

Slant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds

Ram Shankar Gupta and A. Sharfuddin

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of a slant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold. We provide a non-trivial example and obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a slant lightlike submanifold. Also, we give an example of a minimal slant lightlike submanifold of R_2^9 and prove some characterization theorems.

Key Words: Degenerate metric, Slant lightlike submanifolds, Kenmotsu manifold.

1. Introduction

In the theory of submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds it is interesting to study the geometry of lightlike submanifolds due to the fact that the intersection of normal vector bundle and the tangent bundle is non-trivial. Thus, the study becomes more interesting and remarkably different from the study of non-degenerate submanifolds. The geometry of lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler manifolds was presented in a book by Duggal and Bejancu [5]. B. Y. Chen has introduced the notion of slant immersions by generalizing the concept of holomorphic and totally real immersions [3, 4]. Later, it was A. Lotta [9] who introduced the concept of slant immersion of a Riemannian manifold into an almost contact metric manifold. To define the notion of slant submanifolds, one needs to consider the angle between two vector fields. A lightlike submanifold has two (radical and screen) distributions. The radical distribution is totally lightlike and therefore it is not possible to define angle between two vector fields of radical distribution. On the other hand, the screen distribution is non-degenerate. Using these facts the notion of slant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Hermitian manifold was introduced by B. Sahin [10].

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce the notion of slant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold.

In Section 2, we have collected the formulae and information which are useful in our subsequent sections. In Section 3, we introduce the concept of slant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold and provide a non-trivial example. We prove a characterization theorem for the existence of slant lightlike submanifolds and show that co-isotropic CR -lightlike submanifolds are slant lightlike submanifolds. Finally, in Section 4, we consider minimal slant lightlike submanifolds and give an example and prove two characterization theorems.

2. Preliminaries

An odd-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold \overline{M} is said to be an indefinite almost contact metric manifold if there exist structure tensors $\{\phi, V, \eta, \overline{g}\}$, where ϕ is a (1,1) tensor field, V a vector field, η a 1-form and \overline{g} is the semi-Riemannian metric on \overline{M} satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)V, & \eta \circ \phi = 0, & \phi V = 0, & \eta(V) = 1 \\ \overline{g}(\phi X, \phi Y) = \overline{g}(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), & \overline{g}(X, V) = \eta(X) \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

for $X, Y \in T\overline{M}$, where $T\overline{M}$ denotes the Lie algebra of vector fields on \overline{M} .

An indefinite almost contact metric manifold \overline{M} is called an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold if [1, 8],

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = -\overline{g}(\phi X, Y)V + \eta(Y)\phi X, \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\nabla}_X V = -X + \eta(X)V. \quad (2.2)$$

for any $X, Y \in T\overline{M}$, where $\overline{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection on \overline{M} .

A submanifold M^m immersed in a semi-Riemannian manifold $\{\overline{M}^{m+n}, \overline{g}\}$ is called a lightlike submanifold if it admits a degenerate metric g induced from \overline{g} whose radical distribution of $Rad(TM)$ is of rank r , where $1 \leq r \leq m$. Now, $Rad(TM) = TM \cap TM^\perp$, where

$$TM^\perp = \bigcup_{x \in M} \{u \in T_x \overline{M} : \overline{g}(u, v) = 0, \forall v \in T_x M\} \quad (2.3)$$

Let $S(TM)$ be a *screen distribution* which is a semi-Riemannian complementary distribution of $Rad(TM)$ in TM , that is, $TM = Rad(TM) \perp S(TM)$.

We consider a *screen transversal vector bundle* $S(TM^\perp)$, which is a semi-Riemannian complementary vector bundle of $Rad(TM)$ in TM^\perp . For any local basis $\{\xi_i\}$ of $Rad(TM)$, there exists a local frame $\{N_i\}$ of sections with values in the orthogonal complement of $S(TM^\perp)$ in $[S(TM)]^\perp$ such that $\overline{g}(\xi_i, N_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\overline{g}(N_i, N_j) = 0$, and therefore, it follows that there exists a *lightlike transversal vector bundle* $ltr(TM)$ locally spanned by $\{N_i\}$ (cf. [5], page 144). Let $tr(TM)$ is complementary (but not orthogonal) vector bundle to TM in $T\overline{M}|_M$. Then

$$\begin{cases} tr(TM) = ltr(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp) \\ T\overline{M}|_M = S(TM) \perp [Rad(TM) \oplus ltr(TM)] \perp S(TM^\perp). \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

A submanifold $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of \overline{M} is said to be

- (i) r-lightlike if $r < \min\{m, n\}$;
- (ii) Coisotropic if $r = n < m, S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}$;
- (iii) Isotropic if $r = m < n, S(TM) = \{0\}$;
- (iv) Totally lightlike if $r = m = n, S(TM) = \{0\} = S(TM^\perp)$.

