

The equivalence of centro-equiaffine curves

Yasemin Sağıroğlu, Ömer Pekşen

Abstract

The motivation of this paper is to find formulation of the $SL(n, R)$ -equivalence of curves. The types for centro-equiaffine curves and for every type all invariant parametrizations for such curves are introduced. The problem of $SL(n, R)$ -equivalence of centro-equiaffine curves is reduced to that of paths. The centro-equiaffine curvatures of path as a generating system of the differential ring of $SL(n, R)$ -invariant differential polinomial functions of path are found. Global conditions of $SL(n, R)$ -equivalence of curves are given in terms of the types and invariants. It is proved that the invariants are independent.

Key Words: Centro-equiaffine geometry, centro-equiaffine type of a curve, differential invariants of a curve, centro-equiaffine equivalence of curves.

1. Preliminaries

The invariant theory provides a method to find differential invariants of a curve to solve the equivalence problem of curves. In [8] the problem investigated for equiaffine curves and in [13] it is solved for centro-affine curves. The first comprehensive treatment of affine geometry is given in the seminal work of Blaschke [3]. For further developments of the subject, we refer the reader to [14], and the more modern texts [11], [20], the commentaries [16], [17] and survey papers [19], [2], [18]. The fundamental theorem of curves in centro-affine geometry is obtained in [4]. A discussion of centro-affine plane and space curves can be found in [15], [12]. A detailed discussion of plane curves in centro-affine geometry can be obtained in [10]. In [6] equiaffine invariants of 3-dimensional curves and in [5, pp.170-172] and [12] equiaffine curvatures of n -dimensional curves are investigated. Complete systems of global equiaffine invariants for plane and space paths are obtained in [1]. The global $SL(n)$ -equivalence of path in R^n and C^n is considered in [7] and in [21].

This paper is concerned with the problem of the global equivalence of centro-equiaffine curves. Centro-equiaffine types of a curve is introduced. For every centro-equiaffine type of a curve all possible invariant parametrizations are described. We obtain a generating system of the differential ring of all centro-equiaffine invariant differential polynomials of a path. The conditions of the global centro-equiaffine equivalence of curves are given in terms of the centro-equiaffine type and invariants of a curve. The independence of the invariants is proved.

2. The centro-equiaffine type of a curve

Let R be the field of real numbers and $I = (a, b)$ be an open interval of R .

Definition 1 A C^∞ -map $x : I \rightarrow R^n$ will be called an I -path (shortly, a path) in R^n .

Definition 2 An I_1 -path $x(t)$ and an I_2 -path $y(r)$ in R^n will be called D -equivalent if there exists a C^∞ -diffeomorphism $\varphi : I_2 \rightarrow I_1$ such that $\varphi'(r) > 0$ and $y(r) = x(\varphi(r))$ for all $r \in I_2$. A class of D -equivalent paths in R^n will be called a curve in R^n , ([9], p.9). A path $x \in \alpha$ will be called a parametrization of a curve α .

Remark 1 There exist different definitions of a curve ([5], p.2, [7]).

We denote the group $\{g \in GL(n, R) \mid \det g = 1\}$ of all $n \times n$ matrices by $SL(n, R)$.

If $x(t)$ is an I -path in R^n then $gx(t)$ is an I -path in R^n for any $g \in SL(n, R)$.

Definition 3 Two I -paths x and y in R^n will be called $SL(n, R)$ -equivalent and written $x \stackrel{SL(n, R)}{\sim} y$ if there exists $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $y(t) = gx(t)$.

Let α be a curve in R^n , that is, $\alpha = \{h_\tau, \tau \in Q\}$, where h_τ is a parametrization of α . Then $g\alpha = \{gh_\tau, \tau \in Q\}$ is a curve in R^n for any $g \in SL(n, R)$.

Definition 4 Two curves α and β in R^n will be called $SL(n, R)$ -equivalent (or $SL(n, R)$ -congruent) and written $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n, R)}{\sim} \beta$ if $\beta = g\alpha$ for some $g \in SL(n, R)$.

Remark 2 Our definition is essentially different from the definition ([5], p.21) of a congruence of curves for the group of euclidean motions. By the definition ([5], p.21), two curves with different lengths may be congruent.

