

## On Lightlike Hypersurfaces of a Semi-Riemannian Space form

*Rıfat Güneş, Bayram Şahin and Erol Kılıç*

### Abstract

In this paper, we study a Lightlike hypersurface of a semi-Riemann manifold. We show that a lightlike hypersurface is totally geodesic if and only if it is locally symmetric. Also, we show that a lightlike Hypersurface of  $IR_{4q}^{4m}$  ( $m, q > 1$ ) is totally geodesic under some restrictions. Finally, we give some results on Ricci curvature of a lightlike hypersurface to be symmetric.

### 1. Introduction

The general theory of lightlike (or, null) hypersurfaces is one of the most important topics of differential geometry. A few authors have studied lightlike (null) hypersurfaces (or submanifolds) of semi-Riemannian manifold [1], [2], [3], [4], and others. In [1], the authors have constructed the vector bundles related to a degenerate submanifold in a semi-Riemann manifold and obtained many properties about these submanifolds.

In the present paper, we consider real lightlike hypersurfaces of a semi-Riemann manifold. We show that  $M$  is totally geodesic in a locally symmetric semi-Riemannian manifold if and only if  $M$  is locally symmetric. Also, it is shown that  $M$  is totally geodesic in a semi-Euclidean space if  $(\nabla_X \phi_a) = 0, a = 1, 2, 3$ . We give some corollaries on screen distribution and induced metric depend upon the above results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Firstly, we note that the notations and fundamental formulas used in this study are the same as [3]. Let  $\overline{M}$  be a  $(m+2)$ - dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold with index  $q \in \{1, \dots, m+1\}$ . Let  $M$  be a hypersurface of  $\overline{M}$ . Denote by  $g$  the induced tensor field by  $\overline{g}$  on  $M$ .  $M$  is called a lightlike hypersurface if  $g$  is of constant rank  $m$ . Consider the vector bundle  $TM^\perp$  whose fibres are defined by

$$T_x M^\perp = \{Y_x \in T_x \overline{M} \mid \overline{g}_x(Y_x, X_x) = 0, \forall X_x \in T_x M\}$$

for any  $x \in M$ . Thus, a hypersurface  $M$  of  $\overline{M}$  is lightlike if and only if  $TM^\perp$  is a distribution of rank 1 on  $M$ .

The fundamental difference of the theory of lightlike (or, degenerate) hypersurfaces and the classical theory of hypersurfaces of a semi-Riemannian Manifold  $\overline{M}$  comes from the fact that, in the first case, the normal bundle  $TM^\perp$  lies in the tangent bundle of a lightlike hypersurface.

An orthogonal complementary vector bundle of  $TM^\perp$  in  $TM$  is nondegenerate subbundle of  $TM$  called the screen distribution on  $M$  and denoted  $S(TM)$ . We have the following splitting into orthogonal direct sum:

$$TM = S(TM) \perp TM^\perp. \quad (2.1)$$

The subbundle  $S(TM)$  being non-degenerate, so is  $S(TM)^\perp$  and the following holds:

$$T\overline{M} = S(TM) \perp S(TM)^\perp, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $S(TM)^\perp$  is the orthogonal complementary vector bundle to  $S(TM)$  in  $T\overline{M}|_M$ . In fact,  $TM^\perp$  is a subbundle of  $S(TM)^\perp$ . Let  $ltr(TM)$  denote its complementary vector bundle in  $S(TM)^\perp$ . Then we have

$$S(TM)^\perp = TM^\perp \oplus ltr(TM). \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $U$  be a coordinate neighborhood of  $M$  and  $\xi$  be a basis of  $\Gamma(TM^\perp|_U)$ . Then there exists a basis  $N$  of  $\Gamma(ltr(TM)|_U)$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$g(N, \xi) = 1$$

and

$$\bar{g}(N, N) = \bar{g}(W, W) = 0, \forall W \in \Gamma(S(TM)|_U).$$

The subbundle  $ltr(TM)$  is called a lightlike transversal vector bundle of  $M$ . We note that  $ltr(TM)$  is never orthogonal to  $TM$  [3]. From (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) we have the following decomposition

$$T\bar{M}|_M = S(TM) \perp (TM^\perp \oplus ltr(TM)) = TM \oplus ltr(TM).$$

Hence we have a local quasi-orthonormal field  $\{\xi, N, W_i\}, i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$  of frames of  $T\bar{M}$  along  $M$ , where  $\{W_i\}$  is orthonormal basis of  $\Gamma(S(TM)|_U)$ .

