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#### Abstract

Let $X_{\beta}$ be a $\beta$-shift for $\beta \in(1,2]$ and $X(S)$ a $S$-gap shift for $S \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. We show that if $X_{\beta}$ is SFT (resp. sofic), then there is a unique $S$-gap shift conjugate (resp. right-resolving almost conjugate) to this $X_{\beta}$, and if $X_{\beta}$ is not SFT , then no $S$-gap shift is conjugate to $X_{\beta}$. For any synchronized $X_{\beta}$, an $X(S)$ exists such that $X_{\beta}$ and $X(S)$ have a common synchronized 1-1 a.e. extension. For a nonsynchronized $X_{\beta}$, this common extension is just an almost Markov synchronized system with entropy preserving maps. We then compute the zeta function of $X_{\beta}$ from the zeta function of that $X(S)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Two important classes of symbolic dynamics are $\beta$-shifts and $S$-gap shifts. Both are coded systems with applications in coding theory and number theory and a source of examples for symbolic dynamics. There have been some independent studies of these classes. See [7, 15, 18, 20] for $\beta$-shifts and [3, 7, 12] for $S$-gap shifts. There are some common properties between these two classes. For instance, both of them are at least halfsynchronized, and every subshift factor of them is intrinsically ergodic [7]. There are disparities as well: $\beta$-shifts are all mixings, though this is not true in general for a $S$-gap shift [12], and $S$-gap shifts are synchronized, which is not true for all $\beta$-shifts. Even among sofic $\beta$-shifts and $S$-gap shifts, which are our primary interest here, there are some major differences. An important class of sofic $S$-gap shifts are almost-finite-type (AFT) [3], but no $\beta$-shift is AFT [20].

We let $\beta \in(1,2]$ and search for an $S$-gap shift $X(S)$ that has some sort of equivalencies, such as conjugacy or right-resolving almost conjugacy, with our $\beta$-shift denoted by $X_{\beta}$. A main tool used here is almost conjugacy, introduced by Adler and Marcus [1], which is virtually a conjugacy between transitive points. This concept was defined for sofics and is now very much classic [13, 14]. It was then extended to nonsofics by Fiebig [8], and so our paper first deals with sofics and then nonsofics. Another tool is right-resolving, called deterministic in computer science, which is an important closing property in coded systems and in applications.

Here we summarize our results. Let $\beta \in(1,2]$ and let $X_{\beta}$ be the associated $\beta$-shift. We will associate to $X_{\beta}$ a unique $S$-gap shift denoted by $\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ and will show that when $X_{\beta}$ is sofic, then $X_{\beta}$ and $X(S)=\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ are right-resolving almost conjugate, and when $X_{\beta}$ is SFT, they are conjugate as well (Theorem 4.7). On the other hand, for a given $S$-gap shift, there does not necessarily exist a $\beta$-shift holding
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the same equivalencies with $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{S})$. However, we give a necessary and sufficient condition on $S$ to have this be true (Theorem 4.10).

In Subsection 3.2, we extend the results obtained for sofics to nonsofics. For instance, when $X_{\beta}$ is synchronized, $X_{\beta}$ and $\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ have a common synchronized 1-1 a.e. extension (Theorem 4.17). Additionally, in general, $X_{\beta}$ and $\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ have a common extension, which is an almost Markov synchronized system whose maps are entropy-preserving (Theorem 4.18).

Theorem 5.1 gives the zeta function of $X_{\beta}$ in terms of the zeta function of $\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ and Theorem 4.19 states that $\left\{\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right): \beta \in(1,2]\right\}$ is a Cantor dust in the set of all $S$-gap shifts.

## 2. Background and notations

The notations have been taken from [14] and the proofs of the claims in this section can be found there. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an alphabet that is a nonempty finite set. The full $\mathcal{A}$-shift denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the collection of all bi-infinite sequences of symbols from $\mathcal{A}$. A block (or word) over $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite sequence of symbols from $\mathcal{A}$. The shift function $\sigma$ on the full shift $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ maps a point $x$ to the point $y=\sigma(x)$ whose $i$ th coordinate is $y_{i}=x_{i+1}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$ denote the set of all admissible $n$-blocks. The language of $X$ is the collection $\mathcal{B}(X)=$ $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n}(X)$. A word $v \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is synchronizing if whenever $u v$ and $v w$ are in $\mathcal{B}(X)$, we have $u v w \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be alphabets and $X$ a shift space over $\mathcal{A}$. Fix integers $m$ and $n$ with $-m \leq n$. Define the $(m+n+1)$-block map $\Phi: \mathcal{B}_{m+n+1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\Phi\left(x_{i-m} x_{i-m+1} \ldots x_{i+n}\right)=\Phi\left(x_{[i-m, i+n]}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{i}$ is a symbol in $\mathcal{D}$. The map $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by $y=\phi(x)$ with $y_{i}$ given by (2.1) is called the sliding block code with memory $m$ and anticipation $n$ induced by $\Phi$. An onto sliding block code $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a factor code. In this case, we say that $Y$ is a factor of $X$. The map $\phi$ is a conjugacy if it is invertible.

An edge shift, denoted by $X_{G}$, is a shift space that consists of all bi-infinite walks in a directed graph $G$. A labeled graph $\mathcal{G}$ is a pair $(G, \mathcal{L})$ where $G$ is a graph with edge set $\mathcal{E}$, vertex set $\mathcal{V}$, and the labeling $\mathcal{L}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Each $e \in \mathcal{E}$ starts at a vertex denoted by $i(e) \in \mathcal{V}$ and terminates at a vertex $t(e) \in \mathcal{V}$.

When the set of forbidden words is finite, the space is called a subshift of finite type (SFT). A sofic shift $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the set of sequences obtained by reading the labels of walks on $G$,

$$
X_{\mathcal{G}}=\left\{\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(\xi): \xi \in X_{G}\right\}=\mathcal{L}_{\infty}\left(X_{G}\right)
$$

We say that $\mathcal{G}$ is a presentation or a cover of $X_{\mathcal{G}}$.
A labeled graph $\mathcal{G}=(G, \mathcal{L})$ is right-resolving if for each vertex $I$ of $G$ the edges starting at $I$ carry different labels. A minimal right-resolving presentation of a sofic shift $X$ is a right-resolving presentation of $X$ having the fewest vertices among all right-resolving presentations of $X$. Any two minimal right-resolving presentations of an irreducible sofic shift must be isomorphic as labeled graphs [14, Theorem 3.3.18]. Thus, we can speak of "the" minimal right-resolving presentation of an irreducible sofic shift $X$; we call it the Fischer cover of $X$.

Let $w \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. The follower set $F(w)$ of $w$ is defined by $F(w)=\{v \in \mathcal{B}(X): w v \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$. A shift space $X$ is sofic if and only if it has a finite number of follower sets [14, Theorem 3.2.10]. In this case, we have a labeled graph $\mathcal{G}=(G, \mathcal{L})$ called the follower set graph of $X$. The vertices of $G$ are the follower sets and if $w a \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, then we draw an edge labeled $a$ from $F(w)$ to $F(w a)$. If $w a \notin \mathcal{B}(X)$ then we do nothing.
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Now we review the concept of the Fischer cover for a not necessarily sofic system [9]. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then $x_{+}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}$(resp. $\left.x_{-}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i<0}\right)$ is called right (resp. left) infinite $X$-ray. For a left infinite $X$-ray, say $x_{-}$, its follower set is $\omega_{+}\left(x_{-}\right)=\left\{x_{+} \in X^{+}: x_{-} x_{+}\right.$is a point in $\left.X\right\}$. Consider the collection of all follower sets $\omega_{+}\left(x_{-}\right)$as the set of vertices of a graph $X^{+}$. There is an edge from $I_{1}$ to $I_{2}$ labeled $a$ if and only if there is an $X$-ray $x_{-}$such that $x_{-} a$ is an $X$-ray and $I_{1}=\omega_{+}\left(x_{-}\right), I_{2}=\omega_{+}\left(x_{-} a\right)$. This labeled graph is called the Krieger graph for $X$. If $X$ is a synchronized system with synchronizing word $\alpha$, the irreducible component of the Krieger graph containing the vertex $\omega_{+}(\alpha)$ is called the right Fischer cover of $X$. We are working only with coded synchronized systems, which are irreducible. In this situation, like irreducible sofics, the right Fischer cover is just called the Fischer cover.

