

On Certain Type of Modular Sequence Spaces

Manjul Gupta and Shesadev Pradhan

Abstract

In this paper we consider a particular type of modular sequence spaces defined with the help of a given sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$ of strictly positive real numbers α_n 's and an Orlicz function M . Indeed, if we define $M_n(x) = M(\alpha_n x)$ and $\tilde{M}_n(x) = M(\frac{x}{\alpha_n})$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, we consider the modular sequence spaces $\ell\{M_n\}$ and $\ell\{\tilde{M}_n\}$, denoted by ℓ_M^α and ℓ_α^M respectively. These are known to be BK -spaces and if M satisfies Δ_2 -condition, they are AK -spaces as well. However, if we consider the spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α corresponding to two complementary Orlicz functions M and N satisfying Δ_2 -condition, they are perfect sequence spaces, each being the Köthe dual of the other. We show that these are subspaces of the normal sequence spaces μ and η which contain α and α^{-1} , respectively. We also consider the interrelationship of ℓ_α^M and ℓ_M^α for different choices of α .

Key Words: Orlicz functions, Modular sequence spaces, Köthe dual.

1. Introduction

An **Orlicz function** is a continuous, convex, non-decreasing function defined from $[0, \infty)$ to itself such that $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Such function M always has the integral representation

$$M(x) = \int_0^x p(t)dt,$$

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45, 46A80, 46B45.

where p , known as the **kernel** of M , is right continuous for $t > 0$, $p(0) = 0$, $p(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, p is non- decreasing and $p(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Given an Orlicz function M with kernel p , define $q(s) = \sup\{t : p(t) \leq s\}$, $s \geq 0$. Then q possesses the same properties as p and the function N defined as $N(x) = \int_0^x q(t)dt$, is an Orlicz function. The functions M and N are called **mutually complementary Orlicz functions**.

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -**condition** for small x or at ‘0’ if for each $k > 1$, there exist $R_k > 0$ and $x_k > 0$ such that

$$M(kx) \leq R_k M(x), \quad \forall x \in (0, x_k].$$

Let ω be the family of all real or complex sequences, which is a vector space with the usual pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. We write e^n ($n \geq 1$) for the n -th unit vector in ω , i.e $e^n = \{\delta_{nj}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ where δ_{nj} is the Kronecker delta, and ϕ for the subspace of ω generated by the e^n 's, $n \geq 1$, i.e, $\phi = \text{sp}\{e^n : n \geq 1\}$. A **sequence space** λ is a subspace of ω containing ϕ . It is called **normal or solid** if $y = \{y_i\} \in \lambda$ whenever $|y_i| \leq |x_i|$, $i \geq 1$ for some sequence $x = \{x_i\} \in \lambda$. The α -**dual or cross dual** of λ is the space λ^α or λ^\times defined as

$$\lambda^\times \equiv \lambda^\alpha = \{y = \{y_i\} \in \omega : \sum |x_i y_i| \text{ converges for all } \{x_i\} \in \lambda\}.$$

The β -dual of λ is the space λ^β given by

$$\lambda^\beta = \{y = \{y_i\} \in \omega : \sum x_i y_i \text{ converges for all } \{x_i\} \in \lambda\}.$$

Clearly, $\lambda^\times \subset \lambda^\beta$. However, if λ is normal, $\lambda^\times = \lambda^\beta$; cf [3], p.52.

A sequence space λ is said to be **perfect** if $\lambda = \lambda^{\times \times} = (\lambda^\times)^\times$. Every perfect sequence space is normal. A Banach sequence space (λ, S) is called a **BK-space** if the topology S of λ is finer than the co-ordinatewise convergence topology, or equivalently, the projection maps $P_i : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $P_i(x) = x_i$, $i \geq 1$ are continuous, where \mathbb{K} is the scalar field \mathbb{R} (the set of all reals) or \mathbb{C} (the complex plane). For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the set of natural numbers), we write the n^{th} **section** of x as $x^{(n)} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. If $\{x^{(n)}\}$ tends to x in (λ, S) for each $x \in \lambda$, we say that (λ, S) is an **AK-space**. The norm $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$ generating the topology S of λ is said to be **monotone** if $\|x\|_\lambda \leq \|y\|_\lambda$ for $x = \{x_i\}$, $y = \{y_i\} \in \lambda$ with $|x_i| \leq |y_i|$, for all $i \geq 1$.

