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#### Abstract

We consider Hill's equation $y^{\prime \prime}+(\lambda-q) y=0$ where $q \in L^{1}[0, \pi]$. We show that if $l_{n}$-the length of the $n-t h$ instability interval- is of order $O\left(n^{-k}\right)$ then the real Fourier coefficients $a_{n}, b_{n}$ of $q$ are of the same order $\operatorname{for}(k=1,2,3)$, which in turn implies that $q^{(k-2)}$, the $(k-2) t h$ derivative of $q$, is absolutely continuous almost everywhere for $k=2,3$.


## 1. Introduction

We consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+(\lambda-q(t)) y(t)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $[0, \pi]$ where $\lambda$ is a real parameter, $q(t)$ is integrable over the interval $[0, \pi]$ which may be extended to the real line by periodicity. We associate three types of boundary conditions with (1) over $[0, \pi]$ :

- periodic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=y(\pi), y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(\pi), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- semi-periodic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=-y(\pi), y^{\prime}(0)=-y^{\prime}(\pi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## COSKUN

- auxiliary boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\tau)=y(\tau+\pi)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \tau<\pi$.

Let $\lambda_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ denote the periodic eigenvalues of the problem (1) and (2), and $\mu_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ the semi-periodic eigenvalues of the problem (1) and (3), and $\Lambda_{n}(\tau),(n=1,2, \ldots)$ the auxiliary eigenvalues of (1) with condition (4). It is well known that, see for example [4],

$$
\lambda_{0}<\mu_{0} \leq \mu_{1}<\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2}<\mu_{2} \leq \mu_{3}<\ldots
$$

We define the instability intervals of (1) as follows:
$I_{0}=\left(-\infty, \lambda_{0}\right), I_{2 m+1}=\left(\mu_{2 m}, \mu_{2 m+1}\right), I_{2 m+2}=\left(\lambda_{2 m+1}, \lambda_{2 m+2}\right)$, and for $n \geq 1$ their lengths by $l_{n}$. It is shown in [10] that (1) with (4) is equivalent to the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime \prime}(t)+(\lambda-q(t+\tau)) y(t)=0  \tag{5}\\
& y(0)=y(\pi)=0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Some asymptotic estimates for the eigenvalues and instability intervals of (1) are provided in $[6],[7]$, respectively. We suppose without loss of generality that

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} q(t) d t=0
$$

and let $a_{n}, b_{n}$ denote the real Fourier coeeficients of $q$ on $[0, \pi]$, i.e,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} q(t) \cos (2 n t) d t, b_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} q(t) \sin (2 n t) d t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result of the needs of modern physics, inverse problems became a hot research area. One of the earliest such problems formulated and solved by Ambarzumian[1]. In 1929, he considered the following question:

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+(\lambda-q(t)) y(t)=0
$$
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and

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\lambda y=0
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(\pi)=0
$$

with the same eigenvalues. What can be said about $q(z)$ ? Ambarzumian's answer was that $q(z)=0$.

Borg[4] considered the general problem of what can be said about $q(z)$ from a knowledge of spectrum. Similar problems have also been investigated by Hochstadt[10] and Ungar[12]. Now, we state a result proven independently by Hochstadt and Ungar.

Theorem 1.1 [10] If $q(z)$ is real and integrable, and if all finite instability intervals vanish then $q(z)=0$ almost everwhere.

In this paper we assume that all finite instability intervals are $O\left(n^{-k}\right)$ and show that $a_{n}, b_{n}=O\left(n^{-k}\right)$, from which we deduce that $q^{(k-2)} \quad$ is absolutely continuous a.e. $(k=$ $2,3)$.

