Anthropogenic Pollution in İzmit Bay: Heavy Metal Concentrations in Surface Sediments

D. YAŞAR

Institute of Marine Sciences and Technology Dokuz Eylül University Haydar Aliev Bulvarı, İnciraltı, İzmir 35340, TURKEY

A. E. AKSU

Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland St. John's, Newfoundland Canada A1B3X5 O. USLU

Institute of Marine Sciences and Technology Dokuz Eylül University Haydar Aliev Bulvarı, İnciraltı, İzmir 35340, TURKEY

Received 04.09.1999

Abstract

The extent of marine pollution in İzmit Bay is studied using geochemical data in surface sediments. The concentrations of 41 elements in 24 samples establish that surface sediments in inner and central İzmit Bay display significant enrichments in Ag, As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Hg, Mo, P, Pb, Sb, Ti, V, and Zn associated with high concentrations of total organic carbon and sulphur. Geo-accumulation indices indicate that the inner and central İzmit Bay surface sediments are moderately to very strongly polluted with respect to Ag, Cd, Hg, Mo and Sb, and unpolluted to moderately polluted with respect to As, Co, Cu, Pb, and Zn. Despite total sedimentary concentrations above their pre-industrial background levels, geo-accumulation indices show that the surface sediments in İzmit Bay are unpolluted with respect to Cr, Ti and V. Except for a localized area offshore Tuzla, the outer İzmit Bay is generally unpolluted with respect to heavy metals.

Key Words: İzmit Bay, Marine Pollution, Heavy Metals

Izmit Körfezi'nde Oluşan Antropojenik Kirlilik: Yüzey sedimanlarındaki Ağır Metal Yoğunlukları

Özet

İzmit Körfezindeki deniz kirliliğinin boyutları yüzey sediman örneklerinde yapılan jeokimyasal çalışma sonucu incelenmiştir. Toplam 24 örnek üzerinde belirlenen 41 elementin analizleri sonucu , iç ve orta Körfez yüzey sedimanlarının toplam organik karbon ve sülfür ile gelişen Ag, As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Hg, Mo, P, Pb, Sb, Ti, V, ve Zn gibi ağır metal yoğunluklarında önemli bir artış olduğu saptanmıştır. Yapılan jeo-akümülasyon hesaplamaları ise iç ve orta Körfezin Ag, Cd, Hg, Mo ve Sb yönünden kirli ve çok kirli, As, Co, Cu, Pb, and Zn yönünden ise az kirli olduğunu göstermektedir. Yine jeo-akümülasyon hesaplamaları sonucu, sedimandaki yoğunluklarının sanayi öncesi yoğunluklarından fazla bulunmasına karşın İzmit Körfezi sedimanlarının Cr, Ti ve V yönünden kirlenmediği saptanmıştır. Dış körfez ise, Tuzla bölgesinin bazı bölgeleri dışında ağır metal yönünden kirli değildir.

Anahtar Sözcükler: İzmit Körfezi, Deniz Kirliliği, Ağır Metaller

Introduction

İzmit Bay is a small east-west trending embayment situated along the northeastern Marmara Sea (Fig. 1). It is naturally divided into three physiographic regions: the inner bay, the central bay and the outer bay. The shallower (<20 m) inner bay is separated from the larger and deeper (<150 m) central bay by a 1.5 km-wide constriction between the town of Gölcük and İpraş Refinery (Fig. 2). The central bay is separated from the west-flaring and deepening outer bay through a 3 km-wide and ~ 50 m deep constriction between Dil Burnu and Diliskelesi (Fig. 2).

Approximately 2 million people live around İzmit Bay, mainly in 3 large metropolitan centers, İzmit, Yalova and Tuzla, and several smaller single-industry towns, such as Darıca, Gölcük, Hereke, İpraş, and Karamürsel. İzmit Bay and its surroundings comprise one of the most heavily industrialized regions of northwestern Turkey, with large petrochemical and chemical plants in İzmit, İpraş and Yalova; civilian and military shipyards at Tuzla and Gölcük, respectively; heavy steel industries in İzmit; textile and related industries in Hereke and Karamürsel; leather tanning and processing plants in İzmit. Over the last 100 years, the effluents from these industries have been draining into the coastal waters of İzmit Bay.



Figure 1. Map of the Marmara Sea and surroundings, showing İzmit Bay and the location of core MAR97-25. Isobaths are in metres.



Figure 2. Bathymetry of İzmit Bay, showing the locations of the surface samples used in this study. Isobaths in metres.

During the last 20 years, a number of studies have been carried out on the effects of industrialization and urbanization in coastal marine sediments of the Marmara Sea, where the assessment of the anthropogenic pollution was made using the total concentrations of only a limited number of elements (Al, Cu Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn) in surficial samples (e.g., Taymaz et al, 1983, Ergin et al., 1991). However, in these studies the total sedimentary concentrations of many heavy metals with high toxicity, such as As, Ag, Cd, Hg, Mo and Sb, have not been determined; therefore, the critical data for the full assessment of the marine environmental pollution in these embayments is limited at best. This paper present the results of the first comprehensive study examining the levels of pollution in Izmit Bay using a large array of inorganic elemental geochemical data from surface sediments.

Materials and Methods

Surface sediment samples were collected from 24 stations in İzmit Bay (Fig. 2) using a modified grab sampler during the 1995 cruise of the RV KocaPiriReis of the Institute of Marine Sciences and Technology, Dokuz Eylül University. All samples were kept in a deep-freezer until the end of the cruise; subsequently they were dried at 40°C. In 1997 a 200 cm-long gravity core (MAR97-25) was recovered from the eastern Marmara Sea at water depths of ~1200 m to act as a site for background concentrations away from İzmit Bay (Fig. 1); this core was sub-sampled at 10 cm intervals, and all samples were also dried. Samples were shipped to Memorial University of Newfoundland for analysis.

A combination of X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (XRF), inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometer (ICP-ES) and atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) was used to determine the total levels of the following elements in 24 surface and 19 core samples: Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Ca, Ce, Cd, Cl, Co, Cr, Cu, Dy, Fe, Ga, Hg, K, La, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, S, Sb, Sc, Si, Sr, Th, Ti, U, V, Y, Zn and Zr. Table 1 gives the full geochemical data from İzmit Bay surface samples and the MAR97-25 reference site, as well as the analytical detection limits for these elements. Total organic carbon was determined using the technique described in Aksu et al. (1995).

