Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Research Article

Turk J Math
(2014) 38: 426 – 435
© TÜBİTAK
doi:10.3906/mat-1304-18

Two-weighted norm inequality on weighted Morrey spaces

XiaoFeng YE*, **TengFei WANG**
Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences, East China JiaoTong University, Nanchang, P. R. China

Received: 10.04.2013 • **Accepted:** 19.10.2013 • **Published Online:** 14.03.2014 • **Printed:** 11.04.2014

Abstract: Let u and ω be weight functions. We shall introduce the weighted Morrey spaces $L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)$ and investigate the sufficient condition and necessary condition about the 2-weighted boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator.

Key words: Weighted Morrey spaces, Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, A_p weights

1. Introduction

Suppose $u(x)$ and $\omega(x)$ are weight functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and T is an operator taking suitable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In his survey article [10], Muckenhoupt raised the general question of characterization when the weighted norm inequality

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf(x)|^q \omega(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p u(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.1}$$

holds for any $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and all appropriate f. In the case of one weight $u = \omega$, the inequality (1.1) can be characterized by remarkably simple conditions for many classical operators, e.g., the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral, and fractional operator (see [1, 9, 11]).

The case of different weights has been far less studied. Only for the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator and other positive operators was this characterized in [13], while many classical operators are still open and only find sufficient conditions on weights for an operator to be bounded from $L^p(u)$ to $L^q(\omega)$. For the history of these results, we refer the reader to [2, 3, 5].

Weighted Morrey spaces $L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)$ were first introduced recently by Komori and Shirai [7], where the boundedness of many classical operators was established. Later, many authors found that the weighted Morrey spaces were also used in harmonic analysis [14, 15]. However, this only gives sufficient conditions for the boundedness of classical operators. The necessary condition associated with Hilbert transform in Morrey spaces was discussed by Samko [12].

In this paper, we concentrate our attention on the 2-weighted norm inequality associated with the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator in weighted Morrey spaces. The same as the above cases, we only give a sufficient condition and a necessary condition, respectively.

*Correspondence: mathyxf@yahoo.cn
2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:* 42B20, 42B25.
The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11161021).

Throughout the paper cubes are assumed to have their sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Given cube $Q = Q(x,r)$ centered at x with side length r, $\omega(Q)$ denotes $\int_Q \omega(x)dx$ and the measure $\omega(x)dx$ is often abbreviated to ωdx. The Lebesgue measure of Q is denoted by $|Q|$ and the characteristic function of Q by χ_Q.

2. Some notations and lemmas

In this section, we introduce some basic definitions and lemmas.

Definition 2.1 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \kappa < 1$, and w be a weight function. For any local integrable function f in $\mathbb{R}^n$, if it satisfies*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)} := \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \int_Q |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Then f belongs to weighted Morrey spaces and $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}$ denotes the norm.

Note that if $\omega = 1$, $L^{p,\kappa}(\omega) = L^{p,\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the classical Morrey spaces; if $\kappa = 0$, $L^{p,0}(\omega) = L^p(\omega)$ is the weighted Lebesgue spaces.

Definition 2.2 *The Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M is defined by*

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy,$$

and we define the maximal operator with respect to the measure $w(x)dx$ by

$$M_\omega f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{\omega(Q)} \int_Q |f(y)| \omega(y) dy.$$

Before the next definition, we recall that a dyadic cube is the product of the intervals that are divided by dyadic decomposition of the coordinate axis with side length 2^k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.3 *Supposing that $\mathcal{F}$ is the collection of the dyadic cubes, we define $M_t^\star f(x)$ with translation operator τ_t as follows (see [4], p. 112, or [6], p. 431):*

$$M_t^\star f(x) = (\tau_{-t} \circ M^\star \circ \tau_t) f(x) = M^\star(\tau_t f)(x + t).$$

In the definition, $M^\star f(x)$ is a dyadic maximal operator (see [4], p. 111), which is defended by

$$M^\star f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

The following definition was considered by Fefferman and Stein (see [4], p. 112):

Definition 2.4 *Let $\ell(Q)$ be the side length of a cube Q. For a positive real number N, we define the locally maximal operator by*

$$\bar{M}_N f(x) = \sup_{\substack{x \in Q \\ \ell(Q) \le N}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy$$

and the locally dyadic maximal operator by

$$\bar{M}_N^{\star} f(x) = \sup_{\substack{x \in Q \in \mathcal{F} \\ \ell(Q) \leq N}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

Definition 2.5 *A weight function ω satisfies the A_p condition with $1 < p < \infty$, if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for any cube Q,*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{1-p'} dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

The definition of 2.5 can be found in [8] on page 21. In fact, the A_p weights have the following important lemma (see [8], p. 22):

Lemma 2.1 *Given a weight function $w \in A_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, it also satisfies the doubling condition Δ_2: for any cube Q, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $w(2Q) \leq Cw(Q)$.*

The next 2 definitions have a relation with the 2-weighted inequality in weighted Morrey spaces.