Let $\overline{\nabla}$, ∇ and ∇^t denote the linear connections on \overline{M} , M and vector bundle $tr(TM)$, respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X U = -A_U X + \nabla_X^t U, \forall U \in \Gamma(\text{tr}(TM)), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\{\nabla_X Y, A_U X\}$ and $\{h(X, Y), \nabla_X^t U\}$ belong to $\Gamma(TM)$ and $\Gamma(\text{tr}(TM))$, respectively and A_U is the shape operator of M with respect to U . Moreover, according to the decomposition (2.4), h^l, h^s are $\Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ -valued and $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ -valued *lightlike second fundamental form* and *screen second fundamental form* of M , respectively. Then

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h^l(X, Y) + h^s(X, Y), \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^l(N) + D^s(X, N), N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM)), \quad (2.8)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X W = -A_W X + \nabla_X^s(W) + D^l(X, W), W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp)), \quad (2.9)$$

where $D^l(X, W), D^s(X, N)$ are the projections of ∇^t on $\Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$, respectively and ∇^l, ∇^s are linear connections on $\Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$, respectively. We call ∇^l, ∇^s the lightlike and screen transversal connections on M , and A_N, A_W are shape operators on M with respect to N and W , respectively. Using (2.5) and (2.7)~(2.9), we obtain

$$\bar{g}(h^s(X, Y), W) + \bar{g}(Y, D^l(X, W)) = g(A_W X, Y), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\bar{g}(D^s(X, N), W) = \bar{g}(N, A_W X). \quad (2.11)$$

Let \bar{P} denote the projection of TM on $S(TM)$ and let ∇^*, ∇^{*t} denote the linear connections on $S(TM)$ and $Rad(TM)$, respectively. Then from the decomposition of tangent bundle of lightlike submanifold, we have

$$\nabla_X \bar{P}Y = \nabla_X^* \bar{P}Y + h^*(X, \bar{P}Y), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi^* X + \nabla_X^{*t} \xi, \quad (2.13)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(Rad(TM))$, where h^*, A^* are the second fundamental form and shape operator of distributions $S(TM)$ and $Rad(TM)$, respectively.

From (2.12) and (2.13), we get

$$\bar{g}(h^l(X, \bar{P}Y), \xi) = g(A_\xi^* X, \bar{P}Y), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\bar{g}(h^*(X, \bar{P}Y), N) = g(A_N X, \bar{P}Y), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\bar{g}(h^l(X, \xi), \xi) = 0, \quad A_\xi^* \xi = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

In general, the induced connection ∇ on M is not a metric connection. Since $\bar{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, from (2.7), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = \bar{g}(h^l(X, Y), Z) + \bar{g}(h^l(X, Z), Y). \quad (2.17)$$

However, it is important to note that ∇^*, ∇^{*t} are metric connections on $S(TM)$ and $Rad(TM)$, respectively.

A general notion of a minimal lightlike submanifold in a semi-Riemannian manifold, as introduced by Bejan and Duggal [2], is as follows:

Definition 2.1 A lightlike submanifold $(M, g, S(TM))$ isometrically immersed in a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ is minimal if

- (i) $h^s = 0$ on $Rad(TM)$;
- (ii) trace $h = 0$, where trace is written with respect to g restricted to $S(TM)$.

Similar to definition of contact CR-lightlike submanifolds, invariant submanifolds, screen real submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds given by Duggal and Sahin [6], we state the following definitions [7]:

Definition 2.2 Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a lightlike submanifold, tangent to structure vector field V and immersed in an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$. We say that M is a contact CR-lightlike submanifold of \overline{M} if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $Rad(TM)$ is a distribution on M such that $Rad(TM) \cap \phi(Rad(TM)) = \{0\}$;
- (b) there exist vector bundles D_0 and D' over M such that

$$\begin{cases} S(TM) = \{\phi(Rad(TM)) \oplus D'\} \perp D_0 \perp \{V\}, \\ \phi D_0 = D_0, \phi D' = L_1 \perp ltr(TM) \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

where D_0 is nondegenerate and L_1 is vector subbundle of $S(TM^\perp)$.

A contact CR-lightlike submanifold is proper if $D_0 \neq \{0\}$ and $L_1 \neq \{0\}$.

Definition 2.3 A lightlike submanifold M , of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} , is screen real submanifold if $Rad(TM)$ and $S(TM)$ are, respectively, invariant and anti-invariant with respect to ϕ .

The following result is important for our subsequent use.