For major and trace element determinations using ICP-ES or AAS, except Ag, As, Cd, Hg, Rb and Sb, sediments were powdered and ~ 5 g of powder was treated with 30% H₂O₂ to extract elements absorbed by organic matter and amorphous oxides. Samples were then digested in 15 ml of HF, 5 ml of concentrated HCl and 5 ml of $1:1 \text{ HClO}_4$ at 200°C and subsequently in 50 ml of 20% HCl at 100°C. Measurements were carried out using an ARL ICP-ES instrument. Samples for Cd analyses were processed as above and Cd was measured using a Varian Model AA1275 AAS. For the determination of Ag, samples were digested for 16 hours in 2 ml of concentrated HNO_3 at room temperature, and then for a further 2 hours in a hot water bath at 90°C. Determinations were made using AAS. For As and Sb determinations, samples (5 g each) were partially digested in concentrated HNO₃; subsequently, 1 ml of concentrated HCl was added and the samples were digested for a further 90 minutes. As and Sb were measured using ICP-ES. For Hg determinations samples (5 g each) were digested in 10 ml concentrated HNO₃ and 1 ml of concentrated HCl for 10 minutes. Samples were then placed in a hot water bath at 90°C and digested for an additional 2 hours. Hg was measured using ICP-ES; 4 on-peak and 4 off-peak measurements were made.

For XRF analyses, sediments were powdered and 4 g of powder was mixed with 0.7 g of phenolic resin binder. After homogenization, XRF pellets were prepared and baked for 15 minutes at 200°C. Elements were determined using an XRF ARL 8420+ sequential wavelength-dispersive spectrometer, with an Rh end-window X-ray tube and an LiF200 crystal, specially treated for enhanced heavy element sensitivity. For energies > 7.471 keV (20°-50° 2 Θ), a scintillation detector was used with power settings at 75 kV and 40 mA. For energies < 6.398 keV (50°-150° 2 Θ), a flow-proportional detector was used with power settings at 30 kV and 100 Ma (Longerich, 1993). Scan times were 4-6 seconds for the most abundant elemeters and the set of the second set of the set of the second set of the second set of the set of the second set of the set of the second set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the second set of the set of the set of the second set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the second set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the second set of the second set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the second set of the set

ements, 20 seconds for the trace elements and 100 seconds for Rb, Y and Nb. The intensities were then matrix-corrected by Compton correction for elements with emission energies greater than Fe, and by the LaChance-Traill algorithm (Longerich, 1993) for elements with emission energies less than and including Fe.

Results

Elemental associations

The inorganic geochemical data consist of measurements of 41 variables in 24 surface samples (Table 1). This large data set does not allow an immediate and unambiguous interpretation of elemental associations and potential sources in the sediments. Factor analysis was used to obtain simple patterns from this complex data set. The technique extracts a small number of hypothetical variables (R mode) or samples (Q mode), referred to as "factors", which account for a given percentage of the total variance in the data set. Both Q and R mode factor analyses were performed on the geochemical data from İzmit Bay.

Factor analysis results show that 3 factors account for a total of 96.5% of the total variance. The remaining 3.5% of the total variance not accounted for by the factor analysis is assumed to be random. Factor 1 accounts for 37.6% of the total variance and shows very high factor loadings in Al and Si, with statistically significant loadings (i.e. >0.3; Klovan and Imbrie, 1971) in Be, Ca, Dy, Fe, Ga, K, La, Li, Mg, Mn, Nb, Ni, Rb, and Y. This factor represents the aluminosilicates: the most common constituent of siliciclastic marine sediments. Factor 2 accounts for 35.9% of the total variance and shows very high loadings in C and S, but also shows significant loadings in Ag, As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Hg, Mo, P, Pb, Sb, Ti, V, and Zn. This factor clearly represents the organic matter and the enrichments of those metals which are most commonly associated with high total organic carbon in marine sediments (e.g., Aksu et al., 1998). Factor 3 accounts for 23.0% of the total variance and shows high factor loadings in Ca and Mg, with significant loadings in Sr and Sc. This factor probably represents the carbonate (biogenic and detrital) in the study area.

Elemental background concentrations

The average shale values (Turekian and Wede-

pohl, 1961) commonly used for elemental background concentrations (e.g., Ergin et al., 1991) cannot account for the local variations in rock composition and chemistry in the Marmara Sea, and are unlikely to be representative of the İzmit Bay surface sediments. Instead, the background concentrations of the 40 elements used in this study were estimated in a ~ 200 cm-long core (MAR97-25) recovered from the Eastern Marmara Basin, immediately west of İzmit Bay. Nineteen samples between 20 cm and 200 cm depth in MAR 97-25 were selected for the determination of elemental background concentrations. Radiometric dates in cores from the eastern Marmara Sea (e.g., Evans et al., 1989; Ergin et al., 1994) show that the rate of deposition in deep basins is ~ 100 cm per 1000 years, suggesting that the sediments used for the calculation of elemental background concentrations were deposited between 2000 and 200 vrBP. Because this core site is far removed from the regions of immediate anthropogenic effluent input, and the sediments used in the calculations clearly pre-date the industrial period, the elemental concentrations in these samples must approximate the background levels in the eastern Marmara Sea. The background level of each element was calculated as the average value of that element in 19 samples in core MAR97-25, presented in Table 1.

Anthropogenic pollution in surface sediments

The level of anthropogenic pollution in İzmit Bay is evaluated using the technique described by Müller (1979), where the enrichment of an element above the background level (geo-accumulation index) is calculated using the following equation:

Igeo = $\log_2 Cn / 1.5 \times Bn$

where Igeo = index of geo-accumulation, Cn = measured concentration of the element in the muddy sediment being studied and Bn = geochemical background value (e.g., MAR97-25); the factor 1.5 is used to compensate for possible variations of the background data due to post-depositional changes (Müller, 1979). Igeo values were calculated for 40 elements (except C) in İzmit Bay surface sediments using the inorganic geochemical data (Cn) and the elemental background concentrations (Bn) from the deep Marmara Sea core MAR97-25. The data show that Ag, As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Hg, Mo, P, Pb, Sb, Ti, V, and Zn, all associated with factor 2, consistently exhibit total sedimentary concentrations above their background levels in İzmit Bay surface sediments.

