

Turk J Math 35 (2011) , 473 – 478. © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/mat-0912-68

# A Fredholm alternative-like result on power bounded operators

Ali Ülger, Onur Yavuz

#### Abstract

Let X be a complex Banach space and  $T: X \to X$  be a power bounded operator, i.e.,  $\sup_{n\geq 0} ||T^n|| < \infty$ . We write  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  for the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X. We prove that the space  $\operatorname{Ran}(I-T)$  is closed if and only if there exist a projection  $\theta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and an invertible operator  $R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that  $I - T = \theta R = R\theta$ . This paper also contains some consequences of this result.

#### 1. Introduction

Let X be a complex Banach space. It is well known that for every compact operator  $K: X \to K$ , the range of the operator I - K is closed. However, we cannot expect this to hold for an arbitrary bounded linear operator  $T: X \to X$ . So it is natural to ask when the range of the operator I - T is closed. In this paper, we answer this problem for power bounded operators by proving that, for a power bounded operator T, the range of the operator I - T is closed if and only if I - T can be written as a product of two commuting operators  $\theta$  and R where  $\theta$  is an idempotent and R is invertible. We also present some consequences of this result and it is essentially self-contained.

#### 2. Main results

Let  $T : X \to X$  be a power bounded operator on X. If we renorm X with the norm  $|||x||| := \sup_{n\geq 0} ||T^nx||$ , then T becomes a contraction on X with this new norm, that is,  $||T|| \leq 1$ . For that reason we will work with a fixed contraction operator T. Clearly all of the results presented below are valid for power bounded operators. We will denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X, and by  $\mathcal{B}(X^*)$  the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on the dual space  $X^*$ . Note that one can identify  $\mathcal{B}(X^*)$  with the dual space of the projective tensor space  $X^* \hat{\otimes} X$  [1, p. 230, Corollary 2]. So it carries a weak<sup>\*</sup> topology. The natural duality between the spaces  $\mathcal{B}(X^*)$  and  $X^* \hat{\otimes} X$  is given by  $\langle B, f \otimes x \rangle = \langle B(f), x \rangle$  for every operator  $B \in \mathcal{B}(X^*)$ , every functional  $f \in X^*$ , and every vector  $x \in X$ .

We start with the following observation which will be used in the proof of our main theorem.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and assume that  $\operatorname{Ran}(T)$  is closed. Then the following are equivalent:

<sup>2000</sup> AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A010, 47A30, 47A53.

The first author was supported in part by TUBA and Tubitak-Isbap project no: 107T896.

- 1.  $\operatorname{Ker}(T^*) = \operatorname{Ker}(T^{*^2})$ .
- 2.  $\overline{\operatorname{Ran}(T^2)} = \operatorname{Ran}(T)$ .

**Proof.**  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ : Since

$$\operatorname{Ran}(T)^{\perp} = \operatorname{Ker}(T^*) = \operatorname{Ker}(T^{*^2}) = \operatorname{Ran}(T^2)^{\perp}$$

and  $\operatorname{Ran}(T)$  is closed, we have  $\overline{\operatorname{Ran}(T^2)} = \operatorname{Ran}(T)$  by Hahn-Banach Theorem. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): We have

$$\operatorname{Ker}(T^{*2}) = \overline{\operatorname{Ran}(T^2)}^{\perp}$$
 and  $\operatorname{Ker}(T^*) = \operatorname{Ran}(T)^{\perp}$ .

As  $\operatorname{Ran}(T)^{\perp} = \overline{\operatorname{Ran}(T^2)}^{\perp}$ , it follows that  $\operatorname{Ker}(T^*) = \operatorname{Ker}(T^{*2})$ .

The following lemma is proved in ([3], p. 69) for nonexpansive (not necessarily linear) mappings. One can also find a proof of this result in the monograph [2, Lemma 9.4].

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a contraction and  $S_{\lambda} = \lambda I + (1 - \lambda)T$  for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||S_{\lambda}^{n+1}(x) - S_{\lambda}^{n}(x)|| = 0$  for every  $x \in X$ .