Proposition 2.1 [5] *The lightlike second fundamental forms of a lightlike submanifold M do not depend on $S(TM)$, $S(TM^\perp)$ and $ltr(TM)$.*

3. Slant lightlike submanifolds

We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let M be an r -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} of index $2q$ with structure vector field tangent to M . Suppose that $\phi Rad(TM)$ is a distribution on M such that $Rad(TM) \cap \phi Rad(TM) = \{0\}$. Then $\phi ltr(TM)$ is a subbundle of the screen distribution $S(TM)$ and $\phi ltr(TM) \cap \phi Rad(TM) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Given that $\phi Rad(TM)$ is a distribution on M such that $Rad(TM) \cap \phi Rad(TM) = \{0\}$, and hence $\phi Rad(TM) \in S(TM)$. We claim that $ltr(TM)$ is not invariant with respect to ϕ .

Suppose that $ltr(TM)$ is invariant with respect to ϕ . Choose $\xi \in Rad(TM)$ and $N \in Rad(TM)$ such that $\overline{g}(N, \xi) = 1$. Then from (2.1), we have

$$0 = \overline{g}(\phi N, \phi \xi) = \overline{g}(N, \xi) - \eta(N)\eta(\xi) = \overline{g}(N, \xi) = 1$$

as $\phi\xi \in S(TM)$ and $\phi N \in ltr(TM)$, and so $ltr(TM)$ is not invariant with respect to ϕ .

Also, ϕN does not belong to $S(TM^\perp)$, and since $S(TM^\perp)$ is orthogonal to $S(TM)$, it implies that $\bar{g}(\phi N, \phi\xi)$ must be zero. But from (2.1), we have that

$$\bar{g}(\phi N, \phi\xi) = \bar{g}(N, \xi) - \eta(N)\eta(\xi) = g(N, \xi) = 1 \neq 0$$

for some $\xi \in \Gamma Rad TM$, which is again a contradiction and hence that $\phi ltr(TM)$ is a distribution on M .

Moreover, ϕN does not belong to $Rad TM$. Indeed, if $\phi N \in \Gamma Rad TM$, we would have $\phi^2 N = -N + \eta(N)V = -N \in \Gamma \phi Rad TM$, which is not possible. Similarly, ϕN does not belong to $\phi Rad TM$. Thus, we conclude that $\phi ltr(TM) \subset S(TM)$ and $\phi ltr(TM) \cap \phi Rad TM = \{0\}$. \square

Next, we prove this lemma:

Lemma 3.2 *Let M be q -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \bar{M} of index $2q$ with structure vector field tangent to M . Suppose that $\phi Rad TM$ is a distribution on M such that $Rad TM \cap \phi Rad TM = \{0\}$. Then any complementary distribution to $\phi ltr(TM) \oplus Rad TM$ in screen distribution $S(TM)$ is Riemannian.*

Proof. Let D' be the complementary distribution to $\phi ltr(TM) \oplus \phi Rad TM$ in $S(TM)$ and $\dim(\bar{M}) = m + n$ and $\dim(M) = m$. We can choose a local quasi-orthonormal frame on \bar{M} along M as

$\{\xi_i, N_i, \phi\xi_i, \phi N_i, X_\alpha, V, W_a\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$, $\alpha \in \{3q + 1, \dots, m - 1\}$, $a \in \{q + 1, \dots, n\}$, where $\{\xi_i\}$ and $\{N_i\}$ are lightlike bases of $Rad TM$ and $ltr(TM)$, respectively, and $\{\phi\xi_i, \phi N_i, X_\alpha, V\}$, is an orthonormal basis of $S(TM)$ and $\{W_a\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $S(TM^\perp)$.

Now, we can construct the orthonormal basis $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{2q}, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{2q}\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\xi_1 + N_1\}, & U_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\xi_1 - N_1\}, \\ U_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\xi_2 + N_2\}, & U_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\xi_2 - N_2\}, \\ &\dots & &\dots \\ &\dots & &\dots \\ U_{2q-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\xi_q + N_q\}, & U_{2q} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\xi_q - N_q\}, \\ V_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\phi\xi_1 + \phi N_1\}, & V_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\phi\xi_1 - \phi N_1\}, \\ V_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\phi\xi_2 + \phi N_2\}, & V_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\phi\xi_2 - \phi N_2\}, \\ &\dots & &\dots \\ &\dots & &\dots \\ V_{2q-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\phi\xi_q + \phi N_q\}, & V_{2q} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\phi\xi_q - \phi N_q\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{\xi_i, N_i, \phi\xi_i, \phi N_i\}$ gives a non-degenerate space of constant index $2q$ which imply that $Rad TM \oplus ltr(TM) \oplus \phi Rad TM \oplus \phi ltr(TM)$ is non degenerate and of constant index $2q$ on \bar{M} . As $index(T\bar{M}) = index(Rad TM$

$\oplus \text{ltr}(TM) + \text{index}(\phi \text{Rad } TM \oplus \phi \text{ltr}(TM)) + \text{index}(D' \perp S(TM^\perp))$, we have $2q = 2q + \text{index}(D' \perp S(TM^\perp))$, which implies that $\text{index}(D' \perp S(TM^\perp)) = 0$. Hence D' is Riemannian. \square

As mentioned in the introduction, the purpose of this paper is to define slant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds. To define this notion, one needs to consider angle between two vector fields. As we can see from Section 2, a lightlike submanifold has two distributions viz. radical and screen.