Enrichments in chalcophile (eg., Cu, As, Ni

and Zn) and siderophile elements (eg., Cr, Ti and Fe) associated with sediments rich in total organic carbon and sulphur occur naturally in oxygendeficient/anoxic basinal settings. In such environments, sulphate reduction takes place at the seafloor. as in the Black Sea (Landing and Lewis, 1991), or immediately below the sediment-water interface, as for the Aegean Sea sapropel S1 (Aksu et al., 1995), leading to the formation of highly insoluble sulphides in the presence of H_2S , and hence enrichments. However, many of the chalcophile and siderophile elements are also anthropogenic in origin. Thus, the distinction between natural and anthropogenic sources is often complicated. The occurrence on Izmit Bay surface sediments of the rarer but notorious environmental polluters Ag, Cd, Hg and Mo in quantities well above their background concentrations, and their clear associations and covariances with total organic carbon, P and S as well as the heavy metals As, Cu, Co, Cr, Pb, Sb, Ti, V and Zn (e.g., Aksu et al., 1998), strongly suggest that factor 2 truly represents anthropogenic pollution in the study area, rather than natural enrichment in

Distribution of TOC, S and heavy metals in surface sediments

poorly oxygenated sediments.

Total organic carbon values are high in Izmit Bay surface sediments, ranging from 1.9-2.6% in the inner bay, to 2.0-3.0% in the central bay and 0.5-0.7% in the outer bay, except for 1.8% offshore of Tuzla (Fig. 3; Table 1). These total organic carbon values are slightly higher than the 0.5-1.7% calculated by Ergin et al. (1991) from Izmit Bay. Total sulphur concentrations show a similar trend to that of total organic carbon, with the highest values of 0.6-1.0% occurring in inner and central Izmit Bay, and show a notable decline in outer Izmit Bay (Fig. 3; Table 1). Total silver concentrations range between 0.1 and 0.7 ppm in Izmit Bay surface sediments, with moderately high values occurring mainly in the central bay, and the highest value recorded at station 18 offshore of Tuzla (Figs. 2, 4; Table 1). The background value of silver in core MAR97-25 is 0.08 ppm, which suggests that it is moderately enriched in inner and central bay surface sediments. Cadmium concentrations are high in central İzmit Bay (0.5-1.0 ppm) and moderate in the inner bay (0.4-0.6 ppm), but are generally low (<0.4) in the outer bay, except at station 18 (Fig. 4; Table 1). Cadmium is rare in uncontaminated deep Marmara Sea sediments (MAR97-25), ranging

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	a Li	0.1	2 63	4 59	2 56	6 47	7 51	9 48	9 62	4 66	0 53	8 58	7 57	09 60	2 57	4 38	5 38	653	7 51	7 40	0 36	956	8 55	8 52	4 41	2 33	8 54
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K	18 1	21152 3	20301 2	22476 2	18386 2	20069 2	22202 2	20128 3	18994 4	19020 3	18769 2	18420 2	20455 2	18825 3	18160 2	15994 2	17770 2	18848 2	17328 2	19662 4	17525 2	20180 2	16249 2	16086 2	15888 2	17522 2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hg	0.05	0.07	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.3	1.5	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4	<dl< td=""><td><DL</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><DL</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<DL	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><DL</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><DL</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><DL</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<DL	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""></dl<>
Ag Al As Ba Be C Ca Cd Ce Cl Co Cr Du Di Fe EBC 0.03 30 2 1 0.1 - 18 0.05 1 25772 0.11 1 1 0.1 18 5460 34 49 5460 34 49 5460 34 49 5460 34 61520 2 0.1 99428 17 31 18 19407 38860 0.5 45 49 33 5577 34 61520 2 0.1 99428 17 34 16 37 34 61520 3 0.2 77304 1 444 16 20130 34455 16 47 35 35 555 55 59 34 49 35 55 56 56 56 56 57 34 55 56 56	Ga	1	19	20	21	19	13	17	19	17	18	18	15	17	17	11	11	16	17	13	15	17	18	15	14	6	16
Ag M1 As Ba Bc C Ca Cd Ce Cl Co Cr Cu Dy EBC 0.08 75058 15 400 1.9 — 55772 0.1 74 18799 28 159 34 4.9 Station 1 0.2 83366 0.5 45 9137 58 37.3 3.4 4.9 2 0.11 2 77200 12 433 1.7 23985 16724 0.5 54 19367 45 4.0 5.2 2.9 3.5 2 0.11 77304 11 444 16 26130 30459 0.5 54 19367 45 43 3.3	Fe	18	54809	61520	60204	58739	41920	42924	55575	59807	55981	57184	53317	54953	54752	44443	36322	53178	52421	40678	38098	54163	54095	55180	44892	34787	55362
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dv	0.1	4.9	3.4	3.5	3.8	2.9	3.3	4.3	5.5	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.8	2.5	2.9	3.3	3.1	2.8	3.6	3.3	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.1	3.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cu	1	34	27	59	61	52	37	49	46	43	45	41	39	38	47	26	41	39	25	82	38	39	38	26	20	37
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cr	1	159	236	130	143	406	271	150	215	150	144	127	123	134	225	282	164	137	110	132	135	148	144	199	205	129
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Co	0.1	28	56	49	42	45	35	47	00	18	20	18	19	17	22	26	35	18	15	11	17	19	31	27	18	18
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CI	25	18799	9188	19324	40403	19955	8898	99480	78608	81648	91633	89910	42335	71403	27197	21240	52382	25344	27657	22925	49484	28585	91947	14237	21521	93550
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ce	1	74	45	43	52	54	00	66	64	55	53	51	52	56	44	48	52	50	43	72	54	53	55	44	36	53
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cd	0.05	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C_{a}	18	55772	38860	36465	16724	30459	45191	34296	36350	35702	33369	42200	32042	39601	61695	97046	41709	47399	95699	99480	53887	47476	42002	45408	88378	43589
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	U			19407	26009	23985	26130	23238	28418	31182	30762	26165	22902	22909	23458	12051	7101	6024	5178	5001	12014	7124	5146	4190	4056	3814	6234
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Be	0.1	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.7	1.3	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\mathbf{Ba}	1	400	341	317	433	444	495	322	311	276	292	284	297	288	291	292	279	290	259	302	290	304	271	289	203	285
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\mathbf{As}	2	15	18	17	12	11	19	20	19	28	27	13	15	19	30	10	18	21	25	10	26	14	13	15	9	23
$\begin{array}{c c} Ag \\ DL & 0.03 \\ EBC & 0.08 \\ Station & 1 & 0.0 \\ 1 & 0.2 \\ 2 & 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 6 & 0.4 \\ 7 & 0.4 \\ 6 & 0.4 \\ 7 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 0.2 \\ 110 & 0.2 \\ 111 & 0.2 \\ 112 & 0.3 \\ 112 & 0.3 \\ 112 & 0.3 \\ 112 & 0.3 \\ 113 & 0.2 \\ 113 & 0.2 \\ 114 & 0.1 \\ 115 & 0.2 \\ 116 & 0.3 \\ 117 & 0.1 \\ 117 & 0.1 \\ 118 & 0.7 \\ 119 & 0.1 \\ 128 & 0.7 \\ 12$	Al	30	75058	87252	99428	77200	77384	78301	56211	46636	49757	50171	56221	69585	48010	60788	58665	64940	77959	63298	70200	49540	78887	44757	74249	55908	51780
$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{DL} \\ \mathrm{EBC} \\ \mathrm{EBC} \\ \mathrm{Station} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	${\rm Ag}$	0.03	0.08	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3
		DL	EBC Station		2	33	4	5	9	7	×	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