Corresponding to an Orlicz function M , the set

$$\tilde{\ell}_M = \{x \in \omega : \delta(x, M) \equiv \sum_{i \geq 1} M(|x_i|) < \infty\}$$

is known as an **Orlicz sequence class**. If M and N are mutually complementary Orlicz functions, the **Orlicz sequence space** is defined as

$$\ell_M = \{x \in \omega : \sum_{i \geq 1} x_i y_i \text{ converges for all } y \in \tilde{\ell}_N\}.$$

It is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_M$ given by

$$\|x\|_M = \sup\{\sum_{i \geq 1} x_i y_i : \delta(y, N) \leq 1\}.$$

An equivalent way of defining ℓ_M is

$$\ell_M = \{x \in \omega : \sum_{i \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_i|}{k}) < \infty \text{ for some } k > 0\}.$$

In this case, norm $\|\cdot\|_{(M)}$ is defined by

$$\|x\|_{(M)} = \inf\{k > 0 : \sum_{i \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_i|}{k}) \leq 1\}.$$

The norms $\|\cdot\|_M$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(M)}$ are equivalent; indeed,

$$\|x\|_{(M)} \leq \|x\|_M \leq 2\|x\|_{(M)} \text{ for } x \in \ell_M.$$

An important subspace of ℓ_M , which is an AK-space is the space h_M defined as

$$h_M = \{x \in \ell_M : \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{k}) < \infty, \text{ for each } k > 0\}.$$

The Δ_2 -condition of M is equivalent to the equality of the spaces ℓ_M and h_M . Also in this case $(h_M)^\times = \ell_N$; cf [3], p.311.

For a sequence $\{M_n\}$ of Orlicz functions, the modular sequence space $\ell\{M_n\}$ is defined as

$$\ell\{M_n\} = \{x \in \omega : \sum_{n \geq 1} M_n(\frac{|x_n|}{k}) < \infty \text{ for some } k > 0\}$$

The space $\ell\{M_n\}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\{M_n\}}$ defined as

$$\|x\|_{\{M_n\}} = \inf\{k > 0 : \sum_{n \geq 1} M_n\left(\frac{|x_n|}{k}\right) \leq 1\}$$

These spaces were introduced by Woo [8] around the year 1973, and generalizes the Orlicz sequence spaces ℓ_M and the modular sequence spaces considered earlier by Nakano in [7].

An important subspace of $\ell\{M_n\}$, which is an *AK*-space, is the space $h\{M_n\}$ defined as

$$h\{M_n\} = \{x \in \ell\{M_n\} : \sum_{n \geq 1} M_n\left(\frac{|x_n|}{k}\right) < \infty, \text{ for each } k > 0\}.$$

A sequence $\{M_n\}$ of Orlicz functions is said to satisfy uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’ if there exists $p > 1$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $n > n_0$, we have $\frac{xM'_n(x)}{M_n(x)} \leq p$, or equivalently, there exists a constant $K > 1$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{M_n(2x)}{M_n(x)} \leq K$ for all $n > n_0$ and $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. If the sequence $\{M_n\}$ satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition, then $h\{M_n\} = \ell\{M_n\}$ and vice-versa.

For details of the general theory of sequence spaces and, in particular, of Orlicz and modular sequence spaces, we refer to [3], [6] and references given therein.

