

Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Research Article

An extension of Cline's formula for a generalized Drazin inverse

Haifeng LIAN, Qingping ZENG*

College of Computer and Information Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, P.R. China

| Received: 02.05.2015 | • | Accepted/Published Online: 08.08.2015 | • | Final Version: 01.01.2016 |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | | | | |

Abstract: In this note we give an answer to a question recently posed by Zeng and Zhong, to note that Cline's formula for a generalized Drazin inverse extends to the case when aba = aca. Cline's formula for a pseudo Drazin inverse is also presented in this case.

Key words: Cline's formula, generalized Drazin inverse, pseudo Drazin inverse, quasinilpotent

1. Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with identity 1, and R^{-1} and J(R) denote, respectively, *invertible group* and *Jacobson radical* of R. For $a \in R$, the *commutant* and *double commutant* of a are defined by

$$comm(a) = \{x \in R, ax = xa\}$$

and

$$comm^2(a) = \{x \in R, xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in comm(a)\}.$$

Drazin [3] introduced the notion of a Drazin inverse in a ring in 1958. An element $a \in R$ is said to be *Drazin* invertible if there exist $b \in R$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$b \in comm(a), \ bab = b \ and \ a^k ba = a^k.$$

In this case b is unique if it exists and is called a *Drazin inverse* of a, denoted by $b = a^D$, and the least nonnegative integer k satisfying $a^k b a^k = a^k$ is called the *Drazin index* i(a) of a. According to Drazin [[3], Theorem 1], $a^D \in comm^2(a)$.

Following Harte [4], an element $a \in R$ is said to be *quasinilpotent* if 1 + ax is invertible for all $x \in comm(a)$. Using this concept, Koliha and Patrício [6] introduced the notion of a generalized Drazin inverse in a ring in 2002. An element $a \in R$ is said to be *generalized Drazin invertible* if there exists $b \in R$ such that

 $b \in comm^2(a), \ bab = b \ and \ aba - a \ is \ quasinilpotent.$ (1.1)

^{*}Correspondence: zqpping2003@163.com

This work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11401097, 11171066, 11201071, 11301077, 11301078, 11302052).

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A09, 16N20.

In this case b is unique if it exists and is called a generalized Drazin inverse of a, denoted by $b = a^{gD}$. Moreover, in the Banach algebra case, the condition $b \in comm^2(a)$ in (1.1) can be weaken as $b \in comm(a)$ (see [[5], Theorem 4.4]).

In 2012, Wang and Chen [9] introduced an intermedium between Drazin inverse and generalized Drazin inverse. An element $a \in R$ is said to be *pseudo Drazin invertible* if there exist $b \in R$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$b \in comm^2(a), \ bab = b \ and \ a^k ba - a^k \in J(R).$$
 (1.2)

In this case b is unique if it exists and is called a pseudo Drazin inverse of a, denoted by $b = a^{pD}$, and the least nonnegative integer k satisfying $a^kba - a^k \in J(R)$ is called the pseudo Drazin index i(a) of a. Moreover, in the Banach algebra case, the condition $b \in comm^2(a)$ in (1.2) can be weakened as $b \in comm(a)$ (see [[9], Remark 5.1]).

In 1965, Cline [1] showed that if ab is Drazin invertible then so is ba and in this case

$$(ba)^D = b((ab)^D)^2 a.$$

This equation is now known as Cline's formula. It plays an important role in finding the Drazin inverse of a sum of two elements and that of a block matrix (see [8]). Generalizations of Cline's formula for generalized Drazin inverse and pseudo Drazin inverse were recently proved in [7] and [9], respectively. Their proof relied on the bridge "quasipolar" and "pseudopolar", respectively.

As extensions of Jacobson's lemma, in 2013 Corach et al. [2]] firstly investigated common properties of ac - 1 and ba - 1 in the algebraic viewpoint and also obtained some interesting topological analogues under the assumption

$$aba = aca,$$

where $a, b, c \in R$. Recently, Zeng and Zhong [10] extended Cline's formula for the Drazin inverse in a ring to the case when aba = aca. However, Cline's formula for a generalized Drazin inverse in this case was established only in the setting of Banach algebra, and in a ring it left open at that time.