Table 1. Elemental concentrations ($\mu g/g = ppm$) in Izmit Bay surface sediments. DL= detection limit in ppm. EBC= elemental background concentrations (in num) in eastern Marmara Sea calculated as the average concentrations

303

\mathbf{Zr}	1.1	66	22	91	112	72	80	77	81	72	76	78	81	80	56	52	83	73	54	78	76	69	72	70	36	76
\mathbf{Zn}	Ļ	63	171	110	135	107	75	159	139	158	163	147	124	133	156	79	112	114	66	240	25	117	98	82	59	112
Y	0.6	25	23	23	24	21	23	31	48	22	21	19	21	24	14	15	20	20	17	25	20	19	20	18	13	29
Λ	Ч	148	179	176	169	122	107	185	162	184	170	159	153	150	117	90	150	153	105	116	134	152	153	128	91	145
Ŋ	3.4	1.5	1.0	< DL	0.1	2.5	3.3	0.8	4.3	1.2	1.8	2.1	1.2	1.5	2.7	0.1	0.4	1.9	2.4	3.0	1.0	1.2	1.6	0.6	0.4	0.4
Ti	1	4615	5683	6327	5350	4302	4349	4872	4812	4467	4795	4647	4892	4699	3420	3343	5134	5186	3943	5088	4714	4924	5141	4963	3249	5061
Th	2.8	8.5	6.5	4.8	2.6	6.0	8.1	0.9	4.9	0.2	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	3.2	5.5	5.1	3.3	5.1	10.5	5.5	4.5	2.9	7.6	3.4	5.0
\mathbf{Sr}	1	250	134	124	154	184	226	176	173	191	172	203	154	172	231	504	180	197	313	403	218	212	182	181	233	184
Si	27.8	214626	217210	224613	210118	277935	279826	172541	153599	159822	164914	179249	204464	159179	255901	238320	207449	232452	232238	221406	167256	228587	162113	259116	234571	176525
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{C}}$	0.1	23	19	19	19	12	12	16	16	14	16	15	15	15	6	6	16	15	10	10	15	15	15	13	×	16
Sb	0.03	0.7	1.4	1.5	1.4	0.9	1.0	1.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.8	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.3
∞	9.9	4606	8722	7072	9048	7077	8041	8375	6254	9415	6693	6398	7611	7143	4926	3878	3558	3607	2843	7417	2683	3026	2137	2214	2785	2219
Rb	0.7	119	95	91	94	89	106	111	111	108	109	103	108	105	77	66	90	95	78	102	102	106	89	20	62	96
Pb	-	27	32	20	27	29	22	43	42	41	43	31	35	36	43	21	26	31	30	61	39	36	28	27	21	28
Ч	1	409	009	598	498	444	355	528	762	431	406	410	448	401	619	447	453	535	525	658	419	521	348	492	388	404
Ni	-	87	66	49	39	108	78	46	65	47	47	42	43	36	58	64	51	46	24	25	37	58	45	44	44	36
Nb	0.7	14	11	12	12	13	15	12	13	12	12	12	12	13	10	∞	12	12	10	21	14	13	12	10	∞	13
N_{a}	64	13568	12254	16712	26722	19265	11707	99937	57014	60417	93073	75842	26367	52187	19641	20191	54330	18229	23715	16539	36683	18077	90166	16169	14767	87348
Mo	0.1	1.9	4.1	4.8	8.1	6.2	7.2	8.1	8.5	8.9	5.3	4.6	2.8	3.8	5.2	2.7	1.5	<DL	<DL	3.3	<DL	<DL	1.8	<DL	1.1	<DL
Mn	1	3325	450	558	427	411	666	421	562	893	766	591	666	435	507	450	474	500	427	228	438	622	468	525	342	514
Mg	50	28350	24969	30329	20681	19517	17158	17089	13469	18337	14285	18792	24726	14501	17820	19481	19371	25806	20650	15467	15087	28436	12187	22033	21065	14757
	DL	EBC Station	1	2	က	4	5	9	7	×	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

