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#### Abstract

In this paper, we explore the relations among reduced cases of algebraic models for homotopy 3 -types for groups such as braided crossed and quadratic modules and reduced simplicial groups with Moore complex of length 2.
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## 1. Introduction

Whitehead [19] obtained an algebraic description of homotopy type of any 3-dimensional complex, and he gave the notion of crossed modules which model homotopy 2-type. Mac Lane used them to describe the third cohomology of a group, moreover, Mac Lane and Whitehead, [14], gave a description of 3-type in terms of a crossed module.

Conduché [8] introduced the notion of 2-crossed module of groups model homotopy 3 -type. Simplicial groups were studied by Kan [12]. Conduché also gave an equivalence between 2-crossed modules and simplicial groups with Moore complex of length 2. This equivalence establishes the role of 2 -crossed modules as algebraic models of homotopy 3 types since the homotopy properties of a simplicial group are given by its Moore complex. It is known that since crossed modules model homotopy 2-type, the category of crossed modules is equivalent to the category of simplicial groups with Moore complex of length 1.

[^0]Brown and Gilbert [6] defined the braided, regular crossed modules which model homotopy 3 -types. They proved that this structure is equivalent to the simplicial groups with Moore complex of length 2. This equivalence ensured that the braided, regular crossed modules model homotopy 3 -types. Furthermore, they showed that the category of braided, regular crossed modules is equivalent to that of 2 -crossed modules. The reduced case of braided, regular crossed module of groupoids is called a braided crossed module of groups (cf. [6]).

Another algebraic model of homotopy 3 -type is quadratic module of groups. This structure was introduced by Baues [3]. Baues defined a functor from simplicial groups to quadratic modules. In fact, a quadratic module is a 2 -crossed module with additional nilpotent conditions. The reduced case of quadratic module is called a reduced quadratic module (cf. [3]).

This article intends to work on relations among reduced cases of algebraic models of homotopy 3-types such as braided crossed modules, reduced quadratic modules, reduced simplicial groups, and braided categorical groups.

## 2. Braided Crossed and Reduced Quadratic Modules

Crossed modules were given by Whitehead in [19]. A crossed module $\left(C_{2}, C_{1}, \partial\right)$ is a group homomorphism $\partial: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}$, together with an action of $C_{1}$ on $C_{2}$ written $x^{y}$ for $y \in C_{1}$ and $x, x^{\prime} \in C_{2}$, satisfying $\partial\left(x^{y}\right)=y^{-1}(\partial x) y$ and $x^{\partial x^{\prime}}=x^{\prime-1} x x^{\prime}$. The second condition is called a Peiffer identity. If $\partial$ satisfies only the first condition, then it is called a pre-crossed module. Clearly, a crossed module is a pre-crossed module. We denote such a crossed module by $\left(C_{2}, C_{1}, \partial\right)$. A morphism of crossed modules from $\left(C_{2}, C_{1}, \partial\right)$ to ( $C_{2}^{\prime}, C_{1}^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime}$ ) is pair of group morphisms, $\varphi: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\psi: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi\left(x^{y}\right)=\psi(x)^{\varphi(y)}$ and $\partial^{\prime} \varphi(x)=\psi \partial(x)$ for $x \in C_{2}$ and $y \in C_{1}$. Before giving the definition of reduced quadratic module, we should recall some basic structures from [3].

We denote the commutator in a group $G$ by

$$
[x, y]=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y
$$

for $x, y \in G$ and we denote the Peiffer commutator in a pre-crossed module $\partial: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}$ by

$$
\langle x, y\rangle=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y^{\partial x}
$$
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for $x, y \in C_{2}$. Thus, a pre-crossed module $\partial: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}$ is a crossed module if $\langle x, y\rangle=1$ for all $x, y \in C_{2}$. Furthermore, in a group $G$, there exists a lower central series

$$
\cdots \Gamma_{n+1} \subset \Gamma_{n} \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_{2} \subset \Gamma_{1}=G
$$

where $\Gamma_{n}=\Gamma_{n}(G)$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by all iterated commutators $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ of length $n$. Where $\Gamma_{2}(G)$ is the commutator subgroup of $G$. Similarly, there exists a lower Peiffer central series

$$
\cdots P_{n+1} \subset P_{n} \subset \cdots \subset P_{2} \subset C_{2}
$$

in a pre-crossed module $\partial: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}$. Where $P_{n}=P_{n}(\partial)$ is the subgroup of $C_{2}$ generated by all iterated Peiffer commutators $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ of length $n$ in $C_{2}$.