Definition 2.6 *A weight ω is called a (p, κ)-permission weight if for every cube Q, the inequality*

$$\|\chi_Q\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)} < \infty$$

holds. Furthermore, a weight ω is called a (p, κ)-specific permission weight if it is a (p, κ)-permission weight and for every cube Q

$$\|\chi_Q \sigma\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)} < \infty,$$

where $\sigma = \omega^{1-p'}$.

Definition 2.7 *We say $(u, \omega) \in \mathcal{S}_{p,\kappa}$ if u is a (p, κ)-permission weight and ω is a (p, κ)-specific permission weight, such that the following inequalities hold:*

$$\sup_Q \frac{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(u)}}{\|\chi_{3Q}\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_Q \frac{\sigma(3Q)}{|Q|} \times \frac{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(u)}}{\|\chi_{3Q}\sigma\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}} < \infty.$$

The following lemmas play an important role in our proofs.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$; then there exists an index r: $1 < r < p$, such that $\omega \in A_r$.*

This lemma was first obtained by Muckenhoupt in [9], page 214. One can also find a clear statement in [8], page 26.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $1 < p < \infty$. σ is a nonnegative locally integrable weight. Then $M_\sigma^\star$ is bounded in $L^p(\sigma)$.*

Lemma 2.10 would be found in [6], page 426. In fact, $M_\sigma^\star$ is of weak type (1,1) and bounded in $L^\infty(\sigma)$. By using the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem we can get this result.

Lemma 2.4 *Suppose f is a locally integrable function in $\mathbb{R}^n$; then for every integer k and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$M_{2^k} f(x) \leq 2^{1-kn} \int_{Q(0,2^{k+3})} M_t^\star f(x) dt,$$

where $Q(0, 2^{k+3})$ means the cube centered at 0 with side length 2^{k+3}.

As to the proof of Lemma 2.11, we refer to [6], page 431. Note that the notation $Q\left(0, 2^{k+2}\right)$ in [6] means a cube centered at 0 with half side length 2^{k+2}, which differs from our argument.

3. A sufficient condition of 2-weighted norm inequalities in weighted Morrey spaces

In this section we give a sufficient condition of 2-weighted boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. The statement is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \kappa < 1$, (u, ω) is a couple of weights, $\sigma = \omega^{1-p'}$ and $\omega \in A_p$. Then the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M is bounded from $L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)$ to $L^{p,\kappa}(u)$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that for any cubes Q and Q'*

$$\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa} \int_{Q'} M(\chi_{Q'}\sigma)(x)^p u dx \leq \frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \int_{Q'} \sigma dx < \infty.$$

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need an auxiliary proposition as follows:

Proposition 3.1 *Let $1 < p < \infty, 0 < \kappa < 1$. If (u, ω) is a couple of weights and $\sigma = \omega^{1-p'}$ is locally integrable, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) There exists a constant $C > 0$, such that for any cube Q

$$\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf(x))^p \, u dx \leq \frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega dx;$$

(b) There exists a constant $C > 0$, such that for any cube Q and Q'

$$\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa} \int_{Q'} (M(\chi_{Q'}\sigma)(x))^p \, u dx \leq \frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \int_{Q'} \sigma dx < \infty.$$

Proof The idea follows from [13] and [6]. Once having chosen $f = \sigma(x)\chi_Q(x)$, we can easily draw the conclusion $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. To verify the opposite, we partition it into 3 steps. First, it suffices to prove the result for the dyadic maximal operator $M^\star$; second, by using the first step, we show the result for the translation dyadic maximal operator $M_t^\star$; and, third, by using Lemma 2.11, we complete the proof for the maximal operator M.