Let x be an I -path in R^n and $x'(t)$ be the derivative of $x(t)$. Put $x^{(0)} = x$, $x^{(n)} = (x^{(n-1)})'$. For $a_k \in R^n$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, the determinant $\det(a_{ij})$ (where a_{ki} are coordinates of a_k) will be denoted by $[a_1 a_2 \dots a_n]$. So $[x(t)x'(t)\dots x^{(n-1)}(t)]$ is the determinant of the vectors $x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)$. For $I = (a, b)$, $q, p \in I$, put

$$l_x(q, p) = \int_q^p \left| [x(t)x'(t)\dots x^{(n-1)}(t)] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)n}} dt$$

and $l_x(a, p) = \lim_{q \rightarrow a} l_x(q, p)$, $l_x(q, b) = \lim_{p \rightarrow b} l_x(q, p)$. There are only four possible cases:

- (i) $l_x(a, p) < +\infty$, $l_x(q, b) < +\infty$; (ii) $l_x(a, p) < +\infty$, $l_x(q, b) = +\infty$;
- (iii) $l_x(a, p) = +\infty$, $l_x(q, b) < +\infty$; (iv) $l_x(a, p) = +\infty$, $l_x(q, b) = +\infty$.

Suppose that the case (i) or (ii) holds for some $q, p \in I$. Then $l = l_x(a, p) + l_x(q, b) - l_x(q, p)$, where $0 \leq l \leq +\infty$, does not depend on q, p . In this case, we say that x belongs to the centro-equiaffine type of $(0, l)$. The cases (iii) and (iv) do not depend on q, p . In these cases, we say that x belongs to the centro-equiaffine types of $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(-\infty, +\infty)$, respectively. There exist paths of all types $(0, l)$ (where $0 \leq l \leq +\infty$), $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(-\infty, +\infty)$. The centro-equiaffine type of a path x will be denoted by $L(x)$.

Proposition 1 (i) If $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$ then $L(x) = L(y)$;

(ii) Let α be a curve and $x, y \in \alpha$. Then $L(x) = L(y)$.

Proof. It is obvious. □

The centro-equiaffine type of a path $x \in \alpha$ will be called the centro-equiaffine type of the curve α and denoted by $L(\alpha)$. According to Proposition 1, $L(\alpha)$ is an $SL(n, R)$ -invariant of a curve α .

3. Invariant parametrization and reduction theorem

Definition 5 An I -path $x(t)$ in R^n will be called centro-equiaffine regular (shortly, regular) if $[x(t)x'(t)\dots x^{(n-1)}(t)] \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$. A curve will be called regular if it contains a regular path.

Now we define an invariant parametrization of a regular curve in R^n .

Let $I = (a, b)$ and $x(t)$ be a regular I -path in R^n . We define the centro-equiaffine arc length function $s_x(t)$ for each centro-equiaffine type as follows. We put $s_x(t) = l_x(a, t)$ for the case $L(x) = (0, l)$, where $0 < l \leq +\infty$, and $s_x(t) = -l_x(t, b)$ for the case $L(x) = (-\infty, 0)$. Let $L(x) = (-\infty, +\infty)$. We choose a fixed point in every interval $I = (a, b)$ of R and denote it by a_I . Let $a_I = 0$ for $I = (-\infty, +\infty)$. We set $s_x(t) = l_x(a_I, t)$.

Since $s'_x(t) > 0$ for all $t \in I$, the inverse function of $s_x(t)$ exists. Let us denote it by $t_x(s)$. The domain of $t_x(s)$ is $L(x)$ and $t'_x(s) > 0$ for all $s \in L(x)$.

Proposition 2 Let $I = (a, b)$ and x be a regular I -path in R^n . Then

(i) $s_{gx}(t) = s_x(t)$ and $t_{gx}(s) = t_x(s)$ for all $g \in SL(n, R)$;