Let $\phi=\Phi_{\infty}: X \rightarrow Y$ be a 1-block code. Then $\phi$ is right-resolving whenever $a b$ and $a c$ are 2-blocks in $X$ with $\Phi(b)=\Phi(c)$, then $b=c$.

Let $G$ and $H$ be graphs. A graph homomorphism from $G$ to $H$ consists of a pair of maps $\partial \Phi: \mathcal{V}(G) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{V}(H)$ and $\Phi: \mathcal{E}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(H)$ such that $\partial \Phi(i(e))=i(\Phi(e))$ and $\partial \Phi(t(e))=t(\Phi(e))$ for all $e \in \mathcal{E}(G)$. A graph homomorphism is a graph isomorphism if both $\partial \Phi$ and $\Phi$ are one-to-one and onto. Two graphs $G$ and $H$ are graph isomorphic (written $G \cong H$ ) if there is a graph isomorphism between them. Let $\mathcal{E}_{I}(G)$ be the set of all the edges in $\mathcal{E}(G)$ starting from $I \in \mathcal{V}(G)$. A graph homomorphism $\Phi: G \rightarrow H$ maps $\mathcal{E}_{I}(G)$ into $\mathcal{E}_{\partial \Phi(I)}(H)$ for each vertex $I$ of $G$. Thus, $\phi=\Phi_{\infty}$ is right-resolving if and only if for every vertex $I$ of $G$ the restriction $\Phi_{I}$ of $\Phi$ to $\mathcal{E}_{I}(G)$ is one-to-one. If $G$ and $H$ are irreducible and $\phi$ is a right-resolving code from $X_{G}$ onto $X_{H}$, then each $\Phi_{I}$ must be a bijection. Thus, for each vertex $I$ of $G$ and every edge $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\partial \Phi(I)}(H)$, there exists a unique "lifted" edge $e \in \mathcal{E}_{I}(G)$ such that $\Phi(e)=f$. This lifting property inductively extends to paths: for every vertex $I$ of $G$ and every path $w$ in $H$ starting at $\partial \Phi(I)$, there is a unique path $\pi$ in $G$ starting at $I$ such that $\Phi(\pi)=w$.

Points $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ in $X$ are left-asymptotic if there is an integer $N$ for which $x_{(-\infty, N]}=x_{(-\infty, N]}^{\prime}$. A sliding block code $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is right-closing if whenever $x, x^{\prime}$ are left-asymptotic and $\phi(x)=\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, then $x=x^{\prime}$. Similarly, left-closing will be defined. A sliding block code is bi-closing if it is simultaneously rightclosing and left-closing. An irreducible sofic shift is called AFT if it has a biclosing presentation. The entropy of a shift space $X$ is defined by $h(X)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / n) \log \left|\mathcal{B}_{n}(X)\right|$.

## 3. General properties of $S$-gap shifts and $\beta$-shifts

## 3.1. $S$-gap shifts

To define a $S$-gap shift $X(S)$, fix $S=\left\{s_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}: 0 \leq s_{i}<s_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right\}$. Define $X(S)$ to be the set of all binary sequences for which 1 s occur infinitely often in each direction and such that the number of 0 s between successive occurrences of a 1 is in $S$. When $S$ is infinite, we need to allow points that begin or end with an infinite string of 0 s . Note that $X(S)$ and $X\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ are conjugate if and only if one of the $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ is $\{0, n\}$ and the other $\{n, n+1, n+2, \ldots\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}[3$, Theorem 4.1]. We consider $X(S)$ up to conjugacy and by convention $\{0, n\}$ is chosen. Now let $d_{0}=s_{0}$ and $\Delta(S)=\left\{d_{n}\right\}_{n}$ where $d_{n}=s_{n}-s_{n-1}$. Then an $S$-gap shift is SFT if and only if $S$ is finite or cofinite, is AFT if and only if $\Delta(S)$ is eventually constant, and is sofic if and only if $\Delta(S)$ is eventually periodic [3]. Therefore, for sofic $S$-gap shifts we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(S)=\left\{d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, \overline{g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l-1}}\right\}, \quad g=\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} g_{i} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $g_{j}=s_{k+j}-s_{k+j-1}, 0 \leq j \leq l-1$. Furthermore, $k$ and $l$ are the least integers such that (3.1) holds.
The Fischer cover of any irreducible sofic shift as well as $S$-gap shifts is the labeled subgraph of the follower set graph, which consists of the finite set of follower sets of synchronizing words as its vertices. For an $S$-gap shift this set is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{F(1), F(10), \ldots, F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)\right\}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(S)=\max S$ for $|S|<\infty$. If $|S|=\infty$, then $n(S)$ will be defined as follows.

1. For $k=1$ and $g_{l-1}>s_{0}$,
(a) if $g_{l-1}=s_{0}+1$, then $F\left(10^{s_{l-1}+1}\right)=F(1)$ and $n(S)=s_{l-1}$.
(b) if $g_{l-1}>s_{0}+1$, then $F\left(10^{g}\right)=F(1)$ and $n(S)=g-1$.
2. For $k \neq 1$, if $g_{l-1}>d_{k-1}$, then $F\left(10^{g+s_{k-2}+1}\right)=F\left(10^{s_{k-2}+1}\right)$ and $n(S)=g+s_{k-2}$.
3. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $g_{l-1} \leq d_{k-1}$, then $F\left(10^{s_{k+l-2}+1}\right)=F\left(10^{s_{k-1}-g_{l-1}+1}\right)$ and $n(S)=s_{k+l-2}$.

For a view of the Fischer cover of a $S$-gap shift, we line up vertices in (3.2) horizontally starting from $F(1)$ on the left followed by $F(10)$ and then by $F\left(10^{2}\right)$, at last ending at $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ as the far right vertex. In all cases, label 0 the edge starting from $F\left(10^{i}\right)$ and terminating at $F\left(10^{i+1}\right), 0 \leq i \leq n(S)-1$; also, label 1 all edges from $F\left(10^{s}\right)$ to $F(1)$ for $s \in S$ and $s<n(S)$.

The only remaining edges to be taken care of are those starting at $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$. In (1a), there are two edges from $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ to $F(1)$; label one 0 and the other 1. In (1b), there is only one edge from $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ to $F(1)$, which is labeled 0 . In case (2) (resp. (3)), label 0 the edge from $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ to $F\left(10^{s_{k-2}+1}\right)$ (resp. $F\left(10^{s_{k-1}-g_{l-1}+1}\right)$ ) and label 1 the edge from $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ to $F(1)$. For a more detailed treatment see [2].

## 3.2. $\beta$-shifts

Rényi [16] was the first who considered the $\beta$-shifts. These shifts are symbolic spaces with rich structures and applications in theory and practice. We present here a brief introduction to $\beta$-shifts from [20]. For a more detailed treatment, see [6].