The radical distribution is totally lightlike and, therefore, it is not possible to define angle between two vector fields of radical distribution. The screen distribution is non-degenerate. Thus one way to define slant lightlike submanifolds is to choose a Riemannian screen distribution on lightlike submanifolds, for which we use Lemma 3.2.

Definition 3.1 *Let M be a q -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} of index $2q$ with structure vector field tangent to M . Then we say that M is a slant lightlike submanifold of \overline{M} if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *Rad TM is a distribution on M such that $\text{Rad } TM \cap \phi \text{Rad } TM = \{0\}$.*

(ii) *For all $x \in U \subset M$ and for each non zero vector field X tangent to $\overline{D} = D \perp \{V\}$, if X and V are linearly independent, then the angle $\theta(X)$ between ϕX and the vector space \overline{D}_x is constant, where D is complementary distribution to $\phi \text{ltr}(TM) \oplus \phi \text{Rad } TM$ in screen distribution $S(TM)$.*

The constant angle $\theta(X)$ is called the slant angle of \overline{D} . A slant lightlike submanifold M is said to be proper if $D \neq \{0\}$, and $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$.

The following result is an easy consequence of Definition 3.1.

Proposition 3.1 *There exists no proper slant totally lightlike or isotropic submanifold M in indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} with structure vector field tangent to M .*

In what follows, $(R_q^{2m+1}, \phi_0, V, \eta, g)$ will denote the manifold R_q^{2m+1} with its usual Kenmotsu structure given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \eta = dz, \quad V = \partial z, \\ \overline{g} = \eta \otimes \eta + e^{2z}(-\sum_{i=1}^{q/2} dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i + \sum_{i=q+1}^m dx^i \otimes dx^i + dy^i \otimes dy^i), \\ \phi_0(\sum_{i=1}^m (X_i \partial x^i + Y_i \partial y^i) + Z \partial z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-X_{i+1} \partial x^i + X_i \partial x^{i+1} - Y_{i+1} \partial y^i + Y_i \partial y^{i+1}) \end{array} \right.$$

where (x_i, y_i, z) are cartesian coordinates.

Example 3.1 Let $\overline{M} = (R_2^9, \overline{g})$ be a semi-Euclidean space of signature $(-, -, +, +, +, +, +, +, +)$ with respect to the canonical basis $\{\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_3, \partial x_4, \partial y_1, \partial y_2, \partial y_3, \partial y_4, \partial z\}$.

Consider a submanifold M of R_2^9 , defined by

$$X(u, v, \theta_1, \theta_2, s, t) = (u, v, \sin \theta_1, \cos \theta_1, -\theta_1 \sin \theta_2, -\theta_1 \cos \theta_2, u, s, t)$$

Then a local frame of TM is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} Z_1 = e^{-z}(\partial x_1 + \partial y_3), & Z_2 = e^{-z}\partial x_2, \\ Z_3 = e^{-z}(\cos \theta_1 \partial x_3 - \sin \theta_1 \partial x_4 - \sin \theta_2 \partial y_1 - \cos \theta_2 \partial y_2), & \\ Z_4 = e^{-z}(-\theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \partial y_1 + \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \partial y_2), & Z_5 = e^{-z}\partial y_4, \\ & Z_6 = V = \partial z. \end{array} \right.$$

Hence, $Rad TM = span\{Z_1\}$, $\phi_0 Rad TM = span\{Z_2 + Z_5\}$, and $Rad TM \cap \phi_0 Rad TM = \{0\}$. Next, $\overline{D} = D \perp \{V\} = \{Z_3, Z_4\} \perp \{V\}$ is Riemannian.

Then M is slant lightlike with slant angle $\frac{\pi}{4}$. By direct calculations, we get

$$S(TM^\perp) = span \left\{ \begin{array}{l} W_1 = e^{-z}(\cos \theta_1 \partial x_3 - \sin \theta_1 \partial x_4 + \sin \theta_2 \partial y_1 + \cos \theta_2 \partial y_2), \\ W_2 = e^{-z}(\sin \theta_1 \partial x_3 + \cos \theta_1 \partial x_4) \end{array} \right.$$

and $ltr(TM)$ is spanned by $N = \frac{e^{-z}}{2}(-\partial x_1 + \partial y_3)$, such that $\phi_0(N) = -Z_2 + Z_5 \in S(TM)$. It is easy to see that conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1 hold. Hence, M is a proper slant lightlike submanifold of R_2^9 .