Central to our analysis is the following theorem of Hochstadt [10] which involves the auxiliary eigenvalues of (1) considered on the interval $[\tau, \tau+\pi]$ where $0 \leq \tau<\pi$ with boundary conditions

$$
y(\tau)=y(\tau+\pi)=0
$$

Theorem 1.2 [10] The ranges of $\Lambda_{2 m}(\tau)$ and $\Lambda_{2 m+1}(\tau)$, as functions of $\tau$ are $\left[\mu_{2 m}, \mu_{2 m+1}\right]$ and $\left[\lambda_{2 m+1}, \lambda_{2 m+2}\right]$ respectively.

Remark: We make use of the Theorem 1.2 in the sense that if all finite instability intervals are $O\left(n^{-k}\right)$ then $\Lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{2}\right)-\Lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=O\left(n^{-k}\right)$ for any $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in[0, \pi)$.

We also state a sequence of Lemmas which will be used in proving our results. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} q(z) e^{-2 i n z} d z \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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be the Fourier coefficient of $q(z)$ over $[0, \pi]$.

Lemma 1.1 [10] Let $q(z)$ be periodic with period $\pi$, integrable over $[0, \pi]$ and such that

$$
c_{n}=O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $q(z)$ is absolutely continuous almost everywhere.

Lemma 1.2 Let $q(z)$ be periodic with period $\pi$, integrable over $[0, \pi]$ and such that

$$
c_{n}=O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3}}\right)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $q^{\prime}(z)$ is absolutely continuous almost everywhere.
Proof. The proof of Lemma 1.1 goes through.

Lemma 1.3 [9] For $k=1,2,3, \ldots, \tau \leq t \leq \tau+\pi$

$$
\Theta(t, \tau)-\Theta_{k}(t, \tau)=o\left(\Lambda^{-k / 2}\right)
$$

as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$.
Lemma 1.4 [2] For $q$ integrable and for any $x_{1}, x_{2}$ such that $\tau \leq x_{1}<x_{2} \leq \tau+\pi$

$$
\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} q(t) \sin \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right) d t=o(1)
$$

as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we introduce the function $\Theta(t, \Lambda, \tau)$, the so-called modified Prüfer transformation of [2], which is defined for any given solution of (1) as

$$
\tan \Theta(t, \Lambda, \tau)=\frac{\Lambda^{1 / 2} y(t, \tau)}{y^{\prime}(t, \tau)}
$$
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for $\tau \leq t \leq \tau+\pi$. This fixes $\Theta$ to within additive multiples of $\pi$. For definiteness we assume that $0 \leq \Theta(t, \tau) \leq \pi$ and observe that the boundary conditions (4) correspond to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(t, \tau)=0, \Theta(t, \tau+\pi)=(n+1) \pi \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

similarly the boundary conditions (6) correspond to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(t, 0)=0, \Theta(t, \pi)=(n+1) \pi \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

¿From now on, we supress the dependence of $\Theta$ on $\Lambda$ and write $\Theta(t, \tau)$ instead of $\Theta(t, \Lambda, \tau)$. Under the Prüfer transformation the differential equation corresponding to (1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{\prime}(t, \tau)=\Lambda^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} q(t)+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} q(t) \cos (2 \Theta(t, \tau)) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(t, \tau)=\left(\Lambda^{1 / 2}\right)(t-\tau)-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} \int_{\tau}^{t} q(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} q(t) \int_{\tau}^{t} q(s) \cos (2 \Theta(s, \tau)) d s \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a sequence of approximating functions for (12) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{1}(t, \tau) & :=\left(\Lambda^{1 / 2}\right)(t-\tau)-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} \int_{\tau}^{t} q(s) d s \\
\Theta_{k+1}(t, \tau) & :=\Theta_{1}(t, \tau)+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} \int_{\tau}^{t} q(s) \cos \left(2 \Theta_{k}(s, \tau)\right) d s \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots$, and $\tau \leq t \leq \tau+\pi$.