When $t$ is a real number we denote by $\lfloor t\rfloor$ the largest integer smaller than $t$. Let $\beta$ be a real number greater than 1. Set

$$
1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \cdots \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}^{\mathbb{N}},
$$

where $a_{1}=\lfloor\beta\rfloor$ and

$$
a_{i}=\left\lfloor\beta^{i}\left(1-a_{1} \beta^{-1}-a_{2} \beta^{-2}-\cdots-a_{i-1} \beta^{-i+1}\right)\right\rfloor
$$

for $i \geq 2$. The sequence $1_{\beta}$ is the expansion of 1 in the base $\beta$; that is, $1=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \beta^{-i}$. Let $\leq$ be the lexicographic ordering of $(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$. The sequence $1_{\beta}$ has the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{k} 1_{\beta} \leq 1_{\beta}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes the shift on $(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$. It is a result of Parry [15] that this property characterizes the elements of $(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$, which are the $\beta$-expansion of 1 for some $\beta>1$. Furthermore, it follows from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\beta}=\left\{x \in\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}^{\mathbb{Z}}: x_{[i, \infty)} \leq 1_{\beta}, i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. A typical Fischer cover of a strictly sofic $\beta$-shift for $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\left(a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p}\right)^{\infty}, \beta \in(1,2]$. The edges heading to $\alpha_{1}$ exist if $a_{i}=1$.


Figure 2. A typical Fischer cover of a nonsofic $\beta$-shift for $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots, \beta \in(1,2]$. The edges ending at $\alpha_{1}$ exist if $a_{i}=1$.
is a shift space of $\{0,1, \ldots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, called the $\beta$-shift. The $\beta$-shift is SFT if and only if the $\beta$-expansion of 1 is finite and it is sofic if and only if the $\beta$-expansion of 1 is eventually periodic [4]. Moreover, any $\beta$-shift is half-synchronized. See [9] for definition and properties of a half-synchronized system. Note that all halfsynchronized system have a Fischer cover. We consider $\beta \in(1,2]$, where in this case Fischer covers for a sofic and nonsofic $\beta$-shift are as in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

## 4. Equivalencies between a beta-shift and an $S$-gap shift

We look for some sort of equivalencies for a given $X_{\beta}$ and some $S$-gap shift. We use these equivalencies to do some computations for $X_{\beta}$. Sofics and nonsofics are treated differently.

### 4.1. Sofic case

A sliding block code $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is finite-to-one if there is $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $y \in Y,\left|\phi^{-1}(y)\right| \leq M$. Shift spaces $X$ and $Y$ are finitely equivalent if there is an SFT, say $W$, together with finite-to-one factor codes $\phi_{X}: W \rightarrow X$ and $\phi_{Y}: W \rightarrow Y$. One calls $W$ a common extension and $\phi_{X}, \phi_{Y}$ the legs. The triple $\left(W, \phi_{X}, \phi_{Y}\right)$ is a finite equivalence between $X$ and $Y$. Call a finite equivalence between sofic shifts in which both legs are right-resolving (resp. right-closing) a right-resolving finite equivalence (resp. right-closing finite equivalence).

Let $G$ and $H$ be two irreducible graphs. Write that $H \preceq G$ if $X_{H}$ is a right-resolving factor of $X_{G}$ and let $\mathcal{R}_{G}$ be the collection of graph-isomorphism classes of graphs $H$ for which $H \preceq G$. This ordering naturally determines an ordering that we still call $\preceq$ on $\mathcal{R}_{G}$. It turns out that there is a smallest element $M_{G}$ in this partial ordering ( $\mathcal{R}_{G}, \preceq$ ).

Now we recall from [14] how $M_{G}$ can be constructed. Let $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{V}(G)$ be the set of vertices of $G$ and let us define a nested sequence of equivalence relations $\sim_{n}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ for $n \geq 0$ and denote by $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ the partition of $\mathcal{V}$ into $\sim_{n}$ equivalence classes. To define $\sim_{n}$, first let $I \sim_{0} J$ for all $I, J \in \mathcal{V}$. For $n \geq 1$, let $I \sim_{n} J$ if and
only if for each class (or atom) $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ the total number of edges from $I$ to vertices in $P$ equals the total number of edges from $J$ to vertices in $P$. Note that the partitions $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ are nested: each atom in $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is a union of atoms in $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$.

We have $\mathcal{V}$ finite and $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ nested, so the $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ s will be equal for all sufficiently large $n$, and we denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the limiting partition. Then $\mathcal{P}$ will be the set of states of $M_{G}$. To prevent confusion between $M_{G}$ and $G$, we call a vertex in $M_{G}$ "state" and of $G$ just "vertex".

Since for all large enough $n, \mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_{n}=\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$, for each pair $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ there is $k$ such that for each $I \in P$ there are exactly $k$ edges in $G$ from $I$ to vertices in $Q$. We then assign $k$ edges in $M_{G}$ from $P$ to $Q$.

Therefore, to have $M_{G}$, for each $n$, we refine the atoms of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$, and when $\mathcal{P}_{n}=\mathcal{P}$, then for each $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ and $I, J \in P$, the total number of paths from $I$ and $J$ to vertices in $Q$ and also the length of these paths (with respect to $G$ ) for both $I$ and $J$ are equal.

Briefly we have $\mathcal{P}_{0}=\mathcal{V}(G)$. Furthermore, $\sim_{1}$ partitions vertices by their out-degrees where for $X_{\beta}$ and $X=X(S), \sim_{1}$ partitions vertices into two atoms, one atom containing the vertices with out-degree one and the other with out-degree two. If $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{P}_{1}$, for the next step, if $P \in \mathcal{P}_{1}$ is refined, then it is the turn for $Q$ to be refined where $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{1}$ is any atom having edges terminating to vertices in $P$.

Theorem 4.1 [14, Theorem 8.4.7] Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are irreducible sofic shifts. Let $G_{X}$ and $G_{Y}$ denote the underlying graphs of their Fischer covers respectively. Then $X$ and $Y$ are right-resolving finitely equivalent if and only if $M_{G_{X}} \cong M_{G_{Y}}$. Moreover, the common extension can be chosen to be irreducible.

A point in $X$ is doubly transitive if every word in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ occurs infinitely often to the left and to the right of its representation. Shift spaces $X$ and $Y$ are almost conjugate if there is a shift of finite type $W$ and 1-1 a.e. factor codes $\phi_{X}: W \rightarrow X$ and $\phi_{Y}: W \rightarrow Y$ (1-1 a.e. means that any doubly transitive point has exactly one pre-image). Call an almost conjugacy between sofic shifts in which both legs are right-resolving (resp. right-closing) a right-resolving almost conjugacy (resp. right-closing almost conjugacy).

Let r-r and r-c stand for right-resolving and right-closing, respectively. We summarize the relations among the mentioned properties in the following diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{cllll} 
& & & & \text { conjugacy } \\
& & & \Downarrow \\
\text { r-r almost conjugacy } & \Rightarrow & \text { r-c almost conjugacy } & \Rightarrow & \text { almost conjugacy }  \tag{4.1}\\
\Downarrow & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
\text { r-r finite equivalence } & \Rightarrow & \text { r-c finite equivalence } & \Rightarrow & \text { finite equivalence }
\end{array}
$$

There are examples to show that in general the converse to the above implications is not necessarily true [14].

