Some Characterization of Curves of Constant Breadth in \mathbf{E}^n Space

Zülfigar Akdoğan, Abdullah Mağden

Abstract

In this paper, the concepts concerning the space of constant breadth were extended to E^n -space. An approximate solution of the equation system which belongs to this curve was obtained. Using this solution vectorial expression of the curves of constant breadth was obtained. The relation $\int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{f}(s) ds = 0$ between the curvatures of curves of constant breadth in E^n was obtained.

Key Words and Phrases: Curvature, Constant Breadth, Integral Characterization of Curve

1. Introduction

Curves of constant breadth were introduced by L.Euler [3]. F. Reuleaux gave a method obtaining some curves of constant breadth and has found use in the kinematics of machinary [11]. Some authors have obtained the geometric properties of plane curves of constant breadth [2], [7].

W.Blascke defined the curve of constant breadth on the sphere [1] and M. Fujivara had obtained a problem to determine whether there exist "space curve of constant breadth" or not, and he defined "breadth" for space curves and obtained these curves on a surface of constant breadth [4]. Ö. Köse presented some concepts for space curves of constant breadth [8]. M. Sezer investigated differential equations characterizing space curves of constant breadth and gave a criterion for these curves [12]. A.R. Forsyt had given the

theory of curves in E^4 [5]. The curves of constant breadth were extended to the E^4 -space and some characterizations were obtained by [9].

Definition 1 In E^n Euclidean space, if a normal hyperplane on a X(s) = P point of a simple closed (C) curve meets (C) curve at single Q point other then P point, Q point is called opposit point of P.

In E^n Euclidean space, if the distance between opposite points of simple closed C curve is constant, this curve is called the curve of constant breadth.

In this paper, this kind of curves were extended to E^n -space and some characterizations were obtained.

2. The Curves of Constant Breadth

Let $\vec{X} = \vec{X}(s)$ be a simple closed curve in E^n -space. These curves will be denoted by (C). The normal plane at every point P on the curve meets the curve at a single point Q other then P. We call the point Q the opposite point of P. We consider a curve having parallel tangents \vec{V}_1 and \vec{V}_1^* in opposite directions at the opposite points X and X^* of the curve. A simple closed curve of constant breadth having parallel tangents in opposite directions at opposite points can be represented by the quation

$$\vec{X}^*(s) = \vec{X}(s) + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(s) V_i(s),$$
(1)

where \vec{X} and $\vec{X^*}$ are opposite points and $\vec{V_i}$ denote the Frenet-Serret frame in E^n -space. We have from equation (1)

$$\frac{dX^*}{ds} = \frac{dX^*}{ds^*} \frac{ds^*}{ds} = V_1^* \frac{ds^*}{ds}$$

$$= (1 + \frac{dm_1}{ds} - m_2 k_1)V_1 + (\frac{dm_2}{ds} + m_1 k_1 - m_3 k_2)V_2$$

$$+ (\frac{dm_3}{ds} + m_2 k_2 - m_4 k_3)V_3 + (\frac{dm_4}{ds} + m_3 k_3 - m_5 k_4)V_4 + \cdots$$

$$+ (\frac{dm_{n-1}}{ds} + m_{n-2} k_{n-2} - m_n k_{n-1})V_{n-1} + (\frac{dm_n}{ds} + m_{n-1} k_{n-1})V_n,$$
(2)

where k_i is the curvatures of the curve. Since $\vec{V_1^*} = -\vec{V_1}$, we obtain

$$-\frac{ds^{*}}{ds} = 1 + \frac{dm_{1}}{ds} - m_{2}k_{1}$$

$$\frac{dm_{2}}{ds} + m_{1}k_{1} - m_{3}k_{2} = 0$$

$$\frac{dm_{3}}{ds} + m_{2}k_{2} - m_{4}k_{3} = 0$$

$$\frac{dm_{4}}{ds} + m_{3}k_{3} - m_{5}k_{4} = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{dm_{n-1}}{ds} + m_{n-2}k_{n-2} - m_{n}k_{n-1} = 0$$

$$\frac{dm_{n}}{ds} + m_{n-1}k_{n-1} = 0.$$
(3)