We first check the case of the dyadic maximal operator. Since (b) is satisfied by $M^\star$, let us consider the locally dyadic maximal operator $\bar{M}_N^\star$. Recall the definition of $\bar{M}_N^\star f(x)$: it takes the supremum over all dyadic cubes Q that contain x with side length of less than N; therefore, under the condition $\bar{M}_N^\star f(x) > 2^k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we get a family of countable such dyadic cubes $\{Q_l^k\}_l$ satisfying

$$2^k < \frac{1}{|Q_l^k|} \int_{Q_l^k} |f(y)| dy. \tag{3.1}$$

For any 2 dyadic cubes, either 1 is contained in the other or they are disjoint. Hence, we can choose the maximum ones in the family $\{Q_l^k\}_l$. The collection of these maximum dyadic cubes is denoted by $\{Q_j^k\}_j$. They satisfy the same inequality as in (3.1). Moreover, for any dyadic cube $Q \supsetneqq Q_j^k$ with side length $\ell(Q) \leq N$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|\,dy \leq 2^k.$$

Obviously

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \bar{M}_N^\star f(x) > 2^k\} = \bigcup_j Q_j^k.$$

Let $E_j^k = Q_j^k \backslash \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \bar{M}_N^\star f(x) > 2^{k+1}\}$. For $k_1 \neq k_2$ or $j_1 \neq j_2$, it is easy to check that $E_{j_1}^{k_1}$ and $E_{j_2}^{k_2}$ are disjoint and

$$\bigcup_{j,k} E_j^k = \bigcup_{j,k} Q_j^k.$$

Hence, for any cube Q, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\bar{M}_N^\star f(x))^p u dx &= \frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\sum_{j,k}\int_{E_j^k} (\bar{M}_N^\star f(x))^p u dx \\
&\leq \frac{2^p}{u(Q)^\kappa}\sum_{j,k} u(E_j^k)\left(\frac{1}{|Q_j^k|}\int_{Q_j^k}\sigma dx\right)^p \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)}\int_{Q_j^k}|f(x)|\omega^{\frac{p'}{p}}\sigma dx\right)^p \\
&= \frac{C}{u(Q)^\kappa}\sum_{j,k}\gamma_j^k\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)}\int_{Q_j^k} g(x)\sigma dx\right)^p, \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where $g = |f|\omega^{\frac{p'}{p}}$ and

$$\gamma_j^k = u(E_j^k)\left(\frac{1}{|Q_j^k|}\int_{Q_j^k}\sigma dx\right)^p.$$

Next we define the measure γ on the measure space $\mathcal{M}$ where $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{(k,j) \in \mathcal{M}|$ k, j is the index of $Q_j^k\}$, and

$$\widetilde{g}(k,j) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)}\int_{Q_j^k} g(x)\sigma dx\right)^p, & (k,j) \in \mathcal{M}_0 \\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{C}{u(Q)^\kappa}\sum_{j,k}\gamma_j^k\left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)}\int_{Q_j^k} g(x)\sigma dx\right)^p \\
&= \frac{C}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{\mathcal{M}}\widetilde{g}(k,j)d\gamma \\
&= C\int_0^\infty \frac{\gamma(S_\lambda)}{u(Q)^\kappa}d\lambda, \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_\lambda = \left\{ (k,j) \in \mathcal{M}_0 \mid \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} g(x)\sigma dx \right)^p > \lambda \right\}.$$

Note that all the cubes in $\left\{Q_j^k\right\}_{j,k}$ have side length of at most N. For the same reason, we can choose maximum dyadic cubes in $\left\{Q_j^k : (k,j) \in S_\lambda\right\}$. These maximum dyadic cubes are relabeled by $\{Q_i^\lambda\}$. Thus:

$$\bigcup_i Q_i^\lambda \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | (M_\sigma^\star g(x))^p > \lambda\}.$$

Joining (3.2) and (3.3) and by using condition (b) and Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\bar{M}_N^\star f(x)\right)^p u dx \le C \int_0^\infty \frac{\gamma(S_\lambda)}{u(Q)^\kappa} d\lambda \\
&= C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa} \sum_i \sum_{\substack{Q_j^k \subseteq Q_i^\lambda \\ (k,j)\in\mathcal{M}_0}} u(E_j^k) \left(\frac{1}{|Q_j^k|} \int_{Q_j^k} \sigma \right)^p d\lambda \\
&\le C \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_i \frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa} \int_{Q_i^\lambda} (M^\star(\chi_{Q_i^\lambda}\sigma)(x))^p u dx \right) d\lambda \\
&\le C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \sum_i \sigma(Q_i^\lambda) d\lambda \\
&\le \frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \int_0^\infty \sigma\left(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | (M_\sigma^\star g(x))^p > \lambda\}\right) d\lambda \\
&= \frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(M_\sigma^\star \left(\frac{f}{\sigma}\right)(x) \right)^p \sigma dx \\
&\le \frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting N tend to ∞, we get

$$\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M^\star f(x))^p u dx \le \frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega dx.$$