2. The Sequence Spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α

Corresponding to two Orlicz functions M and N and a strictly positive sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$ of real numbers, let us define

$$\ell_\alpha^M = \{x \in \omega : \{\frac{x_n}{\alpha_n}\} \in \ell_M\}$$

and

$$\ell_N^\alpha = \{x \in \omega : \{\alpha_n x_n\} \in \ell_N\}.$$

The spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α are respectively the modular sequence spaces $\ell\{M_n\}$ and $\ell\{N_n\}$, where $M_n(x) = M(\frac{x}{\alpha_n})$ and $N_n(x) = N(\alpha_n x)$, for $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the sequel, we use the notation $\|\cdot\|_\alpha^M$ for $\|\cdot\|_{\{M_n\}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_N^\alpha$ for $\|\cdot\|_{\{N_n\}}$. Though the modular sequence spaces $\ell\{M_n\}$ and $\ell\{N_n\}$ are known to be Banach spaces; but for the sake of

completeness, we give the direct proof of completeness for one of the spaces, namely ℓ_α^M , in this section. Our underlying assumption throughout for the Orlicz functions M and N are $M(1) = 1$ and $N(1) = 1$.

Let us begin with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *The space ℓ_α^M equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha^M$ is a BK-space; and it is AK if M satisfies Δ_2 -condition at '0'.*

Proof. For $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$, let us recall

$$\|x\|_\alpha^M = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{n \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho \alpha_n}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We now prove the completeness of the space $(\ell_\alpha^M, \|\cdot\|_\alpha^M)$. Let us consider a Cauchy sequence $\{x^n\}$ in ℓ_α^M . Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|x^n - x^m\|_\alpha^M < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } n, m \geq n_0.$$

Hence there exists $\rho_\varepsilon > 0$ with $\rho_\varepsilon < \varepsilon$ such that

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_k^n - x_k^m|}{\rho_\varepsilon \alpha_k}\right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } n, m \geq n_0. \tag{1}$$

As $M(1) = 1$, we get

$$\frac{|x_k^n - x_k^m|}{\rho_\varepsilon \alpha_k} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } n, m \geq n_0,$$

and for each $k \geq 1$. Thus for each given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{x_k^n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of scalars and so $x_k^n \rightarrow x_k$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Write $x = \{x_k\}$. Then by continuity of M , we get from (1)

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_k^n - x_k|}{\rho_\varepsilon \alpha_k}\right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0.$$

Hence $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$ and $\|x^n - x\|_\alpha^M < \varepsilon$ for $n \geq n_0$. Consequently, $(\ell_\alpha^M, \|\cdot\|_\alpha^M)$ is a Banach space.

$(\ell_\alpha^M, \|\cdot\|_\alpha^M)$ is a K -space since we have

$$|P_k(x)| = |x_k| \leq \alpha_k \|x\|_\alpha^M$$

for $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$ and for each given k in \mathbb{N} . Thus $(\ell_\alpha^M, \|\cdot\|_\alpha^M)$ is a *BK*-space.

Now if M satisfies Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’, then $\ell_M = h_M$ and so for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$,

$$\|x - x^{(n)}\|_\alpha^M = \inf \{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k \geq n} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho \alpha_k}\right) \leq 1 \} < \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large n . Hence the space is AK. □

Note: If M satisfies Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’, then $\ell\{M_n\} = h\{M_n\}$, where $M_n(x) = M\left(\frac{x}{\alpha_n}\right)$, $x \in (0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, $\ell\{M_n\} = h\{M_n\}$ if and only if $\{M_n\}$ satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’. Thus if M satisfies Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’ then $\{M_n\}$ satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’. However, it is natural to ask: if the sequence $\{M_n\}$ of Orlicz functions defined with the help of an Orlicz function M and a strictly positive sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of scalars, as above, satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’, does M satisfy Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’? If it holds, the result proved for modular sequence spaces $\ell\{M_n\}$ with the assumption of $\{M_n\}$ satisfying uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’ would be equivalent to proving them with the assumption of M satisfying Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’.

