

Remarks on the paper "On some new inequalities for convex functions" by
 M. Tunç

Alfred WITKOWSKI*

Institute of Mathematics and Physics, University of Technology and Life Sciences,
 Al. Prof. Kaliskiego 7, 85-796 Bydgoszcz, Poland

Received: 03.07.2012 • Accepted: 03.10.2012 • Published Online: 26.08.2013 • Printed: 23.09.2013

Abstract: In this note, we slightly generalize Theorem 2 in the paper by M. Tunç and point out that the assumption of Theorem 3 is not sufficient.

A misuse of the term 'mean' is also discussed.

Key words: Convex function, mean

In the paper [3] the author proves the following theorem:

Theorem 1 (Theorem 2, [3]) *If $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are convex, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b (b-x)[f(a)g(x) + f(x)g(a)]dx \\ & + \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b (x-a)[f(b)g(x) + f(x)g(b)]dx \\ & \leq \frac{M(a,b)}{3} + \frac{N(a,b)}{6} + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $M(a,b) = f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b)$, $N(a,b) = f(a)g(b) + f(b)g(a)$.

In fact, this theorem can be restated as follows:

Theorem 2 *If $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are of the same convexity (i.e. both convex or both concave), then (1) holds. If f and g are of opposite convexity, then (1) is reversed.*

Proof Since for $a < x < b$ we have

$$x = \frac{b-x}{b-a}a + \frac{x-a}{b-a}b,$$

the inequality

$$\left(f(x) - \frac{b-x}{b-a}f(a) - \frac{x-a}{b-a}f(b) \right) \left(g(x) - \frac{b-x}{b-a}g(a) - \frac{x-a}{b-a}g(b) \right) \geq 0 \tag{2}$$

*Correspondence: alfred.witkowski@utp.edu.pl

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 26B25, secondary 26E60.

holds if f and g are of the same convexity, else (2) is reversed.

Integrating the above inequality over the interval $[a, b]$, we obtain the desired result. □

Theorem 3 in [3] requires correction.

Theorem 3 *Let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ be convex, nonnegative functions. Then*

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (f(\frac{a+b}{2})g(x) + g(\frac{a+b}{2})f(x)) dx \leq \frac{1}{2(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx + \frac{M}{12} + \frac{N}{6} + f(\frac{a+b}{2})g(\frac{a+b}{2}), \quad (3)$$

(M and N being as in Theorem 1).

The original version does not contain the nonnegativity assumption, but then it is easy to produce a counterexample: let g be convex and $f(x) \equiv -1$. Then the inequality (3) becomes

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x)dx \geq \frac{g(a) + g(b)}{2}$$

- obviously opposite to the right-hand side of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

As Theorem 3 is not valid in the general case, we cannot trust Proposition 5 in [3] in the case $a, b < 0$ (especially because x^n is not convex in the interval $(-\infty, 0)$ for odd n).

We feel obliged to comment on the use of the term 'mean' in section 3: in the mathematical literature the word 'mean' denotes a function taking values between the extremities of its argument(s). The attempt to extend the definition of the geometric, arithmetic, logarithmic, and generalised logarithmic means is only partially successful.

$$\begin{aligned} A(a, b) &= \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}, \quad L(a, b) = \frac{b-a}{\ln|b| - \ln|a|}, \\ L_n(a, b) &= \left(\frac{b^{n+1} - a^{n+1}}{(n+1)(b-a)} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad K(a, b) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

define means for positive a, b . Clearly, the expressions above make sense for some other arguments, but usually their values do not lie between the arguments: $G(-1, -4) = 2$, $L(e^2, -e) = e^2 + e$, $\lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} L_2(a, 1) = \infty$ etc., thus calling them 'means' should be regarded as a mistake.

Tracing back the cited literature for the source of this misuse, we see that the process started in the paper by Dragomir and Agarwal ([1]), the mistake was reproduced by Kirmaci ([2]) and, consequently, by Tunç.

It is worth noting that the extended logarithmic means L_n and power means $M_n(a, b) = A(a^n, b^n)^{1/n}$ can be extended to the real line in the case of positive real exponents. To this end, let $f_n(x) = \text{sgn}(x)|x|^n$. Then f_n is a strictly increasing, odd function and we can define

$$L_n(a, b) = f_n^{-1} \left(\frac{\int_a^b f_n(t)dt}{b-a} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad M_n(a, b) = f_n^{-1} \left(\frac{f_n(a) + f_n(b)}{2} \right).$$

Both definitions match the original ones for positive arguments and define means (in the case of odd natural n , L_n matches the original definition). Unfortunately the power functions (with the exception of $n = 1$) cannot be extended to a bijection preserving the convexity.

Clearly this method cannot be applied to negative exponents.

References

- [1] Dragomir, S.S., Agarwal, P.: Two inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers and to trapezoidal formula, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 11 (5) 91–95, (1998).
- [2] Kirmaci, U.S.: Inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers and to midpoint formula, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 147 137–146, (2004).
- [3] Tunç, M.: On some new inequalities for convex functions, *Turk. J. Math.* 36 245–251, (2012).