Let  $\bar{\nabla}$  be Levi-Civita connection on  $\bar{M}$ . We have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\bar{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + \nabla_X^\perp V, \tag{2.5}$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $V \in \Gamma(ltr(TM))$ , where  $\nabla_X Y, A_V X \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $h(X, Y), \nabla_X^\perp V \in \Gamma(ltr(TM))$ .  $\nabla$  called an induced linear connection, is a symmetric linear connection on  $M$ ,  $\nabla^\perp$  is a linear connection on the vector bundle  $ltr(TM)$ ,  $h$  is a  $\Gamma(ltr(TM))$ -valued symmetric bilinear form and  $A_V$  is the shape operator of  $M$  concerning  $V$ .

Locally, suppose  $\{\xi, N\}$  is a pair of sections on  $U \subset M$ . Then define a symmetric  $F(U)$ -bilinear form  $B$  and a 1-form  $\tau$  on  $U$  by

$$B(X, Y) = \bar{g}(h(X, Y), \xi), \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM|_U)$$

and

$$\tau(X) = \bar{g}(\nabla_X^\perp N, \xi).$$

Thus (2.4) and (2.5) locally become

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)N, \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \tau(X)N, \tag{2.7}$$

respectively.

Let denote  $P$  as the projection of  $TM$  on  $S(TM)$ . We consider decomposition

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + C(X, PY)\xi \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi^* X + \epsilon(X)\xi, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $\nabla_X^* PY, A_\xi^* X$  belong to  $S(TM)$  and  $C$  is a 1-form on  $U$ . From (2.7) and (2.9) it is easy to check that  $\epsilon = -\tau$ . Thus we can write

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi^* X - \tau(X)\xi. \tag{2.10}$$

Thus we have the equations [3]

$$g(A_N X, PY) = C(X, PY), \bar{g}(A_N X, N) = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

$$g(A_{\xi}^*X, PY) = B(X, PY), \bar{g}(A_{\xi}^*X, N) = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

We denote the curvature tensors associated with  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  by  $\bar{R}$  and  $R$ , respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + A_{h(X, Z)}Y - A_{h(Y, Z)}X \\ &\quad + (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

We note that the induced connection on  $M$  satisfies

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = B(X, Y)\eta(Z) + B(X, Z)\eta(Y) \quad (2.14)$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM|_U)$ [3].

Now, we give some definitions used in this paper. A vector field  $X$  on a lightlike submanifold is called a Killing vector field if  $L_X g = 0$ , where  $L$  is the Lie derivative. A distribution  $D$  on a lightlike submanifold is called a Killing distribution if each vector field belonging to  $D$  is a Killing vector field. A distribution  $D$  is called a parallel distribution if  $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(D)$ , for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ . A manifold  $M$  is called locally symmetric if  $\nabla R = 0$ , where  $\nabla$  is the linear connection on  $M$  and  $R$  is the curvature tensor field on  $M$ . Geometrically,  $M$  is locally symmetric if and only if at each point the geodesic symmetry is a connection-preserving transformation[5].

### 3. Lightlike Hypersurfaces of a Semi-Riemannian Space Form

First, we start the following lemma whose proof follows from (2.13).

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $\bar{M}$  be a semi-Riemann manifold and  $M$  be a lightlike hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + B(X, Z)AY - B(Y, Z)AX \\
 &+ (\nabla_X B)(Y, Z)N + B(Y, Z)\tau(X)N - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z)N, \\
 &\quad - B(X, Z)\tau(Y)N
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where  $\overline{R}$  and  $R$  are curvature tensors of  $\overline{M}$  and  $M$ , respectively.

