# Direct and inverse theorems for the Bézier variant of certain summation-integral type operators 

Asha Ram Gairola and P. N. Agrawal


#### Abstract

Recently, the Bézier variant of some well known operators were introduced (cf. [8]-[9]) and their rates of convergence for bounded variation functions have been investigated (cf. [2], [10]). In this paper we establish direct and inverse theorems for the Bézier variant of the operators $M_{n}$ introduced in [5] in terms of Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness $\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}(f, t)(0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1)$. These operators include the well known Baskakov-Durrmeyer and Szász-Durrmeyer type operators as special cases.
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## 1. Introduction

In order to approximate Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval [ $0, \infty$ ), Gupta and Mohapatra [5] considered the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(f, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n, k}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c) f(t) d t \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{n, k}(x, c)=(-1)^{k} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \varphi_{n, c}^{(k)}(x), b_{n, k}(t, c)=(-1)^{k+1} \frac{t^{k}}{k!} \varphi_{n, c}^{(k+1)}(t)$ and
(i) for $c>0, \varphi_{n, c}(x)=(1+c x)^{-n / c}$ and $x \in[0, \infty)$;
(ii) for $c=0, \varphi_{n, c}(x)=e^{-n x}$ and $x \in[0, \infty)$.

Here we observe that, for the case $c>0$, the operators $M_{n}$ reduce to Baskakov-Durrmeyer operators; and when $c=0$ these become Szász-Durrmeyer type operators. Some approximation properties of these operators were studied in [6]. The rate of convergence by the operators $M_{n}$ for the particular value $c=1$ was studied in [4].
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For $\alpha \geqslant 1$, and $f \in L_{B}[0, \infty)$, the class of all bounded Lebesgue integrable functions on the positive real line, the Bézier variant $M_{n, \alpha}$ of the operators $M_{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n, \alpha}(f, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c) f(t) d t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c)=J_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha}(x, c)$ with $J_{n, k}(x, c)=\sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} p_{n, \nu}(x, c)$.
For $\alpha=1$, the operators $M_{n, \alpha}$ reduce to the operators $M_{n}$.

In order to make the paper self contained we recall the definitions of the unified $K$-functional and the Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness (cf. [3]).

Let $\varphi(x)=\sqrt{x(1+c x)}, 0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}(f, t) & =\sup _{0<h \leqslant t} \sup _{x-h \varphi^{\lambda}(x) / 2 \geqslant 0}\left|\tilde{\Delta}_{h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} f(x)\right| \\
& =\sup _{0<h \leqslant t} \sup _{x-h \varphi^{\lambda}(x) / 2 \geqslant 0}\left|f\left(x+\frac{h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)}{2}\right)-f\left(x-\frac{h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)}{2}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1, \varphi(x)$ is an admissible weight function of Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness and the corresponding $K$-functional is defined as

$$
K_{\varphi^{\lambda}}(f, t)=\inf _{g \in W_{\lambda}}\left\{\|f-g\|+t\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} g^{\prime}\right\|\right\}, t \in(0, \infty)
$$

where $W_{\lambda}=\left\{g: g \in A C_{\mathrm{loc}},\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} g^{\prime}\right\|<\infty\right\}$.

It is well known that (cf. [3]) there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1} \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}(f, t) \leqslant K_{\varphi^{\lambda}}(f, t) \leqslant C \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}(f, t) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let $f \in L_{B}[0, \infty), \varphi(x)=\sqrt{x(1+c x)}, 0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1, c \geqslant 0$ and $0<\beta<1$. Then, there holds the implication (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) in the following statements:
(i) $\left|M_{n, \alpha}(f, x)-f(x)\right|=O\left(\frac{\alpha^{1 / 2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$
(ii) $\omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}(f, x)=O\left(x^{\beta}\right)$.
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Corollary 1 For $\alpha=1, \lambda=0$, and $c=0$ we get, in particular, the following error estimate for the SzászDurrmeyer type operators, obtained in [7]:

$$
\left|M_{n}(f, x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant \omega\left(f, \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}}\right) .
$$

Corollary 2 For $\alpha=1, \lambda=0$, and $c=1$, the following error estimate for the Baskakov Durrmeyer operators is obtained as in [4]:

$$
\left|M_{n}(f, x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant C \omega\left(f, \sqrt{\frac{x(1+x)}{n}}\right) .
$$

Section 2 of this paper contains some definitions and auxiliary results. In Section 3 we establish our main theorem. Further, the constant $C$ is not the same at each occurrence.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some Lemmas and their corollaries which will be used in our main theorem.