In this note, we establish Cline's formula for the generalized Drazin inverse in a ring in the case when aba = aca, answering a question posed in [[10], Question 2.15]. We also present Cline's formula for the pseudo Drazin inverse in this case. The proofs for the general case are more direct and slightly more technical than those in [7] and/or [9] for the special case b = c.

2. Main results

We start with the following well-known Jacobson's lemma.

Lemma 2.1 (Jacobson's lemma) Let $a, b \in R$. Then

1 + ab is invertible $\iff 1 + ba$ is invertible.

In this case, we have $(1 + ba)^{-1} = 1 - b(1 + ab)^{-1}a$.

Lemma 2.2 Suppose that $a, b, c \in R$ satisfy aba = aca. Then

ac is quasinilpotent \iff ba is quasinilpotent.

Proof Suppose that ac is quasinilpotent. Then for all $x \in comm(ac)$, 1+xac is invertible. Let $y \in comm(ba)$. Since

$$(ay^{3}bac)(ac) = (ay^{3}bab)(ac) = (abay^{3}b)(ac) = (ac)(ay^{3}bac)$$

 $1 + (ay^3bac)(ac)$ is invertible. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(1+yba)(1-yba+y^2baba) = 1+y^3bababa = 1+y^3bacaca$$

is invertible. Noting that 1+yba commutes with $1-yba+y^2baba$, we see that 1+yba is invertible. Consequently, ba is quasinilpotent.

For the special case "b = c", the previous paragraph shows that if ab is quasinilpotent, then ba is quasinilpotent. Then interchanging a and b, we find an equivalence statement: ab is quasinilpotent if and only if ba is quasinilpotent, for any $a, b \in R$.

Now suppose that ba is quasinilpotent. Then ab is quasinilpotent. Interchanging b and c in the first paragraph of this proof, we infer that ca is quasinilpotent. Therefore, ac is quasinilpotent.

We are now ready to answer Question 2.15 in [10] affirmatively.

Theorem 2.3 Suppose that $a, b, c \in R$ satisfy aba = aca. Then

ac is generalized Drazin invertible \iff ba is generalized Drazin invertible.

In this case, we have $(ba)^{gD} = b((ac)^{gD})^2 a$ and $(ac)^{gD} = a((ba)^{gD})^2 c$.

Proof Suppose that *ac* is generalized Drazin invertible and let $d = (ac)^{gD}$. Then

$$d \in comm^2(ac), \ d(ac)d = d \text{ and } (ac)d(ac) - ac \text{ is quasinilpotent}.$$

Put

$$e = bd^2a$$

In order to prove that $e = (ba)^{gD}$, it needs to be shown that

(i)
$$e \in comm^2(ba)$$
, (ii) $e(ba)e = e$ and (iii) $(ba)e(ba) - ba$ is quasinilpotent.

(i) Let $f \in comm(ba)$. Then we have

$$fe = fbd^2a = fb(acacd^4)a = fbabacd^4a = babafcd^4a = b(acafc)d^4a.$$
(2.1)

Since

$$ac(acafc) = ababafc = afbabac = afbacac = abafcac = (acafc)ac$$

and $d \in comm^2(ac)$,

$$(acafc)d = d(acafc). \tag{2.2}$$

Therefore, putting (2.2) into (2.1), we get

$$fe = b(acafc)d^{4}a = bd^{4}(acafc)a$$
$$= bd^{4}abafca = bd^{4}afbaca$$
$$= bd^{4}afbaba = bd^{4}ababaf$$
$$= bd^{4}acacaf = bd^{2}af$$
$$= ef.$$

(ii) We have
$$e(ba)e = bd^2a(ba)bd^2a = bd^2ababacd^3a = bd^2(ac)^3d^3a = bd^2a = e^{-b^2ababacd^3a}$$

(iii) Let p = 1 - acd. Then pac is quasinilpotent. Note that

$$ba - (ba)^2 e = ba - bababd^2 a = ba - bababacd^2 da$$

= $ba - bacacacd^2 da = b(1 - acd)a$
= bpa .