(ii) the equalities $s_{x(\varphi)}(r) = s_x(\varphi(r)) + s_0$ and $\varphi(t_{x(\varphi)}(s + s_0)) = t_x(s)$ hold for any C^∞ -diffeomorphism $\varphi : J = (c, d) \rightarrow I$ such that $\varphi'(r) > 0$ for all $r \in J$, where $s_0 = 0$ for $L(x) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $s_0 = l_x(\varphi(a_J), a_I)$ for $L(x) = (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Proof. The proof of (i) is obvious. We prove (ii). Let $L(x) = (-\infty, +\infty)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{x(\varphi)}(r) &= \int_{a_J}^r \left| \left[x(\varphi(r)) \frac{d}{dr} (x(\varphi(r))) \dots \frac{d^{n-1}}{dr^{n-1}} (x(\varphi(r))) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)n}} dr \\ &= \int_{a_J}^r \frac{d\varphi}{dr} \left| \left[x(\varphi(r)) \frac{d}{d\varphi} (x(\varphi(r))) \dots \frac{d^{n-1}}{d\varphi^{n-1}} (x(\varphi(r))) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)n}} dr \\ &= l_x(\varphi(a_J), \varphi(r)) = l_x(a_I, \varphi(r)) + l_x(\varphi(a_J), a_I). \end{aligned}$$

So $s_{x(\varphi)}(r) = s_x(\varphi(r)) + s_0$, where $s_0 = l_x(\varphi(a_J), a_I)$. This implies that $\varphi(t_{x(\varphi)}(s + s_0)) = t_x(s)$. For $L(x) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$, it is easy to see that $s_0 = 0$. □

Let α be a regular curve and $x \in \alpha$. Then $x(t_x(s))$ is a parametrization of α .

Definition 6 *The parametrization $x(t_x(s))$ of a regular curve α will be called an invariant parametrization of α .*

We denote the set of all invariant parametrizations of α by ϕ_α . Every $y \in \phi_\alpha$ is I -path, where $I = L(\alpha)$.

Proposition 3 *Let α be a regular curve, $x \in \alpha$ and x be an I -path, where $I = L(\alpha)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) x is an invariant parametrization of α ;

(ii) $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$;

(iii) $s_x(s) = s$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $x \in \phi_\alpha$. Then there exists $y \in \alpha$ such that $x(s) = y(t_y(s))$. By Proposition 2, $s_x(s) = s_y(t_y(s)) = s_y(t_y(s)) + s_0 = s + s_0$, where s_0 is as in Proposition 2. Since s_0 does not depend on s , $\frac{ds_x(s)}{ds} = \left| \left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)^n}} = 1$. Hence $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$. By the definition of $s_x(t)$, we have $\frac{ds_x(s)}{ds} = \left| \left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)^n}} = 1$. Therefore $s_x(s) = s + c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. In the case $L(x) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$, $s_x(s) = s + c$ and $s_x(s) \in L(\alpha)$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$ implies $c = 0$, that is, $s_x(s) = s$. In the case $L(x) = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $s_x(s) = l_x(a_I, s) = l_x(0, s) = s + c$ implies $0 = l_x(0, 0) = c$, that is, $s_x(s) = s$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). The equality $s_x(s) = s$ implies $t_x(s) = s$. Therefore $x(s) = x(t_x(s)) \in \phi_\alpha$. \square

Proposition 4 *Let α be a regular curve and $L(\alpha) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$. Then there exists the unique invariant parametrization of α .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \alpha$, x be an I_1 -path and y be an I_2 -path. Then there exists a C^∞ -diffeomorphism $\varphi : I_2 \rightarrow I_1$ such that $\varphi'(r) > 0$ and $y(r) = x(\varphi(r))$ for all $r \in I_2$. By Proposition 2 and $L(\alpha) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$, we obtain $y(t_y(s)) = x(\varphi(t_y(s))) = x(\varphi(t_x(\varphi(s)))) = x(t_x(s))$. \square

Let α be a regular curve and $L(\alpha) = (-\infty, +\infty)$. Then it is easy to see that the set ϕ_α is not countable.

Proposition 5 *Let α be a regular curve, $L(\alpha) = (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $x \in \phi_\alpha$. Then $\phi_\alpha = \{y : y(s) = x(s + s'), s' \in (-\infty, +\infty)\}$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \phi_\alpha$. Then there exist $h, k \in \alpha$ such that $x(s) = h(t_h(s))$, $y(s) = k(t_k(s))$, where h be an I_1 -path and k be an I_2 -path. Since $h, k \in \alpha$ there exists $\varphi : I_2 \rightarrow I_1$ such that $\varphi'(r) > 0$ and $k(r) = h(\varphi(r))$ for all $r \in I_2$. By Proposition 2, $y(s) = k(t_k(s)) = h(\varphi(t_k(s))) = h(\varphi(t_h(\varphi(s)))) = h(t_h(s - s_0)) = x(s - s_0)$.

