!).

Sivas: Karayün, Kızılca kıslavillage province, K. yamaç, 1430 m., 13.96.2009, Ö. Tan 1231; Yıldızeli, Bayat village, $1700 \mathrm{~m}, 18.07 .1979$, T.Ekim 3929 (ANK!); next to Ulaş to $25 \mathrm{~km}, 1500 \mathrm{~m}, 10.07 .1956$, H.Demiriz 145 (ANK!).

Yozgat: national park, $1500 \mathrm{~m}, 26.06 .1979$, B.Sayın 72 (ANK!); Kayseri: Pınarbaşı, Hınzır mountain, 1850 m, 17.07.1981, N.Çelik 2045 (ANK!); next to ulaş up to 25 km sonra, mountain steppe, $1500 \mathrm{~m}, 10.7 .1956$, Birand\&Karamanoğlu 145 (ANK!).
D. pentaphyllum subsp. haussknechtii (Boiss.) Gams Isparta: Eğridir, near 5 km to Yılanlıvillage, P.nigramountain, $1200 \mathrm{~m}, 29.06 .1974$, H.Peşmen 1365 (ANK!); Antalya: between Manavgat-Akseki 10. km., 300-350 m, 28.03.1993, H.Duman 4980 (GAZI!); Akseki, Geyranplateau, old field, 1150-1250 m, 10.06.1995, A.Duran 2627 (GAZI!).

Adana: Pozantı, Nanelik province, 1570 m, 17.07.1995, Z.Aytaç 707 (GAZI!); Feke GöksunGoryebelowHimmetli, 700-800 m, 09.07.1952, Davis 19837, Dadds, Çetik(photo, E00339806!) Seyhan: above Osmaniye on Fevzipaşa road, 250 m, banks with Myrtus, 01.05 .1966 , Davis 42252 (photo, E00339803!).

Antalya: Akseki to Beysehir, 10 miles from Akseki, 1400-1500 m, limestone scree, Abies and Cedrus, Dudley 14.06.1962, D. 35822 (photo, E00339810!); Antalya: Korkuteli, Barutlu Kahu, Edge of Pinus brutia forest, 800 m, 03.06.1965, H. Demiriz, P. Enberker, I Dölek\& S. Ümit 5449 (photo, E00339813!); Bükormanı, $500 \mathrm{~m}, 13.06 .1959$, Alpay 2457 (photo, E00339814!); Manavgat to Akseki, 8 miles from main road junction, macchie with Erica, 14.06.1962, Dudley 35758 (photo, E00339817!);

Osmaniye: Eastern part of Osmaniye, 08.09.1884, Postn (BM!).

Hatay: Dörtyol, Kuzuculu to Bülke on forest road, open Pinus brutia forest with Erica, Cistus etc., c. 3000 m, 04.05.1965, CoodeM\&Jones, B. S10. (photo, E00339815!); İskenderun, down to Fatırlı village, Amanos mountains, 315 m, 08.06.1967, Y.Akman 7776 (ANK!).

Kahramanmaraş: Engizek mountain, Aksu, 1100 m, 05.07.1986, H. Duman 2232 (GAZI!); Maraş-Göksun road, 24 km from Maraş, alt. 1300 m , in follow field, 14.06.1960, Stainton\&Henderoon 5534 (photo, E00339804!)

Mersin: Mut, 5 miles from Mut to Karaman, 300 m, slope under Pinus brutia, Coode, M.\&Jones, 91813 (photo, E00339807); Anamur: Orman bakımevi ve jandarma yakını, Çam ormanı altı, 27.05.1966, A. Baytop, T. Baytop, B. Çubukçu 9732 (photo, E00339808!, E00339809!); West of Gülnar: East of Bulkari province, Ibili suyu, limestone slopes, 930 m, 25.05.1951, H. Demiriz 427 (photo, E00339812!).

## D. amani Zohary

C6 Hatay: Amanus: AşağıZorkun, 30.6.1932, Eig\&Zohary 38187 (photo, E00038187!)
D. axilliflorum Hub.-Mor.

Burdur: Burdur-Salda Gölü edges, 1100 m, 05.06.1996, Duman 4796 (GAZI)!; Yesilova, south of Salda Lake, 1150
m, 11.07.1983, Quecus forest, H. Duman 5089, Z. Aytaç, A.Dönmez (photo, E00077736!).