A group $G$ is nilpotent of class 2 if $\Gamma_{3}(G)=1$ and $\Gamma_{2}(G) \neq 1$, in this case we call $G$ a $\operatorname{nil}(2)$-group. A nil(2)-module is a pre-crossed module $\partial: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}$ with additional "nilpotency" condition. This condition is $P_{3}(\partial)=1$ where $P_{3}(\partial)$ is generated by Peiffer elements $\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\rangle$ of length 3 . Thus a nil(2)-module can be considered as generalizations of nil(2)-groups.

For any group $G$, the group $G^{a b}=G / \Gamma_{2}(G)$ is the abelianization of the group $G$. The crossed module

$$
\partial^{c r}: C_{2}^{c r}=C_{2} / P_{2}(\partial) \rightarrow C_{1}
$$

is called the crossed module associated to pre-crossed module $\partial: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}$ (cf. [3]). Where $P_{2}(\partial)=\left\langle C_{2}, C_{2}\right\rangle$ is the Peiffer subgroup of $C_{2}$. Baues gives the notion of $\partial^{c r}$ to define the quadratic module structure in [3]. However, in definition of the reduced quadratic module, the notion of nil(2)-module corresponds to the nil(2)-group. Because, in a quadratic module, if its last component is trivial, the reduced quadratic module can be obtained.

Definition 2.1 ([3]) A reduced quadratic module $(\omega, \partial)$ of groups is a diagram
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of homomorphism between groups such that the following axioms are satisfied:

1. The group $N$ is a nil(2)-group and the quotient map $N \rightarrow N^{a b}$ to the abelianization $N^{a b}$ of $N$ is denoted by $x \longmapsto \bar{x}$.
2. The composition $\partial \omega=w$ is the commutator map, or equivalently for $x, y \in N$

$$
\partial \omega(\bar{x} \otimes \bar{y})=w(\bar{x} \otimes \bar{y})=[x, y] .
$$

3. For $a \in M$ and $x \in N$;

$$
1=\omega((\overline{\partial a} \otimes \bar{x})(\bar{x} \otimes \overline{\partial a}))
$$

4. For $a, b \in M$,

$$
\omega(\overline{\partial a} \otimes \overline{\partial b})=[a, b]
$$

A map $(l, m):(\omega, \partial) \rightarrow\left(\omega^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime}\right)$ between reduced quadratic modules is a pair of homomorphisms $l: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}, m: N \rightarrow N^{\prime}$ with $m \partial=\partial^{\prime} l$ and $l \omega=\omega^{\prime}$.

We denote the category of reduced quadratic modules of groups and of maps as above by RQM.

Braided regular crossed module and its reduced case called braided crossed module were given by Brown and Gilbert [6] as models for homotopy 3-types.

Definition 2.2 ([6]) A braided crossed module of groups

$$
C_{2} \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{1}
$$

is a crossed module of groups together with a map $\{-,-\}: C_{1} \times C_{1} \rightarrow C_{2}$ called braiding map satisfying the following axioms:
$B C 1-\left\{x, y y^{\prime}\right\}=\{x, y\}^{y^{\prime}}\left\{x, y^{\prime}\right\}$
$B C 2-\left\{x x^{\prime}, y\right\}=\left\{x^{\prime}, y\right\}\{x, y\}^{x^{\prime}}$
$B C 3-\partial\{x, y\}=[y, x]$
BC4- $\{x, \partial a\}=a^{-1} a^{x}$
$B C 5-\{\partial b, y\}=\left(b^{-1}\right)^{y} b$
for all $x, x^{\prime} y, y^{\prime} \in C_{1}$ and $a, b \in C_{2}$.
From $B C 4$ and $B C 5$, for $a, b \in C_{2}$, obviously

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{\partial b, \partial a\} & =a^{-1} a^{\partial b} \\
& =a^{-1} b^{-1} a b \quad(\because \partial \text { is a cross. mod. }) \\
& =[a, b]
\end{aligned}
$$
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Thus, we can add an axiom to the axioms of braided crossed module for later use, as

$$
B C 6-\{\partial b, \partial a\}=[a, b]
$$

for $a, b \in C_{2}$. A morphism of braided crossed modules is a morphism of crossed modules which is compatible with the braiding map. We denote the category of braided crossed modules by BCM. Now, we give the relation between braided crossed modules and reduced quadratic modules of groups:

Proposition 2.3 There is a functor from the category of braided crossed modules to that of reduced quadratic modules of groups.