Let $x \in \phi_\alpha$ and $s' \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. We prove $x(\psi) \in \phi_\alpha$, where $\psi(s) = s + s'$. By Proposition 3, $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$ and $s_x(s) = s$. Put $z(s) = x(\psi(s))$. Since ψ is a C^∞ -diffeomorphism of $(-\infty, +\infty)$ onto $(-\infty, +\infty)$, then $z = x(\psi) \in \alpha$. Using Proposition 2 and $s_x(s) = s$, we get $s_z(s) = s_{x(\psi)}(s) = s_x(\psi(s)) + s_1 = (s + s') + s_1$, where

$$s_1 = \int_{\psi(0)}^0 \left| \left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)^n}} ds.$$

This, in view of $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$, implies $s_1 = -\psi(0) = -s'$. Then $s_z(s) = (s + s') - s' = s$. By Proposition 3, $z \in \phi_\alpha$. \square

Theorem 1 *Let α, β be regular curves and $x \in \phi_\alpha, y \in \phi_\beta$. Then,*

- (i) for $L(\alpha) = L(\beta) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$ if and only if $x(s) \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y(s)$;
- (ii) for $L(\alpha) = L(\beta) = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$ if and only if $x(s) \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y(s + s')$ for some $s' \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$ and $h \in \alpha$. Then there exists $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $\beta = g\alpha$. This implies $gh \in \beta$. Using Propositions 2 and 4, we get $x(s) = h(t_h(s))$, $y(s) = (gh)(t_{gh}(s))$ and $gx(s) = g(h(t_h(s))) = (gh)(t_h(s)) = (gh)(t_{gh}(s)) = y(s)$. Thus $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$. Conversely, let $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$, that is, there exists $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $gx = y$. Then $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$.

(ii) Let $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$. Then there exist I -paths $h \in \alpha, k \in \beta$ and $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $k(t) = gh(t)$. We have $k(t_k(s)) = k(t_{gh}(s)) = k(t_h(s)) = (gh)(t_h(s))$. By Proposition 5, $x(s) = k(t_k(s + s_1))$, $y(s) = h(t_h(s + s_2))$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. Therefore $x(s - s_1) = gy(s - s_2)$. This implies that $x(s) \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y(s + s')$, where $s' = s_1 - s_2$. Conversely, let $x(s) \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y(s + s')$ for some $s' \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. Then there exists $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $y(s + s') = gx(s)$. Since $y(s + s') \in \beta$, then $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$. \square

Theorem 1 reduces the problem of the $SL(n, R)$ -equivalence of regular curves to that of paths.

4. The generating system

Let $x(t)$ be an I -path in R^n .

Definition 7 *A polynomial $p(x, x', \dots, x^{(k)})$ of x and a finite number of derivatives $x, x', \dots, x^{(k)}$ of x with the coefficients from R will be called a differential polynomial of x . It will be denoted by $p\{x\}$.*

We denote the set of all differential polynomials of x by $R\{x\}$. It is a differential R -algebra. Let G be a subgroup of $SL(n, R)$.

Definition 8 A differential polynomial $p\{x\}$ will be called G -invariant if $p\{gx\} = p\{x\}$ for all $g \in G$.

The set of all G -invariant differential polynomials of x will be denoted by $R\{x\}^G$. It is a differential R -subalgebra of $R\{x\}$.

By Proposition 3, an I -path x is an invariant parametrization of a regular curve α if and only if $I = L(\alpha)$ and $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)\right]^2 = 1$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$.

Let I be one of the sets $(0, l)$, $0 < l \leq +\infty$, $(-\infty, 0)$, $(-\infty, +\infty)$. Put $W = \{x : \left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)\right]^2 = 1 \text{ for all } s \text{ in } I\}$. The restriction of the $SL(n, R)$ -invariant differential polynomial $p\{x\}$ to the set W will be denoted by $p\{x\}/W$. We put $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W = \{p/W, p \in R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}\}$. It is a differential R -algebra.

Definition 9 A subset S of $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W$ will be called a generating system of $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W$ if the smallest differential R -subalgebra with the unit containing S is $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W$.

