

# A note on the lévy constant for continued fractions

Ting Zhong

#### Abstract

In this note, we study the lévy constant of continued fraction expansions. We show that for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ , the upper lévy constant of x is finite except a set with Hausdorff dimension one-half.

 ${\bf Key \ Words: \ Continued \ fractions, \ lévy \ constant, \ Hausdorff \ dimension.}$ 

# 1. Introduction

It is well known that every irrational number  $x \in [0, 1)$  has a unique standard continued fraction expansion of the form

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1(x) + \frac{1}{a_2(x) + \frac{1}{a_3(x) + \cdots}}} =: [a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots],$$

where each partial quotient  $a_n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  is uniquely defined by the number x.

For any  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define a *CF-interval* of rank n as

$$I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) = \{ x \in [0, 1) : a_k(x) = a_k, 1 \le k \le n \}.$$

Therefore, (see [5], section 12),  $I(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  is the interval with endpoints  $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$  and  $\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}$ , where  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  are defined by following recurrence relations

$$p_{-1} = 1; \ p_0 = 0; \ p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

$$q_{-1} = 0; \ q_0 = 1; \ q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(1)

Thus, the length of  $I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$  is

$$|I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)| = \left|\frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}\right| = \frac{1}{q_n(q_n + q_{n-1})}.$$
(2)

<sup>2000</sup> AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K50; 28A80.

For an irrational number  $x \in [0, 1)$ , we call

$$\beta^*(x) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log q_n(x)}{n} \text{ and } \beta_*(x) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log q_n(x)}{n},$$

the upper lévy constant and lower lévy constant of x, respectively. If  $\beta^*(x) = \beta_*(x)$ , we say the lévy constant of x exists and denote the common value by  $\beta(x)$ . A famous result of P. Lévy [6] says that for almost all x, the lévy constant exists and

$$\beta(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{12\log 2} \approx 1.18657$$

 $\beta^*(x)$  and  $\beta_*(x)$  describe the exponential growth rates of  $q_n(x)$  in n. Faiver [2] showed that every quadratic number has a lévy constant. It is easy to see that for any irrational number  $x \in [0, 1)$ , one has  $\beta_*(x) \ge \log \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ , then Faiver [3] also established that for all  $\lambda \ge \log \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ , there exists an  $x \in I$  such that  $\beta(x) = \lambda$  by employing an ergodic theorem. Later, Baxa [1] showed the following more general result by elementary means.

**Theorem 1.1** For any  $\log \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \leq \lambda_* \leq \lambda^* < \infty$ , there exist uncountably many  $x \in [0,1)$  such that  $\beta_*(x) = \lambda_*$  and  $\beta^*(x) = \lambda^*$ .

In 2006, Wu [7] improved Baxa's result by showing the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** For any  $\log \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \leq \lambda_* \leq \lambda^* < \infty$ , let

 $E(\lambda_*,\lambda^*) = \{x \in [0,1) : \beta_*(x) = \lambda_*, \ \beta^*(x) = \lambda^*\}.$ 

Then

$$\dim_H E(\lambda_*, \lambda^*) \ge \frac{\lambda_* - \log \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}}{\lambda^*}.$$

In this note, we consider the set of  $x \in [0,1)$  whose upper lévy constant is infinite and obtain

# Theorem 1.3 Let

$$E^{\infty} = \Big\{ x \in [0,1) : \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log q_n(x)}{n} = \infty \Big\}.$$

Then

$$\dim_H E^\infty = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Here and in what follows, dim<sub>H</sub> denotes the Hausdorff dimension of a subset of [0, 1), and  $|\cdot|$  denotes the diameter. We sketch, very briefly, the definition and some basic properties of Hasdorff dimension. If  $E \subset R$  and  $\delta > 0$ , define for each  $s \ge 0$ ,

$$H^{s}(E) = \liminf_{\delta \to 0} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_{n}|^{s} : E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{n}, |I_{n}| \le \delta, n = 1, 2, \cdots \right\},\$$
$$\dim_{H} E = \inf \left\{ s \ge 0 : H^{s}(E) = 0 \right\} = \sup \left\{ s \ge 0 : H^{s}(E) = \infty \right\}.$$

The following two facts are basic in calculating Hasdorff dimension of various sets.