Proposition 3.3 *Slant lightlike submanifolds M of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} with structure vector field tangent to M do not include invariant and screen real lightlike submanifolds.*

Proof. Let M be an invariant or screen real lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} . Since $\phi Rad TM = Rad TM$, the first condition of slant lightlike submanifold is not satisfied which proves our assertion. \square

The following result gives a relation between slant lightlike and contact CR -lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold:

Proposition 3.2 *Let M be a q -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} of index $2q$ with structure vector field tangent to M . Then any coisotropic CR -lightlike submanifold is a slant lightlike submanifold with $\theta = 0$. In particular, a lightlike real hypersurface of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} of index 2 is a slant lightlike submanifold with $\theta = 0$. Moreover, any CR -lightlike submanifold of \overline{M} with $D_0 = \{0\}$, is a slant lightlike submanifold with $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.*

Proof. Let M be a q -lightlike CR -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} . Then, $\phi Rad TM$ is a distribution on M such that $Rad TM \cap \phi Rad TM = \{0\}$. If M is coisotropic, then $S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}$. Then the complementary distribution to $\phi ltr(TM) \oplus \phi Rad TM$ in screen distribution $S(TM)$ is $\overline{D} = D_0 \perp \{V\}$ where D_0 is Riemannian by Lemma 3.2. Since D_0 is invariant with respect to ϕ , it follows that $\theta = 0$. Our second assertion is obvious as a lightlike real hypersurface of \overline{M} is coisotropic.

Now, if M is CR -lightlike submanifold with $D_0 = \{0\}$, then the complementary distribution to $\phi ltr(TM) \oplus \phi Rad TM$ in screen distribution $S(TM)$ is $\overline{D} = D' \perp \{V\}$. Since D' is anti-invariant with respect to ϕ , it follows that $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, whereby completing the proof. \square

We know that for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $W \in \Gamma tr(TM)$, we have

$$\phi X = TX + FX, \quad \phi W = BW + CW \quad (3.1)$$

where TX and FX are the tangential and transversal components of ϕX , respectively, and BW and CW are the tangential and transversal components of ϕW , respectively. Moreover, for a slant lightlike submanifold, we denote by P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2 and \overline{Q}_2 the projections on the distributions $Rad TM, \phi Rad TM, \phi ltr(TM), D$ and $\overline{D} = D \perp \{V\}$, respectively. Then for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, we can write

$$X = P_1X + P_2X + Q_1X + \overline{Q}_2X \quad (3.2)$$

where $\overline{Q}_2X = Q_2X + \eta(X)V$.

Using (3.1) in the above equation, we obtain

$$\phi X = \phi P_1X + \phi P_2X + TQ_2X + FQ_1X + FQ_2X \quad (3.3)$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then the tangential components are

$$TX = TP_1X + TP_2X + TQ_2X. \quad (3.4)$$

We now prove two characterization theorems for slant lightlike submanifolds.

Theorem 3.1 *Let M be a q -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} of index $2q$ with structure vector field tangent to M . Then M is slant lightlike submanifold if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (a) $\phi ltr(TM)$ is a distribution on M
- (b) There exists a constant $\lambda \in [-1, 0]$ such that

$$T^2\overline{Q}_2X = \lambda(\overline{Q}_2X - \eta(\overline{Q}_2X)V) \quad (3.5)$$

$\forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$ linearly independent of structure vector field V . Moreover, in such a case, $\lambda = -\cos^2\theta$, where θ is the slant angle of M .

Proof. Let M be a q -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} of index $2q$. If M is a slant lightlike submanifold of \overline{M} , then $\phi Rad TM$ is a distribution on $S(TM)$, and hence from Lemma 3.1, it follows that $\phi ltr(TM)$ is also a distribution on M and $\phi ltr(TM) \subset S(TM)$. Thus (a) is proved. \square

For $X \in \Gamma(TM), Q_2X \in \overline{D} - \{V\}$, we have

$$\cos \theta(Q_2X) = \frac{\overline{g}(\phi Q_2X, TQ_2X)}{|\phi Q_2X||TQ_2X|} = -\frac{\overline{g}(Q_2X, \phi TQ_2X)}{|\phi Q_2X||TQ_2X|} = -\frac{\overline{g}(Q_2X, T^2Q_2X)}{|Q_2X||TQ_2X|}. \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, we get

$$\cos \theta(Q_2X) = \frac{|TQ_2X|}{|\phi Q_2X|}. \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, from (3.6) and (3.7), we find

$$\cos^2 \theta(Q_2X) = -\frac{\overline{g}(Q_2X, T^2Q_2X)}{|Q_2X|^2}.$$

Since $\theta(Q_2X)$ is constant on \overline{D} , we conclude that

$$T^2(Q_2X) = \lambda Q_2X = \lambda(\overline{Q}_2X - \eta(\overline{Q}_2X)V), \lambda \in (-1, 0). \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, in this case, $\lambda = -\cos^2 \theta$. It is clear that equation (3.8) is valid for $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Hence, for $\overline{Q}_2X \in \overline{D}$, we find

$$T^2(\overline{Q}_2X) = \lambda(\overline{Q}_2X - \eta(\overline{Q}_2X)V), \lambda \in [-1, 0]. \tag{3.9}$$

