

Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measure on Time Scales

Ash Deniz and Ünal Ufuktepe

Abstract

The theory of time scales was introduced by Stefan Hilger in his Ph. D. thesis in 1988, supervised by Bernd Auldbach, in order to unify continuous and discrete analysis [5]. Measure theory on time scales was first constructed by Guseinov [4], then further studies were made by Guseinov-Bohner [1], Cabada-Vivero [2] and Rzezuchowski [6]. In this article, we adapt the concept of Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure to time scales. We define Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ and ∇ -measures and by using these measures, we define an integral adapted to time scales, specifically Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -integral. We also establish the relation between Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure and Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -measure, consequently between Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral and Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ - integral.

Key Words: Time scales, Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -measure, Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -integral.

1. Introduction

A time scale is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers. We begin with basic operators on \mathbb{T} : the forward jump operator, backward jump operator and graininess function.

Definition 1.1 Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale. Forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is defined by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} \quad (1)$$

and the backward jump operator $\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is defined by

$$\rho(t) := \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}. \quad (2)$$

If $\sigma(t) > t$, t is said to be right-scattered, while if $\rho(t) < t$, t is said to be left-scattered. If t is both right-scattered and left-scattered, t is called isolated. Also, if $\sigma(t) = t$, then t is right-dense and if $\rho(t) = t$, then t is left-dense. If t is both right-dense and left-dense, then t is said to be a dense point. For special cases if $t = \max \mathbb{T}$, $\sigma(t) = t$ and if $t = \min \mathbb{T}$, $\rho(t) = t$. The function $\mu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t \quad (3)$$

is called graininess function.

2. Measure Theory on Time Scales

Measure theory on time scales was first introduced by Guseinov [4]. The following two theorems give Δ -measures of single point set and different types of intervals respectively, established by Guseinov[4].

Theorem 2.1 Δ -measure of a single point set $\{t_0\} \subset \mathbb{T} - \{\max\mathbb{T}\}$ is given by

$$\mu_{\Delta}(\{t_0\}) = \sigma(t_0) - t_0 = \mu(t_0), \quad (4)$$

where μ denotes the graininess function.

Theorem 2.2 If $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and $a \leq b$, then

a) $\mu_{\Delta}([a, b)) = b - a;$

b) $\mu_{\Delta}((a, b)) = b - \sigma(a).$

If $a, b \in \mathbb{T} - \{\max\mathbb{T}\}$ and $a \leq b$, then

c) $\mu_{\Delta}((a, b]) = \sigma(b) - \sigma(a);$

d) $\mu_{\Delta}([a, b]) = \sigma(b) - a.$

Similarly ∇ -measures of single point set and any interval are given in [4] as follows

Theorem 2.3 For each $t_0 \in \mathbb{T} - \{\min\mathbb{T}\}$, the ∇ -measure of the single point set $\{t_0\}$ is given by

$$\mu_{\nabla}(\{t_0\}) = t_0 - \rho(t_0). \quad (5)$$

Theorem 2.4 If $c, d \in \mathbb{T}$, then

a) $\mu_{\nabla}((c, d]) = c - d.$

b) $\mu_{\nabla}((c, d)) = \rho(d) - c.$

If $c, d \in \mathbb{T} - \{\min\mathbb{T}\}$, then

c) $\mu_{\nabla}([c, d)) = \rho(d) - \rho(c).$

d) $\mu_{\nabla}([c, d]) = d - \rho(c).$

Remark 2.5 Measure constructed on time scales is different from the classical Lebesgue measure. In the classical Lebesgue measure, single point set has measure zero. Consequently for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \leq b$, measures of $[a, b]$, $[a, b)$, (a, b) , $(a, b]$ are equal, that is, the difference of endpoints, whereas, for measure constructed on a time scale, the single point set may have measure different from zero, depending on the character of the point. As a result, it is natural that different types of intervals with the same endpoints may have different measures.

Finally, there is a relation between the classical Lebesgue integral and the Lebesgue integral on time scales. We refer to reader to [2] for further information.

3. Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ and ∇ -Measures

The original Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure is defined by introducing a pre-measure μ on all intervals of \mathbb{R} as follows [3]:

i) $\mu([a, b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(a^-),$

ii) $\mu([a, b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(a^-),$

iii) $\mu((a, b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(a^+),$

iv) $b > a, \mu((a, b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(a^+),$

where $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing function with

$$\alpha(a^-) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a^-} \alpha(t) \text{ and } \alpha(a^+) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} \alpha(t).$$

We can generalize this measure to time scales. We will begin with defining a pre-measure $m_1^\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ on \mathfrak{S}^α , the family of all intervals of \mathbb{T} , by using a monotone increasing function $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, taking domain into account, as

i) $m_1^\alpha([a, b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(a^-),$

ii) $m_1^\alpha([a, b]) = \alpha(\sigma(b)^+) - \alpha(a^-),$

iii) $m_1^\alpha((a, b]) = \alpha(\sigma(b)^+) - \alpha(\sigma(a)^+),$

iv) If $b > \sigma(a)$, $m_1^\alpha((a, b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(\sigma(a)^+).$

The open interval $(a, \sigma(a))$ is understood as the empty set: then $m_1^\alpha((a, \sigma(a))) = 0$. Obviously, $[a, a)$ and $(a, a]$ are also empty sets and have pre-measures zero from the definition and need not to be specified separately.

The Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -outer measure $(m_1^\alpha)^*$ associated with α is the function defined on all $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ by

$$(m_1^\alpha)^*(E) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_1^\alpha(I_n),$$

provided that there exists at least one finite or countable covering system of intervals $I_n \subset \mathfrak{S}^\alpha$ of E as $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$. If there is no such covering of E we say $(m_1^\alpha)^*(E) = \infty$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{T}$. If

$$(m_1^\alpha)^*(A) = (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E^c)$$

holds, then we say E is $(m_1^\alpha)^*$ - (or α_{Δ} -) measurable.

Lemma 3.1 *If E_1 and E_2 are α_{Δ} -measurable, so is $E_1 \cup E_2$*

Proof. Let E_1 and E_2 are α_Δ -measurable. Let for any $A \subset \mathbb{T}$. Since E_1 is α_Δ -measurable then we have

$$(m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_2^c) = (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_2^c \cap E_1) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_2^c \cap E_1^c). \quad (6)$$

And since $A(E_1 \cup E_2) = (A \cap E_2) \cup (A \cap E_1 \cap E_2^c)$, then we have

$$(m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) \leq (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_2) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_1 \cap E_2^c). \quad (7)$$

Thus by using inequities (6) and (7), and α_Δ -measurability of E_1 and E_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_1^c \cap E_2^c) \leq \\ & (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_2) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_1 \cap E_2^c) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_2^c \cap E_1^c) = \\ & (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_2) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_2^c) = (m_1^\alpha)^*(A). \end{aligned}$$

So $E_1 \cup E_2$ is α_Δ -measurable. □

Lemma 3.2 *If we set $E = \cup_{i=1}^\infty E_i$ is the union of a countable collection of pairwise disjoint of α_Δ -measurable sets the E is also α_Δ -measurable.*

Proof. Let $F_n = \cup_{i=1}^n E_i$ then F_n is α_Δ -measurable by previous Lemma and $F_n^c \supset E^c$.

Hence

$$(m_1^\alpha)^*(A) = (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap F_n) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap F_n^c) \geq (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap F_n) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E^c),$$

Since $A \cap [\cup_{i=1}^n E_i] \cap E_n = A \cap E_n$ and $A \cap [\cup_{i=1}^n E_i] \cap E_n^c = A \cap [\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i]$, and by the α_Δ -measurability of E_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap F_n) &= (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap [\cup_{i=1}^n E_i]) = (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_n) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap [\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i]) \\ &= (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap F_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_i).$$

Then

$$(m_1^\alpha)^*(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_i) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E^c).$$

When $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1^\alpha)^*(A) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^\infty (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E_i) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E^c) \\ (m_1^\alpha)^*(A) &\geq (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E) + (m_1^\alpha)^*(A \cap E^c). \end{aligned}$$

So m_1^α is a countably additive measure on \mathfrak{S}^α . □

$\mathbf{M}((m_1^\alpha)^*)$, the family of all $(m_1^\alpha)^*$ -measurable subset of \mathbb{T} , forms a σ -algebra. We restrict $(m_1^\alpha)^*$ to $\mathbf{M}((m_1^\alpha)^*)$ and denote by μ_Δ^α . This is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -measure generated by α .