The following results, which will be needed for the proof of Theorem 2.9, follow without much difficulty from the preceding lemma.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be a contraction. Then  $\operatorname{Ker}(I - T) \cap \operatorname{Ran}(I - T) = \{0\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S = S_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{I+T}{2}$ . Then the range and the kernel of the operator I - S coincide with those of I - T. Let  $y \in \text{Ker}(I - S) \cap \text{Ran}(I - S)$ . Since (I - S)(y) = 0, that is, S(y) = y, we have  $S^n(y) = y$  for every n. We have (I - S)(x) = y for some  $x \in X$ , that is, y = x - S(x). By applying the operator  $S^n$  to this equality we get  $y = S^n x - S^{n+1} x$ . By the previous lemma,  $||S^n x - S^{n+1} x||$  converges to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ , which implies that y = 0. So  $\text{Ker}(I - S) \cap \text{Ran}(I - S) = \{0\}$ . Thus,  $\text{Ker}(I - T) \cap \text{Ran}(I - T) = \{0\}$ .

Lemma 2.4 Let  $T: X \to X$  be a contraction. Then,  $\operatorname{Ker}(I - T^*) = \operatorname{Ker}((I - T^*)^2).$ 

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.3, we have Ker  $(I - T^*) \cap \text{Ran} (I - T^*) = \{0\}$ . Let  $x \in \text{Ker}((I - T^*)^2)$ . Then the element  $y = (I - T^*)(x)$  is in the intersection of the spaces  $\text{Ker}(I - T^*)$  and  $\text{Ran}(I - T^*)$ , which is trivial. Hence  $x \in \text{Ker}(I - T^*)$ , which implies that  $\text{Ker}(I - T^*)^2 \subseteq \text{Ker}(I - T^*)$ . The other inclusion is always true.  $\Box$ 

The following lemma will be needed in the proof of Theorem 2.6.

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $(R_{\alpha})$  be a bounded net in  $\mathcal{B}(X^*)$ . Then we have the following:

- 1. The net  $(R_{\alpha})$  converges to  $R \in \mathcal{B}(X^*)$  in the weak<sup>\*</sup> topology if and only if  $\langle R_{\alpha}(f), x \rangle$  converges to  $\langle R(f), x \rangle$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$ .
- 2. If  $(R_{\alpha})$  converges to R in the weak<sup>\*</sup> topology, then  $(R_{\alpha} \circ Q)$  converges to  $R \circ Q$  in the weak<sup>\*</sup> topology for every operator  $Q \in \mathcal{B}(X^*)$ .
- 3. If  $(R_{\alpha})$  converges to R in the weak<sup>\*</sup> topology, then  $(L^* \circ R_{\alpha})$  converges to  $L^* \circ R$  for every operator  $L \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ .

**Proof.** Assertion (1) follows from the fact that the net  $(R_{\alpha})$  is bounded and the set of atomic tensors  $f \otimes x$  are total in the space  $X^* \hat{\otimes} X$ . Assertions (2) and (3) follow, respectively, from the identities

$$\langle R_{\alpha} \circ Q, f \otimes x \rangle = \langle R_{\alpha}, Q(f) \otimes x \rangle.$$
$$L^* \circ R_{\alpha}, f \otimes x \rangle = \langle (L^* \circ R_{\alpha})(f), x \rangle = \langle R_{\alpha}(f), L(x) \rangle = \langle R_{\alpha}, f \otimes L(x) \rangle.$$

The next result shows that for a power bounded operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , the kernel of the operator  $I - T^*$  is always complemented in  $X^*$ .

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a contraction. Then there exists a projection  $P \in \mathcal{B}(X^*)$  whose range is  $\operatorname{Ker}(I - T^*)$  and whose kernel contains  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T^*)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S = \frac{I+T}{2}$ . Since  $||S|| \le 1$ , the set  $\{S^{*^n} : n \ge 0\}$  is bounded. So by Alaoglu theorem, the sequence  $(S^{*^n})$  has a convergent subnet  $(S^{*^{n_i}})$  that converges to an operator P in  $(B(X^*), w^*)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\langle S^{*^{n+1}}f - S^{*^n}f, x \rangle \to 0$  for every  $f \in X^*$  and  $x \in X$ . This, together with the fact that P is the weak<sup>\*</sup> limit of the net  $(S^{*^{n_i}})$ , implies that

$$P \circ S^* = S^* \circ P = P.$$

Then  $S^{*^{n_i}} \circ P = P$  for every  $n_i$ . So, passing again to the limit in  $(\mathcal{B}(X^*), w^*)$  and using Lemma 2.5, we get  $P^2 = P$ . This proves that every cluster point of the sequence  $(S^{*n})$  is a projection. As  $S^* = \frac{I+T^*}{2}$ , we also have