Table 1. Continued

304

				i i																							
\mathbf{Zr}	1.1	66		77	91	112	72	80	77	81	72	76	78	81	80	56	52	83	73	54	78	76	69	72	70	36	76
\mathbf{Zn}	Ч	63		171	110	135	107	75	159	139	158	163	147	124	133	156	79	112	114	66	240	25	117	98	82	59	112
Х	0.6	25		23	23	24	21	23	31	48	22	21	19	21	24	14	15	20	20	17	25	20	19	20	18	13	29
$^{>}$	Ļ	148		179	176	169	122	107	185	162	184	170	159	153	150	117	00	150	153	105	116	134	152	153	128	91	145
Ŋ	3.4	1.5		1.0	<dl< td=""><td>0.1</td><td>2.5</td><td>3.3</td><td>0.8</td><td>4.3</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.8</td><td>2.1</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.5</td><td>2.7</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.4</td><td>1.9</td><td>2.4</td><td>3.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.6</td><td>0.6</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.4</td></dl<>	0.1	2.5	3.3	0.8	4.3	1.2	1.8	2.1	1.2	1.5	2.7	0.1	0.4	1.9	2.4	3.0	1.0	1.2	1.6	0.6	0.4	0.4
Τi	1	4615		5683	6327	5350	4302	4349	4872	4812	4467	4795	4647	4892	4699	3420	3343	5134	5186	3943	5088	4714	4924	5141	4963	3249	5061
$^{\mathrm{Th}}$	2.8	8.5		6.5	4.8	2.6	6.0	8.1	0.9	4.9	0.2	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	3.2	5.5	5.1	3.3	5.1	10.5	5.5	4.5	2.9	7.6	3.4	5.0
$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}$	Ļ	250		134	124	154	184	226	176	173	191	172	203	154	172	231	504	180	197	313	403	218	212	182	181	233	184
Si	27.8	214626		217210	224613	210118	277935	279826	172541	153599	159822	164914	179249	204464	159179	255901	238320	207449	232452	232238	221406	167256	228587	162113	259116	234571	176525
$_{\rm S}^{\rm C}$	0.1	23		19	19	19	12	12	16	16	14	16	15	15	15	6	6	16	15	10	10	15	15	15	13	×	16
Sb	0.03	0.7		1.4	1.5	1.4	0.9	1.0	1.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.8	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.3
S	9.9	4606		8722	7072	9048	7077	8041	8375	6254	9415	6693	6398	7611	7143	4926	3878	3558	3607	2843	7417	2683	3026	2137	2214	2785	2219
Rb	0.7	119		95	91	94	89	106	111	111	108	109	103	108	105	77	66	00	95	78	102	102	106	89	70	62	96
Pb	-	27		32	20	27	29	22	43	42	41	43	31	35	36	43	21	26	31	30	61	39	36	28	27	21	28
Ь	Ч	409		009	598	498	444	355	528	762	431	406	410	448	401	619	447	453	535	525	658	419	521	348	492	388	404
Ni	Ļ	87		66	49	39	108	78	46	65	47	47	42	43	36	58	64	51	46	24	25	37	58	45	44	44	36
dΝ	0.7	14		11	12	12	13	15	12	13	12	12	12	12	13	10	×	12	12	10	21	14	13	12	10	x	13
Na	64	13568		12254	16712	26722	19265	11707	99937	57014	60417	93073	75842	26367	52187	19641	20191	54330	18229	23715	16539	36683	18077	90166	16169	14767	87348
Mo	0.1	1.9		4.1	4.8	8.1	6.2	7.2	8.1	8.5	8.9	5.3	4.6	2.8	3.8	5.2	2.7	1.5	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>3.3</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>1.8</td><td><dl< td=""><td>1.1</td><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>3.3</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>1.8</td><td><dl< td=""><td>1.1</td><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	3.3	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>1.8</td><td><dl< td=""><td>1.1</td><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>1.8</td><td><dl< td=""><td>1.1</td><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	1.8	<dl< td=""><td>1.1</td><td><dl< td=""></dl<></td></dl<>	1.1	<dl< td=""></dl<>
Mn	1	3325		450	558	427	411	666	421	562	893	766	591	666	435	507	450	474	500	427	228	438	622	468	525	342	514
Mg	50	28350		24969	30329	20681	19517	17158	17089	13469	18337	14285	18792	24726	14501	17820	19481	19371	25806	20650	15467	15087	28436	12187	22033	21065	14757
	DL	EBC	Station	1	2	က	4	5	9	2	×	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

Table 1. Continued

305

between its detection limit and 0.2 ppm, with an average concentration of 0.1 ppm (Table 1). These data suggest that the central and inner bay surface sediments are considerably enriched in cadmium. Cobalt values are generally low (10-25 ppm) in outer and central İzmit Bay, but notably increase to 40-60 ppm in the northeastern outer bay and the inner bay (Fig. 5; Table 1). The background level of this metal in the deep Marmara Sea core is 28 ppm, which indicates that only surface sediments from the inner and northeastern segment of the central İzmit Bay are slightly enriched in cobalt. Copper values are moderate in the study area, with the highest values occurring in inner İzmit Bay surface sediments and at station 18 offshore of Tuzla (Fig. 5). Its abundance in the uncontaminated Marmara Sea sediments ranges between 22 and 59 ppm, with an average of 34 ppm (Table 1), which is nearly identical to the average copper concentration of 35 ppm in shales (Adriano, 1986). These data show that copper is only slightly enriched in central and inner İzmit Bay sediments (Fig. 5; Table 1).



Figure 3. Bar graphs showing the distribution and abundance (%) of total sulphur (top) and total organic carbon (bottom) in İzmit Bay surface sediments.



Figure 4. Bar graphs showing the distribution and abundance (ppm) of total concentrations of silver (top) and cadmium (bottom) in İzmit Bay surface sediments. Arrows indicate background concentrations.



Figure 5. Bar graphs showing the distribution and abundance (ppm) of total concentrations of cobalt (top) and copper (bottom) in İzmit Bay surface sediments. Arrows indicate background concentrations.

Mercury concentrations are highest (1.2-1.5 ppm) in inner and eastern central İzmit Bay surface sediments and show a dramatic decline to 0.5 ppm at the Dil Burnu Entrance (Fig. 2), west of which the Hg values are below the detection limit in all samples (Fig. 6; Table 1). The background level of mer-

cury in Marmara Sea core MAR97-25 is calculated to be 0.07 ppm, which indicates that all samples from the inner and central İzmit Bay are considerably enriched in mercury. The distribution of molybdenum concentrations in surface sediments is similar to that of mercury, with the highest values of 7.0-9.0 ppm occurring in inner and central İzmit Bay (Fig. 6). West of the Dil Burnu Entrance, Mo concentrations are generally less than 3 ppm; for 6 samples values were below the detection limit. The pre-industrial background level of 1.9 ppm for this metal in core MAR97-25 shows that it is enriched by 5-7 ppm in the inner and central İzmit Bay surface sediments (Table 1).

Nickel concentrations range between 30 and 110 ppm in İzmit Bay surface sediments, with the highest values occurring in the eastern central bay and the entrance to the inner bay (Table 1). Ergin et al. (1991) reports nickel values \sim 15-20% higher than those in this study. The background level for nickel is 87 ppm in the Marmara Sea core MAR97-25 (Table 1), so that except for one sample (station 4) none of the surface sediments in İzmit Bay show enrichment in nickel. Lead concentrations range between 21 and 61 ppm in İzmit Bay, with the highest values occurring in the central bay (30-50 ppm) and at station 18,

offshore of Tuzla (Fig. 7; Table 1). These values are nearly identical to previously reported lead values from İzmit Bay (Ergin et al., 1991). A background level of 27 ppm suggests that the surface sediments throughout the study area are slightly enriched in lead.

Arsenic concentrations range between 6 and 30 ppm in İzmit Bay surface sediments (Fig. 7; Table 1). The background level of 15 ppm suggests that sediments from the inner, central and eastern segments of outer Izmit Bay are moderately enriched in arsenic. Antimony concentrations are variable but high in the study area, ranging between 0.5 and 1.8 ppm, with the highest values occurring in the inner bay and along the northern shores of the central bay (Fig. 8). Antimony is rare in the uncontaminated Marmara Sea sediments (Table 1), with an average value of 0.7 ppm in core MAR97-25. This indicates that most of the inner and central bay surface sediments are moderately enriched in this metal (Table 1). Zinc values are moderate in Izmit Bay with slightly higher values in the central bay, and the highest value at station 18 (Fig. 8; Table 1). Ergin et al. (1991) reported zinc values 40-50% lower than in this study.