Next, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2 *If μ is a normal sequence space containing α , then ℓ_α^M is a proper subspace of μ . In addition, if μ is equipped with the monotone norm (quasi-norm) $\|\cdot\|_\mu$, the inclusion map $I : \ell_\alpha^M \rightarrow \mu$ is continuous with $\|I\| \leq \|\{\alpha_n\}\|_\mu$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$. Then $\sum_{n \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho \alpha_n}\right) < \infty$ for some $\rho > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{|x_n|}{\rho \alpha_n} \leq K$ for some constant $K > 0$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\{x_n\} \in \mu$. As $\{\alpha_n\} \notin \ell_\alpha^M$, it is a proper subspace of μ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\|x\|_\alpha^M \alpha_n}\right) &\leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow |x_n| &\leq \alpha_n \|x\|_\alpha^M, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

As $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ is monotone, $\|Ix\|_\mu = \|\{x_n\}\|_\mu \leq \|\{\alpha_n\}\|_\mu \|x\|_\alpha^M$ and so $\|I\| \leq \|\{\alpha_n\}\|_\mu$. □

Remark: Note that one can take μ to be any of the spaces ℓ^∞ , δ , ℓ^p , c_0 etc. If we take α in δ , ℓ_α^M are the Banach spaces of the entire sequences, as was revealed in a study

carried out earlier in [2] for finding the duals of the spaces $E_M(\gamma)$ which are subspaces of the space of entire functions.

Concerning the spaces ℓ_N^α , we have this next theorem.

Theorem 2.3 *The space $(\ell_N^\alpha, \|\cdot\|_N^\alpha)$ is a BK-space. If N satisfies Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’, it is also AK.*

Proof. Omitted, as it is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Proposition 2.4 *If η is a normal sequence space containing $\{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}\} \equiv \alpha^{-1}$, then ℓ_N^α is a proper subspace of η . If the norm (quasi-norm) $\|\cdot\|_\eta$ of η is monotone, then the inclusion map $J : \ell_N^\alpha \rightarrow \eta$ is continuous with $\|J\| \leq \|\{\alpha^{-1}\}\|_\eta$.*

Proof. In this case, we have

$$|y_n| \leq \alpha_n^{-1} \|y\|_N^\alpha$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $y \in \ell_N^\alpha$. The result now follows as in the case of Proposition 2.2. □

Remark: Note that if $\alpha_n = n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{\alpha_n^{-1}\} \in c_0$; and in this case $\ell_N^\alpha \subset c_0$. On the other hand, if $\{\alpha_n\}$ is such that $\alpha_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 0$, then ℓ^∞ is a proper subspace of ℓ_N^α and the inclusion map from ℓ^∞ to ℓ_N^α is continuous. (Indeed, $\{n\} \in \ell_N^\alpha$ and $\{n\} \notin \ell^\infty$; also for $x \in \ell^\infty$, $\frac{|x_n|}{\|x\|_\infty} \leq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{n \geq 1} |x_n|$ and $\alpha_n < \frac{1}{2^n}$ for $n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \sum_{n \geq n_0} N(\frac{|x_n \alpha_n|}{\|x\|_\infty}) \leq \sum_{n \geq n_0} N(\frac{1}{2^n}) \leq N(1) = 1 \Rightarrow x \in \ell_N^\alpha$ and $\|x\|_N^\alpha \leq \|x\|_\infty$.) Thus, we get different sequence spaces for different choices of α . In the next section, we consider this aspect.

3. Interrelationship Between The Spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_M^α

In this section we study the interrelationship between spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_M^α defined corresponding to the same Orlicz function M ; for three different behaviours of the sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$. Indeed, we prove this theorem:

Theorem 3.1 *(i) If $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$ is such that $a \leq \alpha_n \leq b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $a, b > 0$ (i.e both α and α^{-1} are in ℓ^∞), then $\ell_M^\alpha = \ell_\alpha^M = \ell_M$:*

(ii) If $\{\alpha_n\} \in \ell^\infty$ with $c = \sup_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n$ and $\{\alpha^{-1}\}$ is unbounded, then ℓ_α^M is properly contained in ℓ_M^α and the inclusion map $J : \ell_\alpha^M \longrightarrow \ell_M^\alpha$ is continuous with $\|J\| \leq c^2$.

