# Evidence for a conjecture of Pandharipande

Jim Bryan

#### Abstract

In his paper "Hodge integrals and degenerate contributions", Pandharipande studied the relationship between the enumerative geometry of certain 3-folds and the Gromov-Witten invariants. In some good cases, enumerative invariants (which are manifestly integers) can be expressed as a rational combination of Gromov-Witten invariants. Pandharipande speculated that the same combination of invariants should yield integers even when they do not have any enumerative significance on the 3-fold. In the case when the 3-fold is the product of a complex surface and an elliptic curve, Pandharipande has computed this combination of invariants on the 3-fold in terms of the Gromov-Witten invariants of the surface. This computation yields surprising conjectural predictions about the genus 0 and genus 1 Gromov-Witten invariants of complex surfaces. The conjecture states that certain rational combinations of the genus 0 and genus 1 Gromov-Witten invariants are always integers. Since the Gromov-Witten invariants for surfaces are often enumerative (as oppose to 3folds), this conjecture can often also be interpreted as giving certain congruence relations among the various enumerative invariants of a surface.

In this note, we state Pandharipande's conjecture and we prove it for an infinite series of classes in the case of  $\mathbf{CP}^2$  blown-up at 9 points. In this case, we find generating functions for the numbers appearing in the conjecture in terms of quasi-modular forms. We then prove the integrality of the numbers by proving a certain a congruence property of modular forms that is reminiscent of Ramanujan's mod 5 congruences of the partition function.

#### 1. The conjecture

Let X be a smooth complex projective surface (or more generally, a symplectic 4-manifold), let K be its canonical class, and let  $\chi(X)$  be its Euler characteristic. Let  $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbf{Z})$  and let  $g(\beta)$  be defined by  $2g(\beta) - 2 = \beta \cdot (K + \beta)$ . Define  $c(\beta)$  to be  $-\beta \cdot K$  and assume that  $c(\beta) > 0$ . Let  $N^r(\beta)$  be the genus r Gromov-Witten invariant of X in the class  $\beta$  where we have imposed  $c(\beta) + r - 1$  point constraints. By convention we will say  $N^r(0) = 0$ .

The author is supported by an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship and NSF grant DMS-0072492.

Conjecture 1.1 (Pandharipande). Define  $a(\beta)$  by

$$a(\beta) = -\frac{1}{12}g(\beta)N^{0}(\beta)$$

and define  $b(\beta)$  by

$$\begin{split} b(\beta) &= \frac{1}{2880} \left( 12g(\beta)^2 + g(\beta)c(\beta) - 24g(\beta) \right) N^0(\beta) \\ &+ \frac{1}{240} \chi(X) N^1(\beta) \\ &+ \frac{1}{240} \sum_{\beta' + \beta'' = \beta} \binom{c(\beta) - 1}{c(\beta')} (\beta' \cdot \beta'') (\beta'' \cdot \beta'') N^1(\beta') N^0(\beta''). \end{split}$$

Then  $a(\beta)$  and  $b(\beta)$  are integers.

Remark 1.1. This conjecture is related to the proposal of Gopakumar and Vafa that relates the Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds to conjecturally integer valued invariants ("BPS state counts", or "BPS invariants"). Pandharipande has generalized the Gopakumar-Vafa formula to Fano classes in non-Calabi-Yau 3-folds (see [3]). In this formulation, the numbers  $a(\beta)$  and  $b(\beta)$  are respectively genus 1 and genus 2 "BPS invariants" for the surface cross an elliptic curve. The reason that these are expressible in terms of ordinary Gromov-Witten invariants of the surface is that the Hodge class in  $\overline{M}_g$  (which appears in the computation of the virtual class) is readily expressible in terms of boundary classes for g=1 and g=2. For arbitrary g there will also be predictions for the invariants of the surface, but they will involve gravitational descendants in general.

## 2. The case of CP<sup>2</sup> blown-up at 9 points

Let X be  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  blown up at nine points. Let F = -K be the anti-canonical class and let S be the exceptional divisor of one of the blow-ups (so if X is elliptically fibered, then F is the fiber and S is a section). Let  $\beta_n = S + nF$ . Then  $N^r(\beta_n)$  was computed in [1]. We will find a nice generating functions for the numbers  $a(\beta_n)$  and  $b(\beta_b)$  and will prove that they are integers thus verifying Pandharipande's conjecture for X for this infinite series of classes.