If we call ϕ as the angle between the tangent of the curve (C) at point X(s) with a given fixed direction and consider $\frac{d\phi}{ds} = k_1$, we can rewrite equation (3) as

$$\frac{dm_{1}}{d\phi} = m_{2} - f(\phi)
\frac{dm_{2}}{d\phi} = -m_{1} + \rho k_{2} m_{3}
\frac{dm_{3}}{d\phi} = -\rho k_{2} m_{2} + \rho k_{3} m_{4}
\vdots
\frac{dm_{n-1}}{d\phi} = -\rho k_{n-2} m_{n-2} + \rho k_{n-1} m_{n}
\frac{dm_{n}}{d\phi} = -\rho k_{n-1} m_{n-1},$$
(4)

where $f(\phi) = \rho + \rho^*$, $\rho = \frac{1}{k_1}$ and $\rho^* = \frac{1}{k_1^*}$ denote the radii of curvatures at X and X^{*}, respectively. If $\frac{dm_i}{d\phi} = m'_i$, then equation (4) can be written as

where the functions ρ and k_i are a function of ϕ and

$$m_{2} = h_{1}^{-1}(m'_{1} + h_{0}f) m_{3} = h_{2}^{-1}(m'_{2} + h_{1}m_{1}) m_{4} = h_{3}^{-1}(m'_{3} + h_{2}m_{2}) \vdots m_{n-1} = h_{n-2}^{-1}(m'_{n-2} + h_{n-3}m_{n-3}) m_{n} = h_{n-1}^{-1}(m'_{n-1} + h_{n-2}m_{n-2})$$

$$(6)$$

where $h_0 = 1$ and $h_i^{-1} = \frac{1}{\rho k_i}$, (i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n - 1). From first equation of equation system (6) we obtain

$$m'_{2} = h_{1}^{-1}m''_{1} + (h_{1}^{-1})'m'_{1} + (h_{1}^{-1}f)'.$$

Here if $a_{22} = h_{1}^{-1}$, $a_{21} = (h_{1}^{-1})'$ and $A_{1} = (h_{1}^{-1}f)'$, then
 $m'_{2} = a_{22}m''_{1} + a_{21}m'_{1} + A_{1}$ (7)

having second derivative this will be

$$m_2'' = a_{22}m_1^{(3)} + (a_{22}' + a_{21})m_1^{(2)} + a_{21}'m_1^{(1)} + A_1'.$$
(8)

If we put equation (8) in the derivation of second equation of equation system (6), we get the following equation

$$m'_{3} = h_{2}^{-1}a_{22}m_{1}^{(3)} + [(h_{2}^{-1}a_{22})' + h_{2}^{-1}a_{21}]m_{1}^{(2)} + [(h_{2}^{-1}a_{21})' + h_{2}^{-1}h_{1}]m_{1}^{(1)} + h_{2}^{-1}h_{1}m_{1} + (h_{2}^{-1}A_{1})'.$$
(9)

After using abbreviations $a_{33} = h_2^{-1}a_{22}, a_{32} = (h_2^{-1}a_{22})' + h_2^{-1}a_{21}, a_{31} = (h_2^{-1}a_{21})' + h_2^{-1}h_1, a_{30} = a'_{20}, a_{20} = h_2^{-1}h_1, A_2 = (h_2^{-1}A_1)'$ the equation (9) is shortened as below

$$m'_{3} = a_{33}m_{1}^{(3)} + a_{32}m_{1}^{(2)} + a_{31}m_{1}^{(1)} + a_{30}m_{1} + A_{2}.$$
 (10)

Second derivation of this equation will be

$$m_{3}^{\prime\prime} = a_{33}m_{1}^{(4)} + (a_{33}^{\prime} + a_{32})m_{1}^{(3)} + (a_{32}^{\prime} + a_{31})m_{1}^{(2)} + (a_{31}^{\prime} + a_{30})m_{1}^{(1)} + a_{30}^{\prime}m_{1} + A_{2}^{\prime}.$$
(11)

If we put equation (11) in the derivation of third equation of equation system (6), we get following equation

$$m'_{4} = h_{3}^{-1}a_{33}m_{1}^{(4)} + [(h_{3}^{-1}a_{33})' + h_{3}^{-1}a_{32}]m_{1}^{(3)} + [(h_{3}^{-1}a_{32})' + h_{3}^{-1}a_{31} + h_{3}^{-1}h_{2}a_{22}]m_{1}^{(2)} + [(h_{3}^{-1}a_{31})' + h_{3}^{-1}a_{30} + h_{3}^{-1}h_{2}a_{21} + h_{3}^{-1}h_{2}h_{1}^{-1}]m_{1}^{(1)} + (h_{3}^{-1}a_{30})'m_{1} + (h_{3}^{-1}A_{2})' + h_{3}^{-1}h_{2}A_{1} + h_{3}^{-1}h_{2}h_{1}^{-1}f.$$