Conversely, suppose that (a) and (b) are satisfied. Then (a) implies that $\phi Rad TM$ is a distribution on M . From Lemma 3.2, it follows that the complementary distribution to $\phi ltr(TM) \oplus \phi Rad TM$ is a Riemannian distribution. The rest of the proof is obvious.

Using (2.1), (3.1) and Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 *Let M be a slant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} with structure vector field tangent to M . Then we have*

$$g(T\overline{Q}_2X, T\overline{Q}_2Y) = \cos^2 \theta [g(\overline{Q}_2X, \overline{Q}_2Y) - \eta(\overline{Q}_2X)\eta(\overline{Q}_2Y)] \tag{3.10}$$

$$g(F\overline{Q}_2X, F\overline{Q}_2Y) = \sin^2 \theta [g(\overline{Q}_2X, \overline{Q}_2Y) - \eta(\overline{Q}_2X)\eta(\overline{Q}_2Y)]. \tag{3.11}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let M be a q -lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} of index $2q$ with structure vector field tangent to M . Then M is slant lightlike submanifold if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (A) $\phi ltr(TM)$ is a distribution on M
- (B) There exists a constant $\mu \in [-1, 0]$ such that

$$BF\overline{Q}_2X = \mu(\overline{Q}_2X - \eta(\overline{Q}_2X)V), \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Moreover, in such a case, $\mu = -\sin^2 \theta$ where θ is the slant angle of M .

Proof. It is easy to see that $\phi Rad TM \cap \phi ltr TM = \{0\}$ and $\phi Rad TM$ is a subbundle of $S(TM)$. Moreover, the complementary distribution to $\phi ltr(TM) \oplus \phi Rad TM$ in $S(TM)$ is Riemannian. Furthermore, from the proof of Lemma 3.2, $S(TM^\perp)$ is also Riemannian. Thus condition (i) in the Definition 3.1 of slant lightlike submanifold is satisfied. On the other hand, from (3.1) and (3.3), we obtain

$$-X = -P_1X - P_2X + T^2Q_2X + FTQ_2X + \phi FQ_1X + BFQ_2X + CFQ_2X.$$

Since $\phi FQ_1X = -Q_1X \in S(TM)$, taking the tangential parts, we have

$$-X + \eta(X)V = -P_1X - P_2X + T^2Q_2X - Q_1X + BFQ_2X.$$

From (3.2), we find

$$-Q_2X = -T^2Q_2X + BFQ_2X. \tag{3.12}$$

Now, if M is slant lightlike then from Theorem 3.1, we have $T^2Q_2X = -\cos^2\theta Q_2X$, and hence we get $BFQ_2X = -\sin^2\theta Q_2X$. Since $FV = 0$ and $\overline{Q}_2X = Q_2X + \eta(X)V$, we have $BF\overline{Q}_2X = -\sin^2\theta(\overline{Q}_2X - \eta(\overline{Q}_2X)V)$.

Conversely, suppose that $BFQ_2X = \mu Q_2X$. Then, from (3.12), we obtain

$$T^2Q_2X = -(1 + \mu)Q_2X.$$

Thus, the proof follows from Theorem 3.1. □

4. Minimal slant lightlike submanifolds

In this section we study minimal slant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds. We have the following.

Example 4.1 Let $\overline{M} = (R_2^9, \overline{g})$ be a semi-Euclidean space of signature $(-, -, +, +, +, +, +, +, +)$ with respect to the canonical basis $\{\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_3, \partial x_4, \partial y_1, \partial y_2, \partial y_3, \partial y_4, \partial z\}$.

