# Modules Supplemented Relative to A Torsion Theory

Tamer Koşan, Abdullah Harmancı

#### Abstract

This article introduces the concept of a  $\tau$ -supplemented module as follows: Given a hereditary torsion theory in Mod R with associated torsion functor  $\tau$ , we say that a module M is  $\tau$ -supplemented when for every submodule N of M there exists a direct summand K of M such that  $K \leq N$  and N/K is  $\tau$ -torsion module. We present here some fundamental properties of this class of modules and study the decompositions of  $\tau$ -supplemented modules under certain conditions on modules. The question of which direct sum of  $\tau$ -supplemented R-modules are  $\tau$ -supplemented is treated here.

Key Words: Torsion Theory, Supplemented Module.

# 1. Introduction

Let  $\tau$  be a class of right modules over a ring. Motivated by the notion  $\tau$ -complemented modules studied in [8] we introduce and study  $\tau$ -supplemented modules. In what follows R will denote any ring with an identity and all modules will be unital right R-modules.  $\tau$ will denote the torsion functor associated with an arbitrary torsion theory on the category Mod R of all right R-modules. A module M is lifting is a (or (D1)-module) if, for any given  $A \leq M$ , there exists a direct summand K of M such that  $M = K \oplus L$  and  $K \leq A$ with  $A \cap L$  is small in L. This article introduces the concept of  $\tau$ -supplemented modules as follows. Let  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  be a torsion theory. Then  $\tau$  is uniquely determined by its associated class  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\tau$ -torsion modules  $\mathcal{T} = \{M \in \text{Mod } R \mid \tau(M) = M\}$  where for a

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 16S90

module M,  $\tau(M) = \sum \{N \mid N \leq M, N \in \mathcal{T}\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is referred as a  $\tau$ -torsion free class and  $\mathcal{F} = \{M \in Mod - R \mid \tau(M) = 0\}$ . A module in  $\mathcal{T}(\text{or } \mathcal{F})$  is called a  $\tau$ -torsion module ( $\tau$ -torsionfree module). Every torsion class  $\mathcal{T}$  determines in every module M a unique maximal  $\mathcal{T}$ -submodule  $\tau(M)$ , the  $\tau$ -torsion submodule of M, and  $\tau(M/\tau(M)) = 0$ , i.e.,  $M/\tau(M)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -module and  $\tau$ -torsionfree. In what follows  $\tau$  will represent a hereditary torsion theory, that is, if  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  then the class  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under taking submodules, direct sums, images and extensions by short exact sequences, equivalently the class  $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under submodules, direct products, injective hulls and isomorphic copies. We refer the reader to [3] and [9] as torsion theoretic sources sufficient for our purposes and [1] and [10] for the other notations in this paper.

Given a hereditary torsion theory  $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  in Mod R we say that a module M is  $\tau$ -supplemented if every submodule A of M contains a direct summand B of M such that A/B is  $\tau$ -torsion. We say that a submodule A of M satisfies the  $\tau$ -supplemented condition if A contains a direct summand B such that A/B is  $\tau$ -torsion. M is  $\tau$ -supplemented if and only if every submodule of M satisfies  $\tau$ -supplemented condition. For the torsion class Mod R, we denote the corresponding torsion functor by  $\chi$ , and if the torsion class is the class of zero modules we denote the corresponding torsion functor by  $\xi$ . In this notation  $\xi = (0, \text{ Mod } R)$  and  $\chi = (\text{Mod } R, 0)$  where 0 denotes the class of zero modules. The torsion functor for the dual Goldie torsion theory will be denoted by  $\tau_*$ . Then the dual Goldie torsion theory  $\tau_* = (\mathcal{T}_*, \mathcal{F}_*)$  is generated by the class of small R-modules. A module M is  $\tau_*$ -torsion if and only if  $M = Z^*(M)$ , where  $Z^*(M) = \{n \in M : nR \text{ is small}\}$  (see [4] and [6]).

### **Examples 1.1.** Let R be any ring. Then

- (i) Every R-module is  $\chi$ -supplemented.
- (ii) An R-module M is  $\xi$ -supplemented if and only if M is semisimple.
- (iii) Every lifting R-module is  $\tau_*$ -supplemented.