Definition 4.2 Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $w=w_{0} w_{1} \ldots w_{p-1}=\left(w_{0} w_{1} \ldots w_{q-1}\right)^{m}$ be a block of length $p$. The least period of $w$ is the smallest integer $q$ such that $m=\frac{p}{q}$. The block $w$ is primitive if its least period equals its length $p$.

Now we will picture the graph $M_{G}$ of $X(S)$. First suppose $|S|<\infty$. Let $S=\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k-1}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}, k>1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}(S)=d_{1} d_{2} \cdots d_{k-2}\left(d_{k-1}+s_{0}+1\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{i}=s_{i}-s_{i-1}, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Note that if $I, J \in \mathcal{V}(G)$ are in the same state of $M_{G}$, then both $I$ and $J$ have the same out-degree, which is one or two. The out-degree of any vertex $F\left(10^{s_{i}}\right), 0 \leq i \leq k-1$ is two,
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except the last one. Hence, $d_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq k-2$ measures the distance between any two vertices with out-degree two.

To pick the next vertex after $F\left(10^{s_{k-2}}\right)$ with out-degree two, we continue to the right to $F\left(10^{s_{k-1}}\right)$ and then along the graph to $F(1)$, and then again to the right to $F\left(10^{s_{0}}\right)$, which is after $d_{k-1}+s_{0}+1$ steps.

Theorem 4.3 Let $|S|<\infty$. Then $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is primitive if and only if $M_{G} \cong G$.
Proof Suppose that $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is not primitive. Let $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{V}\left(M_{G}\right)$ be the set of states of $M_{G}$. By the Fischer cover of $X(S)$, each state in $M_{G}$ then consists of $m=\frac{|S|-1}{q}$ vertices of graph $G$ where $q$ is the least period $\mathcal{D}(S)$ and $|\mathcal{V}|=\sum_{i=1}^{q} d_{i}=s_{q}-s_{0}$. In fact, if $\mathcal{V}=\left\{P_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq s_{q}-s_{0}-1\right\}$, then

$$
P_{i}=\left\{F\left(10^{s_{0}+i}\right), F\left(10^{s_{0}+i+|\mathcal{V}|}\right), \ldots, F\left(10^{s_{0}+i+(m-1)|\mathcal{V}| \bmod u}\right)\right\}
$$

where $u=s_{k-1}+1$. Since $|\mathcal{V}|=s_{q}-s_{0}<s_{k-1}+1=|\mathcal{V}(G)|, M_{G} \neq G$.
Now suppose that $M_{G} \neq G$. There are thus at least two different vertices of $G$, say $I=F\left(10^{p}\right)$ and $J=F\left(10^{q}\right)$, such that $I$ and $J$ are in the same state of $M_{G}$. Assume $p<q$. There exists an edge from $I$ (resp. $J$ ) to $F\left(10^{(p+1)}\right.$ ) (resp. $F\left(10^{(q+1) \bmod u}\right)$ ). Therefore, by the fact that $I$ and $J$ are equivalent, we have that the vertices $F\left(10^{(p+1)}\right)$ and $F\left(10^{(q+1)} \bmod u\right)$ are equivalent. By the same reasoning, for each $i \geq 2$, $F\left(10^{(p+i)} \bmod u\right)$ and $F\left(10^{(q+i)} \bmod u\right)$ are equivalent. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is not primitive.

Theorem 4.4 Let $X(S)$ be a sofic $S$-gap shift with $|S|=\infty$ and the Fischer cover $\mathcal{G}=(G, \mathcal{L})$. Then $M_{G} \cong G$.
Proof We consider our three cases appearing for $|S|=\infty$ in Subsection 3.1. We claim that the last vertex $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ is not equivalent with any other vertex. Otherwise, we will show that at least one of $k$ or $l$ will not be the least integer in (3.1). Thus, the state of $M_{G}$ containing this last vertex contains only this vertex, which in turn implies that other states of $M_{G}$ also have one vertex. Therefore, $M_{G} \cong G$.

We prove our claim for the most involved case, i.e. case (3). First suppose there is a vertex

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}=F\left(10^{t_{0}}\right) \sim F\left(10^{n(S)}\right), \quad s_{k-1}-g_{l-1}+1 \leq t_{0}<n(S) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $t_{0}<s_{k-1}-g_{l-1}+1$, then $k$ is not the least integer in (3.1). Without loss of generality assume that this $t_{0}$ is the largest integer with this property. Recall that there is an edge from $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ to $F\left(10^{s_{k-1}-g_{l-1}+1}\right)$; it is thus convenient to set $t_{1}:=n(S), t_{1}+1:=s_{k-1}-g_{l-1}+1$ and $v_{1}:=F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$. By (4.3), $v_{2}:=F\left(10^{t_{1}+1}\right) \sim F\left(10^{t_{0}+1}\right)$, and moving horizontally to the right, $v_{i+1}:=F\left(10^{t_{1}+i}\right) \sim F\left(10^{t_{0}+i}\right)$, $i \geq 2$. Moreover, none of $F\left(10^{t_{0}+i}\right)$ will be equivalent to $v_{0}$, for this would violate the way we have picked $t_{0}$. If $v_{2} \sim v_{0}$ we are done, for then $l$ will not be the least integer. Observe that there are only finitely many vertices; therefore, there must be $v_{i} \nsim v_{0}, 2 \leq i<p$, and $v_{p} \sim v_{0}$. Applying the same reasoning, we deduce that again $l$ is not the least integer.
Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 imply the following.
Corollary 4.5 Let $X(S)$ be a sofic $S$-gap shift with the Fischer cover $\mathcal{G}=(G, \mathcal{L})$. Then any state of $M_{G}$ has the same number of vertices of $G$.

## AHMADI DASTJERDI and JANGJOOYE SHALDEHI/Turk J Math

When $|S|<\infty$, there may be cases with $M_{G} \not \approx G$. The difference with $|S|=\infty$ is that for $|S|<\infty$, the last vertex $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ always has out-degree one with label 1 , while for $|S|=\infty$, the label of the edge starting from the vertex with out-degree one is 0 .

Now let $X$ be a sofic shift with the Fischer cover $\mathcal{G}=(G, \mathcal{L})$. By definition, $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$ is then right-resolving, and it is also almost invertible [14, Proposition 9.1.6]. Thus:

Lemma 4.6 Suppose $X$ and $Y$ are sofic with Fischer covers $\mathcal{G}_{X}=\left(G_{X}, \mathcal{L}_{X}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{Y}=\left(G_{Y}, \mathcal{L}_{Y}\right)$ respectively and such that $G_{X} \cong G_{Y}$. Then $X$ and $Y$ will be right-resolving almost conjugate with legs $\mathcal{L}_{X \infty}: W \rightarrow X$ and $\mathcal{L}_{Y \infty}: W \rightarrow Y$ where $W$ is SFT such that $W \cong G_{X} \cong G_{Y}$.

Theorem 4.7 Let $X_{\beta}$ be a sofic $\beta$-shift for $\beta \in(1,2]$. Then there is $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $X_{\beta}$ and $X(S)$ are right-resolving almost conjugate. The set $S$ will be explicitly determined in terms of coefficients of $1_{\beta}$. Moreover, if $X_{\beta}$ is SFT, then $X(S)$ can be chosen to be conjugate to $X_{\beta}$.

Proof For a given sofic $\beta$-shift, $\beta \in(1,2]$, we claim that there is $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that the $S$-gap shift $X(S)$ and $X_{\beta}$ have the same underlying graph for their Fischer covers. By Lemma 4.6, $X_{\beta}$ and $X(S)$ will then be right-resolving almost conjugate.