## 2. The Results

Theorem 2.1 For any integer $k$, the auxiliary eigenvalues of (1), as functions of $\tau$, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) \pi=\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}(\tau) \pi+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} \int_{0}^{\pi} q(t+\tau) \cos \left(2 \Theta_{k}(t, \tau)\right) d t+O\left(n^{-(k+1)}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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Proof. We consider the differential equation (5) with the boundary conditions (6). From (11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{\prime}(t, \tau)=\Lambda^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} q(t+\tau)+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} q(t+\tau) \cos (2 \Theta(t, \tau)) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also know from Lemma 1.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(t, \tau)-\Theta_{k}(t, \tau)=o\left(\Lambda^{-k / 2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (2 \Theta(t, \tau))=\cos \left(2 \Theta_{k}(t, \tau)\right)+O\left(\Lambda^{-k / 2}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (17) into (15) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta^{\prime}(t, \tau)=\Lambda^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} q(t+\tau)+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} q(t+\tau) \cos \left(2 \Theta_{k}(t, \tau)\right)+O\left(\Lambda^{-\frac{(k+1)}{2}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (18) with respect to $\tau$ on $[0, \pi]$ and using (10) we complete the proof.

Corollary 2.1 As $n \rightarrow \infty$ the auxiliary eigenvalues of (1), as functions of $\tau$, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}(\tau)=(n+1)+\frac{1}{(n+1)} F_{1}(n, \tau)+O\left(n^{-2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(n, \tau)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} q(t+\tau) \cos (2(n+1) t) d t \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (13), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(2 \theta_{1}(t, \tau)\right)=\cos \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right)+O\left(\Lambda^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (21) into (14) and using reversion we complete the proof.

Theorem 2.2 Let $q(t)$ be real-valued integrable function on $[0, \pi]$. If $l_{n}=O\left(n^{-1}\right)$, then $a_{n}, b_{n}=O\left(n^{-1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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Proof. It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(n, \tau)=-\frac{1}{4}\left[\cos (2(n+1) \tau) a_{n+1}+\sin (2(n+1) \tau) b_{n+1}\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n+1}$ and $b_{n+1}$ are defined in (7), and $F_{1}(n, \tau)$ is given by (20). From Corollary 2.1, for any $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in[0, \pi)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{2}\right)-\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{1}\right) & =\frac{1}{4(n+1)}\left\{\left[\cos \left(2(n+1) \tau_{1}\right)-\cos \left(2(n+1) \tau_{2}\right)\right] a_{n+1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\sin \left(2(n+1) \tau_{1}\right)-\sin \left(2(n+1) \tau_{2}\right)\right] b_{n+1}\right\}+O\left(n^{-2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2(n+1)}\left\{\sin \left((n+1)\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right) \sin \left((n+1)\left(\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)\right) a_{n+1}\right. \\
& \left.+\cos \left((n+1)\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right) \sin \left((n+1)\left(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2}\right)\right) b_{n+1}\right\}+O\left(n^{-2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2(n+1)} \sin \left((n+1)\left(\tau_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)\right)\left\{\sin \left((n+1)\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right) a_{n+1}\right. \\
& \left.-\cos \left((n+1)\left(\tau_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)\right) b_{n+1}\right\}+O\left(n^{-2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from the assumption that $l_{n}=O\left(n^{-1}\right)$ and Lemma 1.4.

$$
\Lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{2}\right)-\Lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=O\left(n^{-1}\right)
$$

and hence of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{2}\right)-\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)=\frac{\Lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{2}\right)-\Lambda_{n}\left(\tau_{1}\right)}{\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{2}\right)+\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{1}\right)}=O\left(n^{-2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result follows from (23) and (24).

Corollary 2.2 As $n \rightarrow \infty$ the auxiliary eigenvalues of (1), as functions of $\tau$, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}(\tau)=(n+1)+\frac{1}{(n+1)} F_{1}(n, \tau)+\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}} F_{2}(n, \tau)+O\left(n^{-3}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}(n, \tau)$ is given by (20) and

$$
F_{2}(n, \tau)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} q(t+\tau)\left(\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) d s\right) \sin (2(n+1) t) d t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} q(t+\tau)\left(\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) \cos (2(n+1) s) d s\right) \sin (2(n+1) t) d t \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (13) we observe that for $k=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\cos \left(2 \Theta_{2}(t, \tau)\right) & =\cos \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right)+\Lambda^{-1 / 2} \sin \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) d s\right) \\
& -\Lambda^{-1 / 2} \sin \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) \cos \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} s\right) d s\right)+O\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (27) into (14) and using reversion we complete the proof.