Lemma 1 [5] For $m \in N \cup\{0\}$, if we define the $m$-th order moment for the operators $M_{n}$ by

$$
\mu_{n, m}(x, c)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n, k}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c)(t-x)^{m} d t
$$

then

$$
\mu_{n, 0}(x, c)=1 \quad \mu_{n, 1}(x, c)=\frac{1+c x}{n-c}
$$

and

$$
\mu_{n, 2}(x, c)=\frac{2 c x^{2}(n+c)+2 x(n+2 c)+2}{(n-c)(n-2 c)}
$$

Also, there holds the following recurrence relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[n-c(m+1)] \mu_{n, m+1}(x, c) } & =x(1+c x)\left[\mu_{n, m}^{(1)}(x, c)+2 m \mu_{n, m-1}(x, c)\right] \\
& +[(1+2 c x)(m+1)-c x] \mu_{n, m}(x, c), n>c(m+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3 If $c \geqslant 0$ and $K>2$, then for sufficiently large $n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n, 2}(x, c) \leqslant \frac{K \varphi^{2}(x)}{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 For the functions $J_{n, k}(x, c)$ and $Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=J_{n, 0}(x, c)>J_{n, 1}(x, c)>\ldots>J_{n, k}(x, c)>J_{n, k+1}(x)>\ldots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{gather*}
0<Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c)<\alpha p_{n, k}(x, c), \alpha \geqslant 1,  \tag{2.3}\\
M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(1, x)=0  \tag{2.4}\\
\left|M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(f, x)\right| \leqslant  \tag{2.5}\\
\quad \alpha \mid \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(J_{n, k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x)\right) J_{n, k+1}^{\prime}(x) \times \\
\\
\times \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) b_{n, k}(t, c) d t+M_{n}^{\prime}(f, x) \mid
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. (2.2-2.4) are easy to prove therefore we leave their proofs. Now, from definition of $Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c)$ and in view of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a^{\alpha}-b^{\alpha}\right| \leqslant \alpha|a-b| \text { with } 0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant 1 \text { and } \alpha \geqslant 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [9], Lemma 3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c) & =J_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha}(x, c) \\
& \leqslant \alpha\left(J_{n, k}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}(x, c)\right)=\alpha p_{n, k}(x, c)
\end{aligned}
$$

Again,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(f, x)= & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{n, k}^{\prime \alpha}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c) f(t) d t \\
= & \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\{J_{n, k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c) J_{n, k}^{\prime}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x, c) J_{n, k+1}^{\prime}(x, c)\right\} \times \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c) f(t) d t \\
= & \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\left\{J_{n, k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x, c)\right\} J_{n, k+1}^{\prime}(x, c) \times\right. \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c) f(t) d t \\
+ & \left.\left\{J_{n, k}^{\prime}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\prime}(x, c)\right\} J_{n, k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c) f(t) d t\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, (2.5) follows in view of (2.2).
Corollary 4 From (2.1) and (2.3), it follows that

$$
M_{n, \alpha}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right) \leqslant \alpha \frac{C \varphi^{2}(x)}{n}, C>2
$$

Lemma 3 For the functions $A_{m, n}(x)$ given by

$$
A_{m, n}(x) \equiv n^{m} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\nu}{n}-x\right)^{m} p_{n, \nu}(x, c)
$$

we have $A_{0, n}(x)=1, A_{1, n}(x)=0$ and there holds the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m+1, n}(x)=\varphi^{2}(x)\left[A_{m, n}^{\prime}(x)+n m A_{m-1, n}(x)\right] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m \geqslant 1, x \in[0, \infty)$ and $\varphi^{2}(x)=x(1+c x)$.
Corollary 5 From the recurrence relation (2.7) there holds

$$
A_{2 m, n}(x) \leqslant C_{m} n^{m} \varphi^{2 m}(x), \forall m \in N^{0}
$$

where $C_{m}$ is a constant that depends on $m$.
Using induction on $m$ in the recurrence relation (2.7) this result follows easily hence details are omitted.
Lemma 4 For $f \in W_{\lambda}, \varphi(x)=\sqrt{x(1+c x)}, 0 \leqslant \lambda, t, x>0$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) d u\right| \leqslant 2\left(x^{-\lambda / 2}(1+c t)^{-\lambda / 2}+\varphi^{-\lambda}(x)\right)|t-x|\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|
$$