Theorem 2 The system

$$\left[xx' \dots x^{(n-1)}\right]/W, \left[xx' \dots x^{(i-1)}x^{(n)}x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}\right]/W, i = 1, \dots, n-2,$$

is a generating system of $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W$.

Proof. For the proof, we need several lemmas.

By the First Main Theorem for $SL(n)$ ([22], p.45), the system U of $[x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_n)}]$, where $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n-1} < +\infty$, is a generating system of $R\{x'\}^{SL(n, R)}$. For the determinant $u = [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_n)}]$, we denote the number of elements of the set $\{x^{(i_1)}, \dots, x^{(i_n)}\} \setminus \{x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)}\}$ by $\delta(u)$ and we put $\tau(u) = \max(i_1, \dots, i_n)$. \square

Lemma 1 Let $u = [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_n)}]$ and $\delta(u) \geq 2$. Then u/W is a polynomial of elements $v/W = [x^{(j_1)} \dots x^{(j_n)}]/W$ such that $\delta(v) < \delta(u)$ and $\tau(v) \leq \tau(u)$.

Proof. By $\delta(u) \geq 2$, there exists $x^{(k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, such that $x^{(k)} \notin \{x^{(i_1)}, \dots, x^{(i_n)}\}$. We need the following lemma ([22], p.70): \square

Lemma 2 For any vectors $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_2, \dots, y_n$ in R^n , the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1x_2 \dots x_n] \times [x_0y_2 \dots y_n] - [x_0x_2 \dots x_n] \times [x_1y_2 \dots y_n] - \\ & \dots - [x_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}x_0] \times [x_ny_2 \dots y_n] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In Lemma 3, we put $x_1 = x^{(i_1)}, \dots, x_n = x^{(i_n)}, y_3 = x', \dots, y_{k+1} = x^{(k-1)}, y_{k+2} = x^{(k+1)}, \dots, y_n = x^{(n-1)}$. Then

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_n)}] \times [x^{(k)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] - \\ & [x^{(k)} x^{(i_2)} \dots x^{(i_n)}] \times [x^{(i_1)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] - \dots \\ & - [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_{n-1})} x^{(k)}] \times [x^{(i_n)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Put $v_0 = [x^{(k)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}], v_r = [x^{(i_r)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}],$
 $h_m = [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_{m-1})} x^{(k)} x^{(i_{m+1})} \dots x^{(i_n)}]$. Then $\delta(v_0) = 0, \tau(v_0) \leq \tau(u), \delta(v_r) \leq 1, \tau(h_m) \leq \tau(u)$. From equality (1), using $[x x' \dots x^{(n-1)}]^2 = 1$, we get $u/W = v_1 h_1 v_0/W + \dots + v_n h_n v_0/W$. By $\delta(u) \geq 2$, the number of multiplications $v_j h_j v_0 \neq 0$ is $\delta(u) + 1 \geq 3$. For h_j such that $v_j h_j v_0 \neq 0$, we have $\delta(h_j) < \delta(u)$. Therefore u/W is a polynomial of the system $v_0/W, v_j/W, h_j/W$, with $\delta(v_0) = 0, \tau(v_0) \leq \tau(u), \delta(v_j) \leq 1, \tau(v_j) \leq \tau(u), \delta(h_j) < \delta(u), \tau(h_j) \leq \tau(u)$. So the proof of Lemma 2 is completed. \square

Lemma 3 Let $u = [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}]$ and $m > n$. Then u is a differential polynomial of elements $v = [x^{(j_1)} \dots x^{(j_n)}]$ such that $\tau(v) < \tau(u)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}]' = [x' x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] + \dots \\ & + [x x' \dots x^{(i-2)} x^{(i)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] + [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] + \dots \\ & + [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-2)} x^{(n)}]. \end{aligned}$$

In this equality, only the following determinants are nonzero:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}], v_2 = [x x' \dots x^{(i-2)} x^{(i)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}], \\ v_3 &= [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-2)} x^{(n)}], u = [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}]. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain $u = v_1' - v_2 - v_3$. By $\tau(u) = m, \tau(v_1) = \tau(v_2) = \tau(v_3) = m - 1$, the lemma is proved.