$$(12)$$

Here if we do following abbreviations

$$a_{44} = h_3^{-1}a_{33}, a_{43} = (h_3^{-1}a_{33})' + h_3^{-1}a_{32}, a_{42} = (h_3^{-1}a_{32})' + h_3^{-1}a_{31} + h_3^{-1}h_2a_{22}$$

$$a_{41} = (h_3^{-1}a_{31})' + h_3^{-1}a_{30} + h_3^{-1}h_2a_{21} + h_3^{-1}h_2h_1^{-1}, a_{40} = (h_3^{-1}a_{30})'$$

$$A_3 = (h_3^{-1}A_2)' + h_3^{-1}h_2A_1 + h_3^{-1}h_2h_1^{-1}f,$$

the equation (12) is turned to

$$m'_{4} = a_{44}m_{1}^{(4)} + a_{43}m_{1}^{(3)} + a_{42}m_{1}^{(2)} + a_{41}m_{1}^{(1)} + a_{40}m_{1} + A_{3}.$$
 (13)

If this process is going on like that, then derivation of m_n can be written by the power of derivation of m_1 as following

$$m'_{n} = h_{n-1}^{-1} a_{(n-1)(n-1)} m_{1}^{(n)} + [(h_{n-1}^{-1} a_{(n-1)(n-1)})' + h_{n-1}^{-1} a_{(n-1)(n-2)}] m_{1}^{(n-1)} + \dots + [(h_{n-1}^{-1} a_{(n-1)0})' + \dots + (h_{n-1}^{-1} h_{n-2})' h_{n-3}^{-1} h_{n-4} h_{n-5}^{-1} \dots h_{4} h_{3}^{-1} a_{30}] m_{1} + (h_{n-1}^{-1} A_{n-2})' + h_{n-1}^{-1} h_{n-2} A_{n-3} + (h_{n-1}^{-1} h_{n-2})' h_{n-3}^{-1} h_{n-4} h_{n-5}^{-1} \dots h_{3}^{-1} h_{2} h_{1}^{-1} A_{0}$$

$$(14)$$

where $A_0 = f$. If a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n stand for coefficients of $m_1^{(n)}, m_1^{(n-1)}, \ldots, m'_1, m_1$ respectively, then the equation (14) will be

$$a_0 m_1^{(n)} + a_1 m_1^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_{n-1} m_1' + a_n m_1 = \tilde{f}$$
(15)

where

$$\widetilde{f} = -[(h_{n-1}^{-1}A_{n-2})' + h_{n-1}^{-1}h_{n-2}A_{n-3} + \cdots + (h_{n-1}^{-1}h_{n-2})'h_{n-3}^{-1}h_{n-4}h_{n-5}^{-1} \cdots h_5^{-1}h_4h_3^{-1}h_2h_1^{-1}A_0].$$

If we choose $\widetilde{a}_i = -\frac{a_i}{a_0}$, (i = 1, 2, ..., n), then equation (15) will be written as

$$m_1^{(n)} = \widetilde{a_1}m_1^{(n-1)} + \dots + \widetilde{a_n}m_1 + \frac{\widetilde{f}(\phi)}{a_0(\phi)}.$$

Having successively integration, we obtain

$$\begin{split} m_1^{(n-1)} &= \int_0^{\phi} (\widetilde{a_1}(s)m_1^{(n-1)} + \dots + \widetilde{a_n}(s)m_1) \, ds + \int_0^{\phi} \frac{\widetilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} \, ds \\ m_1^{(n-2)} &= \int_0^{\phi} (\phi - s)(\widetilde{a_1}(s)m_1^{(n-1)} + \dots + \widetilde{a_n}(s)m_1) \, ds + \int_0^{\phi} (\phi - s)\frac{\widetilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} \, ds \\ m_1^{(n-3)} &= \int_0^{\phi} \frac{(\phi - s)^2}{2!} (\widetilde{a_1}(s)m_1^{(n-1)} + \dots + \widetilde{a_n}(s)m_1) \, ds + \int_0^{\phi} \frac{(\phi - s)^2}{2!} \frac{\widetilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} \, ds \\ \vdots \\ m_1 &= \int_0^{\phi} \frac{(\phi - s)^n}{n!} (\widetilde{a_1}(s)m_1^{(n-1)} + \dots + \widetilde{a_n}(s)m_1) \, ds + \int_0^{\phi} \frac{(\phi - s)^n}{n!} \frac{\widetilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} \, ds. \end{split}$$