Proof. In view of Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) d u\right| & \leqslant\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|\left|\int_{x}^{t} \frac{d u}{\varphi^{\lambda}(u)}\right| \\
& \leqslant\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\||t-x|^{1-\lambda}\left|\int_{x}^{t} \frac{d u}{\varphi(u)}\right|^{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since,

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{t} \frac{d u}{\varphi(u)}\right| \leqslant\left|\int_{x}^{t} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{u}}\right|\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c x}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c t}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{t} \frac{d u}{\sqrt{u}}\right| \leqslant \frac{2|t-x|}{\sqrt{x}}
$$

using the inequality $|a+b|^{p} \leqslant|a|^{p}+|b|^{p}, 0 \leqslant p \leqslant 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) d u\right| & \leqslant\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\||t-x| \frac{2^{\lambda}}{x^{\lambda / 2}}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c x}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c t}}\right|^{\lambda} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\||t-x| \frac{2^{\lambda}}{x^{\lambda / 2}}\left((1+c t)^{-\lambda / 2}+(1+c x)^{-\lambda / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Hence the lemma follows.

Lemma 5 For any non negative real number $m$, there holds the ineguality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n, \alpha}\left((1+c t)^{-m}, x\right) \leqslant K_{m}(1+c x)^{-m} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{m}$ is a constant depending on $m$ only.
Proof. For $c=0$, there is nothing to prove. Hence we assume $c>0$. From the definition of $M_{n, \alpha}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n, \alpha}\left((1+c t)^{-m}, x\right) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c) \prod_{i=0}^{k}(n+c i)}{k!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{k}}{(1+c t)^{\frac{n}{c}+k+1+m}} d t \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{c}+m\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{c}+m+k+1\right)} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k}(n+c i)}{c^{k+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x)= & J_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha}(x) \\
= & \left(\sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\nu}}{\nu!} n(n+c) \ldots(n+c(\nu-1))(1+c x)^{\frac{-n}{c}-\nu}\right)^{\alpha} \\
& -\left(\sum_{\nu=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\nu}}{\nu!} n(n+c) \ldots(n+c(\nu-1))(1+c x)^{\frac{-n}{c}-\nu}\right)^{\alpha} \\
\leqslant & \frac{\alpha(1+c x)^{-\frac{n}{c}-k}}{k!} n(n+c) \ldots(n+c(k-1)) \quad(\operatorname{using}(2.6)) \\
\leqslant & \alpha(1+c x)^{-m}\left(\frac{\alpha(1+c x)^{m-\frac{n}{c}-k}}{k!} n(n+c) \ldots(n+c(k-1))\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n, \alpha}\left((1+c t)^{-m}, x\right) \\
& \leqslant \alpha(1+c x)^{-m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{c}+m\right) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1}(n+c i)^{2}(n+c k)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{c}+m+k+1\right) c^{k+1}} \times \\
& \times \frac{(1+c x)^{m-\frac{n}{c}-k}}{k!} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The series in the right hand side of (2.9) is convergent.
This follows the lemma.

Lemma 6 For the functions $J_{n, k}(x, c)$ and $p_{n, k}(x, c)$, there hold the relations:
(i) $\varphi^{2}(x) \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} p_{n, \nu}^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty}(\nu-n x) p_{n, \nu}(x, c)$;
(ii) $(1+c x) J_{n, k}^{\prime}(x, c)+n J_{n, k}(x, c)=n J_{n, k-1}(x, c)+c x J_{n, k-1}^{\prime}(x, c)$,
where $c \geqslant 0, \varphi^{2}(x)=x(1+c x)$.
Proof. The relation (i) is easy to prove, hence the proof is omitted.
We consider the case $c>0$ as $(i i)$ is true for $c=0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \nu p_{n, \nu}(x, c) \\
& =\sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}\left(x^{\nu}\right)}{(\nu-1)!} \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}(1+c x)^{-n / c} \\
& =-\frac{c x}{1+c x} \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu-1}\left(x^{\nu-1}\right)}{(\nu-1)!} \frac{\partial^{\nu-1}}{\partial x^{\nu-1}}(1+c x)^{-n / c} \\
& =\frac{c x}{1+c x} \sum_{\nu=k-1}^{\infty} p_{n, \nu}(x, c)\left(\frac{n}{c}+m\right) \\
& =\frac{c x}{1+c x}\left[\frac{n}{c} J_{n, k-1}(x, c)+x(1+c x) J_{n, k-1}^{\prime}(x, c)+n x J_{n, k-1}(x, c)\right] \\
& =n x J_{n, k-1}(x, c)+c x^{2} J_{n, k-1}^{\prime}(x, c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This together (i) gives (ii).