**Proof.** (i) and (iii) Clear. (ii) By [1, Theorem 9.6].

**Example 1.2.** Let R be any domain which is not right primitive. Then every R-module is  $\tau_*$ -supplemented.

**Proof.** By [7, Corollary 2.5].

**Example 1.3.** Let I be an idempotent ideal of an arbitrary ring R. Let  $\tau_I$  denote the hereditary torsion theory defined by I with torsion class  $\mathcal{T}_I = \{N \in Mod \ R \mid NI = 0\}$ .

178

Then an R-module M is  $\tau_I$ -supplemented if and only if NI is a direct summand of M for each submodule N of M.

**Proof.** The sufficiency is clear. Conversely, let N be any submodule of M. There exists a direct summand K of M such that K is contained in NI and NI/K is  $\tau_I$ -torsion. Then NI = (NI)I contained in K contained in NI, and hence NI = K.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let I be an idempotent ideal of a ring R such that the R-module R is  $\tau_I$ -supplemented. Then I = eR for some idempotent element e of R.

**Proof.** By Example 1.3.

# 2. Properties of $\tau$ -Supplemented Modules

## Lemma 2.1. Let M be a module. Then

(i) M is  $\tau$ -supplemented module if and only if every submodule A of M can be written as  $A = B \bigoplus C$  with B is direct summand of M and C is  $\tau$ -torsion submodule of M.

(ii) Every submodule of a  $\tau$ -supplemented module is  $\tau$ -supplemented.

**Proof.** Clear from definitions.

We do not know if there is a torsion theory  $\tau$  and a  $\tau$ -supplemented module M such that some homomorphic image of M is not  $\tau$ -supplemented nor do we know, in general, when a finite direct sum of  $\tau$ -supplemented modules is  $\tau$ -supplemented.

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $M = M' \oplus M''$  be a direct sum of a  $\tau$ -supplemented module M'and a  $\tau$ -torsion module M''. Then M is  $\tau$ -supplemented.

**Proof.** Let N be a submodule of the module M. Then  $N \cap M'$  is a submodule of M'. There exists a direct summand K of M'(hence also of M) such that  $(N \cap M')/K$  is  $\tau$ -torsion. But  $N/(N \cap M')$  is isomorphic to (N + M')/M', so is  $\tau$ -torsion. Thus N/K is  $\tau$ -torsion. It follows that M is  $\tau$ -supplemented.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $M = M' \oplus M''$  be a direct sum of a semisimple module M' and a  $\tau$ -torsion module M''. Then M is  $\tau$ -supplemented.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.2.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let I be an idempotent ideal of a ring R such that I = Re for some

idempotent element e of R. Then an R-module M is  $\tau_I$ -supplemented if and only if  $M = M' \oplus M''$  is a direct sum of a semisimple submodule M' and a  $\tau_I$ -torsion submodule M''.

**Proof.** The sufficiency is clear by Corollary 2.3. Conversely, suppose that M is  $\tau_{I}$ supplemented. Note that eR is contained in I = Re. Therefore Me is a submodule of M. Let K be any submodule of Me. Then K = Ke = KRe = KI, so that K is a
direct summand of M and hence also of Me, by Example 1.3. Thus Me is semisimple.
Moreover Me is a direct summand of M, say  $M = Me \oplus N$  for some submodule N of M. Because N is isomorphic to M/Me, we have NI = Ne = 0.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let M be a module. Assume that M is a  $\tau$ -supplemented module. Then any  $\tau$ -torsion free submodule is direct summand.

**Proof.** Let M be a  $\tau$ -supplemented module and L a  $\tau$ -torsion free submodule of M. There exist submodules K and K' of M such that  $M = K \oplus K'$ , K is contained in L and L/K is  $\tau$ -torsion. Clearly  $L = K \oplus (L \cap K')$ . But  $L \cap K'$  is contained in  $L \cap \tau(M)$  so that  $L \cap K' = 0$  and L = K.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let M be a  $\tau$ -torsionfree module. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) M is a  $\tau$ -supplemented module.

(ii) M is a semisimple module.

**Proof.** Let M be a  $\tau$ -torsion free module. Every submodule of M is  $\tau$ -torsion free. By Lemma 2.5 the proof is clear.

**Lemma 2.7.** Any  $\tau$ -supplemented module M is a direct sum  $M' \oplus M''$  of a semisimple submodule M' and a  $\tau$ -supplemented module M'' such that  $\tau(M'')$  is an essential submodule of M''.