Let $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\left(a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p}\right)^{\infty}$ and $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}\right\} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ where $a_{i_{v}}=1$ for $1 \leq v \leq t$. Note that $i_{1}$ is always 1. Similarly, let $\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{u}\right\} \subseteq\{n+1, \ldots, n+p\}$ where $a_{j_{w}}=1$ for $1 \leq w \leq u$. We consider two cases:

1. $X_{\beta}$ is SFT. In this case, $\left(a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p}\right)^{\infty}=0^{\infty}$ and $a_{n}=1$, so $i_{t}=n$ and $X(S)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left\{0, i_{2}-1, \ldots, i_{t-1}-1, i_{t}-1\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the required $S$-gap shift, as has been claimed. Since both $X_{\beta}$ and $X(S)$ are SFT with the same underlying graph $G$ for their Fischer covers, they are both conjugate to $X_{G}$ [14, Theorem 3.4.17], and so conjugate to each other.
2. $X_{\beta}$ is strictly sofic. Then $\left(a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p}\right)^{\infty} \neq 0^{\infty}$. Relabel any edge on $G_{\beta}$ ending at the first vertex for 1 and other edges for 0 . The shift space corresponding to this labeling is an $S$-gap shift where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left\{0, i_{2}-1, \ldots, i_{t}-1, j_{1}-1, \ldots, j_{u}-1, j_{1}+p-1, \ldots\right\} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(observe that then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(S)=\left\{0, i_{2}-1, \ldots, i_{t}-i_{t-1}, j_{1}-i_{t}, \overline{j_{2}-j_{1}, \ldots, j_{u}-j_{u-1}, j_{1}-j_{u}+p}\right\} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $X(S)$ is sofic [3, Theorem 3.4]).
Rewrite $\Delta(S)$ in (4.6) as

$$
\Delta(S)=\left\{0, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{t}, \overline{g_{0}, \ldots, g_{u-1}}\right\}
$$

We claim that $\mathcal{G}_{S}=\left(G_{S}, \mathcal{L}_{S}\right)$ is follower-separated. Otherwise, there are two cases.
(a) There is $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $d_{t+1-j}=g_{u-j}, 1 \leq j \leq i$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}=\left(G_{\beta}, \mathcal{L}_{\beta}\right)$ is not follower-separated and so it is not the Fischer cover of $X_{\beta}$, which is absurd.
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(b) $g_{0} g_{2} \cdots g_{u-1}$ is not primitive. This implies that $a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p}$ is not primitive, which is again absurd.

This establishes the claim and $S$ is completely determined.

Now the following is immediate.
Corollary 4.8 Let $X_{\beta}$ be a sofic $\beta$-shift whose underlying graph of its Fischer cover is $G$. Then $M_{G} \cong G$.
Proof Suppose $M_{G} \not \approx G$. For this $X_{\beta}$, find the $S$-gap shift satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 4.7. Then by Theorem 4.4, this $X(S)$ (as well as our $X_{\beta}$ ) must be SFT and $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is not primitive. However, this will not allow us to have (3.3), which is a necessary condition.

Lemma 4.9 Let $|S|=\infty$ and let $X(S)$ be a sofic shift satisfying (1a) in Subsection 3.1. Then there does not exist any $\beta$-shift being right-resolving finite equivalent with $X(S)$.
Proof Suppose there is some $\beta \in(1,2]$ such that $X(S)$ and $X_{\beta}$ are right-resolving finite equivalent and $\mathcal{G}_{S}=\left(G_{S}, \mathcal{L}_{S}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}=\left(G_{\beta}, \mathcal{L}_{\beta}\right)$ are the Fischer covers of $X(S)$ and $X_{\beta}$, respectively. By Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 4.8, $G_{S} \cong G_{\beta}$. Then $G_{\beta}$ is the underlying graph of $\mathcal{G}_{S}$ and $1_{\beta}=\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)^{\infty}$.

Now by hypothesis, $g_{l-1}=1$, so $1 \notin S$ and this implies that $a_{2}=0$ while $a_{1}=a_{n}=1$. This means $\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)^{\infty}$ does not satisfy (3.3), and we are done.
Let $X(S)$ be an $S$-gap shift where $s_{0}=0$ and $d_{i}=s_{i}-s_{i-1}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ and also $\mathcal{D}(S)$ as (4.2). Define

$$
d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} \cdots=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\left(d_{1} d_{2} \cdots\left(d_{k-1}+1\right)\right)^{\mathbb{N}}=(\mathcal{D}(S))^{\mathbb{N}}, & |S|=k  \tag{4.7}\\
d_{1} d_{2} \cdots, & |S|=\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 4.10 Suppose $X(S)$ is a sofic shift where $s_{0}=0$. Then $X(S)$ is right-resolving almost conjugate to a $\beta$-shift if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n} d_{n+1} \cdots \geq d_{1} d_{2} \cdots \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$.
Proof Let $\beta \in(1,2]$ with $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots$ be so that $X(S)$ and $X_{\beta}$ are right-resolving almost conjugate. This means they are right-resolving finite equivalent. First suppose $M_{G_{S}} \cong G_{S}$. By Corollary $4.8, G_{S} \cong G_{\beta}$ and so (4.8) follows from the fact that $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots$ satisfies (3.3).

If $M_{G_{S}} \not \not G_{S}$, then by Theorems 4.3 and $4.4,|S|<\infty$. Thus, $X_{\beta}$ is right-resolving finite equivalent to $X\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ with $S^{\prime}=\left\{0, s_{1}, \ldots,\left(s_{q}-1\right)\right\}$ and $\mathcal{D}(S)=\mathcal{D}\left(S^{\prime}\right)^{m}$ where $m=\frac{|S|-1}{q}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Moreover, $M_{G_{S^{\prime}}} \cong G_{S^{\prime}}$, which gives again $d_{n}^{\prime} d_{n+1}^{\prime} \cdots \geq d_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}^{\prime} \cdots$ for all $n \geq 1$. Now this fact reflects to $\mathcal{D}(S)$ and (4.8) holds.

To prove the sufficiency, suppose that $\mathcal{G}_{S}=\left(G_{S}, \mathcal{L}_{S}\right)$ is the Fischer cover of $X(S)$ and $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{V}\left(G_{S}\right)$ the set of vertices of $G_{S}$. Relabel $G_{S}$ by labeling 0 any edge terminating at vertex $F(1)$ and any edge whose initial vertex has out-degree 1, and assign 1 all other edges.

Recall that we have lined up the vertices horizontally from $F(1)$ on the left to $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ on the right. First let $|S|<\infty$ and $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n(S)}$ be the assigned label of the horizontal path from $F(1)$ to the last vertex
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with $a_{i}=0$ or 1 as determined above. Then (4.8) implies that $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n(S)} 1$ is the $\beta$-expansion of 1 for some $\beta \in(1,2]$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$ is the Fischer cover of $X_{\beta}$.

When $|S|=\infty$, assign the label $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n(S)}$ to the horizontal path from $F(1)$ to the last vertex and label $a_{n(S)+1}$ to the edge starting from $F\left(10^{n(S)}\right)$ and terminating at $F\left(10^{n(S)+1}\right)$. Again, (4.8) implies that $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\left(a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n(S)+1}\right)^{\infty}$ is the $\beta$-expansion of 1 for some $\beta \in(1,2]$ where the index $n$ depends on $S$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$ is the Fischer cover of $X_{\beta}$ (one needs similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.7 to see this fact). Thus, Lemma 4.6 implies that $X(S)$ and $X_{\beta}$ are right-resolving almost conjugate.

