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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new integral transform ${ }_{q} \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}$, which is the $q$-analogue of the $\mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}$-transform and can be regarded as a $q$-extension of the $\mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}$-transform. Some identities involving ${ }_{q} L_{2}$-transfom, ${ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}$-transfom, and $\mathcal{P}_{q}$-transform are given. By making use of these identities and ${ }_{q} \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}$-transform, a new Parseval-Goldstein type theorem is obtained. Some examples are also given as an illustration of the main results presented here.
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## 1. Introduction

The $q$-derivative $D_{q} f$ of a function $f$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{q} f\right)(z)=\frac{f(z)-f(q z)}{(1-q) z}, \quad z \neq 0, \quad\left(D_{q} f\right)(0)=f^{\prime}(0) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $f^{\prime}(0)$ exists. If $f$ is differentiable then $D_{q} f(z)$ tends to $f^{\prime}(z)$ as $q \rightarrow 1^{-}$.
Recall that the $q$-derivative of two product functions states

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}[f(z) g(z)]=g(z) D_{q} f(z)+f(z q) D_{q} g(z)=f(z) D_{q} g(z)+g(z q) D_{q} f(z) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jackson $q$-integral in a generic interval $[a, b]$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d_{q} t=\int_{0}^{b} f(t) d_{q} t-\int_{0}^{a} f(t) d_{q} t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} f(x) d_{q} x=a(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n} f\left(q^{n} a\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The improper integral is defined in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\frac{\infty}{A}} f(x) d_{q} x=(1-q) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n}}{A} f\left(\frac{q^{n}}{A}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]As a result of the last formula, one has the following reciprocity relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) d_{q} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2}} f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) d_{q} x \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-integration by parts is given for suitable functions $f$ and $g$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) D_{q} g(t) d_{q} t=f(b) g(b)-f(a) g(a)-\int_{a}^{b} g(t q) D_{q} f(t) d_{q} t \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-analogue of the integration theorem by change of variable is given when $u(z)=\alpha z^{\beta}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\beta>0$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u(a)}^{u(b)} f(u) d_{q} u=\int_{a}^{b} f(u(z)) D_{q^{\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}}}} u(z) d_{q^{\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}}}} z . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-gamma function is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{q}(a)=\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{1-q}} t^{a-1} E_{q}((1-q) q t) d_{q} t=\int_{0}^{\frac{\infty}{1-q}} t^{a-1} E_{q}((1-q) q t) d_{q} t, \quad \Re(a)>0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it has the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{q}(a)=K_{q}(a) \int_{0}^{\frac{\infty}{1-q}} t^{a-1} e_{q}(-(1-q) t) d_{q} t, \quad \Re(a)>0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{q}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} x^{n}}{(q ; q)_{n}}=(x ; q)_{\infty}  \tag{1.11}\\
& e_{q}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{(q ; q)_{n}}=\frac{1}{(x ; q)_{\infty}}, \quad|x|<1  \tag{1.12}\\
& K_{q}(a)=\frac{(-q ; q)_{\infty}(-1 ; q)_{\infty}}{\left(-q^{a} ; q\right)_{\infty}\left(-q^{1-a} ; q\right)_{\infty}} . \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

El-Shahed and Salem [3] introduced the definition of the complementary incomplete $q$-gamma function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{q}(a, x)=\int_{x}^{\frac{1}{1-q}} t^{a-1} E_{q}((1-q) q t) d_{q} t=\int_{x}^{\frac{\infty}{1-q}} t^{a-1} E_{q}((1-q) q t) d_{q} t, \quad \Re(a)>0 \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Salem [5] generalized the definition of complementary incomplete $q$-gamma function $\Gamma_{q}(a, x)$ in analytic continuation as an entire function for all complex $a$ and $x$ : $|\arg (z)|<\pi-\varepsilon ; 0<\varepsilon<\pi$ and exploited this generalization to introduce the definition of the $q$-analogue of the exponential integral as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(x ; q)=\Gamma_{q}(0, x)=\int_{x}^{\frac{1}{1-q}} t^{-1} E_{q}((1-q) q t) d_{q} t=\int_{x}^{\frac{\infty}{1-q}} t^{-1} E_{q}((1-q) q t) d_{q} t \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a slightly different form, we consider the definition of the $q$-analogue of the exponential integral as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(x ; q)=\int_{x}^{\infty} t^{-1} E_{q}(q t) d_{q} t \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.1 Let $x$ be a positive real. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(x ; q)=E_{q}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{q}(-t)}{x+t} d_{q} t, \quad x>0 \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. On $q$-differentiating (1.16) gives

$$
D_{q} E_{1}(x ; q)=-x^{-1} E_{q}(x q)
$$

Since $\Gamma_{q}(1)=K_{q}(1)=1$, then (1.10) enables us to rewrite the last formula as