All intervals on \mathbb{T} are α_Δ -measurable since any interval can be covered by itself, which is the smallest cover, thus for any interval I , pre-measure $m_1^\alpha(I)$ and α_Δ -measure $\mu_\Delta^\alpha(I)$ coincide. That is,

- i) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha([a, b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(a^-)$,
- ii) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha([a, b]) = \alpha(\sigma(b)^+) - \alpha(a^-)$,
- iii) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha((a, b]) = \alpha(\sigma(b)^+) - \alpha(\sigma(a)^+)$,
- iv) $b > \sigma(a)$, $\mu_\Delta^\alpha((a, b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(\sigma(a)^+)$.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $\{c\} \subset \mathbb{T}$. Then it is μ_Δ^α -measurable and*

$$\mu_\Delta^\alpha(\{c\}) = \mu_\Delta^\alpha([c, c]) = \alpha(\sigma(c)^+) - \alpha(c^-). \quad (8)$$

Proof. It is obvious that single a point set is covered by itself as a closed interval, which is the smallest cover. □

Although $[c, c]$ and $[c, \sigma(c))$ has the same Δ -measure, it differs while considering α_Δ -measure, because in α_Δ -measure, we consider one-sided limits of an increasing function α at endpoints of given intervals.

Remark 3.4 *From Proposition 3.3, it is seen why Δ -measure of $\max \mathbb{T}$ is infinity. The reason is that we are not able to approach $\max \mathbb{T}$ from the right hand side. Furthermore, we are not allowed to take the limit of the minimum point of \mathbb{T} from the left hand side. Thus, we say α_Δ -measures of maximum and minimum points of a time scale and also α_Δ -measure of any set containing at least one of them are undefined (∞).*

However, up to now, Δ -measure of the minimum point of a bounded below time scale has been introduced as an ordinary interior point of the time scale because the formula $\mu_\Delta(\{t_0\}) = \sigma(t_0) - t_0$ stays the same; but extending theory, replacing measure with respect to an increasing function, it is seen that a single point set has α_Δ -measure $\alpha(\sigma(t_0)^+) - \alpha(t_0^-)$. And because of the fact that the limit from left hand side at t_0 is undefined, we finally get this result.

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then α_Δ and α measures (see [3]) coincide since for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $\sigma(t) = t$.

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then

- i) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha([a, b)) = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a)$,
- ii) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha([a, b]) = \alpha(b + 1) - \alpha(a)$,
- iii) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha((a, b]) = \alpha(b + 1) - \alpha(a + 1)$,
- iv) For $b > a + 1$, $\mu_\Delta^\alpha((a, b)) = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a + 1)$.

Here we are not interested in right-sided and left-sided limits because all functions defined on \mathbb{Z} is continuous.

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, $\alpha(t) = t$, then α_Δ -measure turns in to Δ -measure introduced by Guseinov [4] as follows:

- i) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha([a, b)) = b - a$,
- ii) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha([a, b]) = \sigma(b) - a$,
- iii) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha((a, b]) = \sigma(b) - \sigma(a)$,
- iv) If $b > \sigma(a)$, $\mu_\Delta^\alpha((a, b)) = b - \sigma(a)$.

Let us introduce the concept of Lebesgue-Stieltjes ∇ -measure on time scales. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotone increasing function. We define a set function m_2^α on the family of all intervals of \mathbb{T} denoted by \mathfrak{S}^α as follows:

- i) $m_2^\alpha([a, b)) = \alpha(\rho(b)^-) - \alpha(\rho(a)^-)$,
- ii) $m_1^\alpha([a, b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(\rho(a)^-)$,
- iii) $m_1^\alpha((a, b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(a^+)$,
- iv) $a < \rho(b)$, $m_1^\alpha((a, b)) = \alpha(\rho(b)^-) - \alpha(a^+)$,

where The Lebesgue-Stieltjes ∇ -outer measure $(m_2^\alpha)^*$ of a set E associated with α is the function defined on all subsets of \mathbb{T} by $(m_2^\alpha)^*(E) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (m_2^\alpha)(I_n)$ provided that there exists at least one finite or countable covering of intervals $I_n \subset \mathfrak{S}^\alpha$ of E such that $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$. If there is no such covering of E , we say that $(m_2^\alpha)^*(E) = \infty$.