Now we prove the case of maximal operator M. Note that $(\tau_t u, \tau_t \omega)$ is also a couple of weights and $\tau_t u(Q) = u(Q-t)$. Then for 2 arbitrary cubes Q and Q', we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\tau_t u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{Q'}(M^\star((\tau_t\sigma)\chi_{Q'})(x))^p\tau_t u(x)dx\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{\tau_t u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{Q'}(M^\star(\tau_t(\sigma\chi_{Q'-t}))(x))^p u(x-t)dx\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{\tau_t u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{Q'-t}(M_t^\star(\sigma\chi_{Q'-t})(y))^p u(y)dy\\
&\qquad\le\frac{1}{\tau_t u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{Q'-t}(M(\sigma\chi_{Q'-t})(x))^p u(x)dx\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{\tau_t u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{Q'}(M(\tau_t\sigma\chi_{Q'})(x))^p\tau_t u(x)dx\\
&\qquad\le\frac{C}{\tau_t\omega(Q)^\kappa}\int_{Q'}\tau_t\sigma dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_t^\star f(x))^p u(x)dx\\
&=\frac{1}{\tau_t u(Q+t)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M^\star(\tau_t f)(x))^p(\tau_t u)dx\\
&\le\frac{C}{\tau_t\omega(Q+t)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\tau_t f(x)|^p\tau_t\omega dx\\
&=\frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)|^p\omega.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.11, for each $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_{2^k}f(x))^p udx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&\le\frac{2^{1-kn}}{u(Q)^{\frac{\kappa}{p}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\int_{Q(0,2^{k+3})}M_t^\star f(x)dt\right)^p udx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\\
&\le 2^{1-kn}\int_{Q(0,2^{k+3})}\left(\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(M_t^\star f(x))^p\, udx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}dt\\
&\le C\left(\frac{1}{\omega(Q)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)|^p\omega dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting k tend to ∞, we get

$$\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(Mf(x))^p udx\le\frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)|^p\omega dx.$$

This completes the proof. □

Next we shall prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof Suppose $f = f\chi_{3Q} + f\chi_{(3Q)^c} \triangleq f_1 + f_2$. Since $\omega \in A_p$, σ is locally integrable, by Proposition 3.2:

$$\left(\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_Q (Mf_1(x))^p u dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \left(\frac{C}{\omega(Q)^\kappa}\int_{3Q}|f(x)|^p \omega dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}.$$

On the other hand, from [7] we know that, for every $x \in Q$,

$$M_\omega f_2(x) \le \sup_{R:Q\subseteq 3R}\left(\frac{1}{\omega(R)}\int_R |f(x)|\omega dx\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Noting that $\omega \in A_p$, by Lemma 2.9, there exists an index $r : 1 < r < p$, such that $\omega \in A_r$, and then $Mf_2(x) \le C(M_\omega|f_2|^r(x))^{\frac{1}{r}}$. By inequality (3.4), for every $x \in Q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Mf_2(x) &\le C\left(M_\omega|f_2|^r(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\le C \sup_{R:Q\subseteq 3R}\left(\frac{1}{\omega(R)}\int_R |f(x)|^r \omega dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\le C \sup_{R:Q\subseteq 3R}\left(\frac{1}{\omega(R)^\kappa}\int_R |f(y)|^p \omega dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \omega(R)^{\frac{\kappa-1}{p}} \\ &\le C\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}\omega(Q)^{\frac{\kappa-1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\left(\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_Q (Mf_2(x))^p u dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le Cu(Q)^{\frac{1-\kappa}{p}}\omega(Q)^{\frac{\kappa-1}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}.$$

Using Proposition 3.2 again, let $f = \chi_Q$; then for every $x \in Q^o$, $M(\chi_Q)(x) \equiv 1$. We have

$$u(Q)^{\frac{1-\kappa}{p}} = \left(\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_Q (M(\chi_Q)(x))^p u dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C\omega(Q)^{\frac{1-\kappa}{p}},$$

and then

$$\left(\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_Q (Mf_2(x))^p u dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

4. A necessary condition of 2-weighted norm inequalities in weighted Morrey spaces

In this section we give a necessary condition of 2-weighted boundedness of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. The idea goes back to Samko [12].