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{q} E_{1}(x ; q) & =\frac{-1}{1-q} x^{-1} E_{q}(x q) \int_{0}^{\infty} e_{q}(-u) d_{q} u \\
& =\frac{-1}{1-q} E_{q}(x q) \int_{0}^{\infty} e_{q}(-x y) d_{q} y
\end{aligned}
$$

On $q$-integrating from $x$ to $\infty$ with changing the order of integration we obtain

$$
E_{1}(x ; q)=\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{x}^{\infty} E_{q}(u q) e_{q}(-y u) d_{q} u\right) d_{q} y
$$

Using the $q$-derivative of the two product function rule (1.2) yields

$$
D_{q}\left[E_{q}(u) e_{q}(-y u)\right]=\frac{-1}{1-q} E_{q}(u q) e_{q}(-y u)(1+y)
$$

Substituting the previous formula into the above one we obtain

$$
E_{1}(x ; q)=E_{q}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{q}(-x y)}{1+y} d_{q} y
$$

Replacing $x y$ by $t$ gives the desired result.

## 2. The $q$-analogue of the $\mathcal{P}$-Widder transform

Recently Uçar and Albayrak [6] introduced $q$-analogues of the $\mathcal{L}_{2}$-transform in terms of the following $q$-integrals:

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{q} L_{2}\{f(x) ; s\} & =\frac{1}{1-q^{2}} \int_{0}^{s^{-1}} x E_{q^{2}}\left(q^{2} s^{2} x^{2}\right) f(x) d_{q} x, \quad \Re(s)>0 .  \tag{2.1}\\
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; s\} & =\frac{1}{1-q^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x e_{q^{2}}\left(-s^{2} x^{2}\right) f(x) d_{q} x, \quad \Re(s)>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

A $q$-analogue of $\mathcal{P}$-Widder potential transform is denoted $\mathcal{P}_{q}$ and defined by Albayrak et al. [1] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; s\}=\frac{1}{1-q^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x f(x)}{s^{2}+q^{2} x^{2}} d_{q} x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

They introduced a relation between the ${ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}$-transfom and $\mathcal{P}_{q}$-transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; s\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

but we see that the last formula is incorrect because they used in their proof the relation

$$
e_{q^{2}}\left(-u^{2} s^{2}\right) e_{q^{2}}\left(-x^{2} u^{2}\right)=e_{q^{2}}\left(-\left(s^{2}+q^{2} x^{2}\right) u^{2}\right)
$$

which is incorrect. Based on this remark, we redefine a $q$-analogue of $\mathcal{P}$-Widder potential transform as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; s\}=\frac{1}{1-q^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x f(x)}{s^{2}+x^{2}} d_{q} x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we introduce the relationship between our definition and ${ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2},{ }_{q} L_{2}$-transforms in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 The $\mathcal{P}_{q}$-Widder transform (2.5) can be regarded as iterated ${ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2},{ }_{q} L_{2}$ transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}={ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; s\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the q-integrals involved converge absolutely.

Proof. Indeed, to prove (2.6), we start by using definitions (2.1) and (2.2) of the ${ }_{q} L_{2}$-transform and ${ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}$ transform to obtain

$$
{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x)\left(\int_{0}^{s^{-1}} u E_{q^{2}}\left(q^{2} s^{2} u^{2}\right) e_{q^{2}}\left(-u^{2} x^{2}\right) d_{q} u\right) d_{q} x
$$

Making use of (1.2), it is easy to see that

$$
D_{q, u}\left[E_{q^{2}}\left(s^{2} u^{2}\right) e_{q^{2}}\left(-u^{2} x^{2}\right)\right]=-\frac{[2]_{q} u\left(s^{2}+x^{2}\right)}{1-q^{2}} E_{q^{2}}\left(s^{2} u^{2}\right) e_{q^{2}}\left(-u^{2} x^{2}\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=-\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x f(x)}{s^{2}+x^{2}}\left(\left.E_{q^{2}}\left(s^{2} u^{2}\right) e_{q^{2}}\left(-u^{2} x^{2}\right)\right|_{u=0} ^{s^{-1}}\right) d_{q} x
$$

which yields by (2.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x f(x)}{s^{2}+x^{2}} d_{q} x=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; s\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (2.1) and (2.2), we find that

$$
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} u e_{q^{2}}\left(-s^{2} u^{2}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{u^{-1}} x E_{q^{2}}\left(q^{2} u^{2} x^{2}\right) f(x) d_{q} x\right) d_{q} u
$$