Corollary 6 From (i), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(1+c x) J_{n, k}^{\prime}(x, c) & =\sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty}(\nu-n x) p_{n, \nu}(x, c) \\
& =\sum_{\nu=k+1}^{\infty}(\nu-n x) p_{n, \nu}(x, c)+k p_{n, k}(x, c)-n x p_{n, \nu}(x, c) \\
& =c x^{2} J_{n, k}^{\prime}(x, c)+k p_{n, k}(x, c)(\operatorname{Using}(i i))
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
J_{n, k}^{\prime}(x, c)=\frac{k}{x} p_{n, k}(x, c)
$$

We now establish a Bernstein type lemma for the operators $M_{n, \alpha}$ which is useful while establishing the inverse theorem.

Lemma 7 For the operators $M_{n, \alpha}$ there hold the estimates:
(i) $\left|\varphi^{\lambda}(x) M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(f, x)\right| \leqslant C \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|$;
(ii) $\left|\varphi^{\lambda}(x) M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(f, x)\right| \leqslant C \alpha \varphi^{\lambda-1}(x) \sqrt{n}\|f\|$,

## where $\lambda \geqslant 1$.

Proof. In view of (2.4) and (2.5), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(f, x)= & M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(f, x)-f(x) M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(1, x) \\
= & M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}\left(\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) d u, x\right) \\
\leqslant & \alpha \mid \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(J_{n, k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x)\right) J_{n, k+1}^{\prime}(x) \times \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) d u\right) b_{n, k}(t, c) d t\left|+\left|M_{n}^{\prime}\left(\left(\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) d u\right), x\right)\right|\right. \\
:= & E_{1}+E_{2}, \text { say. } \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we find estimates for $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ separately as follows. In view of the inequality (2.6) and Corallary 6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1} & =\alpha \mid \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(J_{n, k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x)\right) J_{n, k+1}^{\prime}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) d u\right) b_{n, k}(t, c) d t \\
& \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{n, k}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) d u\right| b_{n, k}(t, c) d t \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 \alpha}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{n, k}(x, c) \times \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(x^{-\lambda / 2}(1+c t)^{-\lambda / 2}+\varphi^{-\lambda}(x)\right)|t-x|\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\| b_{n, k}(t, c) d t
\end{aligned}
$$
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Using Lemma 4, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1} \quad & \leqslant \frac{2 \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|}{x^{1+\lambda / 2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{n, k}(x, c) \times \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty}(1+c t)^{-\lambda / 2}|t-x| b_{n, k}(t, c) d t+ \\
& +\frac{2 \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|}{x \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{n, k}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty}|t-x| b_{n, k}(t, c) d t \\
& =E_{11}+E_{12}, \text { say. } \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{11} \leqslant & \frac{2 \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|}{x^{1+\lambda / 2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{n, k}(x, c) \times \\
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}(1+c t)^{-\lambda} b_{n, k}(t, c) d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}(t-x)^{2} b_{n, k}(t, c) d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, it can be easily shown that $\int_{0}^{\infty}(t-x)^{2} b_{n, k}(t, c) d t=O\left(x^{2}\right)$. Therefore, we get the following estimate for $E_{11}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{11} & \leqslant \frac{2 \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|}{x^{\lambda / 2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{n, k}(x, c)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}(1+c t)^{-\lambda} b_{n, k}(t, c) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|}{x^{\lambda / 2}}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2} p_{n, k}(x, c)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n, k}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty}(1+c t)^{-\lambda} b_{n, k}(t, c) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|}{x^{\lambda / 2}} \frac{1}{(1+c x)^{\lambda / 2}} \text { (in view of Lemma 5). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, using Corallary 4, and Hölder's inequality for summation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{12} & \leqslant \frac{2 \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|}{x \varphi^{\lambda}(x)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{2} p_{n, k}(x, c)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{n, k}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty}(t-x)^{2} b_{n, k}(t, c) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|}{\varphi^{\lambda}(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining, the estimates for $E_{11}$ and $E_{12}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1} \leqslant \frac{C \alpha\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} f^{\prime}\right\|}{\varphi^{\lambda}(x)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Again, the estimate for $E_{2}$ are obtained along the lines of $E_{1}$ for $\alpha=1$. Hence, we get (i).
Now, we have as in the proof of part (i)