Here it is imposible to get m_1 directly, but by using an approximate solution of system as [10], we get the following solution

$$m_{1,k} = \int_0^\phi \frac{(\phi - s)^n}{n!} (\widetilde{a_1}(s)m_{1,k-1}^{(n-1)} + \dots + \widetilde{a_n}(s)m_{1,k-1}) \, ds + \int_0^\phi \frac{(\phi - s)^n}{n!} \frac{\widetilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} \, ds.$$

and then

$$\begin{split} m_{1,k}' &= \int_0^\phi \frac{(\phi-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\widetilde{a_1}(s) m_{1,k-1}^{(n-1)} + \dots + \widetilde{a_n}(s) m_{1,k-1}) \, ds + \int_0^\phi \frac{(\phi-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\widetilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} \, ds \\ &\vdots \\ m_{1,k}^{(n)} &= \widetilde{a_1}(\phi) m_{1,k-1}^{(n-1)} + \dots + \widetilde{a_n}(\phi) m_{1,k-1} + \frac{\widetilde{f}(\phi)}{a_0(\phi)}. \end{split}$$

We now calculate how $m_{1,k}$ approximate to m_1 . If $\varepsilon_{1,k} = ||m_1 - m_{1,k}||$, then

$$\varepsilon_{1,k} \leq \int_0^{\phi} \frac{(\phi-s)^n}{n!} [\|\widetilde{a_1}\| \varepsilon_{1,k-1}^{(n-1)} + \dots + \|\widetilde{a_n}\| \varepsilon_{1,k-1}] ds$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\varepsilon_{1,k}^{(n-1)} \leq \int_0^{\phi} [\|\widetilde{a_1}\| \varepsilon_{1,k-1}^{(n-1)} + \dots + \|\widetilde{a_n}\| \varepsilon_{1,k-1}] ds$$

$$\varepsilon_{1,k}^{(n)} \leq \|\widetilde{a_1}\| \varepsilon_{1,k-1}^{(n-1)} + \dots + \|\widetilde{a_n}\| \varepsilon_{1,k-1}.$$

If $L_1 = max\{\|\widetilde{a_1}\|, \dots, \|\widetilde{a_n}\|\}$, then

$$\varepsilon_{1,k} \leq L_1 \int_0^{\phi} \frac{(\phi-s)^n}{n!} (\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,k-1}^{(j)}) \, ds$$

$$\varepsilon_{1,k}' \leq L_2 \int_0^{\phi} \frac{(\phi-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,k-1}^{(j)}) \, ds$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\varepsilon_{1,k}^{(n-1)} \leq L_{n-1} \int_0^{\phi} (\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,k-1}^{(j)}) \, ds.$$

We can sum up above equations side by side in order to obtain following equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,k}^{(j)} \le \int_0^{\phi} [L_1 \frac{(\phi-s)^n}{n!} + \dots + L_{n-1}] \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,k-1}^{(j)}) \, ds.$$

In this case, if we say $\delta_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,k}^{(j)}$, then we can write

$$\delta_k \le \int_0^{\phi} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} L_j \frac{(\phi-s)^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \delta_{k-1}\right] ds$$

and if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} L_j \frac{(\phi - s)^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \le L$$

then we can write

$$\delta_k \le L \int_0^\phi \delta_{k-1} ds.$$

we now show approximate of δ_k . To do this

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &\leq L\delta_0\phi\\ \delta_2 &\leq L\int_0^{\phi}\delta_1 ds \leq L^2\delta_0\frac{\phi^2}{2!}\\ \vdots\\ \delta_k &\leq \delta_0\frac{(L\phi_0)^k}{k!} \quad (0\leq\phi\leq\phi_0) \end{split}$$

thus

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,k}^{(j)} \le \delta_0 \frac{(L\phi_0)^k}{k!}$$