Proof. Let

$$
\partial: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}
$$

be a braided crossed module. We construct a reduced quadratic module from this structure. Let

$$
N=C_{1} / \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)
$$

be a quotient group. Then $N$ becomes a $n i l(2)$-group since the triple commutators are trivial on itself. Let

$$
q_{1}: C_{1} \rightarrow N
$$

be a quotient map. Let $C=N^{a b}$ and let

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
N & \rightarrow & C \\
q_{1} x & \longmapsto & \overline{q_{1} x}
\end{array}
$$

be a quotient map. Consider the subgroup $P$ of $C_{2}$ generated by the elements of the form

$$
\{[x, y], z\} \text { and }\{x,[y, z]\}
$$

for $x, y, z \in C_{1}$. Here, $\{-,-\}$ is the braiding map. Since the elements $[x, y]$ and $[y, z]$ are in $\Gamma_{2}\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $\{-,-\}$ is the braiding map, it can be shown that $P$ is a normal subgroup of $C_{2}$. Now, consider the quotient group $M=C_{2} / P$ and quotient map $q_{2}: C_{2} \rightarrow M$. For all $x \in C_{1}$ and $[y, z] \in \Gamma_{2}\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $\{x,[y, z]\} \in P$, from $B C 3$ we can write,
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$\partial\{x,[y, z]\}=[x,[y, z]] \in \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)$. Similarly, $[x, y] \in \Gamma_{2}\left(C_{1}\right)$ and $z \in C_{1}$ and $\{[x, y], z\} \in P$, we can write $\partial\{[x, y], z\}=[[x, y], z] \in \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)$. Thus we obtain $\partial(P) \subseteq \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)$. Then, we have a well defined homomorphism $\bar{\partial}: M \rightarrow N$ given by $\bar{\partial}(a P)=(\partial a) \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)$ for $a P \in M$. Indeed, if $a P=b P$, we have $a b^{-1} \in P$ and then $\partial\left(a b^{-1}\right) \in \partial(P)$. Since $\partial(P) \subseteq \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)$, we obtain $\partial\left(a b^{-1}\right) \in \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)$ and since $\partial$ is a homomorphism we obtain $\partial a \partial b^{-1} \in \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)$ and

$$
(\partial a) \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)=(\partial b) \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)
$$

Thus, we have the following commutative diagram:


Let

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
w: & C \otimes C & \longrightarrow & N \\
& \overline{q_{1} x} \otimes \overline{q_{1} y} & \longmapsto & {[x, y]}
\end{array}
$$

be commutator map. We can define the quadratic map using the braiding map

$$
\omega: C \otimes C \quad \longrightarrow \quad M
$$

by $\omega\left(\overline{q_{1} x} \otimes \overline{q_{1} y}\right)=q_{2}\{y, x\}$. Here, $\{-,-\}$ is the braiding map. Therefore

becomes a reduced quadratic module. Now, we show that all axioms of reduced quadratic module are satisfied.

1. For elements $x \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right), y \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right), z \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right) \in C_{1} / \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)=N$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[x \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right), y \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)\right], z \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)\right] } & =[[x, y], z] \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right) \\
& =\Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right) \quad\left(\because[[x, y], z] \in \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right),\left[y \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right), z \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)\right]\right] } & =[x,[y, z]] \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right) \\
& =\Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right), \quad\left(\because[x,[y, z]] \in \Gamma_{3}\left(C_{1}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the group $N$ is a $\operatorname{nil}(2)$-group.
2 . For $\overline{q_{1} x}, \overline{q_{1} y} \in C$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial} \omega\left(\overline{q_{1} x} \otimes \overline{q_{1} y}\right) & =\bar{\partial} q_{2}\{y, x\} \\
& =q_{1} \partial\{y, x\} \\
& =q_{1}([x, y]) \quad(\text { by } B C 3) \\
& =\left[q_{1} x, q_{1} y\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

3. For $q_{2} a \in M$ and $q_{1} x \in N$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega\left(\left[\bar{\partial} q_{2} a\right] \otimes\left[q_{1} x\right]\left[q_{1} x\right] \otimes\left[\bar{\partial} q_{2} a\right]\right) & =q_{2}(\{x, \partial a\}\{\partial a, x\}) \\
& =q_{2}(1) . \quad(\text { by } B C 4 \text { and } B C 5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. For $q_{2} a, q_{2} b \in M$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega\left(\overline{\bar{\partial} q_{2} a} \otimes \overline{\bar{\partial} q_{2} b}\right) & =\omega\left(\overline{q_{1} \partial a} \otimes \overline{q_{1} \partial b}\right) \\
& =q_{2}\{\partial b, \partial a\} \\
& =q_{2}[a, b] \quad(\text { by } B C 6) \\
& =\left[q_{2} a, q_{2} b\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus all the axioms of reduced quadratic module are satisfied. We can define a functor from the category of braided crossed modules to that of reduced quadratic modules;

$$
\Delta: \mathbf{B C M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R Q M}
$$