Remark $4.11 X_{\beta}$ can be explicitly determined in terms of $S$. If $S=\left\{0, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k-1}\right\}$, then it is sufficient to set $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s_{k-1}+1}$ such that $a_{1}=a_{s_{i}+1}=1,1 \leq i \leq k-1$. When $|S|=\infty$, different cases of Subsection 3.1 must be considered. Case (1a) has been ruled out by Lemma 4.9, so other cases will be considered.
(1b) If $k=1$ and $g_{l-1}>1$, then $F\left(10^{g}\right)=F(1)$, so $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{g}$ such that $a_{s_{i}+1}=1,0 \leq i \leq l-1$.
(2) If $k \neq 1$ and $g_{l-1}>d_{k-1}$, then $F\left(10^{g+s_{k-2}+1}\right)=F\left(10^{s_{k-2}+1}\right)$, so $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s_{k-2}+1}\left(a_{s_{k-2}+2} \cdots\right.$ $\left.a_{g+s_{k-2}+1}\right)^{\infty}$ for which $a_{s_{i}+1}=1,0 \leq i \leq k+l-2$.
(3) If $g_{l-1} \leq d_{k-1}$, then $F\left(10^{s_{k+l-2}+1}\right)=F\left(10^{s_{k-1}-g_{l-1}+1}\right)$, so

$$
1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s_{k-1}-g_{l-1}+1}\left(a_{s_{k-1}-g_{l-1}+2} \cdots a_{s_{k+l-2}+1}\right)^{\infty}
$$

for which $a_{s_{i}+1}=1,0 \leq i \leq k+l-2$ and $a_{s_{k+l-2}+1}=1$.
Now we show that the conclusion of Theorem 4.7 about conjugacy is not true in non-SFT cases. Recall that when $X$ is a shift space with nonwandering part $R(X)$, we can consider the shift space

$$
\partial X=\{x \in R(X): x \text { contains no words that are synchronizing for } R(X)\}
$$

which is called the derived shift space of $X$. The derived shift space is a conjugacy invariant.
Theorem 4.12 A non-SFT $\beta$-shift is not conjugate to a $S$-gap shift for any $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
Proof All the $S$-gap shifts are synchronized; therefore, a possible conjugacy happens between synchronized $\beta$ and $S$-gap shifts and so we assume that our non-SFT $\beta$-shift is synchronized.

Suppose that there is $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that $\varphi: X(S) \rightarrow X_{\beta}$ is a conjugacy map. By [19, Proposition 4.5], we then must have $\varphi(\partial X(S))=\partial X_{\beta}$. Since 1 is a synchronizing word for any $S$-gap shift, and $X(S)$ is not SFT, $\partial X(S)=\left\{0^{\infty}\right\}$ (for a SFT $S$-gap shift, $\partial X(S)=\emptyset$ ). To prove the theorem, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\left\{0^{\infty}\right\}\right) \neq \partial X_{\beta} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the $\omega$-limit set of the sequence $1_{\beta}$ under the shift map is the derived shift space $\partial X_{\beta}$ of $X_{\beta}$ [20, Theorem 2.8]. First assume that $X_{\beta}$ has the specification property. There then exists some $n \geq 0$ such that $0^{n}$ is not a factor of $1_{\beta}$ [5], so $0^{n}$ is a synchronizing word for $X_{\beta}$ [5, Proposition 2.5.2] and $0^{\infty} \notin \partial X_{\beta}$. Therefore, $\partial X_{\beta} \cap P_{1}\left(X_{\beta}\right)=\emptyset\left(P_{1}\left(X_{\beta}\right)\right.$ denotes the set of fixed points for $\left.X_{\beta}\right)$ while $\varphi\left(0^{\infty}\right) \in P_{1}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(0^{\infty}\right) \in \varphi(\partial X(S))=\partial X_{\beta}$, and (4.9) holds.

If $X_{\beta}$ does not have specification, then $\left\{0^{\infty}, 10^{\infty}\right\} \subseteq \omega\left(1_{\beta}\right)=\partial X_{\beta}$ and again (4.9) holds.
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Corollary 4.13 Let $X_{\beta}$ be SFT and $X\left(S_{0}\right)$ the unique $S$-gap shift conjugate to $X_{\beta}$ (Theorem 4.7). Then $X_{\beta}$ $i s$ :

1. right-resolving almost conjugate to $X\left(S_{0}\right)$,
2. right-resolving finite equivalent to infinitely many $S$-gap shifts $\left(X\left(S_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\mathcal{D}\left(S_{n}\right)=\left(\mathcal{D}\left(S_{0}\right)\right)^{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
3. right-resolving almost conjugate to a unique strictly sofic $S$-gap shift.

If $X_{\beta}$ is strictly sofic, then it is right-resolving almost conjugate to a unique $S$-gap shift.
Proof Let $X_{\beta}$ be SFT and let $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n-1} a_{n}$ and

$$
\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}\right\} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}
$$

where $a_{i_{j}}=1,1 \leq j \leq t$. We will relabel the Fischer cover of $X_{\beta}$ for possible presentation of a $S$-gap shift.
One of such SFT $S$-gap shifts is $X\left(S_{0}\right)$, characterized in the proof of Theorem 4.7. By that theorem, $X_{\beta}$ and $X\left(S_{0}\right)$ are right-resolving almost conjugate and conjugate, which gives (1). For (2), relabel $\Delta\left(S_{0}\right)=$ $\left\{0, i_{2}-1, i_{3}-i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}-i_{t-1}\right\}$ as $\Delta\left(S_{0}\right)=\left\{0, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{t-1}\right\}$ and observe that $\mathcal{D}\left(S_{0}\right)=d_{1} \cdots d_{t-2}\left(d_{t-1}+1\right)$. Set

$$
S_{1}=\left(S_{0} \backslash\left\{i_{t}-1\right\}\right) \cup\left(i_{t}+S_{0}\right)
$$

Then $\mathcal{D}\left(S_{1}\right)=\left(\mathcal{D}\left(S_{0}\right)\right)^{2}$ is not primitive and we have $M_{G_{S_{1}}} \cong M_{G_{S_{0}}}$.
Now for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $s_{i_{j}}=\max \left\{s: s \in S_{j-1}\right\}$ and use an induction argument to see that for

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}=\left(S_{j-1} \backslash\left\{s_{i_{j}}\right\}\right) \cup\left(\left(s_{i_{j}}+1\right)+S_{0}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{D}\left(S_{j}\right)=\left(\mathcal{D}\left(S_{0}\right)\right)^{j+1}$ and $M_{G_{S_{j}}} \cong M_{G_{S_{0}}}$.
To prove (3), note that there is a strictly sofic $S$-gap shift with $k=1$ and $g_{l-1}>1$ as in Subsection 3.1 where $S=\left\{0, i_{2}-1, \ldots, i_{t-1}-1, i_{t}, i_{t}+i_{2}-1, \ldots\right\}$. The element $i_{t}$ appears in $S$ because the edge starting from the last vertex and terminating at the first vertex is labeled 0. In fact,

$$
\Delta(S)=\left\{0, \overline{i_{2}-1, i_{3}-i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t-1}-i_{t-2}, i_{t}-i_{t-1}+1}\right\}
$$

Hence, $X_{\beta}$ and $X(S)$ have the same underlying graph for their Fischer covers and, by Lemma 4.6, they are right-resolving almost conjugate.