$$T^* \circ P = P \circ T^* = P.$$

So  $\operatorname{Ran}(P) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(I - T^*)$ . On the other hand, for  $f \in \operatorname{Ker}(I - T^*)$ , we have  $T^*(f) = f$ , so  $S^*(f) = f$ . Hence  $S^{*^{n_i}}f = f$ , which implies that P(f) = f. Hence  $\operatorname{Ran}(P) = \operatorname{Ker}(I - T^*)$ . To prove the inclusion  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T^*) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(P)$ , let  $f \in X^*$  be an arbitrary element and  $g = f - T^*(f)$ . Then, we have  $P(g) = (P \circ T^*)(f) = 0$ . Hence  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T^*) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(P)$ .

As an important corollary of this theorem we present the following result.

**Corollary 2.7** Let T be a power bounded operator and  $S = \frac{I+T}{2}$ . Then

- 1.  $\overline{\operatorname{Ran}(I-T)} = X$  if and only if  $S^n(x) \to 0$  weakly for every  $x \in X$ .
- 2.  $\operatorname{Ran}(I-T) = X$  if and only if  $||S^n|| \to 0$ .

<

**Proof.** (1): First assume that  $\langle S^n x, f \rangle \to 0$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$ . Then  $\langle x, S^{*n} f \rangle \to 0$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$ , that is, the sequence  $(S^{*n})$  converges to 0 in the weak\* topology of  $\mathcal{B}(X^*)$ . Then the projection P obtained in Theorem 2.6 is trivial, which in turn implies that  $\operatorname{Ker}(I - T^*) = \{0\}$ . Thus,  $\overline{\operatorname{Ran}(I - T)} = X$ .

Conversely, if  $\overline{\operatorname{Ran}(I-T)} = X$ , then, since every weak<sup>\*</sup> cluster point of the sequence  $(S^{*n})$  is a projection on  $\operatorname{Ker}(I-T^*)$ , the only weak<sup>\*</sup> cluster point of the sequence  $(S^{*n})$  is 0. This implies that the sequence  $(S^{*n})$  itself converges to 0 in the weak<sup>\*</sup> topology since the sequence  $(S^{*n})$  is bounded.

(2): We first note that by a result by Katznelson-Tzafriri [5, Theorem 1], we have  $\sigma(S) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} \subseteq \{1\}$ . Note also that by Lemma 2.3, the operator (I - T) is invertible if and only if it is onto. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Ran}(I - T) = X \Leftrightarrow 1 \notin \sigma(T) \Leftrightarrow 1 \notin \sigma(S)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \sigma(S) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} = \emptyset$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \|S^n\| \to 0.$$

The following corollary, which is of independent interest, will be needed for the proof of our main theorem.

**Corollary 2.8** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a contraction and assume that  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T^*)$  is closed. Then  $\operatorname{Ran}((I - T^*)^2)$  is also closed.

**Proof.** By Lemma 331 of [4, p.274], it is enough to prove that the space  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T^*) + \operatorname{Ker}(I - T^*)$  is closed. Let  $((I - T^*)(f_n) + g_n)$  be a sequence in  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T^*) + \operatorname{Ker}(I - T^*)$  that converges to  $f \in X^*$ . Let P denote the projection obtained in Theorem 2.6. Since  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T^*)$  is a subset of  $\operatorname{Ker}(P)$ , we have  $P((I - T)^*(f_n) + g_n) = Pg_n \to Pf$ . As  $\operatorname{Ker}(I - T^*) = \operatorname{Ran}(P)$ , the sequence  $(g_n)$  converges to P(f). Thus, the sequence  $(I - T)^*(f_n)$  converges to f - P(f) which must be in  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T^*)$ , as the space  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T^*)$  is closed.  $\Box$ 

We can now prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2.9** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a contraction. Then  $\operatorname{Ran}(I-T)$  is closed if and only if there exist a projection  $\theta \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and an invertible operator  $R \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  such that  $I - T = \theta \circ R = R \circ \theta$ .