Consider a submanifold M of R_2^9 defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= u_1 \cosh \theta, & x_2 &= u_2 \cosh \theta, \\ x_3 &= -u_3 + u_1 \sinh \theta, & x_4 &= u_1 + u_3 \sinh \theta, \\ y_1 &= \cos u_4 \cosh u_5, & y_2 &= \cos u_4 \sinh u_5, \\ y_3 &= \sin u_4 \sinh u_5, & y_4 &= \sin u_4 \cosh u_5, \\ & & z &= t, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_1 \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

Then a local frame of TM is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} Z_1 &= e^{-z}(\cosh \theta \partial x_1 + \sinh \theta \partial x_3 + \partial x_4), Z_2 = e^{-z} \cosh \theta \partial x_2, Z_3 = e^{-z}(-\partial x_3 + \sinh \theta \partial x_4), \\ Z_4 &= e^{-z}(-\sin u_4 \cosh u_5 \partial y_1 - \sin u_4 \sinh u_5 \partial y_2 + \cos u_4 \sinh u_5 \partial y_3 + \cos u_4 \cosh u_5 \partial y_4), \\ Z_5 &= e^{-z}(\cos u_4 \sinh u_5 \partial y_1 + \cos u_4 \cosh u_5 \partial y_2 + \sin u_4 \cosh u_5 \partial y_3 + \sin u_4 \sinh u_5 \partial y_4), \\ Z_6 &= V = \partial z \end{aligned} \right.$$

We define an almost-contact structure ϕ_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, z) &= (-x_2, x_1, -x_4, x_3, -y_3 \cos \alpha - y_2 \sin \alpha, -y_4 \\ &\cos \alpha + y_1 \sin \alpha, y_1 \cos \alpha + y_4 \sin \alpha, y_2 \cos \alpha - y_3 \sin \alpha, 0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Hence, $Rad TM = span\{Z_1\}$, $\phi_1 Rad TM = span\{Z_2 + Z_3\}$ and $Rad TM \cap \phi_1 Rad TM = \{0\}$.

Next, $\overline{D} = D \perp \{V\} = \{Z_4 + Z_5\} \perp \{V\}$ is Riemannian. Then M is slant lightlike with slant angle α with respect to ϕ_1 . By direct calculation, we get $S(TM^\perp) = span\{W_1 = e^{-z}(-\cosh u_5 \partial y_1 + \sinh u_5 \partial y_2 + \tan u_4 \sinh u_5 \partial y_3 - \tan u_4 \cosh u_5 \partial y_4), W_2 = e^{-z}(-\tan u_4 \sinh u_5 \partial y_1 + \tan u_4 \cosh u_5 \partial y_2 - \cosh u_5 \partial y_3 + \sinh u_5 \partial y_4)\}$ and $ltr(TM)$ is spanned by $N = e^{-z}(\tanh \theta \sinh \theta \partial x_1 + \sinh \theta \partial x_3 + \partial x_4)$ such that $\phi_1 N = \tanh^2 \theta Z_2 + Z_3 \in S(TM)$. It is easy to see that condition, (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1 hold. By direct calculation, and using the Gauss formula, we get

$$\begin{cases} h^s(X, Z_1) = h^s(X, \phi_1 Z_1) = 0 = h^s(X, \phi_1 N), h^l = 0, \forall X \in \Gamma(TM) \\ h^s(Z_4, Z_4) = \frac{e^{-z} \cos u_4}{(\cosh^2 u_5 + \sinh^2 u_5)} W_1, h^s(e_2, e_2) = -\frac{e^{-z} \cos u_4}{(\cosh^2 u_5 + \sinh^2 u_5)} W_1. \end{cases}$$

Thus M is a minimal slant lightlike submanifold of (R_2^9, ϕ_1) .

In what follows, we prove two characterization results for minimal slant lightlike submanifolds.

We have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1 *Let M be a proper slant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} such that $dim(D) = dim(S(TM^\perp))$. If $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is a local orthonormal basis of $\Gamma(D)$, then $\{\csc \theta F e_1, \dots, \csc \theta F e_m\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $S(TM^\perp)$.*

Proof. Since $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is a local orthonormal basis of D and D is Riemannian, from Corollary 3.1, we find

$$\overline{g}\{\csc \theta F e_i, \csc \theta F e_j\} = \delta_{ij},$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. This proves the result. □

Theorem 4.1 *Let M be a proper slant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} with structure vector field tangent to M . Then M is minimal if and only if*

$$trace A_{W_j|S(TM)} = 0, trace A_{\xi_k^*|S(TM)} = 0, \text{ and } \overline{g}(D^l(X, W), Y) = 0,$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(Rad TM)$ and $W \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$, where $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^r$ is a basis of $Rad(TM)$ and $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^r$ is a basis of $S(TM^\perp)$.

Proof. Since $\overline{\nabla}_V V = 0$, from (2.7), we get $h^l(V, V) = h^s(V, V) = 0$. Now, take an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ of D .