If there is another $S$-gap shift such that $X_{\beta}$ and $X(S)$ are right-resolving finite equivalent, then $M_{G_{\beta}} \cong M_{G_{S}}$ and so $M_{G_{S_{0}}} \cong M_{G_{S}}$. Now Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 imply that $|S|<\infty$ and $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is not primitive, which in turn implies that $\mathcal{D}(S)=\left(\mathcal{D}\left(S_{0}\right)\right)^{m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $S=S_{m-1}$ as defined in (4.10).

Now suppose $X_{\beta}$ is strictly sofic. A typical Fischer cover of $X_{\beta}$ is shown in Figure 1. The existence of a loop in the first vertex from the left implies that it is the vertex $F(1)$ in the Fischer cover of the $S$-gap shift. By Fischer cover of $S$-gap shifts [2], there is only one $X(S)$ with Fischer cover as appears in Figure 1.
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### 4.2. Nonsofic case

Thus far for sofics, we have used Diagram (4.1) to get some equivalencies between a sofic $X_{\beta}$ and some $S$-gap shifts. However, the most considered equivalencies between two nonsofic subshifts are when they have a common extension with some nice properties and, in particular, when the legs are 1-1 a.e. This sort of equivalencies was considered by Fiebig in [8]. For instance, for two synchronized systems $X$ and $Y$, she proves that they have a common synchronized 1-1 a.e. extension if and only if $D(X)$ and $D(Y)$ are hyperbolic conjugate if and only if $D\left(X_{G_{X}}\right)$ and $D\left(Y_{G_{Y}}\right)$ are hyperbolic conjugate where $D(X)$ denotes the set of doubly transitive points in $X$. This hyperbolic conjugacy is automatically at hand when $G_{X}$ and $G_{Y}$ are isomorphic. This assertion motivates the following construction and definition.

Let $G_{\beta}$ be the underlying graph of the Fischer cover of $X_{\beta}, \beta \in(1,2]$ and $\alpha_{1}$ the starting vertex of $G_{\beta}$ (see Figure 2). Relabel $G_{\beta}$ by labeling 1 any edge terminating at vertex $\alpha_{1}$ and 0 all other edges to get an $S$-gap shift with the same underlying graph as $X_{\beta}$. Note that this relabeled graph is follower-separated for our $X(S)$ and is in fact the Fischer cover for $X(S)$.

Definition 4.14 We say that $X(S)$ is the corresponding $S$-gap shift to a $\beta$-shift and is denoted by $C O R R\left(X_{\beta}\right)$, $\beta \in(1,2]$ if $X(S)$ has the same underlying graph for its Fischer cover as $X_{\beta}$.

Similarly, for $X(S)$ satisfying (4.8), a unique $X_{\beta}$ exists such that $X_{\beta}$ has the same underlying graph for its Fischer cover as $X(S)$ and is denoted by $X_{\beta}=C O R R(X(S))$. This $X_{\beta}$ is called the corresponding $\beta$-shift to $X(S)$.

Remark $4.15 X_{\beta}$ and $\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ have all equivalencies given in Diagram (4.1) when they are both SFT and all except conjugacy when they are strictly sofic.

Theorem $4.16 h\left(X_{\beta}\right)=h\left(\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)\right), \beta \in(1,2]$.
Proof Entropy is an invariant for all the properties given in Diagram (4.1), so when $X_{\beta}$ is sofic, the proof is obvious (Theorem 4.7).

Now let $X_{\beta}$ be a nonsofic shift and let $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots$. We have $a_{i}=1$ if and only if $i-1 \in S$, but for $1_{\beta}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \beta^{-i}, h\left(X_{\beta}\right)=\log \beta$ and $h(X(S))=\log \lambda$ where $\lambda$ is a nonnegative solution of $\sum_{n \in S} x^{-(n+1)}=1$ [17], so $h\left(X_{\beta}\right)=h\left(\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)\right)$.
Since $G_{\beta}$ (resp. $G_{S}$ ) and $G_{\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)}$ (resp. $\left.G_{\operatorname{CORR}(X(S))}\right)$ are isomorphic, the presaid result in [8] implies that:

Theorem 4.17 1. A synchronized $X_{\beta}$ and $\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ have a common synchronized 1-1 a.e. extension.
2. Suppose $\operatorname{CORR}(X(S))$ is synchronized. Then $X(S)$ and $\operatorname{CORR}(X(S))$ have a common synchronized 1-1 a.e. extension if and only if (4.8) holds.

Now we look for some equivalencies for the nonsynchronized case. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two coded systems. Then there is a coded system $Z$ factoring onto $X$ and $Y$ with entropy-preserving maps if and only if $h(X)=h(Y)$. In particular, $Z$ can be chosen to be an almost Markov synchronized system [8, Theorem 2.1], so by Theorem 4.16 this is true for any $X=X_{\beta}$ and $Y=\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right), \beta \in(1,2]$. We thus have:
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Theorem 4.18 1. A $X_{\beta}$ and $C O R R\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ have a common almost Markov synchronized extension with entropy-preserving legs.
2. $A X(S)$ and $\operatorname{CORR}(X(S))$ have a common almost Markov synchronized extension with entropy-preserving legs if and only if (4.8) holds.

Now we investigate the frequency of corresponding $S$-gap shifts in the space of all $S$-gap shifts by using the topology of $S$-gap shifts given in [3]. This topology is obtained by assigning a real number $x_{S}=\left[d_{0} ; d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots\right]$, where $\left[d_{0} ; d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots\right]$ is the continued fraction expansion of $x_{S}$, to any $X(S)$ with $d_{0}=s_{0}$ and $d_{n}=s_{n}-s_{n-1}$. By that, a one-to-one correspondence between the $S$-gap shifts up to conjugacy and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{R} \geq 0 \backslash\left\{\frac{1}{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, up to homeomorphism, will be established and the subspace topology of $\mathcal{R}$ together with its measure structure will be induced on the space of all $S$-gap shifts.

Theorem 4.19 Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of all $S$-gap shifts corresponding to some $X_{\beta}$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is a Cantor dust ( $a$ nowhere dense perfect set) on the space of all $S$-gap shifts. Entropy is a complete invariant for the conjugacy classes of $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof First suppose $X(S)$ does not satisfy (4.8) and $x_{S}=\left[d_{0} ; d_{1}, \ldots\right]$ corresponds to $X(S)$ [3]. Let $N$ be the least integer such that $d_{N} d_{N+1} \cdots<d_{1} d_{2} \cdots$ and set $\gamma_{i}:=\left[d_{0} ; d_{1}, \ldots, d_{i}\right], i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. If $N$ is even, set $U=\left(\gamma_{N}, \gamma_{N+1}\right)$, and otherwise, $U=\left(\gamma_{N+1}, \gamma_{N}\right)$. Then no points of $U$ satisfy (4.8) and so none are corresponding $S$-gap shifts. This shows that $\mathcal{S}$ is closed.

Now let $X(S) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $V$ be a neighborhood of $x_{S}$. Note that two real numbers are close if sufficiently large numbers of their first partial quotients in their continued fraction expansion are equal. We can select two points $x_{S^{\prime}}, x_{S^{\prime \prime}} \in V$ such that $X\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies (4.8) and $X\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ does not satisfy (4.8). This implies that all points of $\mathcal{S}$ are limit points of themselves and $\mathcal{S}$ is nowhere dense.

The second part follows from the fact that the entropy is a complete invariant for the conjugacy classes of $\beta$-shifts.