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\overline{M}$  be a semi-Riemann manifold and  $M$  be a lightlike hypersurface of  $\overline{M}$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\overline{\nabla}_W \overline{R})(X, Y, Z) &= (\nabla_W R)(X, Y, Z) + B(W, R(X, Y)Z)N + (\nabla_W B)(X, Z)AY \\
 &\quad - (\nabla_W B)(X, Z)\tau(Y)N + B(X, Z)(\nabla_W A)Y + B(X, Z)B(W, AY)N \\
 &\quad - (\nabla_W B)(Y, Z)AX - B(Y, Z)(\nabla_W A)X - B(Y, Z)B(W, AX)N \\
 &\quad \quad + (\nabla_W (\nabla_X B))(Y, Z)N - (\nabla_W (\nabla_Y B))(X, Z)N \\
 &\quad + B(Y, Z)(\nabla_W \tau)(X)N - B(Y, Z)\tau(X)AW + \tau(X)\tau(W)B(Y, Z)N \\
 &\quad \quad + (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z)AW - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z)\tau(W)N - (\nabla_X B)(Y, Z)AW \\
 &\quad \quad + (\nabla_X B)(Y, Z)\tau(W)N - B(X, Z)(\nabla \tau)(Y)N + B(X, Z)\tau(Y)AW \\
 &\quad \quad + B(X, Z)\tau(Y)\tau(W)N - (\nabla_{\nabla_W X} B)(Y, Z)N + (\nabla_{\nabla_W Y} B)(X, Z)N \\
 &\quad \quad - \overline{R}(h(W, X), Y)Z - \overline{R}(X, h(W, Y))Z - \overline{R}(X, Y)h(W, Z) \\
 &\quad \quad \quad + (\nabla_W B)(Y, Z)\tau(X)N
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ .

**Proof.** By the definition of covariant derivation of  $\overline{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\overline{\nabla}_W \overline{R})(X, Y, Z) &= \overline{\nabla}_W \overline{R}(X, Y, Z) - \overline{R}(\overline{\nabla}_W X, Y)Z - \overline{R}(X, \overline{\nabla}_W Y)Z \\
 &\quad - \overline{R}(X, Y)\overline{\nabla}_W Z.
 \end{aligned}$$

In this equation, using (2.6), (2.7) and (3.15) we obtain the assertion of the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\overline{M}$  be a locally symmetric semi-Riemann manifold and  $M$  be a lightlike hypersurface of  $\overline{M}$  such that  $A\xi$  is not a null vector field. Then  $M$  is locally symmetric if and only if  $M$  is totally geodesic.*

**Proof.** By the definition of lightlike hypersurface,  $M$  is locally symmetric if and only if

$$\overline{g}((\nabla_X R)(Y, Z, W), T) = 0$$

and

$$\overline{g}((\nabla_X R)(Y, Z, W), N) = 0$$

for any  $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TM)$ ,  $T \in \Gamma(S(TM))$  and  $N \in \Gamma(ltr(TM))$ . From Lemma 3.2. and (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\overline{g}((\nabla_X R)(Y, Z, W), T) &= (\nabla_W B)(X, Z)C(Y, T) - (\nabla_W B)(Y, Z)C(X, T) \\ &+ B(X, Z)g((\nabla_W A)Y, T) - B(Y, Z)g((\nabla_W A)X, T) \\ &- B(Y, Z)\tau(X)C(W, T) + B(X, Z)\tau(Y)C(W, T) \\ &+ (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z)C(W, T) - (\nabla_X B)(Y, Z)C(W, T) \\ &- \overline{g}(\overline{R}(Z, T)h(W, X), Y) \\ &- \overline{g}(\overline{R}(X, h(W, Y)Z, T) - \overline{g}(\overline{R}(X, Y)h(W, Z), T) \quad (3.16) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\overline{g}((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z, N) &= g(\nabla_W AY, N)B(X, Z) - g(\nabla_W AX, N)B(Y, Z) \\ &- B(W, X)\overline{R}(N, Y, Z, N) - B(W, Y)\overline{R}(X, N, Z, N) \\ &- B(W, Z)\overline{R}(X, Y, N, N) \\ &= g(\nabla_W AY, N)B(X, Z) - g(\nabla_W AX, N)B(Y, Z) \\ &- B(W, X)\overline{R}(N, Y, Z, N) \\ &- B(W, Y)\overline{R}(X, N, Z, N). \quad (3.17) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we suppose that  $M$  is totally geodesic, then from (3.16) and (3.17) we have  $\nabla R = 0$ . i.e.  $M$  is locally symmetric. Conversely, suppose  $M$  is locally symmetric, then from (3.17), for  $W = \xi$ , we have