Note that  $c(\beta_n) = 1$ ,  $g(\beta_n) = n$ , and  $\chi(X) = 12$ . Since for  $N^0(\beta'')$  to be non-zero, we need  $c(\beta'') = 1$ , the sum must have  $c(\beta'') = 1$  and  $c(\beta') = 0$ . It follows that  $\beta''$  and  $\beta'$ 

are of the form S + kF and (n - k)F respectively. Thus we have

$$a(\beta_n) = -\frac{1}{12}nN^0(\beta_n)$$

$$b(\beta_n) = \frac{1}{2880}(12n^2 - 23n)N^0(\beta_n)$$

$$+\frac{1}{20}N^1(\beta_n)$$

$$+\frac{1}{240}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)(2k-1)N^1((n-k)F)N^0(\beta_k).$$

Define

$$A(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(\beta_n) q^n,$$
  
$$B(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(\beta_n) q^n.$$

We will find an expression for A(q) and B(q) in terms of quasi-modular forms. Let  $\sigma(k) = \sum_{d|k} d$  and let p(k) be the number of partitions of k. Define

$$G(q) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma(k) q^k,$$

$$P(q) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(k) q^k$$

$$= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^{-1},$$

$$P_{\alpha}(q) = (P(q))^{\alpha},$$

$$D = q \frac{d}{dq}.$$

Note that G and P are closely related to well known (quasi-) modular forms: G-1/24 is the Eisenstein series  $G_2$  and  $q^{1/24}P_{-1}$  is the Dedekind  $\eta$  function.

With this notation, the results of [1] (Theorem 1.2) give

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N^0(\beta_n) q^n = P_{12}$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N^1(\beta_n) q^n = P_{12} DG.$$

Furthermore, one can show that

$$N^1(lF) = \frac{1}{l}\sigma(l)$$

(when the blow-up points are generic, this comes from the multiple covers of the unique elliptic curve in the class F). We thus have

$$A(q) = -\frac{1}{12}DP_{12}$$

$$B(q) = \frac{1}{2880}(12D^2 - 23D)P_{12} + \frac{1}{20}P_{12}DG$$

$$+ \frac{1}{240}\sum_{n\geq 1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(2k-1)\sigma(n-k)N^0(\beta_k)q^{n-k}q^k$$

$$= \frac{1}{240}D^2P_{12} - \frac{23}{2880}DP_{12} + \frac{1}{20}P_{12}DG$$

$$+ \frac{1}{240}\sum_{m\geq 1}\sum_{k\geq 0}(2k-1)\sigma(m)N^0(\beta_k)q^kq^m$$

$$= \frac{1}{240}D^2P_{12} - \frac{23}{2880}DP_{12} + \frac{1}{20}P_{12}DG + \frac{1}{240}G(2DP_{12} - P_{12})$$

Now, by a standard calculation,  $G = P_{-1}DP$  and so  $DP_{12} = 12P_{12}G$ . Substituting and simplifying we arrive at:

**Theorem 2.1.** The following equations holds:

$$A(q) = -P_{12} \cdot G$$
  
$$B(q) = \frac{1}{10} P_{12} \left\{ 7G^2 - G + DG \right\}.$$

This theorem immediately shows that the coefficients of A are integers. On the other hand, the integrality of the coefficients of B requires the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.2.** The following equation holds:

$$7G^2 - G + DG \equiv 0 \pmod{10}.$$

PROOF: By a simple calculation mod 5, we have:

$$7G^2 - G + DG \equiv 3P_{-2}(D^2 - D)P_2 \pmod{5}$$

and so to prove that the above expression is 0 mod 5, it suffices to prove that  $(D^2-D)P_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . Using the Jacobi triple product formula and the Euler inversion formula, it is easy to show that the kth coefficient of  $P_2 = P_{-3}P_5$  is divisible by 5 unless k is 0 or 1 mod 5 (see [2]). In other words:

$$P_2(q) \equiv r(q^5) + qs(q^5) \pmod{5}$$
.

It follows that  $DP_2 \equiv qs(q^5) \pmod{5}$  and so  $D^2P_2 \equiv DP_2 \pmod{5}$  as desired.

On the other hand, it is easy to compute that

$$7G^2 - G + DG \equiv P_{-1}(D^2 + D)P \pmod{2}.$$

This expression is 0 mod 2 since the kth coefficient of  $(D^2 + D)P$  is k(k+1)p(k).

Thus we have established that  $7G^2 - G + DG$  is  $0 \mod 2$  and mod 5 and so the theorem is proved.

### References

- [1] Jim Bryan and Naichung Conan Leung. The enumerative geometry of K3 surfaces and modular forms. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 13(2):371–410, 2000.
- [2] John L. Drost. A shorter proof of the Ramanujan congruence modulo 5. Amer. Math. Monthly, 104(10):963–964, 1997.
- [3] R. Pandharipande. Hodge integrals and degenerate contributions. Comm. Math. Phys., 208(2):489–506, 1999.
- [4] R. Pandharipande. Personal communication, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TULANE UNIVERSITY  $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$  jbryan@math.tulane.edu