**Lemma 1.4** Let  $E \subset R$  and let  $s \ge 0$  be given. Suppose for each  $\delta > 0$  there is a sequence of intervals  $\{I_n\}$  such as  $E \subset \bigcup I_n$ ,  $|I_n| \le \delta$  for all n, and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_n|^s \le 1$ . Then  $\dim_H E \le s$ .

**Lemma 1.5** Let  $E \subset R$  be a Borel set and  $\mu$  be a measure with  $\mu(E) > 0$ . If for any  $x \in E$ 

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x, r))}{\log r} \ge s.$$

where B(x,r) denotes the open ball with center at x and radius r. Then  $\dim_H E \ge s$ .

Lemma 1 is obvious; for Lemma 2, see ([4], Proposition 2.3).

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we show Theorem 1.3 in detail and divide the proof into two parts: upper bound and lower bound.

I. Upper bound.  $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proof.** By (1), we have

$$a_n q_{n-1} \le q_n \le 2a_n q_{n-1}$$

Successive application of this inequality gives

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \le q_n \le 2^n a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n. \tag{3}$$

Thus we get the following alternative description of  $E^{\infty}$ :

$$E^{\infty} = \Big\{ x \in [0,1) : \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log a_1(x) + \log a_2(x) + \dots + \log a_n(x)}{n} = \infty \Big\}.$$

Let

$$E^{(m)} = \Big\{ x \in [0,1) : \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log a_1(x) + \log a_2(x) + \dots + \log a_n(x)}{n} > m \Big\}.$$

Then  $E^{\infty}$  can be written

$$E^{\infty} = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} E^{(m)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} E^{(m)},$$

and for every  $x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots] \in E^{(m)}$ , there exist infinitely many positive integers  $n_i$  such that

$$\frac{\log a_1(x) + \dots + \log a_{n_i}(x)}{n_i} > m, \ i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

So that, for any  $\delta > 0$ , the family of the *CF*-intervals

$$\mathcal{A}(m,\delta) = \left\{ I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{n_i}) : \frac{\log a_1(x) + \cdots + \log a_{n_i}(x)}{n_i} > m, |I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_{n_i})| \le \delta, n_i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a  $\delta$ -cover of  $E^{(m)}$ .

Note that, for any two *CF*-intervals, say I and I', the following relation holds:

$$I \cap I' \neq \phi \Longrightarrow I \subseteq I' \text{ or } I' \subseteq I.$$

In fact, if  $I = I(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $I' = I(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n+k})$   $(k \ge 0)$  have a common point  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots]$ , then  $a_1 = x_1 = a'_1, a_2 = x_2 = a'_2, \dots, a_n = x_n = a'_n$ . It follows that  $I' \subseteq I$ .

We remove from  $\mathcal{A}(m, \delta)$  all those the *CF-intervals* which are contained in other *CF-interval* in  $\mathcal{A}(m, \delta)$ , and denote the complement by  $A(m, \delta)$ . Then,  $A(m, \delta)$  is a non-overlapping  $\delta$ -cover of  $E^{(m)}$ .

Now we define a family of measures  $\{\mu_t : t > 1\}$  as

$$\mu_t(I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)) = e^{-np(t) - t \log \sum_{i=1}^n \log a_i}$$
(4)

where  $p(t) = \log \zeta(t) = \log \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n^t}, (t > 1).$ 

By (2) and (3), we have for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\log |I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)|^{\frac{\epsilon+t}{2}} \le -(\epsilon+t) \log(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = -\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \log a_i - t \sum_{i=1}^n \log a_i.$$
(5)

By the definition of  $A(m, \delta)$ , for every  $I = I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \in A(m, \delta)$  with  $m \ge \frac{p(t)}{\epsilon}$ , we have

$$-\epsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log a_i \le -\epsilon \cdot mn \le -np(t).$$
(6)

Combining (4), (5) and (6), we get for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and  $I = I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \in A(m, \delta)$  with  $m \ge \frac{p(t)}{\epsilon}$ ,

$$|I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)|^{\frac{\epsilon+t}{2}} \le e^{-np(t)-t\log\sum_{i=1}^n \log a_i} = \mu_t(I(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)).$$