Now the proof of Theorem 2 follows from Lemmas 1, 2 and 4 by induction on $\tau(u)$ and $\delta(u)$. \square

Theorem 3 Let α, β be regular curves in R^n and $x \in \phi_\alpha, y \in \phi_\beta$. Then,

(i) for $L(\alpha) = L(\beta) \neq (-\infty, +\infty), \alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$ if and only if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sgn}[x(s)x'(s) \dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] = \operatorname{sgn}[y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)], \\ & [x(s)x'(s) \dots x^{(i-1)}(s)x^{(n)}(s)x^{(i+1)}(s) \dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] \\ & = [y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(i-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)y^{(i+1)}(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

for all $s \in L(\alpha) = L(\beta)$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-2$.

(ii) for $L(\alpha) = L(\beta) = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$ if and only if there exists $a \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} [x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] = \operatorname{sgn} [y(s+a)y'(s+a)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s+a)]$$

$$[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(i-1)}(s)x^{(n)}(s)x^{(i+1)}(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] =$$

$$[y(s+a)y'(s+a)\dots y^{(i-1)}(s+a)y^{(n)}(s+a)y^{(i+1)}(s+a)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s+a)]$$

for all $s \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-2$.

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$. By claim (i) of Theorem 1, $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$. By Proposition 3, $\left| [xx' \dots x^{(n-1)}] \right| = \left| [yy' \dots y^{(n-1)}] \right| = 1$. This, in view of $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$, yields (2). Now suppose that (2) holds. By Proposition 3, we have $\left| [x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] \right| = \left| [y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] \right| = 1$ we obtain

$$[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] = [y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)],$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(i-1)}(s)x^{(n)}(s)x^{(i+1)}(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] \\ &= [y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(i-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)y^{(i+1)}(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)]. \end{aligned}$$

This, in view of claim (i) of Theorem 1 and Theorems 10.7, 10.8 in [7], implies $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$.

The proof of (ii) follows similarly from claim (ii) of Theorem 1. \square

Let T be one of the sets $(0, l)$ (where $l \leq +\infty$), $(-\infty, 0)$, $(-\infty, +\infty)$.

Theorem 4 Let $h_1(s), \dots, h_n(s)$ be C^∞ -functions on T , where $|h_n(s)| = 1$ for all $s \in T$. Then there exists an invariant parametrization y of a regular curve such that

$$\operatorname{sgn}[y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] = h_n(s),$$

$$[y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(i-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)y^{(i+1)}(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] = h_i(s)$$

for all $s \in T$ and $i = 0, \dots, n-2$.

Proof. Let $C(s)$ be the matrix $\|c_{ij}(s)\|$, where $c_{j+1j}(s) = 1$ for all $s \in T$, $0 \leq j \leq n-2$; $c_{ij}(s) = 0$ for all $s \in T$, $j \neq n$, $i \neq j+1$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$; $c_{in}(s) = \frac{h_i(s)}{h_n(s)}$, $i = 0, \dots, n-2$, $c_{nn}(s) = \frac{h'_n(s)}{h_n(s)}$. It is known from the theory of differential equations that there exists a solution of the differential equation

$$A'_x(s) = A_x(s)C(s) \tag{3}$$

such that $\det A_x(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in T$, where $A_x(s) = \left\| x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right\|$ is the matrix of column vectors $x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(s)$. Let $A_x(s)$ be one of such solutions. Put $[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] = \varphi(s)$. By

$\det A_x(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in T$, we get $\varphi(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in T$. By $|h_n(s)| = 1$ for all $s \in T$, we have $h'_n(s) = 0$ for all $s \in T$. Then, from (3), we obtain

$$\frac{[x(s)x'(s) \dots x^{(n-1)}(s)]'}{[x(s)x'(s) \dots x^{(n-1)}(s)]} = \frac{h'_n(s)}{h_n(s)} = 0.$$

Therefore $\varphi'(s) = 0$. Put $\varphi(s) = \lambda_1$, $\lambda_1 \in R$, $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ and $h_n(s) = \lambda_2$, $\lambda_2 \in R$. By $|h_n(s)| = 1$, we get $|\lambda_2| = 1$. We consider $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $\det g = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$. So $[gx(gx)' \dots (gx)^{(n-1)}] = \det g [xx' \dots x^{(n-1)}] = h_n(s)$. For $y = gx$, we have

$$\frac{[yy' \dots y^{(i-1)}y^{(n)}y^{(i+1)} \dots y^{(n-1)}]}{[yy' \dots y^{(n-1)}]} = \frac{\det g [xx' \dots x^{(i-1)}x^{(n)}x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}]}{\det g [xx' \dots x^{(n-1)}]} = \frac{h_i(s)}{h_n(s)}$$