Each term is smaller then $\delta_0 \frac{(L\phi_0)^k}{k!}$ since summation of all terms is smaller then $\delta_0 \frac{(L\phi_0)^k}{k!}$. That is,

$$\varepsilon_{1,k}^{(j)} \le \delta_0 \frac{(L\phi_0)^k}{k!}$$

and then

$$\begin{split} \|m_{1} - m_{1,k}\| &\leq \delta_{0} \frac{(L\phi_{0})^{k}}{k!} \\ \|m_{1}' - m_{1,k}'\| &\leq \delta_{0} \frac{(L\phi_{0})^{k}}{k!} \\ \vdots \\ \|m_{1}^{(n-1)} - m_{1,k}\| &\leq \delta_{0} \frac{(L\phi_{0})^{k}}{k!} \end{split}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{1,k}^{(n)} \le L_n \delta_0 \frac{(L\phi_0)^k}{k!}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\|m_1^{(n)} - m_{1,k}^n\| \le L_n \delta_0 \frac{(L\phi_0)^k}{k!}$$

We take limit both side

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \varepsilon_{i,k}^{(j)} \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \delta_0 \frac{(L\phi_0)^k}{k!}$$

we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} m_{1,k}^{(j)} = m_1^{(j)} \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} m_{1,k}^{(n)} = m_1^{(n)}.$$

We have that

$$m_{2} = m_{1}' + f
m_{3} = h_{2}^{-1}(m_{2}' + h_{1}m_{1})
m_{4} = h_{3}^{-1}(m_{3}' + h_{2}m_{2})
\vdots
m_{n} = h_{n-1}^{-1}(m_{n-1}' + h_{n-2}m_{n-2})$$
(16)

and then

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{2,k} &= \varepsilon'_{1,k} \\ \varepsilon_{3,k} &= k_2^{-1} \varepsilon'_{2,k} + k_1 \varepsilon_{1,k} \\ \varepsilon_{4,k} &= k_3^{-1} \varepsilon'_{3,k} + k_2 \varepsilon_{2,k} \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_{n,k} &= k_{n-1}^{-1} \varepsilon'_{n-1,k} + k_{n-2} \varepsilon_{n-2,k} \end{split}$$

Therefore, we can find the value of m_2, m_3, \ldots, m_n from equation (16) since the value of m_1 is known. Thus, the error of $\varepsilon_{2,k}, \varepsilon_{3,k}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n,k}$ can be found by depending on the error of $\varepsilon_{1,k}$. If $k \to \infty$, then \vec{X}^* can be presented by \vec{X} and its invariant. So the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 1 Let $k_i(s)$, $(i = 1, 2, ..., n; 0 \le s \le L)$ be non-zero functions in the class Γ . Then $m_{i,k}(k = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ which obtained from (4) limits to the unique solution of system as following

$$\|m_1^{(i)} - m_{1,k}^{(i)}\| \le L_i \delta_0 \frac{(L\phi_0)^k}{k!} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n; k = 0, 1 \dots)$$
$$\|m_\ell^{(i)} - m_{\ell,k}^{(i)}\| \le L_{\ell,i}^* \delta_0 \frac{(L_\ell^* \phi_0)^k}{k!} \quad (\ell = 2, 3, \dots, n),$$

where $L_i, L, L_{\ell,i}^*$ and L_{ℓ}^* are numbers which are known.

If the distance between the opposite points of (C) and (C^*) is constant, then

$$\|\alpha^* - \alpha\|^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + \dots + m_n^2 = k^2, k \in \Re.$$

Hence, we write

$$m_1 \frac{dm_1}{d\phi} + m_2 \frac{dm_2}{d\phi} + \dots + m_n \frac{dm_n}{d\phi} = 0$$
(17)

and then

$$m_1(\frac{dm_1}{d\phi} - m_2) = 0. (18)$$

In this case, either $m_1 = 0$ or $\frac{dm_1}{d\phi} - m_2 = 0$ which means $f(\phi) = 0$. If $f(\phi) = 0$, then \vec{X}^* is a transition of \vec{X} with following constant vector

$$\ell = m_1 V_1 + m_2 V_2 + \dots + m_n V_n. \tag{19}$$

If $m_1 = 0$, then from equation (14) we have

$$(h_{n-1}^{-1}A_{n-2})' + h_{n-1}^{-1}h_{n-2}A_{n-3} + \cdots + (h_{n-1}^{-1}h_{n-2})'h_{n-3}^{-1}h_{n-4}h_{n-5}^{-1} \cdots h_5^{-1}h_4h_3^{-1}h_2h_1^{-1}A_0 = 0.$$
(20)

Thus, the function $f(\phi)$ satisfies the equation (20). $\vec{X}^*(0) = \vec{X}^*(2\pi)$ since the curve of constant breadth is closed. Accordingly, from (1) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^*(0) &= \alpha(0) + \sum_{i=2}^n m_i(0) V_i(0) = \alpha^*(2\pi) \\ &= \alpha(2\pi) + \sum_{i=2}^n m_i(2\pi) V_i(2\pi) \end{aligned}$$

and finally $m_i(0) = m_i(2\pi)$.