## 3. Braided Cat-Groups, Crossed and Reduced 2-Crossed Modules

Cat-groups were given by Loday in [13]. In the following, $\mathbf{C a t}(\mathbf{G p})$ will denote the category of internal categories in the category of groups. An object of $\mathbf{C a t}(\mathbf{G p})$, called a cat-group, will be represented by a diagram of groups and group morphisms

$$
A \underset{I}{\stackrel{s, t}{\rightleftarrows}} O
$$

## ULUALAN

such that $s I=t I=i d_{O}$, and the composition of two morphisms $x, y \in A$ with $t(x)=s(y)$ will be denoted $x \circ y$. The following definition can be found in the literature [4], [10], [11].

Definition 3.1 A braiding for a cat-group

$$
G: A \underset{I}{\stackrel{s, t}{\rightleftarrows}} O
$$

is a map

\[

\]

which satisfies the following conditions:
a) $s \tau_{a, b}=b a$ and $t \tau_{a, b}=a b$.
b) Naturality:

Given $x, y \in A ; x: a \rightarrow a^{\prime}, y: b \rightarrow b^{\prime}$, the following diagram is commutative.
c) Hexagon axiom:

For $a, b, c \in O$ the following diagrams are commutative.

d) $\tau_{1, a}=\tau_{a, 1}=I_{a}$.
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A cat-group together with a braiding map is usually called a braided cat-group. Given braided cat-groups $(G, \tau),\left(G^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right)$, a morphism between them is a morphism of cat-groups which is compatible with $\tau$ in the sense that the following square is commutative.


BCat $(\mathbf{G p})$ will denote the category of braided cat-groups.
Now, we give the relation between braided crossed modules and braided cat-groups. It is well-known that crossed modules are equivalent to internal categories in the category of groups (cf. [10] and [13]). By using this equivalence, we give the following proposition to see the role of the notion of braiding map between these structures from Joyal and Street [11].

Proposition 3.2 The category of braided crossed modules is equivalent to that of braided cat-groups.
Proof. Let $\partial: C_{2} \rightarrow C_{1}$ be a braided crossed module. Then, we know from [10] and [13] that

$$
G: C_{1} \rtimes C_{2} \underset{I}{\stackrel{s, t}{\underset{I}{\rightleftarrows}}} C_{1}
$$

together with $t(x, y)=x, s(x, y)=x(\partial y)$ and $I(x)=(x, 0)$, is a cat-group. It is easy to see that the composition of two morphisms is

$$
(x, y) \circ\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(x, y y^{\prime}\right)
$$

if $x^{\prime}=x(\partial y)$ for $(x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in C_{1} \rtimes C_{2}$. Let $C_{1}=O$ and $C_{1} \rtimes C_{2}=A$. The braiding map on this cat-group is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau: O \times O & \longrightarrow A \\
(a, b) & \longmapsto(b a,\{b, a\})
\end{aligned}
$$
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for $a, b \in O$, where $\{-,-\}$ is the braiding map on the crossed module $\partial$. Then, $(G, \tau)$ becomes a braided cat-group. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \tau_{a, b} & =s(b a,\{b, a\}) \\
& =b a \delta\{b, a\} \\
& =b a a^{-1} b^{-1} a b \quad(\text { by } B C 3) \\
& =a b
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t \tau_{a, b} & =t(b a,\{b, a\}) \\
& =b a
\end{aligned}
$$

and this is axiom (a) of braided cat-group. Other axioms can be shown similarly. This enables us to define a functor

$$
\Theta: \mathbf{B C M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B C a t}(\mathbf{G p}) .
$$

Conversely, let

$$
G: A \underset{I}{\stackrel{s, t}{\rightleftarrows}} O
$$

be a braided cat-group. Then $t: \operatorname{ker} s \rightarrow O$ is a crossed module associated to the catgroup $G$ together with the action given by $l^{x}=(I x)^{-1} l(I x)$. The braiding map on this crossed module is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{-,-\}: & O \times O \\
& \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} s \\
(a, b) & \longmapsto(I b)^{-1}(I a)^{-1} \tau_{a, b .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For example, the equalities
for $a, b \in O$

