

An Investigation on a Subclass of p -Valently Starlike Functions in the Unit Disc

Y. Polatoğlu, M. Bolcal, A. Şen and E. Yavuz

Abstract

Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of functions of the form $f(z) = z^p + a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + a_{p+2}z^{p+2} + \dots$ which are regular and p -valent in the open unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $M_p(\alpha)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A}_p consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy $\operatorname{Re} \left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \alpha$, ($z \in D$) for some real α ($\alpha > 1$).

The aim of this paper is to give a representation theorem, a distortion theorem and a coefficient inequality for the class $M_p(\alpha)$.

Key Words: Starlike and convex functions, distortion theorem, coefficient inequality.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be the family of functions $w(z)$ which are regular in the open unit disc D and satisfy the conditions $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in D$.

Let, \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + a_{p+2}z^{p+2} + \dots, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disc D .

Finally, let $M_p(\alpha)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A}_p consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \alpha, \quad z \in D, \quad \alpha > p. \quad (2)$$

2005 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45.

This class was introduced by S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava ([3], [4], [5]).

Definition 1 ([1]) Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic functions in the open unit disc D . Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written $f \prec g$, if there exist as an analytic function $w(z)$ in the open unit disc D such that $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ and $f(z) = g(w(z))$ for all $z \in D$.

In particular, if $g(z)$ is univalent in D then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(D) \subseteq g(D)$.

The following lemma, known as the Jack's Lemma, is needed in the sequel.

Lemma 1 ([2]) Let $w(z)$ be a non-constant and analytic function in the unit disc D with $w(0) = 0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r$ at the point z_0 , then $z_0 w'(z_0) = k w(z_0)$ and $k \geq 1$.

2. Main Results

In this section we derive a representation theorem, a distortion theorem and a coefficient inequality for the class $M_p(\alpha)$.

Lemma 2

$$f(z) \in M_p(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \prec \frac{p - (2\alpha - p)z}{1 - z}.$$

Proof. Let us define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) = \frac{\alpha - z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}}{\alpha - p}$$

for $f(z) \in M_p(\alpha)$. Then $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in D , $p(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ ($z \in D$). Hence we have

$$\frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = p(z) = \frac{\alpha - z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}}{\alpha - p}$$

or

$$z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p - (2\alpha - p)w(z)}{1 - w(z)} \Rightarrow z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{p - (2\alpha - p)z}{1 - z}.$$

Conversely

$$z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{p - (2\alpha - p)z}{1 - z} \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha - z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}}{\alpha - p} = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = p(z) \Rightarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \alpha.$$

□

Theorem 1 *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ satisfies*

$$\left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right) \prec \frac{2(p - \alpha)z}{1 - z}, \quad (3)$$

then $f(z) \in M_p(\alpha)$.

Proof. The linear transformation

$$w_1 = h(z) = \frac{2(p - \alpha)z}{1 - z}$$

maps $|z| = r$ onto the circle centered at $C(r) = \frac{2(p - \alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2}$ and having the radius $\rho(r) = \frac{2(\alpha - p)r}{1 - r^2}$. Therefore $h(D)$ is contained in the closed disc centered at $C(r)$ with radius $\rho(r)$.

On the other hand, if we define the function $w(z)$ by

$$\frac{f(z)}{z^p} = (1 - w(z))^{-2(p - \alpha)}, \quad (4)$$

where $(1 - w(z))^{-2(p - \alpha)}$ has the value 1 at the origin, then $w(z)$ is analytic in D , and $w(0) = 0$. If we take the logarithmic derivate of equality (4), simple calculations yield

$$z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - p = \frac{2(p - \alpha)zw'(z)}{1 - w(z)}. \quad (5)$$

Now it is easy to realize that the subordination (3) is equivalent to $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in D$. Indeed, assume the contrary. There exists $z_0 \in D$ such that $|w(z_0)| = 1$. Then by Jack's lemma, $z_0 w'(z_0) = kw(z_0)$ and $k \geq 1$, for such $z_0 \in D$, we have

$$z_0 \frac{f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} - p = \frac{2(p - \alpha)kw(z_0)}{1 - w(z_0)} = h(w(z_0)) \notin h(D)$$

because $|w(z_0)| = 1$ and $k \geq 1$. But this contradicts condition (3) of this theorem and so $|w(z_0)| < 1$ for all $z \in D$. The sharpness of the result follows from the fact that $\frac{f(z)}{z^p} = (1 - w(z))^{-2(p-\alpha)}$ implies $z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - p = \frac{2(p-\alpha)w(z)}{1-w(z)} = h(z)$.