Since  $A(m, \delta)$  is a non-overlapping  $\delta$ -cover of  $E^{(m)}$ , we sum the above inequality to have

$$\sum_{I \in A(m,\delta)} |I|^{\frac{t+\epsilon}{2}} \leq \sum_{I \in A(m,\delta)} \mu_t(I) = \mu_t \Big(\bigcup_{I \in A(m,\delta)} I\Big) \leq 1$$

By Lemma 1.4, we get for any  $t > 1, \epsilon > 0$  and  $m \ge \frac{p(t)}{\epsilon}$ ,

$$\dim_H E^{(m)} \le \frac{t+\epsilon}{2}.$$

Letting  $\epsilon \to 0$  and since t > 1 is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\dim_H E^{\infty} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

	_

II. Lower bound.  $\dim_H E^{\infty} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proof.** Put

$$F = \left\{ x \in [0,1) : 2^n \le a_n(x) < 2^{n+1}, \text{ for all } n \ge 1 \right\}.$$
(7)

It is easy to check that  $F \subset E^{\infty}$ . So it is enough to prove  $\dim_H F \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . To give a precise view on the structure of F, we shall make use of a kind of symbolic space defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \Big\{ (a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : 2^k \le a_k < 2^{k+1}, \text{ for all } 1 \le k \le n \Big\}.$$

For any  $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{D}_n$ , call

$$J(a_1, \cdots, a_n) = cl \Big\{ x \in [0, 1) : a_k(x) = a_k, 1 \le k \le n \Big\}$$

an admissible CF-intervals of rank n, where "cl" denotes the closure of a set in [0, 1). It is observable that

$$F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathcal{D}_n} J(a_1, \cdots, a_n).$$

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure supported on F such that for every admissible intervals  $J(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,

$$\mu(J(a_1,\cdots,a_n)) = \frac{1}{\sharp \mathcal{D}_n} = \frac{1}{2^{1+2+\cdots+n}},\tag{8}$$

where  $\sharp$  denotes the cardinality.

Now we estimate the  $\mu$ -measure of arbitrary ball B(x, r) with center  $x \in F$  and radius r small enough. Choose  $n \ge 1$  such that

$$|J(a_1, \cdots, a_{n+1})| \le r < |J(a_1, \cdots, a_n)|.$$

Calculations show

$$|J(a_1, \cdots, a_n)| \le \sum_{1 \le i \le 4} |J(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}, a_n + i)|.$$

So that, from  $a_n \ge 2$  and  $r < |J(a_1, \dots, a_n)|$  we have

$$B(x,r) \subset J(a_1,\cdots,a_{n-1}).$$
(9)

On the other hand, from (2), (3) and (7), We have

$$r \ge |J(a_1, \cdots, a_{n+1})| > \frac{1}{2q_{n+1}^2} > \frac{1}{2^{2n+3}a_1^2 a_2^2 \cdots a_{n+1}^2} > \frac{1}{2^{2n+3+(n+1)(n+4)}}.$$
(10)

Combining (8), (9) and (10), we get

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(x,r))}{\log r} \ge \liminf_{r \to 0} \frac{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}}{2n+3+(n+1)(n+4)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

By using lemma 1.5, we obtain  $\dim_H F \ge \frac{1}{2}$ , which shows  $\dim_H E^{\infty} \ge \frac{1}{2}$  since  $F \subset E^{\infty}$ . This completes the proof.

#### References

- [1] Baxa, C.: On the growth rate of the denominators of convergents. Acta Math. Hungar. 83, 125-130 (1999).
- [2] Faiver, C.: Distribution of Lévy constants for quadratic numbers. Acta Arith. 61, 13-34 (1992).
- [3] Faiver, C.: The Lévy constants of an irrational number. Acta Math. Hungar. 74, 57-61 (1997).
- [4] Falconer, K. J.: Techniques in Fractal Geometry. New York: Wiley 1997.
- [5] Khintchine, A. Ya.: Continued Fractions. P. Noordhoff. Groningen. The Netherlands 1963.
- [6] Lévy, P.: Sur les lois de probabilité don't dépendent les quotients complets et incomplets d'une fraction continue. Bull. Soc. Math. 57, 178-194 (1929).
- [7] Wu, J.: A remark on the growth rate of the denominators of convergents. Monatsh. Math. 147, 259-264 (2006).

Ting ZHONG Department of Mathematics, Jishou University Zhangjiajie, Hunan, 427000, P.R. CHINA e-mail: zhongting\_2005@126.com Received 12.03.2008