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\{a, b\} & =t\left((I b)^{-1}(I a)^{-1} \tau_{a, b}\right) \\
& =b^{-1} a^{-1} b a \\
& =[b, a]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a \in O, y \in \operatorname{ker} s$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{a, t(y)\} & =(I t y)^{-1}(I a)^{-1} \tau_{a, t y} \\
& =y^{-1} I(a)^{-1} y I(a) \\
& =y^{-1}(y)^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $x \in \operatorname{ker} s$ and $b \in O$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{t(x), b\} & =(I b)^{-1}(I(t x))^{-1} \tau_{t x, b} \\
& =(I b)^{-1} x^{-1} I(b) x \\
& =\left(x^{-1}\right)^{b} x
\end{aligned}
$$

are axioms $B C 3, B C 4$, and $B C 5$, respectively. The other axioms can be shown similarly. Then, this crossed module becomes a braided crossed module. Thus we can define a functor

$$
\Delta: \mathbf{B C a t}(\mathbf{G p}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B C M}
$$

Garzon and Miranda showed in [10] that the category of braided cat-groups is equivalent to $\mathbf{R e} \mathbf{X}_{2}$ Mod, the category of reduced 2-crossed modules given by Conduché in [8]. Also, we can easily say that the category of braided crossed modules is equivalent to that of reduced 2 -crossed modules. Therefore, we can give the following diagram of equivalences of categories:


## 4. Simplicial Groups and Moore Complex

We refer the reader to May's book [15] and Mutlu and Porter's article [16] for the basic properties of simplicial groups.

Denoting the usual category of finite ordinals by $\Delta$, we obtain for each $k \geq 0$, a subcategory $\Delta_{\leq k}$ determined by the objects [j] of $\Delta$ with $j \leq k$. A simplicial group $\mathbf{G}$
consists of a family of groups $G_{n}$ together with face and degeneracy maps $d_{i}^{n}: G_{n} \rightarrow$ $G_{n-1}, 0 \leq i \leq n(n \neq 0)$ and $s_{i}^{n}: G_{n} \rightarrow G_{n+1}, 0 \leq i \leq n$ satisfying the usual simplicial identities:

1. $d_{i}^{n-1} d_{j}^{n}=d_{j-1}^{n-1} d_{i}^{n}, \quad(0 \leq i<j \leq n)$,
2. $s_{i}^{n+1} s_{j}^{n}=s_{j+1}^{n+1} s_{i}^{n}, \quad(0 \leq i \leq j \leq n)$,
3. $d_{i}^{n+1} s_{j}^{n}=s_{j-1}^{n-1} d_{i}^{n}, \quad(0 \leq i<j \leq n)$,
4. $\quad d_{i}^{n+1} s_{j}^{n}=i d, \quad(i=j$ or $i=j+1)$,
5. $\quad d_{i}^{n+1} s_{j}^{n}=s_{j}^{n-1} d_{i-1}^{n} \quad(0 \leq j<i-1 \leq n)$
given by May [15]. In fact it can be completely described as a functor $\mathbf{G}: \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathbf{G r p}$, where $\Delta$ is the category of finite ordinals. A reduced simplicial group is a simplicial group whose last component is trivial. A $k$-truncated simplicial group is a functor from $\Delta_{\leq k}^{o p}$ to Grp. We will denote the category of simplicial groups by SimpGrp and the category of $k$ truncated simplicial groups by $\operatorname{Tr}_{k} \operatorname{SimpGrp}$. By a $k$-truncation of a simplicial group, we mean a $k$-truncated simplicial group $\operatorname{tr}_{k} \mathbf{G}$ obtained by forgetting dimensions of order $>k$ in a simplicial group G. This gives a truncation functor $\boldsymbol{t r}_{k}: \operatorname{SimpGrp} \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}_{k} \operatorname{SimpGrp}$ which admits a right adjoint $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { C o s k }}_{k}: \operatorname{Tr}_{k} \operatorname{SimpGrp} \rightarrow \mathbf{S i m p G r p}$ called the $k$-coskeleton functor, and a left adjoint $\mathbf{s k}_{k}: \operatorname{Tr}_{k} \operatorname{SimpGrp} \rightarrow \mathbf{S i m p G r p}$, called the $k$-skeleton functor. For the explicit constructions of these see [9].