(iii) If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is unbounded with $\sup_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n^{-1} = d < \infty$ then ℓ_M^α is properly contained in ℓ_α^M and the inclusion map $J_1 : \ell_M^\alpha \longrightarrow \ell_\alpha^M$ is continuous with $\|J_1\| \leq d^2$.

Proof. (i) We first show that $\ell_M = \ell_\alpha^M$. If $x \in \ell_M$, then $\sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}) < \infty$ for some $\rho > 0$. If $\dot{\rho} = \rho b$, then from the increasing character of M , it follows that $\sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{\alpha_n |x_n|}{\dot{\rho}}) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}) < \infty$. Hence $\ell_M \subset \ell_\alpha^M$. Other inclusion, namely $\ell_\alpha^M \subset \ell_M$ follows from the inequality $\sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho/a}) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{\alpha_n |x_n|}{\rho})$ valid for any $\rho > 0$. Similarly, one can prove $\ell_\alpha^M = \ell_M$. Hence (i) holds.

(ii) For any $\rho > 0$ and $\dot{\rho} = \rho c^2$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{\alpha_n |x_n|}{\dot{\rho}}) < \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\alpha_n \rho})$$

for $x = \{x_n\}$. Hence $\ell_\alpha^M \subset \ell_M^\alpha$.

We now show that the containment $\ell_\alpha^M \subset \ell_M^\alpha$ is proper. From the unboundedness of the sequence $\{\alpha_n^{-1}\}$, choose a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $\alpha_{n_k}^{-1} \geq k$. Define $x = \{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1/k, & n = n_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$; but $x \notin \ell_M^\alpha$.

To prove the continuity of inclusion map J , let us first consider the case when $c = 1$. For $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$, write

$$A_\alpha^M(x) = \{\rho > 0 : \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho \alpha_n}) \leq 1\}$$

and

$$B_M^\alpha(x) = \{\rho > 0 : \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n| \alpha_n}{\rho}) \leq 1\}.$$

As M is increasing and $c = 1$, we get

$$A_\alpha^M(x) \subseteq B_M^\alpha(x).$$

Hence

$$\|x\|_M^\alpha = \inf B_M^\alpha(x) \leq \inf A_\alpha^M(x) = \|x\|_\alpha^M,$$

i.e, $\|J(x)\|_M^\alpha \leq \|x\|_\alpha^M$. Thus J is continuous with $\|J\| \leq 1 = c^2$.

If $c \neq 1$, define $\beta_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{c}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\beta_n \leq 1$ and from the above, it follows that

$$\|x\|_M^\beta \leq \|x\|_\beta^M \quad \text{for } x \in \ell_\alpha^M \tag{2}$$

(note that $\ell_\alpha^M = \ell_\beta^M$). Now

$$\|x\|_M^\beta = \frac{1}{c} \|x\|_M^\alpha$$

and

$$\|x\|_\beta^M = c \|x\|_\alpha^M.$$

Hence from (2)

$$\|J(x)\|_M^\alpha = \|x\|_M^\alpha \leq c^2 \|x\|_\alpha^M$$

$\Rightarrow J$ is continuous with $\|J\| \leq c^2$. This completes the proof of part (ii). The proof of (iii) is analogous to that of (ii) and so is omitted. \square

Note: Observe that for $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\} \in \ell_M$, we have $\ell_\alpha^M \subsetneq \ell_M \subsetneq \ell_M^\alpha$. However, “If the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is such that $\sum M_n(|x_n|) < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \neq 0$, then $\ell\{M_n\}$ contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ^∞ ” (quoted from, and proved in, [8], p.274), we have this proposition.