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(f, x)= & \leqslant \alpha \mid \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(J_{n, k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x)\right) J_{n, k+1}^{\prime}(x) \times \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) b_{n, k}(t, c) d t\left|+\left|M_{n}^{\prime}(f(t), x)\right|\right. \\
:= & F_{1}+F_{2}, \text { say } . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

For $F_{1}$, we get the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1} & \leqslant \alpha\|f\| \mid \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(J_{n, k}^{\alpha-1}(x, c)-J_{n, k+1}^{\alpha-1}(x)\right) J_{n, k+1}^{\prime}(x) \\
& \leqslant \alpha\|f\| \frac{n}{\varphi^{2}(x)} \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\nu}{n}-x\right| p_{n, \nu}(x, c) \\
& \leqslant \alpha\|f\| \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\varphi(x)} \text { Using Corrollary } 3 . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar estimate is established for $F_{2}$ as it is obtained by putting $\alpha=1$ in the estimate of $F_{1}$.
Hence the Lemma is established from (2.10) to (2.14).

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

Proof. By the definition of $K_{\varphi^{\lambda}}(f, t)$ for fixed $n, x, \lambda$, we can choose $g=g_{n, x, \lambda} \in W_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f-g\|+\frac{\alpha^{1 / 2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} g^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant 2 K_{\varphi^{\lambda}}\left(f, \frac{\alpha^{1 / 2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, $M_{n, \alpha}$ is constant preserving, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|M_{n, \alpha}(f, x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant 2\|f-g\|+\left|M_{n, \alpha}(g, x)-g(x)\right| . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the representation $g(t)=g(x)+\int_{x}^{t} g^{\prime}(u) d u$, and in view of Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|M_{n, \alpha}(g, x)-g(x)\right|= & \left|M_{n, \alpha}\left(\int_{x}^{t} g^{\prime}(u) d u\right)\right| \\
\leqslant & 2\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} g^{\prime}\right\|\left[\varphi^{-\lambda}(x) M_{n, \alpha}(|t-x|, x)\right. \\
& \left.+x^{-\lambda / 2} M_{n, \alpha}\left(\frac{|t-x|}{(1+c t)^{\lambda / 2}}, x\right)\right] \\
:= & 2\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} g^{\prime}\right\|\left[J_{1}+J_{2}\right] . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, in view of Schwarz's inequality and Corollary 4, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1}= & \varphi^{-\lambda}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c)|t-x| d t \\
\leqslant & \alpha^{1 / 2} \varphi^{-\lambda}(x)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c) d t\right)^{1 / 2} \times \\
& \times\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{n, k}^{\alpha}(x, c) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n, k}(t, c)(t-x)^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leqslant & \alpha^{1 / 2} \frac{K \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, using Schwarz's inequality, Corollary 4 and Lemma 5 we get

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{2} & =x^{-\lambda / 2} M_{n, \alpha}\left(\frac{|t-x|}{(1+c t)^{\lambda / 2}}, x\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(M_{n, \alpha}\left((t-x)^{2}, x\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(M_{n, \alpha}\left((1+c t)^{-\lambda}, x\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant x^{-\lambda / 2} \alpha^{1 / 2}(1+c x)^{-\lambda / 2} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\sqrt{n}} \\
& =C \alpha^{1 / 2} \frac{\varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (3.4) and (3.5) we have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|M_{n, \alpha}(g, x)-g(x)\right| \leqslant C \alpha^{1 / 2} \frac{\varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\left\|\varphi^{\lambda} g^{\prime}\right\| \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (3.3)-(3.6) the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows.
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Proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{\Delta}_{h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} f(x)\right| & \leqslant\left|M_{n, \alpha}(z)-f(z)\right|+\left|M_{n, \alpha}(x)-f(x)\right|+\left|\tilde{\Delta}_{h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} M_{n, \alpha}(f, x)\right| \\
& \leqslant 2 C \delta_{x, h}^{\beta}+\left|\tilde{\Delta}_{h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} M_{n, \alpha}(f, x)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{x, h}=\max \{y, z\}, y=x-h \varphi^{\lambda}(x) / 2$ and $z=x+h \varphi^{\lambda}(x) / 2$.
We define a weighted Steklov type average function $g$ as