## 5. Applications

By [11, Theorem 4.22], for every $\beta>1$ there exists $1<\beta^{\prime}<2$ such that $X_{\beta}$ and $X_{\beta^{\prime}}$ are flow equivalent. However, any two flow equivalent shift spaces have the same Bowen-Franks groups. Therefore, by Theorem 4.7 and [2, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2], which gives a complete account of the Bowen-Franks groups of sofic $S$-gap shifts, we also have a complete characterization of such groups for sofic $\beta$-gap shifts for $\beta>1$.

An adjacency matrix for a sofic shift can be read from the underlying graph of its Fischer cover. Thus, the adjacency matrix of a $X_{\beta}$ and its right-resolving almost-conjugate $X(S)$ are the same by Theorem 4.7. We already have a formula for the characteristic polynomial of a sofic $S$-gap shift from [2, Theorem 2.2] and hence for a sofic $X_{\beta}$ as well.

For a dynamical system $(X, T)$, let $p_{n}$ be the number of periodic points in $X$ having period $n$. When $p_{n}<\infty$, the zeta function $\zeta_{T}(t)$ is defined as

$$
\zeta_{T}(t)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n}}{n} t^{n}\right)
$$
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The zeta functions of $\beta$-shifts have been determined in [10]. Here we will give the zeta function of $\zeta_{\sigma_{\beta}}$ in terms of $\zeta_{\sigma_{S}}$ where $X(S)=\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$.

Let $X_{\beta}$ be sofic and $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\left(a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p}\right)^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\left\{i_{1}=1, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{t}\right\} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, \quad \text { and }\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{u}\right\} \subseteq\{n+1, \ldots, n+p\}
$$

where $a_{i_{v}}=a_{j_{w}}=1$ for $1 \leq v \leq t, 1 \leq w \leq u$. Now we have the following.
Theorem 5.1 Let $X(S)=C O R R\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ for some $\beta \in(1,2]$. If $X_{\beta}$ is SFT, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\sigma_{\beta}}(r)=\zeta_{\sigma_{S}}(r) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X_{\beta}$ is not SFT, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\sigma_{\beta}}(r)=(1-r) \zeta_{\sigma_{S}}(r) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, in the case of SFT,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\sigma_{\beta}}(r)=\frac{1}{1-r^{i_{1}}-r^{i_{2}}-\cdots-r^{i_{t}}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for strictly sofic cases,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\sigma_{\beta}}(r)=\frac{1}{\left(1-r^{i_{1}}-r^{i_{2}}-\cdots-r^{i_{t}}\right)\left(1-r^{p}\right)-\left(r^{j_{1}}+\cdots+r^{j_{u}}\right)} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof First let $X_{\beta}$ be an SFT shift. Also let $S=\left\{0, i_{2}-1, \ldots, i_{t-1}-1, i_{t}-1\right\}$; then by Theorem (4.7), $X(S)=\operatorname{CORR}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$. Since $X_{\beta}$ and $X(S)$ are conjugate, they have the same zeta function, that is:

$$
\zeta_{\sigma_{\beta}}(r)=\frac{1}{f_{S}\left(r^{-1}\right)}=\frac{1}{1-r^{i_{1}}-r^{i_{2}}-\cdots-r^{i_{t}}}
$$

where $f_{S}(x)=1-\sum_{s_{n} \in S} \frac{1}{x^{s_{n}+1}}$ [2, Theorem 2.3].
Now suppose $X_{\beta}$ is a strictly sofic shift and let $1_{\beta}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\left(a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p}\right)^{\infty}$. An arbitrary periodic point $x \in X_{\beta}$ has one presentation in $\mathcal{G}_{\beta}$ unless

$$
x=\left(a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p}\right)^{\infty}
$$

where then it has exactly two presentations. This fact can be deduced from the proof of [11, Proposition 4.7]. Thus, if $m=p k(k \in \mathbb{N})$, then every point in $X_{\beta}$ of period $m$ is the image of exactly one point in $X_{G_{\beta}}$ of the same period, except $p$ points in the cycle of $\left(a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+p}\right)^{\infty}$, which are the image of two points of period $m$. As a result, $p_{m}\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{G}_{\beta}}\right)=p_{m}\left(\sigma_{G_{\beta}}\right)-p$ where $p_{m}=\left|P_{m}\right|$ and $P_{m}$ is the set of periodic points of period $m$. When $p$ does not divide $m, p_{m}\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{G}_{\beta}}\right)=p_{m}\left(\sigma_{G_{\beta}}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\sigma_{\beta}}(r) & =\exp \left(\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
p l m}}^{\infty} \frac{p_{m}\left(\sigma_{G_{\beta}}\right)}{m} r^{m}+\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
p \mid m}}^{\infty} \frac{p_{m}\left(\sigma_{G_{\beta}}\right)-p}{m} r^{m}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{m}\left(\sigma_{G_{\beta}}\right)}{m} r^{m}-p \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\
p \mid m}}^{\infty} \frac{r^{m}}{m}\right) \\
& =\zeta_{\sigma_{G_{\beta}}}(r) \times\left(1-r^{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

However, $G_{\beta} \cong G_{S}$ for $S$ as in (4.5). Therefore, by [2, Theorem 2.3],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\sigma_{G_{\beta}}}(r) & =\frac{1}{\left(1-r^{p}\right) f_{S}\left(r^{-1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(1-r^{i_{1}}-r^{i_{2}}-\cdots-r^{i_{t}}\right)\left(1-r^{p}\right)-\left(r^{j_{1}}+\cdots+r^{j_{u}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to consider the case when $X_{\beta}$ is not sofic. We claim that $P_{n}(X(S))=P_{n}\left(X_{\beta}\right)+1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Observe that one may assume that the initial vertex of $\pi$, a cycle in the graph of $G_{\beta}$, is $\alpha_{1}$ as in Figure 2. Now let $x=v^{\infty} \in P_{n}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$ with $v=v_{1} \cdots v_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}\left(X_{\beta}\right)$. Pick $\pi_{\beta}$ a cycle in $G_{\beta}$ such that $v=\mathcal{L}_{\beta}\left(\pi_{\beta}\right)$ and set $\pi_{S}$ to be the associated cycle to $\pi_{\beta}$ in $G_{S}$, and let $w=\mathcal{L}_{S}\left(\pi_{S}\right)$. Then $w^{\infty} \in P_{n}(X(S))$. Now define $\varphi_{n}: P_{n}\left(X_{\beta}\right) \backslash P_{1}\left(X_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow P_{n}(X(S)) \backslash P_{1}(X(S))$ for all $n \geq 2$ such that $\varphi_{n}\left(v^{\infty}\right)=w^{\infty}$. Clearly, $\varphi_{n}$ is well-defined. It is also one-to-one; otherwise, for $w^{\infty} \in P_{n}(X(S))$, there are two different cycles $\pi_{S}$ and $\gamma_{S}$ such that $w=\mathcal{L}_{S}\left(\pi_{S}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{S}\left(\gamma_{S}\right)$.

However, any 1 in $w$ is characterized by one passing of $\pi_{S}$ and $\gamma_{S}$ through $\alpha_{1}$, so $\pi_{S}=\gamma_{S}$ and $\varphi_{n}$ is one-to-one. Now the claim follows by the fact that $P_{1}\left(X_{\beta}\right)=\left\{0^{\infty}\right\}$ and $P_{1}(X(S))=\left\{0^{\infty}, 1^{\infty}\right\}$. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\sigma_{S}}(r) & =\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{m}\left(\sigma_{G_{S}}\right)}{m} r^{m}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{m}\left(\sigma_{G_{\beta}}\right)+1}{m} r^{m}\right)=\zeta_{\sigma_{G_{\beta}}}(r) \times \frac{1}{1-r}
\end{aligned}
$$
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