Figure 6. Bar graphs showing the distribution and abundance (ppm) of total concentrations of mercury (top) and molybdenum (bottom) in İzmit Bay surface sediments. Arrows indicate background concentrations.



Figure 7. Bar graphs showing the distribution and abundance (ppm) of total concentrations of arsenic (top) and lead (bottom) in İzmit Bay surface sediments. Arrows indicate background concentrations.



Figure 8. Bar graphs showing the distribution and abundance (ppm) of total concentrations of antimony (top) and zinc (bottom) in İzmit Bay surface sediments. Arrows indicate background concentrations.

Degree of sediment contamination

Figure 9 shows the geographical distribution of the normalized varimax components of factor 2 in Izmit Bay, where zones A to F correspond respectively to factor loadings of < 0.15, 0.15 - 0.30, 0.30-0.45, 0.45-0.60, 0.60-0.75 and >0.75. The highest concentrations of heavy metals associated with factor 2 occur in inner İzmit Bay (Zone F), east of the İpraş-Gölcük line (Fig.9). The geo-accumulation indices show that this zone is strongly to very strongly polluted in Hg $(2^5 \times 1.5 = 48$ -fold enrichments; Igeo=5), strongly polluted in Cd $(2^4 \times 1.5 = 24$ -fold enrichments; Igeo=4), and moderately polluted in Ag, Mo and Sb $(2^3 \times 1.5 = 6 \text{-fold enrichments}; \text{Igeo} = 3).$ However, the zone is either unpolluted (Igeo=0) or unpolluted to moderately polluted with respect to As, Cr, Co, Cu, P, Pb, Ti, V and Zn, despite the fact that these elements display concentrations above their background levels (Fig. 9).

Zone E is located along the northeast segment of central Izmit Bay and displays strong to very strong pollution in Cd and Hg (Igeo=5), moderate to strong pollution in Ag (Igeo=3) and moderate pollution in Mo and Sb (Igeo=2; Fig. 9). A trend of decreasing Igeo values is clearly visible across central Izmit bay towards the outer bay (Zones D through A). Zone D extends from Diliskelesi to Hereke along the northern shores of central Izmit Bay, encircling Zone E, and in the northwestern portion of the study area offshore Tuzla (Fig. 9). It shows strong to very strong pollution in Cd (Igeo=5), strong pollution in Hg (Igeo=4), moderate to strong pollution in Ag (Igeo=3) and moderate pollution in Mo (Igeo=2). Zone C forms a narrow band at the western margin of Zone D in central Izmit Bay and encircles the small zone offshore of Tuzla. The zone displays strong pollution in Cd (Igeo=4), moderate to strong pollution in Hg (Igeo=3) and moderate pollution in Ag (Igeo=2; Fig.

9). Zone B mainly occurs in shallower shelf depths of outer İzmit Bay, as well as the southwestern segment of the central bay. These sediments are largely unpolluted except for moderate to strong pollution in Cd (Igeo=3), and moderate pollution in Ag and Sb (Igeo=2; Fig. 9). Zone A is situated along the deeper axis of outer İzmit Bay and shows only moderate pollution in Cd and Sb (Igeo=2; Fig. 9). Despite notable total sedimentary concentrations of Cr, Ti and V, the geo-accumulation index shows that surface sediments in İzmit Bay are unpolluted (Igeo=0) with respect to these elements. Similarly, these sediments are unpolluted to moderately polluted (Igeo=1) with respect to As, Co, Cu, P, Pb and Zn.

Discussion

The following general sources can be identified for the pollution observed in the İzmit Bay surface sediments:

- Domestic pollution: untreated liquid and solid waste produced by the inhabitants of the cities, supplied to the bay by the sewage network and small creeks;
- Industrial pollution: untreated liquid and solid waste produced by over 120 industrial centres, also supplied to the bay by the sewage network and small creeks;
- 3) Atmospheric pollution: particulate emission produced by vehicles, trains and vessels; fly ash emission from coal- and fuel-oil-burning plants, by-products of open-air burning of municipal and industrial solid waste and garbage, all of which are eventually transported into the inner and central Izmir Bay by precipitation and drainage.



POLLUTION INTENSITY	Igeo	I _{geo} class	А	В	С	D	Е	F
very strongly polluted	>6	6						
strongly to very strongly polluted	>4-5	5				Cd	Cd Hg	Hg
strongly polluted	>3-4	4			Cd	Hg		Cd
moderately to strongly polluted	>2-3	3	Cd	Cd	Hg	Ag	Ag	
moderately polluted	>1-2	2	Sb	Ag Sb	Ag	Мо	Mo Sb	Ag Mo Sb
unpolluted to moderately polluted	>0-1	1	Ag As Cu	Mo Pb Zn	As Mo Pb Sb Zn	As Pb Sb Zn	Co P Pb Zn	Co Cu Zn
unpolluted	<0	0	Co Cr Hg Mo P Pb Ti V Zn	As Cu Co Hg Çr P Ti V	Cu Co Cr Ti V P	Cu Co Cr Ti V P	As Cr Cu Ti V	As Cr P Pb Ti V

Figure 9. Map of the study area showing the degree of sediment contamination by Ag, As, Cd, Co, Cu, Hg, Mo, Pb, Sb and Zn, discussed in text (top) and the index of geo-accumulation, Igeo (bottom) in İzmit Bay surface sediments.

There are numerous raw sewage outlets in the periphery of İzmit Bay, actively discharging $\sim 370,000$ $m^3 day^{-1}$ of waste water into the bay, including untreated sewage (TÇV, 1998). Approximately $\sim 51.4\%$ (190,000 m³ day⁻¹) of this discharge is domestic waste, 79% of which is discharged along the northern shores of Izmit Bay. Nearly all industrial waste is also untreated and is either discharged through the domestic sewage network or via a few small rivers and creeks. There are no data on the chemical composition of the sewage discharge into Izmit Bay. However, high total organic carbon in the surface sediments can be correlated with the organic waste input into the bay. For example, there are reports of periods of an intense foul smell emanating from the inner bay, particularly during the hot summer months. During these months, the dissolved oxygen levels in inner Izmit Bay decrease dramatically to values close to zero (TÇV, 1998), reflecting partial decomposition of organic waste in shallow waters, and suggesting that the bottom waters in this region must periodically become anoxic. Similar foul odours are reported along the shoreline seaward of the major sewage outfalls of smaller communities and industrial centres, particularly along the northern shores of the bay.