By restriction of the outer measure to the family of all α_∇ -measurable sets, we obtain a countably additive measure denoted by μ_∇^α . Similarly, any measurable set including maximum or minimum of a time scale has α_∇ -measure infinity.

Remark 3.5 *All intervals on \mathbb{T} are α_∇ -measurable since any interval can be covered by itself which is the smallest cover. Thus for any interval I , pre-measure $m_2^\alpha(I)$ and α_∇ -measure $\mu_\nabla^\alpha(I)$ coincide so*

- i) $\mu_\nabla^\alpha([a, b)) = \alpha(\rho(b)^-) - \alpha(\rho(a)^-)$,
- ii) $\mu_\nabla^\alpha([a, b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(\rho(a)^-)$,
- iii) $\mu_\nabla^\alpha((a, b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(a^+)$,
- iv) If $a < \rho(b)$, $\mu_\nabla^\alpha((a, b)) = \alpha(\rho(b)^-) - \alpha(a^+)$.

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, then α_∇ -measure and α -measure coincide since for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $\rho(t) = t$. Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then

- i) $\mu_\nabla^\alpha([a, b)) = \alpha(b - 1) - \alpha(a - 1)$,

- ii) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([a, b]) = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a - 1)$,
- iii) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((a, b]) = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a)$,
- iv) For $b > a + 1$, $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((a, b)) = \alpha(b - 1) - \alpha(a)$.

Let $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, $\alpha(t) = t$, then α_{Δ} -measure turns in to Δ -measure introduced by Guseinov [4]. That is,

- i) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([a, b]) = \rho(b) - \rho(a)$,
- ii) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([a, b]) = b - \rho(a)$,
- iii) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((a, b]) = b - a$,
- iv) For $b > \sigma(a)$, $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((a, b)) = \rho(b) - a$.

Proposition 3.6 *Let $\{c\} \subset \mathbb{T}$. Then it is μ_{∇}^{α} -measurable and*

$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}(\{c\}) = \mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([c, c]) = \alpha(c^+) - \alpha(\rho(c)^-). \quad (9)$$

Proof. Proof is obvious from the proof of the Proposition 3.3. □

Example 3.7 *Let $\mathbb{T} = [0, 3] \cup \{4\} \cup [6, 9]$ and*

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 3 - e^{-t} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 4 & \text{if } 1 < t < 3 \\ 2t + 1 & \text{if } 3 \leq t < 7 \\ t^2 & \text{if } 7 \leq t \leq 9 \end{cases}$$

Find the α_{Δ} -measure and α_{∇} -measure of the following sets:

$\{4\}$, $[3, 6)$, $(8, 9]$, $\{3\}$, $\{7\}$, $[0, 1)$.

Solution. Let us first consider the α_{Δ} -measures of the sets:

- a) $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(\{4\}) = \mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([4, 4]) = \alpha(\sigma(4)^+) - \alpha(4^-) = \alpha(6^+) - \alpha(4^-) = 4$.
- b) $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([3, 6)) = \alpha(6^-) - \alpha(3^-) = 9$.
- c) $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}((8, 9]) = \alpha(\sigma(9)^+) - \alpha(\sigma(8)^-) = \alpha(9^+) - \alpha(8^-) = \infty$ since limit from right hand side of α at $t = 9$ is not defined.
- d) $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(\{3\}) = \mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([3, 3]) = \alpha(\sigma(3)^+) - \alpha(3^-) = \alpha(4^+) - \alpha(3^+) = 3$.
- e) $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([7, 8]) = \alpha(\sigma(8)^+) - \alpha(7^-) = \alpha(8^+) - \alpha(7^-) = 49$.
- f) $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([0, 1)) = \alpha(1^-) - \alpha(0^-) = \infty$ since limit from right hand side of α at $t = 0$ is not defined.