Recall that given a simplicial group $\mathbf{G}$, the Moore complex ( $\mathbf{N G}, \partial$ ) of $\mathbf{G}$ is the normal chain complex defined by

$$
N G_{n}=\bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{ker} d_{i}^{n}
$$

with $\partial_{n}: N G_{n} \rightarrow N G_{n-1}$ induced from the face map $d_{n}^{n}$ by restriction. The $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ homotopy group $\pi_{n}(\mathbf{G})$ of $\mathbf{G}$ is the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ homology of the Moore complex of $\mathbf{G}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{n}(\mathbf{G}) & \cong H_{n}(\mathbf{N G}, \partial) \\
& =\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} \operatorname{ker} d_{i}^{n} / d_{n+1}^{n+1}\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} \operatorname{ker} d_{i}^{n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that the Moore complex NG of a simplicial group is of length $k$ if $N G_{n}=1$ for all $n \geq k+1$, so that a Moore complex of length $k$ is also of length $l$ for $l>k$.

Corollary 4.1 ([8]) Let $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$ be ( $n-1$ )-truncated simplicial group. Then there is a simplicial group $\mathbf{G}$ with $\mathbf{t r}_{k} \mathbf{G} \cong \mathbf{G}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$ satisfies the following property:

For all nonempty sets of indices $(I \neq J), I, J \subset[n-1]$ with $I \cup J=[n-1]$,

$$
\left[\bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{kerd}_{i}, \bigcap_{j \in J} \operatorname{kerd}_{j}\right]=1
$$

This normal subgroup $N_{n}^{G}$ depends functorially on $G$, but we will usually abbreviate $N_{n}^{G}$ to $N_{n}$, when no change of group is involved.

### 4.1. Braided Cat-groups and Reduced Simplicial Groups

In this section, we give an equivalence between the category of braided cat-groups and the category of reduced simplicial groups with Moore complex of length 2. This result is a combination of the equivalence between braided 2 -groups and braided crossed modules (cf. [11]) and the equivalence between reduced 2-crossed modules and reduced simplicial groups with Moore complex of length 2 (cf. [8]).

Firstly, we give a functor from the category of reduced simplicial groups to that of braided cat-groups.

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be reduced simplicial group with Moore complex NG. We construct a braided cat-group

$$
C: A \underset{I}{\stackrel{s, t}{\rightleftarrows}} O \text {. }
$$

Let $O=N G_{1}$. By using the action of $N G_{1}$ on $N G_{2}$ via $s_{1}$, define the semi-direct product group $A=N G_{1} \rtimes N G_{2} / \partial_{3}\left(N G_{3}\right)$. The source and target maps are given by $s(x, \bar{a})=x$ and $t(x, \bar{a})=x \partial_{2} a$ respectively. The composition can be defined by

$$
(x, \bar{a}) \circ(y, \bar{b})=(x, \overline{a b})
$$

for $y=x \partial_{2} a$. The identity map $I: O \rightarrow A$ is given by $I(x)=(x, \overline{1})$. Where $\bar{a}$ represents a coset of element $a$ of $N G_{2}$ in $N G_{2} / \partial_{3}\left(N G_{3}\right)$. The group operation in $N G_{1} \rtimes N G_{2} / \partial_{3}\left(N G_{3}\right)$ is given by

$$
(x, \bar{a})(y, \bar{b})=\left(x y,\left(\overline{s_{1} y a s_{1} y^{-1}}\right) \bar{b}\right)
$$
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for $x, y \in N G_{1}$ and $a, b \in N G_{2}$. Then, the interchange law holds. That is, we have a cat-group

$$
C: A \underset{I}{\stackrel{s, t}{\rightleftarrows}} O
$$

Define the braiding map on this cat-group by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau: O \times O & \longrightarrow A \\
& (x, y) \\
\longmapsto & \tau_{x, y}=\left(y x, \overline{s_{0} y^{-1} s_{1} x^{-1} s_{0} y s_{1} y^{-1} s_{1} x s_{1} y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x, y \in O$. Now, we show that some axioms of braided cat-groups are satisfied.
a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
s \tau_{x, y} & =s\left(y x, \overline{s_{0} y^{-1} s_{1} x^{-1} s_{0} y s_{1} y^{-1} s_{1} x s_{1} y}\right) \\
& =y x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t \tau_{x, y} & =t\left(y x, \overline{s_{0} y^{-1} s_{1} x^{-1} s_{0} y s_{1} y^{-1} s_{1} x s_{1} y}\right) \\
& =y x d_{2}\left(s_{0} y^{-1} s_{1} x^{-1} s_{0} y s_{1} y^{-1} s_{1} x s_{1} y\right) \\
& =y x\left(s_{0} d_{1} y^{-1} x^{-1} s_{0} d_{1} y y^{-1} x y\right) \\
& =y x\left(x^{-1}\right)^{d_{1} y} y^{-1} x y \quad \text { (by action) } \\
& =y x x^{-1} y^{-1} x y \quad \text { (by reduced condition) } \\
& =x y .
\end{aligned}
$$