$$g(\nabla_\xi AY, N)B(X, Z) - g(\nabla_\xi AX, N)B(Y, Z) = 0.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g(\overline{\nabla}_\xi AY, N)B(X, Z) - g(\overline{\nabla}_\xi AX, N)B(Y, Z) \\ &= \xi g(AY, N)B(X, Z) - g(AY, \overline{\nabla}_\xi N)B(X, Z) \\ &\quad - \xi g(AX, N)B(Y, Z) + g(AX, \overline{\nabla}_\xi N)B(Y, Z) \\ &= \xi g(AY, N)B(X, Z) + g(AY, A\xi)B(X, Z) \\ &\quad - \xi g(AX, N)B(Y, Z) - g(AX, A\xi)B(Y, Z). \end{aligned}$$

For  $X = \xi$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g(AY, A\xi)B(\xi, Z) - g(A\xi, A\xi)B(Y, Z) \\ &= -g(A\xi, A\xi)B(Y, Z), \end{aligned}$$

which proves assertion of this theorem. □

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $M$  be a lightlike hypersurface of semi-Euclidean space  $IR_{4q}^{4m}$ , ( $q > 1, m > 1$ ). If  $(\nabla_X \phi_a)Y = 0, a = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $M$  is totally geodesic, where  $\phi_a, a = 1, 2, 3$  are types of  $(1, 1)$  tensor fields.*

**Proof.** Let  $J_a, a = 1, 2, 3$  be almost quaternion Hermitian structures of  $IR_{4q}^{4m}$ . Then we can write

$$J_a Y = \phi_a Y + F_a Y \tag{3.18}$$



for any  $Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ , where  $\phi_a Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $F_a Y \in \Gamma(ltr(TM))$ . Since  $\dim(ltr(TM)) = 1$  we have

$$J_a Y = \phi_a Y + \eta_a(Y)N, \quad (3.19)$$

where  $\eta_a(Y) = \bar{g}(Y, J_a \xi)$ . On the other hand, since  $J_a$  are parallel in  $IR_{4q}^{4m}$ , we obtain

$$\bar{\nabla}_X J_a Y - J_a \bar{\nabla}_X Y = 0.$$

Using (2.6), (2.7) and (3.19) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \bar{\nabla}_X(\phi_a Y + \eta_a(Y)N) - J_a \bar{\nabla}_X Y \\ &= \nabla_X \phi_a Y + B(X, \phi_a Y) + X(\eta_a(Y))N - \eta_a(Y)AX + \tau(X)\eta_a(Y)N \\ &\quad - J_a(\nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)) \\ &= \nabla_X \phi_a Y + B(X, \phi_a Y) + X(\eta_a(Y))N - \eta_a(Y)AX + \tau(X)\eta_a(Y)N \\ &\quad - \phi_a \nabla_X Y - \eta_a(\nabla_X Y)N - B(X, Y)J_a N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(\nabla_X \phi_a) Y = \eta_a(Y)AX + B(X, Y)J_a N. \quad (3.20)$$

Now we suppose that  $(\nabla_X \phi_a) Y = 0$ , then we have

$$\eta_a(Y)AX = B(X, Y)U_a, \quad (3.21)$$

where  $U_a = -J_a N$ . Thus from (3.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1(Y)AX &= B(X, Y)U_1 \\ \eta_2(Y)AX &= B(X, Y)U_2 \\ \eta_3(Y)AX &= B(X, Y)U_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $U_1, U_2$  and  $U_3$  linearly independent we have  $B(X, Y) = 0$ . □

From the Theorem 3.2 and a theorem of Duggal-Bejancu(cf. [3] Theorem 2.2, P.88 ) we can give the following corollaries.