Since abpa = ab(1 - acd)a = ac(1 - acd)a = acpa, (pa)b(pa) = (pa)c(pa). Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $ba - (ba)^2 e$ is quasinilpotent. Consequently,

$$(ba)^{gD} = b((ac)^{gD})^2 a.$$
 (2.3)

For the special case "b = c", (2.3) shows that

$$(ba)^{gD} = b((ab)^{gD})^2 a$$
 and $(ca)^{gD} = c((ac)^{gD})^2 a$,

for any $a, b, c \in R$. Then interchanging a and b, and a and c in the above formulae, we find that

$$(ab)^{gD} = a((ba)^{gD})^2 b$$
 and $(ac)^{gD} = a((ca)^{gD})^2 c.$ (2.4)

Now interchanging b and c in (2.3), we infer that

$$(ca)^{gD} = c((ab)^{gD})^2 a.$$
 (2.5)

Therefore, taking (2.4) and (2.5) together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (ac)^{gD} &= a((ca)^{gD})^2 c = ac((ab)^{gD})^2 ac((ab)^{gD})^2 ac \\ &= aca((ba)^{gD})^2 ba((ba)^{gD})^2 baca((ba)^{gD})^2 ba((ba)^{gD})^2 bac \\ &= a((ba)^{gD})^2 c. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4 Suppose that $a, b, c \in R$ satisfy aba = aca. Then

ac is pseudo Drazin invertible \iff ba is pseudo Drazin invertible.

In this case, we have

(1)
$$|i(ac) - i(ba)| \le 1$$
;
(2) $(ba)^{pD} = b((ac)^{pD})^2 a$ and $(ac)^{pD} = a((ba)^{pD})^2 c$.

Proof Let d be the pseudo Drazin inverse of ac and let k = i(ac). Then

$$d \in comm^2(ac), \ d(ac)d = d \text{ and } (ac)^k d(ac) - (ac)^k \in J(R).$$

 Put

$$e = bd^2a.$$

164

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we get $e \in comm^2(ba)$ and e(ba)e = e. Moreover, since $(ac)^k d(ac) - (ac)^k \in J(R)$,

$$(ba)^{k+1}e(ba) - (ba)^{k+1} = (ba)^{k+1}bd^2aba - (ba)^{k+1}$$

= $(ba)^{k+1}bd^2aca - b(ac)^ka$
= $(ba)^{k+1}bacd^2a - b(ac)^ka$
= $b(ac)^{k+1}acd^2a - b(ac)^ka$
= $b(ac)^{k+1}da - b(ac)^ka$
= $b((ac)^kd(ac) - (ac)^k)a \in J(R).$

Therefore, ba is pseudo Drazin invertible, $(ba)^{pD} = b((ac)^{pD})^2 a$, and $i(ba) \leq i(ac) + 1$.

By similar arguments as above, one can show that if ba is pseudo Drazin invertible, then ac is pseudo Drazin invertible, $(ac)^{pD} = a((ba)^{pD})^2c$, and $i(ac) \leq i(ba) + 1$.

References

- Cline RE. An application of representation for the generalized inverse of a matrix. MRC Technical Report 592, 1965.
- [2] Corach G, Duggal BP, Harte RE. Extensions of Jacobson's lemma. Commun Algebra 2013; 41: 520–531.
- [3] Drazin MP. Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups. Am Math Mon 1958; 65: 506–514.
- [4] Harte RE. On quasinilpotents in rings. Panamer Math J 1991; 1: 10–16.
- [5] Koliha JJ. A generalized Drazin inverse. Glasgow Math J 1996; 38: 367–381.
- [6] Koliha JJ, Patrício P. Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents. J Aust Math Soc 2002; 72: 137–152.
- [7] Liao YH, Chen JL, Cui J. Cline's formula for the generalized Drazin inverse. B Malays Math Sci So (2) 2014; 37: 37–42.
- [8] Patrício P, Hartwig RE. Some additive results on Drazin inverses. Appl Math Comput 2009; 215: 530–538.
- [9] Wang Z, Chen JL. Pseudo Drazin inverses in associative rings and Banach algebras. Linear Algebra Appl 2012; 437: 1332–1345.
- [10] Zeng QP, Zhong HJ. New results on common properties of the products AC and BA. J Math Anal Appl 2015; 427: 830–840.