Now, let us consider α_{∇} -measures of the given sets:

- a) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}(\{4\}) = \mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([4, 4]) = \alpha(4^+) - \alpha(\rho(4)^-) = \alpha(4^+) - \alpha(3^-) = 5.$
- b) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([3, 6]) = \alpha(\rho(6)^-) - \alpha(\rho(3)^-) = \alpha(4^-) - \alpha(3^-) = 5.$
- c) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((8, 9]) = \alpha(9^+) - \alpha(8^+) = \infty$ since $\alpha(9^+)$ is not defined.
- d) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}(\{3\}) = \mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([3, 3]) = \alpha(3^+) - \alpha(\rho(3)^-) = \alpha(3^+) - \alpha(3^-) = 3.$
- e) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([7, 8]) = \alpha(8^+) - \alpha(\rho(7)^-) = \alpha(8^+) - \alpha(7^-) = 39$
since 7 is a left-dense point.
- f) $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([0, 1]) = \alpha(\rho(1)^-) - \alpha(\rho(0)^-) = \infty$
since $\alpha(\rho(0)^-)$ is not defined.

4. Relation Between Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measure and Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -Measure

In order to compare Lebesgue-Stieltjes measurable sets and Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -measurable sets, we need to extend α to the real numbers since α -measure of interval $(t_i, \sigma(t_i))$ for t_i is any right-scattered point is not defined. $\alpha(\sigma(t_i)^-) = \alpha(\sigma(t_i))$ since any function is left continuous at left-scattered point, similarly, $\alpha(t_i^+) = \alpha(t_i)$ since any function is right continuous at right-scattered points, the interval seems to have α -measure $\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)$. Although it is practically correct, the approach is theoretically wrong because of the fact that for α -measure of $(t_i, \sigma(t_i))$, α has to be defined on a set that includes this interval. Thus, we need to extend α . The extension could be any function whose reduction to \mathbb{T} corresponds α , monotone everywhere and continuous at scattered points.

A proper choice would be as follows:

$$\alpha^{\sim}(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(t) & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T} \\ \left(\frac{\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)}{\sigma(t_i) - t_i} \right) t & \text{if } t \in (t_i, \sigma(t_i)) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

which is a linear increasing function ($\alpha^{\Delta}(\sigma(t_i)) = \left(\frac{\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)}{\sigma(t_i) - t_i} \right) \geq 0$, since given that $\alpha(t)$ is increasing function) at each interval $(t_i, \sigma(t_i))$, where t_i is right-scattered point and continuous not only at right-scattered, but also at left-scattered points, so that we write $\alpha^{\sim}(t_i^+) = \alpha(t_i)$ where t_i is a right-scattered point and $\alpha^{\sim}(t_i^-) = \alpha(t_i)$ where t_i is a left-scattered point. Then it is clear that $\alpha^{\sim}(t)$ is also increasing.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $[a, b)$ be a half closed bounded interval of \mathbb{T} with $a, b \in \mathbb{T} - \{\min \mathbb{T}\}$. Then*

i) $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([a, b)) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a, b)) + \sum_{i \in I_{[a, b)}} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)).$

ii) $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([a, b)) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a, b)^{\sim}).$

where $[a, b)^{\sim}$ is the extension of $[a, b)$, that is obtained by filling the blanks $(t_i, \sigma(t_i))$ of the interval and $\mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}$ is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure generated by α^{\sim} .

Proof. **i)** Let $\{t_n\} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t, b\}$ be the sequence of right scattered points of $[a, b)$ such that $a \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq b$. Suppose that $s = \max\{t_n\}$. Then $[a, b)$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [a, b) &= [a, t_1] \cup [\sigma(t_1), t_2] \cup \dots \cup [\sigma(s), b), \quad \text{so} \\
 \mu^{\alpha^\sim}([a, b)) &= \mu^{\alpha^\sim}([a, t_1] \cup [\sigma(t_1), t_2] \cup \dots \cup [\sigma(s), b)) \\
 &= \mu^{\alpha^\sim}([a, t_1]) + \mu^{\alpha^\sim}([\sigma(t_1), t_2]) + \dots + \mu^{\alpha^\sim}([\sigma(s), b)) \\
 &= \alpha^\sim(t_1^+) - \alpha^\sim(a^-) + \alpha^\sim(t_2^+) - \alpha^\sim(\sigma(t_1)^-) + \dots + \alpha^\sim(b^-) - \alpha^\sim(\sigma(s)^-) \\
 &= \alpha^\sim(b^-) - \alpha^\sim(a^-) - \sum_{i \in I_{[a, b)}} (\alpha^\sim(\sigma(t_i)^-) - \alpha^\sim(t_i^+)) \\
 &= \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(a^-) - \sum_{i \in I_{[a, b)}} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\mu^{\alpha^\sim}([a, b)) = \mu_\Delta^\alpha([a, b)) - \sum_{i \in I_{[a, b)}} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)).$$