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $M$  be a lightlike hypersurface of semi-Euclidean space  $IR_{4q}^{4m}$ , ( $q > 1, m > 1$ ). If  $(\nabla_X \phi_a)Y = 0, a = 1, 2, 3$ , we have the following assertions;*

- a)  $A_\xi^*$  vanishes identically on  $M$ .
- b) There exists a unique torsion-free metric connection  $\nabla$  induced by  $\bar{\nabla}$  on  $M$ .
- c)  $TM^\perp$  is a parallel distribution with respect to  $\nabla$ .
- d)  $TM^\perp$  is a Killing distribution on  $M$ .

**Corollary 3.2** *Let  $M$  be a totally geodesic lightlike hypersurface of semi-Euclidean space  $IR_{4q}^{4m}$ , ( $q > 1, m > 1$ ). Then screen distribution of  $M$  is parallel if and only if  $(\nabla_X \phi_a)Y = 0, a = 1, 2, 3$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $M$  is totally geodesic, from (3.20) we have

$$(\nabla_X \phi_a)Y = \eta_a(Y)AX$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Thus we get

$$\bar{g}((\nabla_X \phi_a)Y, N) = 0.$$

On the other hand, from (2.11) we obtain

$$\bar{g}((\nabla_X \phi_a)Y, T) = \eta_a(Y)C(X, T).$$

Thus  $C(X, T) = 0 \iff \bar{g}((\nabla_X \phi_a)Y, T) = 0$ . This complete the proof. □

From the semi-Riemann (Also Riemann) we know that mean curvature of a sub-manifold is  $\alpha = \text{trace } A$ . Thus we can give definition of mean curvature of lightlike

hypersurface as  $\alpha = \text{trace } A$ . By the definition of the lightlike hypersurface in a semi-Riemann manifold we have  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_i g(A_N w_i, w_i) + \bar{g}(A_N \xi, N)$ . From (2.11), we have  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1} \epsilon_i g(A_N w_i, w_i)$ , where  $\{w_i\}$   $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  are the orthonormal basis of screen distribution.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $M$  be a lightlike hypersurface of an  $(m+2)$ -dimensional semi-Riemann manifold  $\bar{M}$ . Then we have*

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i C(w_i, w_i)$$

**Proof.** From (2.11), proof is trivial. □

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $M$  be a lightlike hypersurface of an  $(m+2)$ -dimensional semi-Riemann space form  $\bar{M}(c)$ . Then we have*

$$Ric(X, Y) = mcg(PX, PY) - B(X, Y)\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i B(w_i, Y)C(X, w_i) \tag{3.22}$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

**Proof.** By the definition of lightlike hypersurface, we have

$$Ric(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i g(R(X, w_i)Y, w_i) + \bar{g}(R(X, \xi)Y, N).$$

Thus, from (2.13) we get

$$Ric(X, Y) = mcg(PX, PY) - \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i C(w_i, w_i)B(X, Y) + \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i B(w_i, Y)C(X, w_i)$$

or

$$Ric(X, Y) = mcg(PX, PY) - \alpha B(X, Y) + \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i B(w_i, Y) C(X, w_i).$$

□

**Proposition 3.2** *The Ricci tensor of a lightlike hypersurface in a semi-Riemann space form is degenerate.*

From (2.14) we can easily see that the induced connection is not a metric connection. Moreover, as the transversal bundle is not orthogonal to the tangent bundle of a lightlike submanifold, we conclude that the shape operator of a lightlike submanifold is not self-adjoint. Therefore the Ricci tensor field is not symmetric in a lightlike submanifold in general. A. Bejancu ([2]) showed that the Ricci tensor of a lightlike hypersurface in a semi-space form is symmetric if and only if  $d\tau = 0$ . Now, we give another necessary and sufficient condition on the Ricci tensor field of a lightlike submanifold to be symmetric.