$i = 0, \dots, n-2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] &= h_n(s), \\ [y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(i-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)y^{(i+1)}(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] &= h_i(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in T$, $i = 0, \dots, n-2$. Then by $\left| [y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] \right| = |h_n(s)| = 1$ and Proposition 3, $y \in \phi_\alpha$ for some regular curve α . \square

References

- [1] De Angelis E., Moons T., Van Gool L., Verstraelen P.: Complete systems of affine semi-differential invariants for plane and space curves, In: Dillen, F.(ed.) et al., Geometry and topology of submanifolds, VIII. Proceedings of the international meeting on geometry of submanifolds, Brussels, Belgium, July 13-14, 1995 and Nordfjordeid, Norway, July 18-August 7, 1995. Singapore: World Scientific, 85-94 (1996).
- [2] Barthel W.: Zur affinen Differentialgeometrie -Kurventheorie in der allgemeinen Affingeometrie, Proceedings of the Congress of Geometry, Thessaloniki, 5-19 (1987).
- [3] Blaschke W.: Affine Differentialgeometrie, Berlin, 1923.
- [4] Gardner R.B., Wilkens G.R.: The fundamental theorems of curves and hypersurfaces in centro-affine geometry, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.4, 379-401 (1997).
- [5] Guggenheimer H.W.: Differential Geometry, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
- [6] Izumiya S., Sano T.: Generic affine differential geometry of space curves, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburg, 128A, 301-314 (1998).
- [7] Khadjiev Dj.: The Application of Invariant Theory to Differential Geometry of Curves, Fan Publ., Tashkent,1988.

- [8] Khadjiev Dj., Pekşen Ö.: The complete system of global integral and differential invariants for equi-affine curves, *Diff. Geom. and its Applications* 20, 167-175 (2004).
- [9] Klingenberg W.: *A Course in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [10] Laugwitz D.: *Differentialgeometrie in Vectorräumen*, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1965.
- [11] Nomizu K., Sasaki T.: *Affine Differential Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
- [12] Paukowsch H.P.: Begleitfiguren und Invariantensystem minimaler Differentiationsordnung von Kurven im reellen n-dimensionalen affinen Raum, *Mh. Math.* 85, No.2, 137-148 (1978).
- [13] Pekşen Ö., Khadjiev Dj.: On invariants of curves in centro-affine geometry, *J.Math. Kyoto Univ.* 44 (3), 603-613 (2004).
- [14] Salkowski E.: *Affine Differentialgeometrie*, W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1934.
- [15] Schirokow P.A., Schirokow A.P.: *Affine Differentialgeometrie*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1962.
- [16] Simon U., Burau W.: Blaschkes Beitrage zur affinen Differentialgeometrie, *Ibid.*, Vol.IV, 11-34 (1985).
- [17] Simon U.: Entwicklung der affinen Differentialgeometrie nach Blaschkes, *Ibid.*, Vol.IV, 35-88 (1985).
- [18] Simon U.: Recent developments in affine differential geometry, *Diff. Geom. and its Applications, Proc. Conf. Dubrovnik/Yugosl.* 1988, 327-347 (1989).
- [19] Simon U., Liu H.L., Magid M. and Scharlach Ch.: Recent developments in affine differential geometry, In: *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds VIII*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1-15 and 293-408 (1966).
- [20] Su B.: *Affine Differential Geometry*, Science Press, Beijing, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1983.
- [21] Suhtaeva A.M.: On the equivalence of curves in C^n with respect to the action of groups $SL(n, C)$ and $GL(n, C)$, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk of SSRUz*, N6 ,11-13 (1987) .
- [22] Weyl H.: *The Classical Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1946.

Yasemin SAĞIROĞLU, Ömer PEKŞEN
 Department of Mathematics,
 Karadeniz Technical University,
 61080, Trabzon-TURKEY
 e-mail: sagiroglu.yasemin@gmail.com,peksen@ktu.edu.tr

Received 15.10.2008