**Proof.** Let K be complement of  $\tau(M)$  in M. By Lemma 2.5, K is semisimple and  $M = K \oplus K'$  for some submodule K' of M. Note that  $\tau(M) \oplus K$  is an essential submodule of M and  $\tau(M) = \tau(K) \oplus \tau(K') = \tau(K')$  so that  $\tau(M) = (\tau(M) \oplus K) \cap K'$  is an essential submodule of K'.

A torsion theory  $\tau$  is called *stable* if the class of  $\tau$ -torsion right *R*-modules is closed under essential extensions; equivalently, it is closed under injective hulls. For example, Goldie torsion theory is stable [9, page 153 Proposition 7.3].

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $\tau$  be a stable torsion theory. Then the following statements are equivalent for a module M.

(i) M is  $\tau$ -supplemented.

(ii) Every  $\tau$ -torsionfree submodule is a direct summand of M.

(iii)  $M = M' \oplus M''$  is a direct sum of a semisimple submodule M' and a  $\tau$ -torsion submodule M''.

**Proof.**  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  By Lemma 2.5.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  Let K be a complement of  $\tau(M)$  in M. By hypothesis,  $M = K \oplus K'$  for some submodule K' of M and K is semisimple. Because  $\tau(M) = \tau(K')$  is essential submodule of K' and  $\tau$  is stable, we have K' is  $\tau$ -torsion.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$  By Corollary 2.3.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let  $\tau$  be a stable hereditary torsion theory. Then any finite direct sum of  $\tau$ -supplemented modules is  $\tau$ -supplemented.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.8.

We shall show in Section 3 that Theorem 2.8 fails for non-stable torsion theories.

**Lemma 2.10.** An indecomposable module is  $\tau$ -supplemented if and only if every proper submodule of M is  $\tau$ -torsion.

**Proof.** Clear.

We shall say a module M is almost  $\tau$ -torsion if every proper submodule of M is  $\tau$ torsion. Note that  $\tau$ -torsion modules are almost  $\tau$ -torsion and almost  $\tau$ -torsion modules are  $\tau$ -supplemented. Let M be an almost  $\tau$ -torsion module which is not  $\tau$ -torsion. Let  $T = \tau(M)$ . Then T does not equal M. Let m be an element of M not in T. By hypothesis, M = mR and M is local module with unique maximal submodule T.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let M be a  $\tau$ -supplemented module which satisfies dcc or acc on direct summands. Then M is a finite direct sum of almost  $\tau$ -torsion submodules.

**Proof.** By hypothesis,  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus ... \oplus M_n$  is a finite direct sum of indecomposable submodules  $M_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . By Lemma 2.1 and 2.10,  $M_i$  is almost  $\tau$ -torsion for each  $1 \le i \le n$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** Let R be a right Noetherian ring and let M be a  $\tau$ -supplemented R-module. Then  $M/\tau(M)$  is a semisimple module.

**Proof.** Let  $T = \tau(M)$ . Let *m* belong to *M*. By Lemma 2.1, *mR* is  $\tau$ -supplemented and hence, by Theorem 2.11,  $mR/(mR \cap T)$  is semisimple. Thus (mR + T)/T is semisimple for each *m* in *M*. Hence M/T is semisimple.

# 3. Examples

Theorem 2.8 fails for non-stable torsion theories.

**Example 3.1.** Let R denote the ring of all upper triangular  $2 \times 2$  matrices with entries in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers and let I denote the ideal of R which is generated as a right ideal by the idempotent where the (1, 1) entry 1 and all other entries 0. Let  $\tau$  denote the hereditary torsion theory such that a module M is torsion provided MI = 0. Then the torsion submodule  $\tau(R)$  of the right R-module R consists of all matrices in R with (1, 1) entry is 0. Clearly  $\tau(R)$  is an essential submodule of R. But the right ideal N generated by the element a with (1, 1) entry 2 and all other entries are 0 does not contain a direct summand K of R such that N/K is  $\tau$ -torsion. Thus every  $\tau$ -torsion-free submodule of R is a direct summand of R but R is not  $\tau$ -supplemented.