**Proposition 3.3** *The Ricci tensor of lightlike hypersurface in a semi-Riemann space form  $\overline{M}(c)$  is symmetric if and only if the shape operator of a lightlike hypersurface of  $\overline{M}(c)$  is symmetric with respect to the second fundamental form of  $M$ .*

**Proof.** From (3.22) we have

$$Ric(X, Y) - Ric(Y, X) = \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i B(w_i, Y) C(X, w_i) - B(w_i, X) \epsilon_i C(Y, w_i).$$

On the other hand, using equations (2.11) and (2.12) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i B(w_i, Y) C(X, w_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i g(A_N X, w_i) g(A_\xi^* Y, w_i) \\
 &= g(A_\xi^* Y, \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i g(A_N X, w_i) w_i) \\
 &= g(A_\xi^* Y, A_N X) \\
 &= B(Y, AX).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we derive

$$Ric(X, Y) - Ric(Y, X) = B(Y, AX) - B(X, AY).$$

□

**Corollary 3.3** *The Ricci tensor of lightlike hypersurface in a semi-Riemann space form  $\overline{M}(c)$  is symmetric if and only if  $C(X, A_\xi^* Y) = C(Y, A_\xi^* X)$*

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $M$  be a lightlike hypersurface of a semi-Riemann space form  $\overline{M}(c)$ . If  $M$  is totally geodesic, then the Ricci tensor of  $M$  is parallel with respect to  $\nabla$ . Conversely, if the Ricci tensor of  $M$  is parallel with respect to  $\nabla$  then  $C(A_\xi^* Z, AX) = C(A_\xi^* X, AZ)$*

**Proof.** First, we compute derivative of Ricci tensor. We define  $(\nabla_Z Ric)(X, Y) = \nabla_Z Ric(X, Y) - Ric(\nabla_Z X, Y) - Ric(X, \nabla_Z Y)$ .

Then from (2.14) and (3.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_Z Ric)(X, Y) &= -(m)c \{B(Z, X)\eta(Y) + B(Z, Y)\eta(X)\} \\
 &\quad - (\nabla_Z B)(X, Y)\alpha - B(X, Y)(Z(\alpha)) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_i \{B(\nabla_Z w_i, Y)C(X, w_i) \\
 &\quad + (\nabla_Z B)(w_i, Y)C(X, w_i) + B(w_i, Y)C(X, \nabla_Z w_i) \\
 &\quad + B(w_i, Y)(\nabla_Z C)(w_i, X)\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Thus from (3.23) , we obtain that if  $M$  is totally geodesic, then  $(\nabla_Z Ric)(X, Y) = 0$ . Conversely we suppose that  $(\nabla_Z Ric)(X, Y) = 0$ . Then for  $Y = \xi$ , we get

$$0 = -(m - 1)cB(Z, X) + B(X, \nabla_Z \xi)\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i B(w_i, \nabla_Z \xi)C(X, w_i)$$

by the using (2.10) we derive

$$0 = -(m - 1)cB(Z, X) - B(X, A_\xi^* Z)\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i B(w_i, A_\xi^* Z)C(X, w_i). \quad (3.24)$$

Interchanging  $Z$  and  $X$  in (3.24) and subtracting, we get

$$-\sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i B(w_i, A_\xi^* Z)C(X, w_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i B(w_i, A_\xi^* X)C(Z, w_i) = 0,$$

and in a similar way to the proof of Proposition 3.3, we have

$$-g(A_\xi^* A_\xi^* Z, AX) + g(A_\xi^* A_\xi^* X, AZ) = 0.$$

Thus from (2.11) we conclude that

$$C(A_\xi^* Z, AX) = C(A_\xi^* X, AZ),$$

which proves assertion of the theorem.  $\square$

### References

- [1] A. Bejancu and K.L. Duggal, Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds Acta Appl.Math. 38, 197-215, (1995)
- [2] A. Bejancu, Null Hypersurfaces in Semi-Euclidean Space, Saitama Math. J. Vol: 14; 25-40(1996).

GÜNEŞ, ŞAHİN, KILIÇ

- [3] K.L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications, Kluwer. Dordrecht, (1996).
- [4] D.N. Kupeli, Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1996).
- [5] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol: I, John Wiley and Sons (1969).

Rıfat GÜNEŞ, Bayram ŞAHİN, Erol KILIÇ

Received 29.04.2002

İnönü University

Faculty of Science and Art

Department of Mathematics

Malatya-TURKEY

e-mail: rgunes@inonu.edu.tr

e-mail: bsahin@inonu.edu.tr

e-mail: ekilic@inonu.edu.tr