The high concentrations of total organic carbon in surface sediments (Fig. 3) reflect organic matter influx via effluent input, as well as input through the relatively high primary productivity in the water column (10-170 g C m² year⁻¹; TÇV, 1998). High phosphorus may be related to the use of detergents and the discharge of phosphate through the sewage system, particularly in central Izmit Bay (Table 1). Fly ash from coal- and petroleum-burning plants, as well as the İpraş Refinery, is probably the major source of sulphur in İzmit Bay surface sediments (Fig. 3). Oxidation of the organic waste probably accounts for the low dissolved oxygen levels observed within the entire İzmit Bay water column.

There are over 120 major industrial centres along İzmit Bay, largely concentrated along the northern shores (Fig. 10). Many of these industries empty their untreated effluent into İzmit Bay; however, there are no data available on the types and rates of heavy metal discharges from these industries. Fifteen major industries discharge their waste waters into the inner bay, including 3 large pulp mills and chlor-alkali plants, 4 pharmaceutical and chemical plants, 4 petrochemical plants, 3 steel factories/smelters, and a leather factory (TÇV, 1998). SEKA (Fig. 10) is the largest of the pulp mills/chloralkali plants and provides over 50% of the anthropogenic organic matter influx into Izmit Bay (TCV, 1998). A major proportion of the industrial effluent is supplied into the inner Izmit Bay via the Kumla River and its tributaries, including the discharges from a large slaughter house, a number of leatherprocessing plants and small smelters. Central İzmit Bay receives the effluent from 10 large industrial centres, including 4 chemical plants, 2 petroleum refineries, a chlor-alkali plant, a paper mill, a ceramic factory and two large textile factories (Fig. 10). Except for one large textile factory in Karamürsel, all these industries are concentrated along the northern shores of central Izmit Bay (Fig. 10). Three major industries discharge their waste into the outer bay, including a chemical plant, an automobile plant, and an appliance factory.



Figure 10. Map of İzmit Bay, showing the distribution of major industrial centres (data from TÇV, 1998).

Fly ash constitutes a major pollutant from coalburning and is known to contribute notable quantities of As, Cd, Cu, Mo, Pb, Sb, and Zn to the environment (Natusch et al., 1975). Nearly all the smelters in the region use coal as their primary fuel. The fly ash emanating from these plants initially settles over the greater city of Izmit and it is probably quickly washed by rain into the inner bay via the sewage network and the Kumla River. Leather tanning plants which employ large quantities of arsenic and chromium salts in the tanning procedure discharge their untreated waste products directly into inner Izmit Bay. Nearly all antifouling paints applied to commercial, naval and recreational vessels at the large military shipyard at Gölcük and the civilian shipyards and docks at Tuzla and Dil Iskelesi (Fig.

2) contain significant quantities of copper and lesser quantities of antimony, lead and mercury, probably contributing the high total sedimentary concentrations of these heavy metals in inner İzmit Bay as well as offshore of Tuzla (Figs. 5-8).

The main source of lead in the study area is probably automobile traffic. Until recently, the great majority of the cars in Turkey had no catalytic converters and burned leaded petrol. Lead sulphide ore has also been used since antiquity for the extraction of silver impurities (Patterson, 1971). The effluent from large chlor-alkali plants as well as industries which use bleach probably supply most of the mercury and mercury salts found in the İzmit Bay surface sediments. The correlation between the highest mercury values in inner İzmit Bay and the SEKA complex is noteworthy (Fig. 10). Until recently, mercurybearing compounds have been used in the prevention and control of industrial slime, and these compounds eventually ended up in inner Izmit Bay. Large batterv recycling plants are known for their potential for delivering mercury and lead compounds into the environment. Therefore, some mercury compounds are probably also supplied by the Kumla River. In the process of chromium- and nickel-plating and galvanizing, the surfaces to be plated are often cleaned using strong acids. This process leaches a number of heavy metals, which are released into Izmit Bay via the sewage network. Furthermore, various chromium, nickel and zinc pigments and compounds are used in metal plating, probably contributing some undeterminable quantities of these heavy metals into the bay via the Kumla River.

In the greater Izmit Bay region, cadmium is extensively used by various industries in the production of copper, lead, silver and aluminium alloys, and in various pigments. Some of the finest Turkish rugs are woven in Hereke, where cadmium, antimony, copper, cobalt and zinc salts are commonly used in the process of wool-dyeing. Cadmium salts are also widely used by the ceramic industries, and may also be contributed as wastes from zinc, lead and copper smelters. High cadmium abundances in central Izmit Bay, particularly along the northern shore (Fig. 4), appear to reflect the contributions from mainly the large ceramic plants and textile industries (Fig. 10). Silver in Izmit Bay surface sediments is probably largely supplied by photographic industries, with lesser contributions from the disposal of silver-zinc and silver-cadmium batteries.

Molybdenum is frequently found in municipal sludges at levels that are above the background concentrations (Adriano, 1986). In industrial regions it is mainly supplied by fly ash resulting from power generation using coal combustion, with estimates of 15 tonnes of Mo per year for every 1000 MW of power generated (Adriano, 1986). Molybdenum salts are also commonly used in fertilizers. The highest Mo concentrations in inner and central Izmit Bay, well above background levels, clearly suggest an industrial anthropogenic influx (Figs. 6, 10). The primary sources of vanadium in the study area are probably combustion byproducts of coal-burning plants and the fly ash from petroleum-fired plants in the form of vanadium pentoxide. Antimony is used in paints, lacquers, ceramic enamels and glass and pottery industries. It is also commonly used, alloyed

with lead, by manufacturing industries. The notable abundance of antimony in the inner and central İzmit Bay surface sediments, above background levels, suggests contamination mainly from shipyards, and ceramic factories.

Ergin et al. (1991) concluded that the surface sediments in Izmit Bay are basically uncontaminated by anthropogenic pollution. This study was primarilv based on the evaluation of the total sedimentary concentrations of a limited number of heavy metals: Cu, Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn. The inorganic geochemical data presented in this paper strongly contradicts the conclusions of Ergin et al. (1991) and clearly show that the surface sediments in Izmit Bay are moderately to very strongly polluted with respect to Ag, Cd, Hg, Mo and Sb, with Igeo values between 2 and 5, and unpolluted to moderately polluted with respect to As, Co, Cu, Pb, and Zn, with Igeo values between 0 and 1. These data indicate that anthropogenic pollution must be viewed seriously within this embayment. The heaviest contamination is observed along the northeastern segment of the central bay offshore of Hereke and İpraş (Zone E) and the entire inner bay (Zone F; Fig. 9), reflecting the high concentrations of chemical, petroleum, chlor-alkali and pharmaceutical industries (Fig. 10). The data conclusively show that the anthropogenic pollution observed in inner and central Izmit Bay is almost exclusively confined to these regions by the shallow sill at the Dil Burnu Entrance (Fig. 9). The low tidal range and restricted water circulation between the central and outer parts of Izmit Bay control this confinement.