Proposition 3.2 *If the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ is such that $\sum M(\frac{1}{\alpha_n}) < \infty$ (or $\sum M(\alpha_n) < \infty$), then ℓ_α^M (ℓ_M^α) contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ^∞ .*

Proof. Indeed, consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n = 1$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and use the result stated above. \square

4. Perfectness of the Spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α

Let M and N be complementary Orlicz functions such that $M(1) = 1$ and $N(1) = 1$. Then we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 *If M satisfies Δ_2 -condition, then $(\ell_\alpha^M)^\times = \ell_N^\alpha$; and if N satisfies Δ_2 -condition, then $(\ell_N^\alpha)^\times = \ell_\alpha^M$.*

Proof. Let M satisfy Δ_2 -condition. Then for $x \in \ell_N^\alpha$ and $y \in \ell_\alpha^M$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n y_n| = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left| \frac{\alpha_n x_n}{\rho} \frac{\rho y_n}{\alpha_n} \right| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} N \left(\frac{|\alpha_n x_n|}{\rho} \right) + \sum_{n \geq 1} M \left(\frac{|\rho y_n|}{\alpha_n} \right) < \infty,$$

where $\rho > 0$ is such that $\sum_{n \geq 1} N \left(\frac{|\alpha_n x_n|}{\rho} \right) < \infty$. Thus $x \in (\ell_\alpha^M)^\times$ or $y \in (\ell_N^\alpha)^\times$. Hence $\ell_N^\alpha \subset (\ell_\alpha^M)^\times$ and $\ell_\alpha^M \subset (\ell_N^\alpha)^\times$.

To prove the equality $(\ell_\alpha^M)^\times = \ell_N^\alpha$, let $y \in (\ell_\alpha^M)^\times$. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n y_n| < \infty \text{ for all } \{x_n\} \text{ with } \left\{ \frac{x_n}{\alpha_n} \right\} \in \ell_M \tag{3}$$

As M satisfies Δ_2 -condition, $\ell_M = h_M$ and so for $\{z_n\} \in h_M$, we get $\sum |\alpha_n z_n y_n| < \infty$ by (3). Hence $\{\alpha_n y_n\} \in (h_M)^\times = \ell_N \Rightarrow y = \{y_n\} \in \ell_N^\alpha$. Thus $(\ell_\alpha^M)^\times = \ell_N^\alpha$. Similarly, one can prove $(\ell_N^\alpha)^\times = \ell_\alpha^M$ if N satisfies Δ_2 -condition. \square

Finally, we derive the perfectness of the spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α in this final corollary:

Corollary 4.2 *If M and N satisfy Δ_2 -condition, then the sequence spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α are perfect.*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 4.1. \square

Remark: As the dual of a barreled AK-sequence space can be identified with its β -duals (cf [1], p.964 or [3], p.6), the spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α are topological duals of each other in the case M and N are complementary Orlicz functions satisfying Δ_2 -condition with $M(1) = N(1) = 1$. Besides, if $M_n(x) = M\left(\frac{x}{\alpha_n}\right)$ and $N_n = N(\alpha_n x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then one can easily check that for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, M_n and N_n are mutually complementary Orlicz functions provided M and N are so. Thus the results proved in [8] for modular sequence spaces concerning duality relations shall also be applicable for our spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α .

References

- [1] Garling, D. J. H.: The α - and γ -duality, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 63, 963–981 (1967).
- [2] Gupta, M., Pradhan, S.: On Orlicz spaces of entire functions, to appear in Indian Jour. Pure App. Math.

GUPTA, PRADHAN

- [3] Kamthan, P. K., Gupta, M.: Sequence Spaces and Series, Lecture Notes No.65, Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, 1981.
- [4] Köthe, G.: Topological Vector Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1969.
- [5] Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L.: On Orlicz Sequence Spaces, Israel J. Math. 10, 379–390 (1971).
- [6] Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L.: Classical Banach Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [7] Nakano, H.: Modulare Sequence Spaces, Proc. Jap. Acad. 27 (1951) reprinted in semi ordered Linear Spaces, Tokyo, 1955.
- [8] Woo, J. Y. T.: On Modular Sequence spaces, Studia Math 48, 271–289 (1973).

Manjul GUPTA, Shesadev PRADHAN
Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology
208016, Kanpur-INDIA
e-mail: manjul@iitk.ac.in

Received 13.04.2007