$$
g(x):=\frac{1}{\delta \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} \int_{\frac{-\delta}{2} \varphi^{\lambda}(x)}^{\frac{\delta}{2} \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} f(x+u) d u \quad \lambda \geqslant 0
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g-f)(x) & =\frac{1}{\delta \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} \int_{\frac{\frac{\delta}{2}}{2} \varphi^{\lambda}(x)}^{\frac{\delta}{2} \varphi^{\lambda}(x)}[f(x+u)-f(x)] d u \\
& \leqslant C \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}(x)}(f, \delta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right| & =\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\delta \varphi^{\lambda}(x)}\left(\left.f\left(x+\frac{\delta}{2} \varphi^{\lambda}(x)\right)-f\left(x-\frac{\delta}{2} \varphi^{\lambda}(x)\right) \right\rvert\,\right.\right. \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}(x)}(f, \delta) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of Lemma 7(i), it follws that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(g, x)\right| \leqslant C \frac{\alpha}{\delta \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}(x)}(f, \delta) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Bernstein type inequalities, and then using (3.7) and (3.8), we get the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{\Delta}_{h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)} M_{n, \alpha}(f, x)\right| & \leqslant h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)\left(\left|M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(f-g, x)\right|+\left|M_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}(g, x)\right|\right) \\
& \leqslant C \alpha h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)\left(\varphi^{-1}(x) \sqrt{n}\|f-g\|+\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|\right) \\
& \leqslant C \alpha h\left(\varphi^{\lambda-1} \sqrt{n}+\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}(x)}(f, \delta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\omega_{h \varphi^{\lambda}(x)}(f, x) \leqslant 2 C \delta_{x, h}^{\beta}+C \alpha h\left(\varphi^{\lambda-1} \sqrt{n}+\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}(x)}(f, \delta)
$$

Choosing $\delta_{x, h}=\delta$ and following the argument of [1] the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows.
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Remark 1 From (3.1)-(3.6), we get

$$
\left|M_{n, \alpha}(f, x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant C K_{\varphi^{\lambda}}\left(f, \frac{\alpha^{1 / 2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

In view of (1.3), this further gives

$$
\left|M_{n, \alpha}(f, x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant \omega_{\varphi^{\lambda}}\left(f, \frac{\alpha^{1 / 2} \varphi^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) .
$$

## Acknowledgements

The authors are highly thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions leading better presentation of the paper.

The author, Asha Ram Gairola, is thankful to the "Council of Scientific and Industrial Research", New Delhi, India for financial support to carry out the above research work.

## References

[1] Berens, H. and Lorentz, G. G. : Inverse theorem for Bernstein polynomials, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 21, 693-708 (1972).
[2] Chang, G. : Generalized Bernstein-Bézier polynomials, J. Comput. Math. 1(4), 322-327 (1983).
[3] Ditzian, Z. and Totik, V. : Moduli of Smoothness, Springer, New York, (1987).
[4] Gupta, V. and Gupta, P.: Rate of convergence for the Baskakov-Durrmeyer type operators, Ganita, 52 (1), 69-77 (2001).
[5] Gupta, Vijay and Mohapatra, Ramm : On the rate of convergence for certain summation-integral type operators, Math. Ineq. Appl., 9, No. 3, 465-472 (2006).
[6] Gupta, V. and Srivastava, G. S. : Approximation by Durrmeyer type operators, Ann. Polonici Math. LXIV, (2), 153-159 (1996).
[7] Guo, Shunsheng et. al.: Pointwise estimate for Szász-type operators, J. Approx. Theory, 94, 160-171 (1998).
[8] Zeng, X. M. : On the rate of convergence of the generalized Szász type operators for bounded variation functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 226, 309-325 (1998).
[9] Zeng, X. M. and Piriou, A.: On the rate of convergence of two Bernstein-Bézier type operators for bounded variation functions, J. Approx. Theory, 95, 369-387 (1998).

## GAIROLA, AGRAWAL

[10] Zeng, X. M. and Gupta, V. : Rate of convergence of Baskakov-Bézier type operators for locally bounded functions, Comput. Math. Appl. 44, 1445-1453 (2002).

Asha Ram GAIROLA, P. N. AGRAWAL
Received 10.10.2008
Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee-247667, INDIA
e-mail: ashagairola@gmail.com
e-mail: pna_iitr@yahoo.co.in


[^0]:    AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A25, 26A15.