ii) $(\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)) = (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)^-) - \alpha(t_i)^+) = \mu^{\alpha^\sim}((t_i, \sigma(t_i)))$,

so $\mu^{\alpha^\sim}([a, b)) - \sum_{i \in I_{[a, b)}} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)) = \mu^{\alpha^\sim}([a, b)^\sim)$, and we get the desired result. \square

Remark 4.2 Obviously we can generalize Proposition 4.1 to any α_Δ -measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{T} - \{\max \mathbb{T}, \min \mathbb{T}\}$ as

i) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha(E) = \mu^{\alpha^\sim}(E) + \sum_{i \in I_E} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i))$.

ii) $\mu_\Delta^\alpha(E) = \mu^{\alpha^\sim}(E^\sim)$.

where

$$E^\sim = E \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_E} (t_i, \sigma(t_i)). \quad (11)$$

and I_E is the indices set of all right scattered points of E .

5. Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -Integral

We will begin with considering Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -integral of a simple function.

Definition 5.1 Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing function, μ_Δ^α be α_Δ -measure defined on \mathbb{T} , $S : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative α_Δ -measurable simple function such that $S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$ where A_i s are pairwise

disjoint α_Δ -measurable sets with $A_i = \{t : S(t) = a_i\}$. Then we define α_Δ -integral of S on a α_Δ -measurable set E as

$$\int_E S(s) \Delta\alpha(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu_\Delta^\alpha(A_i \cap E). \quad (12)$$

If for some k , $a_k = 0$ and $\mu_\Delta^\alpha(A_k \cap E) = \infty$, we define $a_k \mu_\Delta^\alpha(A_k \cap E) = \infty$.

Example 5.2 Let α and \mathbb{T} be defined as in Example 3.7. Let

$$S_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 3 \\ 4 & \text{if } 3 < t \leq 9, \end{cases}$$

$$S_2(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 3 \\ 4 & \text{if } 3 \leq t \leq 9. \end{cases}$$

Evaluate the integral of S_1 and S_2 on $[1, 8]$ with respect to α and compare the results.

Solution. $[0, 3] \cap [1, 8] = [1, 3]$ and $(3, 9] \cap [1, 8] = (3, 8]$ and α_Δ -integral of S_1 on $[1, 8]$ is

$$\int_{[1,8]} S_1(s) \Delta\alpha(s) = 1 \cdot \mu_\Delta^\alpha([1, 3]) + 4 \cdot \mu_\Delta^\alpha((3, 8])$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Delta^\alpha([1, 3]) &= \alpha(\sigma(3)^+) - \alpha(1^-) \\ &= \alpha(4^+) - \alpha(1^-) \\ &= 9 - (3 - e^{-1}) \\ &= 6 + e^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Delta^\alpha((3, 8]) &= \alpha(\sigma(8)^+) - \alpha(\sigma(3)^+) \\ &= \alpha(8^+) - \alpha(\sigma(3)^+) \\ &= 64 - 9 \\ &= 55. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\int_{[1,8]} S_1(s) \Delta\alpha(s) = 1 \cdot (6 + e^{-1}) + 4 \cdot 55 = 226 + e^{-1}.$$