Corollary 1 In the equation

$$m_{1,k} = \int_0^\phi \frac{(\phi - s)^n}{n!} (\widetilde{a_1}(s)m_{1,k-1}^{(n-1)} + \dots + \widetilde{a_n}(s)m_{1,k-1}) \, ds + \int_0^\phi \frac{(\phi - s)^n}{n!} \frac{\widetilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} \, ds$$

if we choose $m_{1,0} = 0$, then

$$m_{1,1} = \int_0^\phi \frac{(\phi - s)^n}{n!} \frac{\tilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} \, ds.$$

Assume that $m_{1,k}$ limits for k = 1 to m_1 . Then $m_{1,1} = 0 = m_1$ and then

$$\int_{0}^{\phi} \frac{(\phi - s)^{n}}{n!} \frac{\tilde{f}(s)}{a_{0}(s)} \, ds = 0.$$
(21)

Corollary 2 If we choose $m_{1,1} = 0$, then

$$m_{1,2} = \int_0^\phi \widetilde{a_n}(s)ds + \int_0^\phi \frac{(\phi-s)^n}{n!} \frac{\widetilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} ds.$$

Assume that $m_{1,k}$ limits for k = 2 to m_1 . Then $m_{1,2} = m_1 = 0$ and then

$$\int_0^{\phi} \widetilde{a_n}(s) ds = -\int_0^{\phi} \frac{(\phi - s)^n}{n!} \frac{\widetilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} ds.$$

By derivating

$$a_n(\phi) = a_0(\phi) \int_0^\phi \frac{(\phi - s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\tilde{f}(s)}{a_0(s)} \, ds$$

Corollary 3 If we choose $m_1 = 0$ in equation (14), then

$$m'_n = -\widetilde{f}$$

and

$$m_n = -\int_0^\phi \widetilde{f} ds.$$

We have $m_n(0) = m_n(2\pi)$, so

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{f}(s) \, ds = 0.$$

References

- [1] Blaschke, W., Leibziger Berichte, 67, 290, (1917).
- [2] Emch, A., Some properties of closed convex curves in a plane, Amer. J. Math., 36, 407-410, (1913). Acad. Petropol., 3-30, (1778), (1980).
- [3] Euler, L., De Curvis trangularibis, Acta Acad. Petropol., (3-30, (1778), (1780).
- [4] Fujivara, M., On Space Curve of Constant Breadth, Tohoku Math., J., 5, 179-184, (1914).
- [5] Forsth, A.R., Geometry of Four Dimension, 1, Cambridge University Press Fetter line, london,(1930).
- [6] Gluck, H., Higher Curvatures of Curves in Euclidean Space, Proc. Amer. Math. Montly, 73, 699-704,(1966).
- [7] Köse, Ö., Düzlemde Ovaller ve Sabit Genişlikli Eğrilerin Bazı Özellikleri, Doğa Bilim Dergisi, Seri B, 8(2), 119-126,(1984).

- [8] Köse, Ö., On Space Curve of Constant Breadth, Doğa Türk Matematik Dergisi, 10(1), 11-14, (1986).
- [9] Mağden, A., and Köse, Ö., On The Curves of Constant Breadth in E⁴-Space, Turkish J. of Math. 21(3),277-284, (1997).
- [10] Mamedov, Y. C., Adi Diferensiyel Denklemlerin Yaklaşık Metodla Çözümü, Maarif, Bakü (Rusca), (1975).
- [11] Reuleaux, F., The Kinematics of Machinery, Trans. by A.B.W. Kennedy, Dover Pub. New York, (1963).
- [12] Sezer, M., Differential equations characterizing space curves of constant breadth and a ciriterion for this curves, Turkish J. of Math., 13(2), 70-78, (1989).

Received 28.02.2001

Zülfigar AKDOĞAN GOP Üniversitesi, Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi, Tokat-TURKEY Abdullah MAĞDEN Atatürk Üniversitesi, Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi, Erzurum-TURKEY