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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give an elementary proof of the fact that symmetric arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay monomial curves are set-theoretic complete intersections. The proof is constructive and provides the equations of the surfaces cutting out the monomial curve.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field and $R$ be the polynomial ring $K\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. To any irreducible curve $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, one can associate a prime ideal $I(C) \subset R$ to be the set of all polynomials vanishing on $C$. The arithmetical rank of $C$, denoted by $\mu(C)$, is the least positive integer $r$ for which $I(C)=\operatorname{rad}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$, for some polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ or equivalently $C=H_{1} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap H_{r}$, where $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{r}$ are the hypersurfaces defined by $f_{1}=0, \cdots, f_{r}=0$, respectively. We denote by $\mu(I(C))$ the minimal number $r$ for which $I(C)=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$, for some polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in R$. These invariants are known to be bounded below by the codimension of the curve (or height of its ideal). So, one has the following relation:

$$
n-1 \leq \mu(C) \leq \mu(I(C))
$$

Although $\mu(I(C))$ has no upper bound (see [1], for an example), an upper bound for $\mu(C)$ is provided to be $n$ in [7] via commutative algebraic methods. Later in [2, 22] the equations of these $n$ hypersurfaces that cut out the curve $C$ were given explicitly by using elementary algebraic methods.

The curve $C$ is called a complete intersection if $\mu(I(C))=n-1$. It is called an almost complete intersection, if instead, one has $\mu(I(C))=n$. When the arithmetical rank of $C$ takes its lower bound, that is $\mu(C)=n-1$, the curve $C$ is called a set-theoretic complete intersection, s.t.c.i. for short. It is clear that complete intersections are set-theoretic complete intersection. The corresponding question for almost complete intersection monomial curves is answered affirmatively in a series of papers by Eto [8, 9, 10].

Determining s.t.c.i. monomial curves is a classical and longstanding problem in algebraic geometry. Even more difficult is to give explicitly the equations of the hypersurfaces involved. It is known that all monomial
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curves are s.t.c.i. in the projective $n$-space over a field of positive characteristic $[3,12,14]$. On the other hand, nobody knows whether or not the same question has an affirmative answer in the characteristic zero case. However, there are many partial results in this case [11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21]. In fact, even the case of symmetric monomial curves is still mysterious.

The purpose of this note is to give an alternative proof of the fact that symmetric monomial curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ which are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay are s.t.c.i. by elementary algebraic methods inspired by [4]. The proof is constructive and provides the equations of the surfaces cutting out the curve.

## 2. Symmetric ACM Monomial Curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$

Let $p<q<r$ be some positive integers. A monomial curve $C(p, q, r)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ is given parametrically by

$$
(w, x, y, z)=\left(u^{r}, u^{r-p} v^{p}, u^{r-q} v^{q}, v^{r}\right),
$$

where $(u, v) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$.
We say that the monomial curve $C(p, q, r)$ is symmetric if $p+q=r$. In this case the parametric representation of the curve $C(p, q, p+q)$ becomes $\left(u^{p+q}, u^{q} v^{p}, u^{p} v^{q}, v^{p+q}\right)$.

It is known that all monomial curves are s.t.c.i. in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, if the base field $K$ is of positive characteristic [12]. But, no one knows whether even the symmetric monomial curves are s.t.c.i. in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ in the characteristic zero case. To address this case, we work with an algebraically closed field $K$ of characteristic zero throughout the paper.

A minimal system of generators for the ideal of symmetric monomial curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ is given in [6] as follows:

$$
f=x y-w z \quad \text { and } \quad F_{i}=w^{q-p-i} y^{p+i}-x^{q-i} z^{i}, \quad \text { for all } \quad 0 \leq i \leq q-p
$$

Recall that a monomial curve $C(p, q, r) \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is called Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM) if its projective coordinate ring is Cohen-Macaulay. In the same article [6], it is also proven that a monomial curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ is ACM if and only if its ideal is generated by at most 3 polynomials. Now, if the ideal of a symmetric monomial curve $C(p, q, p+q)$ is generated by two polynomials it would follow that $p=q$. But, this contradicts with the assumption that $p<q<r$. So, the ideal of an ACM symmetric monomial curve $C(p, q, p+q)$ is generated by three polynomials and hence $p=q-1$, where necessarily $q>1$. Thus, all symmetric ACM monomial curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ are of the form $C(q-1, q, 2 q-1)$ and their defining ideals are generated minimally by the following three polynomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =x y-z w \\
g: & =-F_{1}=x^{q-1} z-y^{q} \\
h: & =-F_{0}=x^{q}-y^{q-1} w
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that $C(q-1, q, 2 q-1)$ is a s.t.c.i. curve was shown in [17], but the equation of the second surface was not given. Here, we give an alternative proof that constructs the polynomial $G$ such that the symmetric ACM monomial curve is the intersection of the surface $G=0$ and a binomial surface defined by one of $f, g$
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and $h$. We construct $G$ by adding $x^{q} g$ to the $q$-th power of $f$ and dividing the sum by $z$. Hence we get the following theorem.

Theorem. Any symmetric Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay monomial curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, which is given by $C(q-$ $1, q, 2 q-1)$ for some $q>1$, is a set-theoretic complete intersection of the following two surfaces:

$$
\begin{gathered}
g=x^{q-1} z-y^{q}=0 \text { and } \\
G=x^{2 q-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{q}(-1)^{k} \frac{q!}{(q-k)!k!} x^{q-k} y^{q-k} z^{k-1} w^{k}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Note first that $z G=f^{q}+x^{q} g$. Take a point $\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$ from $Z(f, g, h)$. Then, by $z_{0} G\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)$ $=f^{q}\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+x_{0}^{q} g\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$ we observe that either $G\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$ or $z_{0}=0$.

If $G\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$ then $\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \in Z(g, G)$. If $z_{0}=0$ then by $g\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$ we get $y_{0}=0$, and by $h\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$ we get $x_{0}=0$. Thus $\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=(1,0,0,0)$ which is in $Z(g, G)$.

Let us now take a point $\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right) \in Z(g, G)$. Then either $z_{0}=0$ or we can assume $z_{0}=1$. If $z_{0}=0$ then by $g\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$ we get $y_{0}=0$, and by $G\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$ we obtain $x_{0}=0$ in this case. Thus $\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=(1,0,0,0)$ which is in $Z(f, g, h)$. On the other hand, if $z_{0}=1$ then by $G=f^{q}+x_{0}^{q} g$ we see that $f\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$. Moreover, we have $x_{0} y_{0}=w_{0}$ and $x_{0}^{q-1}=y_{0}^{q}$ in this case. Hence we obtain the following $x_{0}^{q}=x_{0} x_{0}^{q-1}=x_{0} y_{0}^{q}=x_{0} y_{0} y_{0}^{q-1}=w_{0} y_{0}^{q-1}$, meaning that $h\left(w_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$.

Remark. Note that the symmetric ACM monomial curves above are s.t.c.i. on the binomial surface $g=0$. This is not true for symmetric non-ACM monomial curves; that is, they can never be a s.t.c.i. on a binomial surface [18, Theorem 5.1]. Thus it is very difficult to construct surfaces on which symmetric non-ACM monomial curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ are s.t.c.i. with the simplest open case being the Macaulay's quartic curve $C(1,3,4)$.
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