$[0, 3) \cap [1, 8] = [1, 3)$ and $[3, 9] \cap [1, 8] = [3, 8]$ and from the definition, the α_Δ -integral of S_2 on $[1, 8]$ is

$$\int_{[1,8]} S_2(s) \Delta\alpha(s) = 1 \cdot \mu_\Delta^\alpha([1, 3)) + 4 \cdot \mu_\Delta^\alpha([3, 8]).$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([1, 3)) &= \alpha(3^-) - \alpha(1^-) \\ &= 4 - (3 - e^{-1}) \\ &= 1 + e^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([3, 8]) &= \alpha(\sigma(8)^+) - \alpha(3^-) \\ &= \alpha(8^+) - \alpha(3^-) \\ &= 64 - 4 \\ &= 60.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{[1,8]} S_1(s) \Delta\alpha(s) = 1 \cdot (1 + e^{-1}) + 4 \cdot 60 = 241 + e^{-1}.$$

Although these two simple functions are nearly the same, the reason for the difference of integrals is the behavior of the functions at the discontinuity point.

6. Relation Between Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral and Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -Integral

In order to establish the relation between Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure constructed on time scales and the classical Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral we need to extend function defined on time scale to real numbers as shown in [2] as follows:

$$f^{\sim}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T} \\ f(t_i) & \text{if } t \in (t_i, \sigma(t_i)). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Lemma 6.1 *Let E be an α_{Δ} -measurable set of $\mathbb{T} - \{\max\mathbb{T}, \min\mathbb{T}\}$. Let $S : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a simple function with $S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i}$ where A_i s are pairwise disjoint α_{Δ} -measurable sets, with $A_i = \{t : S(t) = a_i\}$ and $S^{\sim} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{A_i^{\sim}}$ be the extension of S as Equation 13, and A_i^{\sim} and E^{\sim} be the extensions of A_i and E that are obtained by filling the blanks of corresponding sets as in Equation 11, α^{\sim} be the extension of α as in Equation 10 and corresponding measures be denoted by $\mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}$; and μ_{Δ}^{α} is the usual Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure and Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -measure.*

Then

$$\int_E S(s) \Delta\alpha(s) = \int_{E^{\sim}} S^{\sim}(s) d\alpha^{\sim}(s).$$

Proof. We will use the fact that for each c_i , $S(t) = c_i$, $t \in A_i$, then $S^\sim(t) = c_i$, $t \in A_i^\sim$. Furthermore, $\mu_\Delta^\alpha(A_i \cap E) = \mu^{\alpha^\sim}(A_i^\sim \cap E^\sim) = \mu^{\alpha^\sim}(A_i \cap E)^\sim$.

Multiplying by a_i and summing from 1 to n both sides, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu_\Delta^\alpha(A_i \cap E) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu^{\alpha^\sim}(A_i \cap E)^\sim.$$

We get the integral of $S(t)$ on measurable set E with respect to α on the left hand side of the equation and the integral of $S^\sim(t)$ on measurable set E^\sim with respect to α^\sim on the right hand side of the equation. Thus we get

$$\int_E S(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = \int_{E^\sim} S^\sim(s) d\alpha^\sim(s).$$

We know that if a set $A \subset \mathbb{T}$ is α_Δ -measurable, then A is α -measurable. \square

Definition 6.2 Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be an α_Δ -measurable set and let $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be an α_Δ -measurable function. The Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -integral of f on E is defined as

$$\int_E f(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = \sup_{0 \leq S \leq f} \int_E S(s) \Delta \alpha(s)$$

The next lemma exhibits the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral and Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -integral of functions. Since the proof is similar to a comparison of Lebesgue Δ -integral and usual Lebesgue integral in [2], we do not give the proof.

Lemma 6.3 Let $E \subset \mathbb{T} - \{\max \mathbb{T}, \min \mathbb{T}\}$ be an α_Δ -measurable set, $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be an α_Δ -measurable function and f^\sim be the extension of f as in Equation 13. Then

$$\int_E f(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = \int_{E^\sim} f^\sim(s) d\alpha(s)$$

where E^\sim denotes the set defined in Equation 11.