Most previous studies along the coastal waters of Turkey focussed on the surficial sedimentary concentrations of only a limited numbers of elements; thus, the distinction between the natural and anthropogenic elemental associations was not always possible (e.g., Ergin et al., 1991). In many instances, the sedimentary concentration of an element is assumed to be anthropogenic, because of the involvement of that element in polluted sediments elsewhere. The present study highlights the importance of a broader elemental data set in the assessment of anthropogenic pollution in small coastal embayments.

Increased concentrations of heavy metals in marine sediments enhance the importance of sediments as a direct source of potential toxins in nearshore environments. However, sedimentary geochemistry data, such as those presented here, provide no evidence for biological damage in a marine environment. Therefore, a comprehensive assessment of marine pollution should ideally include coupled studies linking the levels of pollutants in the seawater with those in the surface sediments, and the seawater and sediment with tissues in various biota. Furthermore, the bio-availability of a heavy metal is critical in the assessment of its damage in the ecosystem. Thus, studies determining the chemical speciation of heavy metals are important.

Conclusions

1. Inorganic geochemical data show that inner and central İzmit Bay surface sediments display high abundances of total organic carbon, S and P, associated with enrichments in Ag, As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Hg, Mo, P, Pb, Sb, Ti, V, and Zn, which are above their pre-industrial background levels. Distribution maps illustrate that heavy metal concentrations are highest in central and inner İzmit Bay, dramatically decreasing near Dil Burnu Entrance.

2. The geo-accumulation indices show that the surface sediments of central and inner İzmit Bay are moderately to very strongly polluted with respect to Ag, Cd, Hg, Mo and Sb, and unpolluted to moderately polluted with respect to As, Co, Cu, Pb, and Zn. Despite total sedimentary concentra-

tions above their pre-industrial background levels, geo-accumulation indices show that the surface sediments in İzmit Bay are unpolluted with respect to Cr, Ti and V.

3. Except for a localized area offshore of Tuzla, outer İzmit Bay is generally unpolluted with respect to heavy metals.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Dr. Erol Izdar, the Director of the Piri Reis Foundation for Maritime and Marine Resources Development and Education, for his continual support and encouragement. We extend our special thanks to the officers and crew of the RV KocaPiriReis for their assistance in data acquisition, in particular Captain Mehmet Özsaygılı and Chief Engineer Ömer Cubuk. We acknowledge research funds from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) to Aksu (OGP0042760) and special grants from the Piri Reis Foundation for Maritime and Marine Resources Development and Education. Hüseyin Avni Benli (Efe) of the Institute of Marine Sciences and Technology and Richard Hiscott of Memorial University of Newfoundland critically read the manuscript and provided many valuable comments.

Reference

Adriano, D.C., Trace elements in the terrestrial environments. Springer- Verlag, New York, Berlin, 533 1986.

Aksu, A.E., Yaşar, D. and Mudie, P.J., "Paleoclimatic and paleoceanographic circumstances leading to the development of sapropel layer S1 in the Aegean Sea Basins". Paleogeography Paleoclimatology Paleoecology, 116: 71-101, 1995.

Aksu, A.E., Yaşar, D. and Uslu, O., "Assessment of pollution in Izmir Bay - heavy metal and organic compound concentrations in surficial sediments". Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences, 22: 387-415, 1998

Ergin, M., Saydam, C., Baştürk, Ö., Erdem, E. and Yörük, R., "Heavy metal concentraitons in surface sediments from the two coastal inlets (Golden Horn Estuary and İzmit Bay) of the northeastern Sea of Marmara". Chemical Geology, 91: 269-285, 1991.

Ergin, M., Bodur, M.N., Yıldız, M., Ediger, D., Ediger, V., Yemencioğlu, S. and Yücesoy, F., "Sedimentation rates in the Sea of Marmara: a comparison of results based on organic carbon-primary productivity and 210Pb dating". Continental Shelf Research, 14: 1371-1387, 1994.

Evans, G., Erten, H., Alavi, S.N., Von Gunten, H.R. and Ergin, M., "Superficial deep-water sediments of the eastern Marmara basin". Geo-Marine Letters, 9, 27-36, 1989.

Klovan, J.E. and Imbrie, J., "An algorithm for Fortran-IV program for large-scale Q-mode factor analysis and calculation of factor scores". Journal of Mathematical Geology, 3: 61-77, 1971.

Landing, W.M. and Lewis, B.L., "Thermodynamic modelling of trace metal speciation in the Black Sea". In: E. İzdar and J.W. Murray (Eds.), Black Sea Oceanography, NATO ASI Series C, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 351: 125-160, 1991.

Longerich, H.P., "Application of a new treated LiF crystal to X-ray fluorescence analysis of geological samples". X-Ray Spectrometry, 22: 114-118, 1993.

Müller, G., Schwermetalle in der Sedimenten des Rheins - Vernderungen seit 1971. Umschau 72: 192-193, 1979. Natusch, D.F.S., Bauer, C.F., Matusiewicz, H., Evans, C.A., Baker, J., Loh, A, Linton, R.W. and Hopke, P.K., Characterization of trace elements in fly ash. International Conference on Heavy Metals in the Environment, Symposium Proceedings, October 27-31, 1975, Volume II, Part 2, 553-575. Pathways and Cycling, Toronto, Canada.

Patterson, C.C., "Native copper, silver and gold accessible to early metallurgists". American Antiquity, 36: 286-321, 1971.

Taymaz, K., Yiğit, V., Özbal, H., Geritoğlu, A. and Müftügil, N., "Heavy metal concentrations in water sediment and fish from İzmit Bay". Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 16: 263-265, 1983.

Turekian, K.K. and Wedepohl, K.H., "Distribution of elements in some major units of the Earth's crust". Geological Society of America Bulletin, 72: 175-192, 1961.

TÇV, Environmental Issues in Turkey (Türkiye Çevre Sorunları), Türkiye Çevre Vakfı Yayını, 131, 464, 1988.