On the other hand we have

$$\left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right) \prec \frac{2(p-\alpha)z}{1-z} \Rightarrow z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p - (2\alpha - p)w(z)}{1 - w(z)},$$

which shows that $f(z) \in M_p(\alpha)$ (by using Lemma 2). The sharpness of the result follows from the fact that $\frac{f(z)}{z^p} = (1 - z)^{-2(p-\alpha)}$ implies $\left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right) \prec \frac{2(p-\alpha)z}{1-z}$. \square

Corollary 1 *If $f(z) \in M_p(\alpha)$ then $f(z)$ can be written in the form $f(z) = z^p(1 - w(z))^{-2(p-\alpha)}$, $w(z) \in \Omega$. Therefore the function $f_*(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{2(p-\alpha)}}$ belongs to the class $M_p(\alpha)$.*

Theorem 2 *If $f(z) \in M_p(\alpha)$, then*

$$r^p(1-r)^{2(\alpha-p)} \leq |f(z)| \leq r^p(1+r)^{2(\alpha-p)}. \tag{6}$$

This result is sharp, since the extremal function is $f_(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{2(p-\alpha)}}$.*

Proof. The linear transformation

$$w_2 = w_2(z) = \frac{p - (2\alpha - p)z}{1 - z}$$

maps $|z| = r$ into the circle

$$\left| w_2 - \frac{p - (2\alpha - p)r^2}{1 - r^2} \right| \leq \frac{2(\alpha - p)r}{1 - r^2}. \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, by using Lemma 2, the definition of subordination and (7), we get

$$\left| z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{p - (2\alpha - p)r^2}{1 - r^2} \right| \leq \frac{2(\alpha - p)r}{1 - r^2}. \tag{8}$$

The inequality (8) can be written in the form

$$\frac{p - 2(\alpha - p)r - (2\alpha - p)r^2}{1 - r^2} \leq \operatorname{Re} \left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \leq \frac{p + 2(\alpha - p)r - (2\alpha - p)r^2}{1 - r^2}. \tag{9}$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |f(z)|, \quad |z| = r,$$

and by (9), we obtain

$$\frac{p - 2(\alpha - p)r - (2\alpha - p)r^2}{r(1+r)(1-r)} \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |f(z)| \leq \frac{p + 2(\alpha - p)r - (2\alpha - p)r^2}{r(1+r)(1-r)}.$$

Integrating both sides of this inequalities from 0 to r we obtain (6). \square

Corollary 2 *The radius of starlikeness of the class $M_p(\alpha)$ is*

$$r_s = \frac{p}{2\alpha - p}.$$

This radius is sharp because the extremal function is $f_(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{2(p-\alpha)}}$.*

Proof. By using the inequality (9), we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \geq \frac{p - 2(\alpha - p)r - (2\alpha - p)r^2}{1 - r^2}. \quad (10)$$

Since $r < r_s$, the left hand side of the preceding inequality is positive, which implies that

$$r_s = \frac{p}{2\alpha - p}.$$

Also note that inequality (10) becomes an equality for the function

$$f_*(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{2(p-\alpha)}}.$$

It follows that

$$r_s = \frac{p}{2\alpha - p}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3 *If $f(z) = z^p + a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + a_{p+2}z^{p+2} + \dots$ belongs to $M_p(\alpha)$, then*

$$|a_{p+k}| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} 2(\alpha - p) + m. \quad (11)$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2, we get

$$p(z) = \frac{\alpha - z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}}{\alpha - p} \Leftrightarrow z f'(z) = \alpha f(z) + (p - \alpha) f(z) p(z) \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{cases} pz^p + (p+1)a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + (p+2)a_{p+2}z^{p+2} + \dots + (p+k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k} + \dots = \\ (\alpha z^p + \alpha a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + \alpha a_{p+2}z^{p+2} + \dots + \alpha a_{p+k}z^{p+k} + \dots) + \\ (p - \alpha)(z^p + a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + a_{p+2}z^{p+2} + \dots + a_{p+k}z^{p+k} + \dots) \cdot \\ (1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots + p_kz^k + \dots). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Evaluating the coefficient of z^{p+k} in both sides (13) gives

$$(p+k)a_{p+k} = \begin{cases} \alpha a_{p+k} + p(a_{p+k} + p_1a_{p+k-1} + p_2a_{p+k-2} + \dots + p_{k-1}a_{p+1} + p_k) \\ -\alpha(a_{p+k} + p_1a_{p+k-1} + p_2a_{p+k-2} + \dots + p_{k-1}a_{p+1} + p_k). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$|p_n| \leq 2. \quad (15)$$

If we consider the relations (14) and (15) together, then we obtain

$$|a_{p+k}| \leq \frac{2(\alpha - p)}{k} \sum_{m=1}^k |a_{p+m-1}|, |a_p| = 1. \quad (16)$$

To prove (11) we will use the induction principle.