**Proof.** Assume that the space  $\operatorname{Ran}(I-T)$  is closed. Then the space  $\operatorname{Ran}(I-T^*)$  is closed as well. Therefore, by Corollary 2.8, the space  $\operatorname{Ran}((I-T^*)^2)$  is also closed, which in turn implies that the space  $\operatorname{Ran}((I-T)^2)$  is closed. Note that  $\operatorname{Ker}(I-T^*) = \operatorname{Ker}((I-T^*)^2)$  by Lemma 2.4. Hence, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that the range of the operator  $(I-T)^2$  coincides with the range of the operator I-T. So for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $(I-T)(x) = (I-T)^2(y)$ . Thus x - (I-T)y is in  $\operatorname{Ker}(I-T)$ , which, together with Lemma 2.3, proves that  $\operatorname{Ran}(I-T) \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(I-T) = X$ . Now, define  $R: X \to X$  as follows:

R(z+y) = (I-T)(z) + y where  $z \in \operatorname{Ran}(I-T)$  and  $y \in \operatorname{Ker}(I-T)$ .

The mapping R is well-defined, linear, and bounded. We claim that it is invertible. To see that it is onto, let  $x = z + y \in X$ , where  $z \in \text{Ran}(I - T)$  and  $y \in \text{Ker}(I - T)$ . Then z = (I - T)w for some  $w \in X$ .

So R(w + y) = x. Now, to see that it is one-to-one, let  $x = z + y \in \text{Ker}(R)$ , where  $z \in \text{Ran}(I - T)$  and  $y \in \text{Ker}(I - T)$ . Then (I - T)(z) = -y. Thus (I - T)(z) = y = 0 since the only point in the intersection of the spaces Ker(I - T) and Ran(I - T) is 0. This also implies that z is in the intersection of the spaces Ker(I - T) and Ran(I - T), and so it is 0 as well. Hence x = z + y = 0. Let  $\theta$  be the projection with range Ran(I - T) and kernel Ker(I - T). Then  $I - T = R \circ \theta = \theta \circ R$ . The reverse implication is clear.

The following corollary, which is reminiscent of the Fredholm Alternative, is an immediate consequence of the preceding theorem.

**Corollary 2.10** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a contraction and assume that  $\operatorname{Ran}(I-T)$  is closed. Then  $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}(I-T)) = \operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{Ran}(I-T))$ .

We will prove below an analogue of Theorem 2.9 for the operator algebra B(X). In what follows we will denote by  $R_{(I-T)}$  the operator defined on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  by  $R_{(I-T)}(A) = A \circ (I-T)$ , and we will denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X) \circ (I-T)$ its image.

**Corollary 2.11** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a contraction and assume that  $\operatorname{Ran}(I - T)$  is closed. Then  $\mathcal{B}(X) = \operatorname{Ker}(R_{(I-T)}) \oplus \mathcal{B}(X) \circ (I - T)$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.9, we have  $I - T = \theta \circ R$ , where  $\theta$  is a bounded projection and R is an invertible operator in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ . Consider the operator

$$\Theta: \mathcal{B}(X) \to \mathcal{B}(X)$$
$$A \mapsto A \circ \theta,$$

which is a bounded projection on  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ . Using the decomposition  $I - T = R \circ \theta$ , one can easily see that  $\operatorname{Ran}(\Theta) = \mathcal{B}(X) \circ (I - T)$  and  $\operatorname{Ker}(\Theta) = \operatorname{Ker}(R_{(I-T)})$ .

Let A(T) be the norm closed subalgebra of B(X) generated by an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and the identity operator I, which is clearly a commutative Banach algebra. The proof of the preceding corollary also shows that the following holds.

**Corollary 2.12** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a contraction. Then the ideal  $A(T) \circ (I - T)$  is closed in A(T) if and only if the range of the operator I - T is closed in X.

#### References

- [1] Diestel, J. and Uhl, J.J. Jr.: Vector Measures, Amer. Math. Soc. Mathematical Surveys 15, Providence, (1977).
- [2] Goebel, K and Kirk, W.A.: Topics in metric fixed point theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, (1990).
- [3] Ishikawa, S.: Fixed points and iteration of a nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc 59, 65-71 (1976).

- [4] Kato,T.: Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency, and other quantities of linear operators, J. Analyse Math.6, 261-322 (1958).
- [5] Katznelson, Y. and Tzafriri, L.: On power bounded operators, Journal of Functional Analysis 68, 313-328 (1986).

Received: 30.12.2009

Ali ÜLGER Department of Mathematics, Koç University, Rumeli Feneri Yolu, 34450, Sariyer, İstanbul-TURKEY e-mail: aulger@ku.edu.tr

Onur YAVUZ Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabancı University, 34956, Tuzla, İstanbul,TURKEY e-mail: onuryavuz@sabanciuniv.edu