$b$ ) for $x=(a, \bar{k})$ and $y=(b, \bar{l}), s(x)=a, t(x)=a d_{2} k=a^{\prime}$ and $s(y)=b$, $t(y)=b d_{2} l=b^{\prime}$, we must show that

$$
\tau_{a, b} \circ x y=y x \circ \tau_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}} .
$$

$x y=(a, \bar{k})(b, \bar{l})=\left(a b, \overline{\left(s_{1} b\right)^{-1} k s_{1} b l}\right)$ and $\tau_{a, b}=\left(b a, \overline{s_{0} b^{-1} s_{1} a^{-1} s_{0} b s_{1} b^{-1} s_{1} a s_{1} b}\right)$ and then since $t \tau_{a, b}=a b=s(x y)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x y \circ \tau_{a, b} & =\left(b a, \overline{s_{0} b^{-1} s_{1} a^{-1} s_{0} b s_{1} b^{-1} s_{1} a s_{1} b}\right) \circ\left(a b, \overline{\left(s_{1} b\right)^{-1} k s_{1} b l}\right) \\
& =\left(b a, \overline{s_{0} b^{-1} s_{1} a^{-1} s_{0} b s_{1} b^{-1} s_{1} a k s_{1} b l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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and we have $s\left(x y \circ \tau_{a, b}\right)=b a$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(x y \circ \tau_{a, b}\right) & =b a s_{0} d_{1} b^{-1} a^{-1} s_{0} d_{1} b b^{-1} a d_{2} k b d_{2} l \\
& =b a\left(a^{-1}\right)^{d_{1} b} b^{-1} a d_{2} k b d_{2} l \quad \text { (by action) } \\
& =b a a^{-1} b^{-1} a d_{2} k b d_{2} l \quad \text { (by reduced condition) } \\
& =a d_{2} k b d_{2} l \\
& =a^{\prime} b^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $y x=(b, \bar{l})(a, \bar{k})=\left(b a, \overline{\left(s_{1} a\right)^{-1} l s_{1} a k}\right)$
and $\tau_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}=\left(b^{\prime} a^{\prime}, \overline{\left(s_{0} b^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(s_{1} a^{\prime}\right)^{-1} s_{0} b^{\prime}\left(s_{1} b^{\prime}\right)^{-1} s_{1} a^{\prime} s_{1} b^{\prime}}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y x \circ \tau_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}= & \left(b a, \overline{\left(s_{1} a\right)^{-1} l s_{1} a k}\right) \circ\left(b^{\prime} a^{\prime}, \overline{\left(s_{0} b^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(s_{1} a^{\prime}\right)^{-1} s_{0} b^{\prime}\left(s_{1} b^{\prime}\right)^{-1} s_{1} a^{\prime} s_{1} b^{\prime}}\right) \\
= & \left(b a, \overline{\left(s_{1} a\right)^{-1} l s_{1} a k\left(s_{0} b^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(s_{1} a^{\prime}\right)^{-1} s_{0} b^{\prime}\left(s_{1} b^{\prime}\right)^{-1} s_{1} a^{\prime} s_{1} b^{\prime}}\right) \\
= & \left(b a, s_{1} a^{-1} l s_{1} a k s_{0}\left(b^{\prime}\right)^{-1} s_{1}\left(a d_{2} k\right)^{-1} s_{0}\left(b^{\prime}\right) s_{1}\left(b d_{2} l\right)^{-1} s_{1}\left(a d_{2} k\right) s_{1}\left(b d_{2} l\right)\right) \\
= & \left(b a, s_{1} a^{-1} l s_{1} a k\left(s_{1}\left(a d_{2} k\right)^{-1}\right)^{d_{1}\left(b^{\prime}\right)} s_{1}\left(b d_{2} l\right)^{-1} s_{1}\left(a d_{2} k\right) s_{1}\left(b d_{2} l\right)\right) \quad \text { (by action) } \\
= & \left(b a, s_{1} a^{-1} l s_{1} a k\left(s_{1}\left(a d_{2} k\right)^{-1}\right) s_{1}\left(b d_{2} l\right)^{-1} s_{1}\left(a d_{2} k\right) s_{1}\left(b d_{2} l\right)\right) \\
& (\text { by reduced condition })
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have $s\left(y x \circ \tau_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}\right)=b a$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t\left(y x \circ \tau_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}\right) & =b a a^{-1} d_{2} l a d_{2} k\left(a d_{2} k\right)^{-1}\left(b d_{2} l\right)^{-1}\left(a d_{2} k\right)\left(b d_{2} l\right) \\
& =\left(b d_{2} l\right)\left(a d_{2} k\right)\left(a d_{2} k\right)^{-1}\left(b d_{2} l\right)^{-1}\left(a d_{2} k\right)\left(b d_{2} l\right) \\
& =\left(a d_{2} k\right)\left(b d_{2} l\right) \\
& =a^{\prime} b^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the diagram