**Example 3.2.** Let F be any field and let S be any F-algebra. Let R denote the subring of the ring of all 2 by 2 matrices over S consisting of all 2 by 2 matrices with second column having entries from S, with (1,1) entry from F and with (2,1) entry 0. Let I denote the ideal generated as a right ideal by the idempotent e in R with (1,1) entry 1 and all other entries 0. Let  $\tau$  denote the torsion theory where a module M is  $\tau$ -torsion if MI = 0. It can be shown that any submodule of the right R-module R is  $\tau$ -torsion or contains e. It follows that R is a  $\tau$ -supplemented R-module but  $\tau(R)$  is not a direct summand of R.

There are modules M and torsion theories  $\tau$  such that M is  $\tau\text{-supplemented but not lifting.$ 

**Example 3.3.** Let M denote the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $V = (\overline{4}, \overline{0})\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $U = (\overline{2}, \overline{1})\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $V_1 = (\overline{4}, \overline{1})\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $U_1 = (\overline{1}, \overline{1})\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $U_2 = (\overline{2}, \overline{0})\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N = (\overline{1}, \overline{0})\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $K = (\overline{0}, \overline{1})\mathbb{Z}$ . They are all proper submodules of M. N, K and  $U_1$  are direct summands and  $V \cong V_1$  and  $U \cong U_2$ . Since  $M = U + U_1$  and  $U \cap U_1 = V$  and V is small in U, U is a supplement of  $U_1$ . But U is not a direct summand. By [5, page 58, Proposition 4.8] M is not a lifting module. Let  $\tau = \xi(U)$  denote the smallest hereditary torsion theory relative to which U is torsion. The direct summands  $N, K, U_1$  satisfy the  $\tau$ -supplemented condition, and as  $U, V, U_2$  and  $V_1$  are  $\tau$ -torsion, they also satisfy the  $\tau$ -supplemented condition. It follows that M is  $\tau$ -supplemented.

There are modules M and torsion theories  $\tau$  such that M is not  $\tau$ -supplemented, but lifting.

**Example 3.4.** Let F be a field and R the upper triangular matrix ring  $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$ . Let M denote the right R-module R,  $e_{ij}$  the matrix units in R. Let  $I = e_{12}R + e_{22}R$ . Then I is an idempotent ideal and so defines a hereditary torsion theory  $\tau_I$  with torsion class  $\mathcal{T}_I = \{N \in \text{Mod } R \mid NI = 0\}$ . By [5, page 71, Theorem 4.41] M is a lifting module. Let  $K = e_{12}R$ . Then K is not a direct summand since K is essential in the direct summand  $e_{11}R$ . K is not  $\tau_I$ -torsion since  $KI = e_{12}R$ . K is simple module. Hence K can not contain any submodule A such that A is direct summand and K/A is  $\tau_I$ -torsion. Thus M is not  $\tau_I$ -supplemented.

# Acknowledgement

We would like to express our gratefulness to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions and contributions.

#### References

- F.W.Anderson and K.R.Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York. 1992.
- G.F.Birkenmeir and R.Wiegandt, Pseudocomplements in the Lattice of Torsion Classes, Comm. Alg. 26(1) (1998), 197-220

- [3] J.Golan, Torsion Theories, Pitman Mon. and Surveys in Pure and Appl.Math. 29, 1986.
- [4] C.Lomp, Dual Continous Modules, Master's thesis, Glasgow Univ., 1996.
- [5] S.H.Mohammed and B.J.Müller, Continous and Discrete Modules, London Math.Soc., LN 147, Cambridge Univ.Press, 1990.
- [6] A.C.Özcan and A.Harmanci, Characterizations of Some Rings by Functor Z\*(.), Turkish J.Math. 21 (1997) 325-331.
- [7] A.C.Özcan and P.F.Smith, The Z\* functor for rings whose primitive images are artinian, Comm. in Algebra, 30 (2002) 4915-4930.
- [8] P.F.Smith, A.M.Viola-Prioli and J.Viola-Prioli, Modules complemented with respect to a torsion theory, Comm.Alg. 25 (1997), 1307-1326.
- [9] B.Strenström, Rings of Quotients, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [10] R.Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, Gordon and Breach, Reading, 1991.

Tamer KOŞAN, Abdullah HARMANCI Hacettepe University, Department of Mathematics, Beytepe, Ankara-TURKEY. e-mail: tkosan@hacettepe.edu.tr, e-mail: harmanci@hacettepe.edu.tr Received 11.03.2003