Theorem 2.3 Let $q(t)$ be real-valued integrable function on $[0, \pi]$. If $l_{n}=O\left(n^{-2}\right)$ then $a_{n}, b_{n}=O\left(n^{-2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Since $l_{n}=O\left(n^{-2}\right)$ by assumption, it is $O\left(n^{-1}\right)$ as well. Hence $a_{n}, b_{n}=O\left(n^{-1}\right)$ by Theorem 2.2. From this and Lemma 1.4 we observe that

$$
F_{2}(n, \tau)=O\left(n^{-1}\right)
$$

Therefore, (25) reduces to

$$
\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}(\tau)=(n+1)+\frac{1}{(n+1)} F_{1}(n, \tau)+O\left(n^{-3}\right)
$$

By a similar argument in Theorem 2.2, we complete the proof.
Corollary 2.3 Let $q(t)$ be a real-valued integrable function on $[0, \pi]$. If $l_{n}=O\left(n^{-2}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $q(t)$ is absolutely continuous almost everywhere.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 1.1
Corollary 2.4 As $n \rightarrow \infty$ the auxiliary eigenvalues of (1), as functions of $\tau$, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}^{1 / 2}(\tau)=(n+1)+\frac{1}{(n+1)} F_{1}(n, \tau)+\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}} F_{2}(n, \tau)+\frac{1}{(n+1)^{3}} F_{3}(n, \tau)+O\left(n^{-4}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}(n, \tau)$ is given by (20), $F_{2}(n, \tau)$ is given by (26) and
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$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{3}(n, \tau)=-\frac{1}{4(n+1)^{3} \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} q(t+\tau)\left[\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) d s-\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) \cos (2(n+1) s) d s\right]^{2} \\
& \times \cos (2(n+1) t) d t \\
&+\frac{1}{2(n+1)^{3} \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} q(t+\tau)\left(\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau)\left(\int_{0}^{s} q(l+\tau) d l\right) \sin (2(n+1) s) d s\right) \\
& \times \sin (2(n+1) t) d t \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (13) for $k=2$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\cos \left(2 \Theta_{3}(t, \tau)\right) & =\cos \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right)+\Lambda^{-1 / 2} \sin \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) d s\right) \\
& -\Lambda^{-1 / 2} \sin \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) \cos \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} s\right) d s\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) d s-\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau) \cos \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} s\right) d s\right)^{2} \cos \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right) \\
& -\Lambda^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} q(s+\tau)\left(\int_{0}^{s} q(l+\tau) d l\right) \sin \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} s\right) d s\right) \sin \left(2 \Lambda^{1 / 2} t\right) \\
& +O\left(\Lambda^{-3 / 2}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (30) into (14), and using reversion we complete the proof.
Theorem 2.4 Let $q(t)$ be real-valued integrable function on $[0, \pi]$. If $l_{n}=O\left(n^{-3}\right)$ then $a_{n}, b_{n}=O\left(n^{-3}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Since $l_{n}=O\left(n^{-3}\right)$ by assumption, it is $O\left(n^{-2}\right)$ as well. Hence $a_{n}, b_{n}=O\left(n^{-2}\right)$ by Theorem 2.3. Therefore, the terms involving $F_{2}(n, \tau)$ and $F_{3}(n, \tau)$ in (28) are included in the error term by Lemma 1.4. By a similar argument in Theorem 2.2 we complete the proof.

Corollary 2.5 Let $q(t)$ be a real-valued function on $[0, \pi]$. If $l_{n}=O\left(n^{-3}\right)$ then $q^{\prime}(t)$ is absolutely continuous almost everywhere.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 1.2.
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