is commutative.
The axiom $c$ ) takes more work and it can be completely showed similarly to axiom $b$ ). Then, we leave the detailed calculations to the reader.
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d) We must show that $\tau_{1, a}=\tau_{a, 1}=I_{a}$. Where $I_{a}=(a, 1)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1, a} & =\left(a, \overline{s_{0} a^{-1} s_{1} 1^{-1} s_{0} a s_{1} a^{-1} s_{1} 1 s_{1} a}\right) \\
& =\left(a, s_{0} a^{-1} s_{0} a s_{1} a^{-1} s_{1} a\right) \\
& =(a, 1) \\
& =I_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{a, 1} & =\left(a, \overline{s_{0} 1^{-1} s_{1} a^{-1} s_{0} 1 s_{1} 1^{-1} s_{1} a s_{1} 1}\right) \\
& =\left(a, s_{1} a^{-1} s_{1} a\right) \\
& =(a, 1) \\
& =I_{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we can define a functor from reduced simplicial groups to braided cat-groups;

$$
\Gamma: \operatorname{ReSimpGrp} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B C a t}(\mathbf{G p}) .
$$

Theorem 4.2 The category of reduced simplicial groups with Moore complex of length 2 is equivalent to that of braided cat-groups.

Proof. In the above statements, we have already defined a functor from the category of reduced simplicial groups to that of braided cat-groups. Therefore we can define a functor from the category of reduced simplicial groups with Moore complex of length 2 to that of braided cat-groups;

$$
\Gamma: \operatorname{ReSimpGrp}_{\leq 2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B C a t}(\mathbf{G p})
$$

Conversely, let

$$
C: A \underset{I}{\stackrel{s, t}{\rightleftarrows}} O
$$

be a braided cat-group. We construct a reduced simplicial group. Let $e \in O$ be identity element. Suppose that $G_{0}=\{e\}$ and $G_{1}=O$. Then we have a 1-truncated simplicial group with trivial homomorphisms $\left\{G_{1}, G_{0}\right\}$. The group $O$ acts on ker $s$ by $I$. That is, for $x \in O$ and $a \in \operatorname{ker} s, a^{x}=I(x)^{-1} a I(x) \in \operatorname{ker} s$. Indeed, $s\left(a^{x}\right)=s\left(I(x)^{-1} a I(x)\right)=$ $x^{-1} 1 x=1$. By using this action, we can create the semi-direct product group
$O \rtimes \operatorname{ker} s$
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with the group operation

$$
(x, a)\left(x^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)=\left(x x^{\prime}, I\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{-1} a I(x) a^{\prime}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, the group $O$ acts on $O \rtimes$ ker $s$ by

$$
(x, a)^{x^{\prime}}=\left(x x^{\prime}, I\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{-1} a I(x)\right)
$$

for $(x, a) \in O \rtimes$ ker $s$ and $x^{\prime} \in O$. By using this action, we can create the semi-direct product group $O \rtimes(O \rtimes \operatorname{ker} s)$. Let $G_{2}=O \rtimes(O \rtimes \operatorname{ker} s)$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d_{0}^{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, a\right)=c_{1} & d_{1}^{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, a\right)=c_{1} c_{2} \\
d_{2}^{2}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, a\right)=c_{2} & s_{0}^{1}\left(c_{1}\right)=\left(c_{1}, 1,1\right),
\end{array} s_{1}^{1}\left(c_{2}\right)=\left(1, c_{2}, 1\right) .
$$

These maps satisfy the simplicial identities. We thus have a reduced 2-truncated simplicial group

$$
\left\{G_{2}, G_{1}, G_{0}\right\} .
$$

There is a Cosk ${ }_{2}$ functor from the category of 2- truncated simplicial groups to that of simplicial groups. We can write the following diagram;

and this enables us to define a functor

$$
\Delta: \mathbf{B C a t}(\mathbf{G p}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ReSimpGrp}_{\leq 2}
$$
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Thus, we can picture the following diagram of equivalences of categories;


The numbers in this diagram correspond the references.
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