We know that $h^l = 0$ on $Rad(TM)$ (cf. [2]), Proposition 3.1). Thus M is minimal if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^r h(\phi \xi_k, \phi \xi_k) + \sum_{k=1}^r h(\phi N_k, \phi N_k) + \sum_{i=1}^m h(e_i, e_i) = 0.$$

Using (2.10) and (2.14), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^r h(\phi \xi_k, \phi \xi_k) = \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{r} \sum_{a=1}^r g(A_{\xi_a^*} \phi \xi_k, \phi \xi_k) N_a + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m g(A_{W_j} \phi \xi_k, \phi \xi_k) W_j. \tag{4.1}$$

Similarly, we have

$$h(\phi N_k, \phi N_k) = \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{r} \sum_{a=1}^r g(A_{\xi_a}^* N_k, \phi N_k) N_a + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m g(A_{W_j} \phi N_k, \phi N_k) W_j. \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m h(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{r} \sum_{a=1}^r g(A_{\xi_a}^* e_i, e_i) N_a + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m g(A_{W_j} e_i, e_i) W_j. \quad (4.3)$$

Thus our assertion follows from (4.1)~(4.3). □

Theorem 4.2 *Let M be a proper slant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} with structure vector field tangent to M such that $\dim(D) = \dim(S(TM^\perp))$. Then M is minimal if and only if*

$$\text{trace } A_{F e_j}|_{S(TM)} = 0, \text{ trace } A_{\xi_k}^*|_{S(TM)} = 0, \text{ and } \overline{g}(D^l(X, F e_j), Y) = 0,$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(\text{Rad } TM)$, where $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^r$ is a basis of $\text{Rad } TM$ and $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^m$ is a basis of D .

Proof. Since $\overline{\nabla}_V V = 0$, from (2.7), we get $h^l(V, V) = h^s(V, V) = 0$. We know that $h^l = 0$ on $\text{Rad } (TM)$ (cf.[2], Proposition 3.1). Also, from Lemma 4.1, $\{\csc \theta F e_1, \dots, \csc \theta F e_m\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $S(TM^\perp)$. Thus

$$h^s(X, X) = \sum_{i=1}^m \csc \theta g(A_{F e_i} X, X) F e_i$$

for $X \in \Gamma(\phi \text{Rad } TM \oplus \phi \text{ltr } TM \perp D)$. Thus the proof follows from Theorem 4.1. □

Remarks

(a) It is known that a proper slant submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold is odd dimensional, but this is not true in case of our definition of slant lightlike submanifold. For instance, see the two examples given in this paper.

(b) We notice that the second fundamental forms and their shape operators of a non-degenerate submanifold are related by means of the metric tensor field. Contrary to this we see from (2.7)–(2.11) that in case of lightlike submanifolds there are interrelations between these geometric objects and those of its screen distributions. Thus, the geometry of lightlike submanifolds depends on the triplet $(S(TM), S(TM^\perp), \text{ltr } (TM))$. However, it is important to highlight that, as per Proposition 2.1 of this paper; our results are stable with respect to any change in the above triplet.

Acknowledgement

This research was partly supported by the UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION (UGC), India under a Major Research Project No. SR. 36-321/2008. The first author would like to thank the UGC for providing the financial support to pursue this research work.

References

- [1] N., Aktan., On non existence of lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kenmotsu space form, Turk. J. Math., 32, 1–13 (2008).
- [2] Bejan, C. L. and Duggal, K. L., Global lightlike manifolds and harmonicity, Kodai Mathematical Journal, vol. 28, no. 1, 131–145 (2005).
- [3] Chen, B. Y., Slant Immersions, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 41, 135–147 (1990).
- [4] Chen, B. Y., Geometry of Slant Submanifolds, Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven, 1990.
- [5] Duggal, K. L. and Bejancu, A., Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications, vol. 364 of Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [6] Duggal, K. L. and Sahin, B., Lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, Int. J. of Math. Math. Sci., Article ID 57585, 21 pages (2007).
- [7] Gupta, R. S. and Sharfuddin, A., Lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol 5, No. 10, 475-496 (2010).
- [8] Kenmotsu, K., A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math J., 21, 93–103 (1972).
- [9] Lotta, A., Slant submanifolds in contact geometry, Bull. Math. Soc. Roumanie 39, 183–198 (1996).
- [10] Sahin, B., Slant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Hermitian manifolds, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol.13, No.1, 107–119 (2008).
- [11] Yano, K. and Kon, M., Structures on Manifolds, vol. 3 of Series in Pure Mathematics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.

Ram Shankar GUPTA
 University School of Basic and Applied Sciences,
 Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University,
 Kashmere Gate, Delhi-110006, INDIA
 e-mail: ramshankar.gupta@gmail.com

Received 15.06.2009

A. SHARFUDDIN
 Department of Mathematics,
 Faculty of Natural Sciences,
 Jamia Millia Islamia (Central University)
 New Delhi-110025, INDIA
 e-mail: sharfuddin_ahmad12@yahoo.com