Denizli: Kazikbeli, 27 km sudlich Denizli steinige Trockenwiese, 02.007.1973, Holtz, F., Hänel, P. \&Kesercioglu, T. 470 (photo, E00038186!); Yesilova, south part of Salda lake, $1150 \mathrm{~m}, 11.07 .1993$, oaky aperture, H. Duman, Z. Aytaç, A. Durmaz 5086 (photo, E00077736!); Tefenni-Dirmil, 9 km südlichTefenni, Quercus macchie, Huber-Morath 8510. 26.06.1948 (photo, E00339537!); Tefenni-Çavdır road, 9 km NNE of Karakuzu pass, stony meadow in decidaus Quercus scrub, $1200 \mathrm{~m}, 02.06 .1965$, H. Demiriz, G. Attila, T. Aslanerer\& I Dölek 5362 (photo, E00339538!); Dirmil-Armutlu, 2-3 km N., H. Devris, G.Attila, T. Aslanerer\& I Dölek 5407 (photo, E00339539!). D. sanguineum Vural

Karaman: Bucakkışla, eastern slope of Çevlik Mountain, Pinus brutia mountain aperture, 550 m, M. Vural 1976 (GAZI, E00038189)

Appendix 2: Table indicates the accession numbers and references of the sequence of Dorycnium species and outgroups that were obtained from NCBI databank. Additionally, some sequences were obtained from the thesis of Kocabaş (2014) manually.

| Species name | NCBI accession number (ITS) | NCBI accession number (rbcL1) | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dorycnium pentaphyllum | GQ483311.1 | MN019712.1 | Conesa et al. (2010) /Jaén-Molina et al. (2021) |
| Dorycnium herbaceum | - | KF724310.1 | Little (2014) |
| Dorycnium pentaphyllum $x$ Dorycnium pentaphyllum subsp. fulgurans | GQ483313.1 | - | Conesa et al. (2010) |
| Dorycnium hirsutum | AY294292.1 | - | Allan and Porter (2000) |
| Dorycnium rectum | - | - | Kocabaş (2014) |
| Dorycnium graecum | - | - |  |
| Dorycnium axilliflorum | - | - |  |
| Dorycnium amani | - | - |  |
| Dorycnium sanguineum | - | - |  |
| Dorycnium hirsutumvar.hirsutum | - | - |  |
| Dorycnium hirsutum-var.syriacum | - | - |  |
| Dorycnium pentaphyllum subsp.haussknechtii | - | - |  |
| Dorycniumanatolicum$\quad$ pentaphyllum-subsp. | - | - |  |
| Dorycnium pentaphyllum subsp. herbaceum | - | - |  |
| Lotus edulis | KT250863.1 | - | Kramina et al. (2016) |
| Lotus halophilus |  | KX282862.1 | Abdullah (2017) |
| Ornithopus pinnatus | AY325278.1 | MK924798.1 | Degtjareva et al. (2003)/ de Vere et al. (2012) |



Appendix 3: Figure indicates the comparison of Lotus and Dorycnium species, which have contradictions. ITS gene region sequences were used for constructing phylogenetic tree. The sequences of some Lotus and Dorycnium species, obtained from the NCBI database using the accession numbers provided from the table in the article by Kramina et al. (2022), represent the origins in various countries. All sequences were combined and were aligned by using MEGA 11 program. In order to examine the sequences, the computer suggested employing the GTR (general time reversible) model proposed by Nei and Kumar (2000), which incorporates a Gamma distribution. Subsequently, the phylogenetic tree was generated using the maximum likelihood method, utilizing the GTR+G model and doing a bootstrap test study with raxmlGUI2.0 program (1000 replicates). The BEAST (Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis by Sampling Trees) software tool was used to analyze the data with posterior probability value of 1 . The GTR+G substitution model with uniform rates was employed for the data partitions. The Yule tree prior was employed, and an initial tree was stochastically constructed using 10,000,000 iterations of the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm. The phylogenetic complex trees were subsequently condensed and combined using the Tree Annotator software, with a posterior probability threshold of 1.The trees were indicated using Fig Tree V 1.4.4. For a comparative and integrative analysis, the trees were combined and shown. Bootstrap values lower than 50 and posterior probability values lower than 0.60 were not shown in the figure.


[^0]:    * Correspondence: seherkaraman@yahoo.com
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