Theorem 4.1 *If u, ω, and $\sigma=\omega^{1-p'}$ are respectively (p,κ)-permission weight, (p,κ)-specific permission weight, and a doubling weight, then $(u,\omega)\in\mathcal{S}_{p,\kappa}$ is the necessary condition of $\|Mf\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(u)}\leq C\|f\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}$.*

Proof Suppose $Q_1, Q_2, ..., Q_{2^n}$ are any neighboring cubes that have the same edge length but no intersecting interior whose union is a new big cube, which is denoted by Q_0. Let $x\in Q_i$, $i\in\{1,2,...,2^n\}$. Then for $j\neq i$:

$$M(\chi_{Q_j})(x)=\sup_{x\in Q}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\chi_{Q_j}(y)dy\right)\geq\frac{1}{|Q_0|}\int_{Q_j}dy=\frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_Q\left(\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_Q\chi_{Q_i}(y)udy\right)&\leq 2^{np}\sup_Q\left(\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{Q\bigcap Q_i}(M(\chi_{Q_j})(y))^p udy\right)\\&\leq 2^{np}C^p\|\chi_{Q_j}\|^p_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $Q_j\subseteq 3Q_i$,

$$\|\chi_{Q_i}\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(u)}\leq 2^nC\|\chi_{Q_j}\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}\leq C\|\chi_{3Q_i}\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}.$$

On the other hand, for every $x\in Q_j$, we have

$$M(\chi_{Q_i}\sigma)(x)=\sup_{x\in Q}\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_{Q\bigcap Q_i}\sigma dx\geq\frac{1}{|Q_0|}\int_{Q_i}\sigma dx=\frac{1}{2^n|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\sigma dx.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{1}{|Q_i|}\int_{Q_i}\sigma dx\right)^p\sup_Q\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{Q\bigcap Q_j}udy\\&\leq 2^{np}\sup_Q\frac{1}{u(Q)^\kappa}\int_{Q\bigcap Q_j}(M(\chi_{Q_i}\sigma)(y))^p udy\\&\leq C\|\chi_{Q_i}\sigma\|^p_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since σ is a doubling weight and $3Q_i\subseteq 5Q_j$, we have $\sigma(3Q_i)\leq\sigma(5Q_j)\leq C\sigma(Q_j)$ and

$$\frac{\|\chi_{Q_i}\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(u)}}{\|\chi_{3Q_i}\sigma\|_{L^{p,\kappa}(\omega)}}\leq C\frac{|3Q_i|}{\sigma(Q_j)}\leq C\frac{|Q_i|}{\sigma(3Q_i)}.$$

This completes the proof. □

References

[1] Coifman R, Fefferman C. Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals. *Stud Math 1974*; 51: 241–250.

[2] Cruz-Uribe D, Pérez C. On the two-weight problem for singular integral operators. *Ann Scuola Norm-Sci 2002*; 1: 821–849.

[3] Cruz-Uribe D, Martell JM, Pérez C. Sharp two-weight norm inequalities for singular integrals, with applications to the Hilbert transform and the Sarason conjecture. *Adv Math 2007*; 216: 647–676.

[4] Fefferman C, Stein EM. Some maximal inequalities. *Am J Math 1971;* 93: 107–115.

[5] Fujii N. A condition for a two-weight norm inequality for singular integral operators. *Stud Math 1991*; 98: 175–190.

[6] Garcia-Cuerva J, Rubio de Francia JL. Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier Science Publishers, 1985.

[7] Komori Y, Shirai S. Weighted Morrey spaces and a singular integral operator. *Math Nachr 2009*; 282: 219–231.

[8] Lu SZ, Ding Y, Yan DY. Singular Integrals and Related Topics. Hackensack, NJ, USA: World Scientific Publishing, 2007.

[9] Muckenhoupt B. Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function. *T Am Math Soc 1972*; 165: 207–226.

[10] Muckenhoupt B. Weighted norm inequalities for classical operators. *P Symp Pure Math 1979*; 35: 69–83.

[11] Muckenhoupt B, Wheeden RL. Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals. *T Am Math Soc 1974*; 192: 261–274.

[12] Samko N. On a Muckenhoupt-type condition for Morrey spaces. *Mediterr J Math 2013*; 10: 941–951.

[13] Sawyer ET. Two weight norm inequalities for certain maximal and integral operators. *Lect Notes Math 1982*; 908: 102–127.

[14] Wang H. Intrinsic square functions on the weighted Morrey spaces. *J Math Anal Appl 2012*; 396: 302–314.

[15] Ye XF. Some estimates for multilinear commutators on the weighted Morrey spaces. *Math Sci 2012*; 6: 1–6.