Short and Effective Synthesis of a Thiophene Analogue of (\pm) -4-Ipomeanol and Its Biological Evaluation

Jürgen KRAUSS, Doris UNTERREITMEIER, Franz BRACHER

Department of Pharmacy. Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Butenandtstr. 5-13, 81377 Munich-GERMANY e-mail: hjkra@cup.uni-muenchen.de

Received 06.01.2006

The thiophene analogue of (\pm) -4-ipomeanol (1) was prepared in 2 steps starting from 3-iodothiophene and pent-4-yn-2-ol. The key steps were a Sonogashira reaction, followed by HgO catalysed hydratisation of the triple bond. The resulting thiophene was tested in the MTT assay for its cytotoxic activity against HL 60 cells.

Key Words: Sonogashira reaction, 4-ipomeanol, cytotoxic activity, MTT assay.

Introduction

(±)-4-Ipomeanol (1) is a natural cytotoxin, first isolated from *Fusarium solani* infected sweet potatoes, *Ipomoea batata*, in 1972 by Boyd and coworkers.^{1,2} 4-Ipomeanol (1) is a stress metabolite in response to microbial infection, with an LD₅₀ of 20-70 mg/kg.² The mechanism of action is closed to the lung Clara cells, which leads to a bioactivation of the compound by cytochrome P-450 monooxygenase to a highly reactive alkylating furan epoxide as recently published by Baer et al.³ Because of its specific lung toxicity (±)-4-ipomeanol (1) is being tested as a new drug for the treatment of lung carcinoma. On the other hand, (±)-4-ipomeanol (1) is metabolised by liver cells as well, and so it was recently tested in a phase II clinical trial on patients with hepatocellular carcinoma,⁴⁻⁷ but the results were not encouraging.



Figure 1. (\pm) -4-Ipomeanol (1).

In continuation of our work on 4-ipomeanol derivatives we developed the first synthesis of a thiophene analogue. The described synthesis can also be used for the synthesis of (\pm) -ipomeanol (1).

^{*}Corresponding author

Short and Effective Synthesis of a Thiophene Analogue of..., J. KRAUSS, et al.,

Chemistry

The target compound **3** was synthesised by a Sonogahira reaction of the commercially available 3-iodothiophen and pent-4-yn-2-ol under catalysis of $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ and CuI in ethyldimethylamine (EDMA) to give the alkyne **2** in almost quantitative yield.⁸ The triple bond of **2** was regioselective hydrated under HgO catalysis to give the aromatic ketone **3**.⁹



Scheme. a: CuI, EDMA, $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$. b: HgO, methanol, H_2SO_4 .

Compound **3** was tested in the MTT assay for its cytotoxic activity against HL 60 cells using the method described by $Mosman^{10}$. The compound showed only weak cytotoxic activity.



Figure 2. MTT-assay of thioipomeanol (3).

Discussion

As diagrammed in Figure 2, the thiophene analogue showed only weak cytotoxic activity against HL 60 cells $(IC_{50} = 10^2 \ \mu M)$. Work is in progress to test the cytotoxicity after cytochrome P450 activation as described

for (\pm) -4-ipomeanol (1). The described synthesis might also be used for the synthesis of (\pm) -ipomeanol (1), when starting from 3-iodofuran. The synthesis allowed us to build up enantiomeric pure products by starting from enantiomeric pure pent-4-yn-2-ol.

Experimental

IR-spectra: Perkin-Elmer FT-IR Paragon 1000; MS: Hewlett Packard MS-Engine, electron ionisation (EI) 70 eV, chemical ionisation (CI) with CH₄ (300 eV); NMR (400 MHz): Jeol GSX 400 (¹H: 400 MHz, ¹³C: 100 MHz); GLC-MS: Shimadzu GC 17 A; flash column chromatography (FCC): silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh, E. Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

(\pm) -5-Thiophen-3-ylpent-4-yn-2-ol (2).

First 950 mg (4.5 mmol) of 3-iodothiophen and 800 mg (9.5 mmol) of (\pm)-pent-4-yn-2-ol were dissolved in 30 mL of EDMA; then 190 mg (1.0 mmol) of CuI and 150 mg (0.2 mmol) of PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ were added and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature under N₂atmosphere. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in 50 mL of 5% aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ solution, extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 50 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄. The organic solvent was evaporated and the residue purified by FCC (n-hexane / ethyl acetate 10:1) to give 710 mg (95%) of **2** as a brown oil.

¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.32 (d, J= 6.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 2.01 (s, 1 H, OH), 2.53 (dd, J = 6.8 Hz, J = 16.7 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 2.61 (dd, J = 6.8 Hz, J = 16.7 Hz, 1 H, CH₂), 4.04 (m, 1 H, CH), 7.09 (dd, J = 1.1 Hz, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, aromat. CH), 7.25 (dd, J = 3.2 Hz, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H, aromat. CH), 7.39 (dd, J = 3.2 Hz, J = 1.1 Hz, 1 H, aromat. CH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 22.41 (CH₃), 30.01 (CH₂), 66.52 (CH), 78.07 (quart. C), 85.68 (quart. C), 122.27 (quart. C), 125.20 (aromat. CH), 128.25 (aromat. CH), 129.95 (aromat. CH). MS (CI): m/z (%) = 333 (2 x M⁺+1, 100), 167 (M⁺+1, 20). IR (KBr): ν [cm⁻¹] = 3390, 3106, 2970, 2926, 2905, 1114, 1084. C₉H₁₀OS (166.24) Calcd: C: 65.03 H: 6.06 S: 19.29. Found: C: 64.54. H: 6.06. S: 18.41.

(\pm) -4-Hydroxy-1-thiophen-3-yl-pentan-1-one (3).

First 250 mg (1.5 mmol) of **3** was dissolved in 20 mL of methanol, and 10 mL of 5% H₂SO₄ and 800 mg (3.7 mmol) of yellow HgO were added. The solution was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The methanol was evaporated and residue was quenched with 20 mL of saturated Na₂CO₃ solution and extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and the residue was purified by FCC (n-hexane/ethyl acetate 5:1) to give 70 mg (25%) of **3** as a colourless oil. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 1.25 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.82 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 1.94 (m, 1 H, CH₂), 3.06 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 3.89 (m, 1 H, CH), 7.32 (ddd, J = 0.6 Hz, J = 2.9 Hz, J = 5.1 Hz, 1 H, aromat. CH), 7.56 (ddd, J = 5.1 Hz, J = 1.2 Hz, J = 0.7 Hz, 1 H, aromat. CH), 8.10 (dd, J = 1.2 Hz, J = 2.9 Hz, 1 H, aromat. CH), 126.96 (aromat. CH), 132.10 (aromat. CH), 142.17 (quart. C), 195.12 (CO). MS (CI): m/z (%) = 185 (M⁺+1, 58), 167 (100), 111 (20). MS (EI): m/z (%) = 184 (M⁺, 3), 167 (16), 126 (56), 111 (100). IR

Short and Effective Synthesis of a Thiophene Analogue of ..., J. KRAUSS, et al.,

(KBr): ν [cm⁻¹] = 3433, 3106, 2965, 2925, 1669, 1510, 1411, 913, 743. C₉H₁₂O₂S(184.26). HR-MS: Calcd.: 184.0558. Found: 184.0562.

References

- 1. M.R. Boyd and B.J. Wilson, J. Agric. Food. Chem. 20, 428-430 (1972).
- 2. L.T. Burka, L. Kuhnert, B.J. Wilson and T.M. Harris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 2302-2305 (1977).
- 3. B.R. Baer, A.E. Rettie and K.R. Henne, Chem. Res. Toxicol. 18, 855-864 (2005).
- M.C. Christian, R.E. Wittes, B. Leyland-Jones, T.L. McLemore, A.C. Smith, C.K. Grieshaber, B.A. Chabner and M.R. Boyd, J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 81, 1133-1143 (1989).
- 5. S.M. Smiley-Jewell and C.G. Plopper, Toxicol. Appl. Pharm. 192, 69-77 (2003).
- 6. S. Lakhanpal, R.C. Donehower and E.K. Rowinsky, Invest. New Drugs 19, 69-76 (2001).
- 7. R. Boyd, B.J. Wilson and T.M. Harris, Nature. New Biol. 236, 158-159 (1972).
- 8. T. Sakamoto, F. Shiga, A. Yasuhara, D. Uchiyama, Y. Kondo and H. Yamanaka, Synthesis, 8, 746-748 (1992).
- 9. G.F. Hennion and C.J. Pillar, J. Am Chem. Soc., 72, 5317-5318 (1950).
- 10. T.J. Mosmann, Immunol. Meth. 65, 55-63 (1983).