Now, consider inequality (16) and the following inequality:

$$|a_{p+k}| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} 2(\alpha - p) + m. \quad (17)$$

The right hand sides of these inequalities are the same, because

For $k = 1$:

$$|a_{p+1}| \leq \frac{1}{1!} \prod_{m=0}^0 2(\alpha - p) + m = 2(\alpha - p).$$

$$|a_{p+1}| \leq \frac{2(\alpha - p)}{1} \sum_{m=1}^1 |a_{p+m-1}| = 2(\alpha - p);$$

For $k = 2$:

$$|a_{p+2}| \leq \frac{1}{2!} \prod_{m=0}^1 2(\alpha - p) + m = \frac{1}{2!} 2(\alpha - p)(2(\alpha - p) + 1).$$

$$|a_{p+2}| \leq \frac{2(\alpha - p)}{2} \sum_{m=1}^2 |a_{p+m-1}| = \frac{2(\alpha - p)}{2} (|a_p| + |a_{p+1}|) = \frac{1}{2!} 2(\alpha - p)(2(\alpha - p) + 1).$$

Now, suppose that this result is true for $k = t$. Then we have

$$|a_{p+t}| \leq \frac{1}{t!} \prod_{m=0}^{t-1} x + m \Rightarrow$$

$$|a_{p+t}| \leq \frac{1}{t!} (x)(x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+(t-1)). \quad (18)$$

$$|a_{p+t}| \leq \frac{x}{t} \sum_{m=1}^t |a_{p+t-1}| \Rightarrow$$

$$|a_{p+t}| \leq \frac{x}{t} (1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \cdots + |a_{p+t-1}|), \quad (19)$$

where $x = 2(\alpha - p)$.

From (18), (19) and the induction hypothesis, we get

$$\frac{x}{t!} (x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+(t-1)) = \frac{x}{t} (1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \cdots + |a_{p+t-1}|) \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{1}{t!} (x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+(t-1)) = \frac{1}{t} (1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \cdots + |a_{p+t-1}|) \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{x+t}{t+1} \cdot \frac{1}{t!} (x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+(t-1)) = \frac{x+t}{t+1} \cdot \frac{1}{t} (1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \cdots + |a_{p+t-1}|) \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(t+1)!}(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+t) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{t+1} \left[\frac{x}{t} (1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \cdots + |a_{p+t-1}|) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \cdots + |a_{p+t-1}|) \right] \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \frac{1}{(t+1)!}(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+t) &= \frac{|a_{p+t}|}{t+1} + \frac{1}{t+1} (1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \cdots + |a_{p+t-1}|) \Rightarrow \\ \frac{1}{(t+1)!}(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+t) &= \frac{1}{t+1} (1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \cdots + |a_{p+t-1}| + |a_{p+t}|) \Rightarrow \\ \frac{x}{(t+1)!}(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+t) &= \frac{x}{t+1} (1 + |a_{p+1}| + |a_{p+2}| + \cdots + |a_{p+t}|) \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

Equality (20) shows that the result is valid for $k = t + 1$. Therefore, we have (11) and the proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] Goodman, A.W.: An invitation to the study of univalent and multivalent functions. *Internal. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* 2, 163-186 (1979).
- [2] Jack, I.S.: Functions starlike and convex of order α . *J. London Math. Soc.* 3, 469-474 (1971).
- [3] Nunokawa, M.: A sufficient condition for univalence and starlikeness, *Proc. Japan, Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* 65, 163-164 (1989).
- [4] Owa, S. and Srivastava, H.M.: Some generalized convolution properties associated with certain subclasses of analytic functions, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.* 3(3) (2002).
- [5] Owa, S. and Srivastava, H.M.: *Current topics in analytic function theory*, Singapore, World Scientific, 1972.

Y. POLATOĞLU, M. BOLCAL, A. ŞEN and E. YAVUZ

Received 21.11.2005

İstanbul Kültür University,

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences,

Faculty of Science and Letters

İstanbul-TURKEY

e-mail: y.polatoglu@iku.edu.tr

e-mail: m.bolcal@iku.edu.tr

e-mail: a.sen@iku.edu.tr

e-mail: e.yavuz@iku.edu.tr