Definition 6.4 Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be a α_Δ -measurable set and let $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a α_Δ -measurable function. We say that f is Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -integrable on E if at least one of the elements $\int_E f^+(s) \Delta \alpha(s)$ or $\int_E f^-(s) \Delta \alpha(s)$ is finite, where the positive and negative parts of f , f^+ and f^- respectively. In this case, we define the Lebesgue-Stieltjes Δ -integral of f on E as

$$\int_E f(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = \int_E f^+(s) \Delta \alpha(s) - \int_E f^-(s) \Delta \alpha(s).$$

Theorem 6.5 Let $E \subset \mathbb{T} - \{\max \mathbb{T}, \min \mathbb{T}\}$ be an α_Δ -measurable set. If $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is α_Δ -integrable on E , then

$$\int_E f(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = \int_E f(s) d\alpha(s) + \sum_{i \in I_E} f(t_i) (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)),$$

where I_E denotes the set of indices of the right-scattered points of E .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{E^\sim} f^\sim(s) d\alpha^\sim(s) &= \int_{E \cup (\cup_{i \in I_E} (t_i, \sigma(t_i)))} f^\sim(s) d\alpha^\sim(s) \\
 &= \int_E f^\sim(s) d\alpha^\sim(s) + \int_{\cup_{i \in I_E} (t_i, \sigma(t_i))} f^\sim(s) d\alpha^\sim(s) \\
 &= \int_E f(s) d\alpha(s) + \sum_{i \in I_E} \int_{(t_i, \sigma(t_i))} f(t_i) d\alpha^\sim(s) \\
 &= \int_E f(s) d\alpha(s) + \sum_{i \in I_E} f(t_i) (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)).
 \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude by Lemma 6.3 that,

$$\int_E f(s) \Delta\alpha(s) = \int_E f(s) d\alpha(s) + \sum_{i \in I_E} f(t_i) (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)). \quad \square$$

Remark 6.6 Let $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$, $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and α be an increasing function with $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since

$$[a, b] = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{a + (k-1)h\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{[a,b]} f(s) \Delta\alpha(s) &= \int_{\cup_{k=1}^n \{a+(k-1)h\}} f(s) \Delta(s) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{a+(k-1)h\}} f(s) \Delta(s) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\{a+(k-1)h\}} f(a + (k-1)h) \Delta(s) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(a + (k-1)h) \int_{\{a+(k-1)h\}} \Delta(s) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(a + (k-1)h) \mu_\Delta^\alpha(\{a + (k-1)h\}) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(a + (k-1)h) (\alpha(\sigma(a + (k-1)h)^+) - \alpha((a + (k-1)h)^-)) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(a + (k-1)h) (\alpha(a + kh) - \alpha(a + (k-1)h)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.7 Let $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha(t) = c$, c is any constant. Then

$$\int_a^b f(s) \Delta\alpha(s) = 0 \text{ since for any } k, \Delta\alpha_k(t) = 0.$$

Remark 6.8 Suppose that $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = c$, where c is any constant. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a,b]} f(s)\Delta\alpha(s) &= \int_{[a,b]} c\Delta\alpha(s) \\ &= c \int_{[a,b]} \Delta\alpha(s) \\ &= c \mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([a, b]) = c (\alpha(b^+) - \alpha(a^-)). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Bohner M., Peterson A., Eds.: “*Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*”, Birkhauser, Boston, 117–163 (2003).
- [2] Cabada, A. and Vivero, D.: “*Expression of the Lebesgue Δ -Integral on Time Scales as a Usual Lebesgue Integral; Application to the Calculus of Δ -Antiderivatives*”, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 43, 194-207 4, 291–310 (2006).
- [3] Carter M. and Brunt B. V.: “*The Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral*”, (Springer-Verlag, NewYork) 2000.
- [4] Guseinov, G.S.: “*Integration on Time Scales*”, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis & Applications*, 285, 107–127 (2003).
- [5] Hilger, S.: “*Ein Masskettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten*”, (Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Würzburg) 1988.
- [6] Rzezuchowski, T.: “*A Note on Measures on Time Scales*”, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, 38, 1 79–84 (2005).

Aslı DENİZ
 İzmir Institute of Technology,
 Department of Mathematics
 Urla, İzmir, TURKEY
 e-mail: aslideniz@iyte.edu.tr

Received 21.11.2007

Ünal UFUKTEPE
 İzmir University of Economics,
 Department of Mathematics
 35330 Balçova, İzmir, TURKEY
 e-mail: unal.ufuktepe@ieu.edu.tr