Replacing $x u$ by $y$ into the internal $q$-integral followed by changing the order of $q$-integration, which is permissible by absolute convergence of the integrals involved, we get

$$
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} y E_{q^{2}}\left(q^{2} y^{2}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{-1} e_{q^{2}}\left(-s^{2} u^{2}\right) f(y / u) d_{q} u\right) d_{q} y
$$
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Again, replacing $u$ by $x y$ into the internal $q$-integral followed by changing the order of $q$-integration, we get

$$
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} f\left(x^{-1}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{1} y E_{q^{2}}\left(q^{2} y^{2}\right) e_{q^{2}}\left(-s^{2} x^{2} y^{2}\right) d_{q} y\right) d_{q} x .
$$

As above, the previous double $q$-integral can be reduced as

$$
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-1} f\left(x^{-1}\right)}{1+s^{2} x^{2}} d_{q} x
$$

which can be read by using (1.6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x f(x)}{x^{2}+s^{2}} d_{q} x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.7) and (2.8), we get the desired result (2.6).
Now we give an example that will be used in the sequel.

Example 2.2 Let $\alpha$ be a real number. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{q}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; t\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(-\alpha / 2)}{K_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)} t^{\alpha}, \quad-2<\alpha<0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We put $f(x)=x^{\alpha} \quad(-2<\alpha<0)$ in (2.1) and (2.2). Utilizing the known results due to Albayrak et al. [1], namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; u\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}}{u^{\alpha+2}} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)}{K_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)}, \quad \Re(\alpha / 2+1)>0,  \tag{2.10}\\
& { }_{q} L_{2}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; u\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}}{u^{\alpha+2}} \Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1), \quad \Re(\alpha / 2+1)>0 \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{q}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; t\right\} & =[2]_{q}\left({ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; u\right\} ; t\right\}\right) \\
& =[2]_{q}\left({ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}}{u^{\alpha+2}} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)}{K_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)} ; t\right\}\right) \\
& =[2]_{q} \frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}}{[2]_{q}} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)}{K_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)}{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{u^{-\alpha-2} ; t\right\} \\
& =[2]_{q} \frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2}}{[2]_{q}} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)}{K_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)} \frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{-\alpha / 2-1}}{t^{-\alpha}} \Gamma_{q^{2}}(-\alpha / 2) \\
& =\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(-\alpha / 2)}{K_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)} t^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.3 We have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{q}\left\{e_{q^{2}}\left(-a^{2} x^{2}\right) ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} e_{q^{2}}\left(a^{2} s^{2}\right) E_{1}\left(a^{2} s^{2} ; q^{2}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

that holds true.

Proof. From (2.5), we get

$$
\mathcal{P}_{q}\left\{e_{q^{2}}\left(-a^{2} x^{2}\right) ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{1-q^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x e_{q^{2}}\left(-a^{2} x^{2}\right)}{s^{2}+x^{2}} d_{q} x
$$

Using the $q$-analogue of the integration theorem by a change of variable (1.8) with changing $x$ by $y^{\frac{1}{2}}$ yields

$$
\mathcal{P}_{q}\left\{e_{q^{2}}\left(-a^{2} x^{2}\right) ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{q^{2}}\left(-a^{2} y\right)}{s^{2}+y} d_{q^{2}} y
$$

Again, putting $a^{2} y=t$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{q}\left\{e_{q^{2}}\left(-a^{2} x^{2}\right) ; s\right\} & =\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{q^{2}}(-t)}{a^{2} s^{2}+t} d_{q^{2}} t \\
& =\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} e_{q^{2}}\left(a^{2} s^{2}\right) E_{1}\left(a^{2} s^{2} ; q^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Lemma 1.1 and noting that $E_{q}(x) e_{q}(x)=1$, we get the desired result.

Proposition 2.4 The $\mathcal{P}_{q}$-Widder potential transform and the $\mathbb{S}_{q}$-Stieltjes transform are related by the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; s\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \mathbb{S}_{q^{2}}\left\{f(\sqrt{x}) ; s^{2}\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\mathbb{S}_{q}$-Stieltjes transform is defined by Küren and Vulaş [4] as

$$
\mathbb{S}_{q}\{f(x) ; s\}=\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x+s} d_{q} x
$$

Proof. The proof comes immediately by changing the variable $x$ in (2.5) by $u^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and using the rule (1.8).

## 3. The main results

Brown et al. [2] introduced the $\mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}$-transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\{f(x) ; s\}=\int_{0}^{\infty} x \exp \left(x^{2} s^{2}\right) E_{1}\left(x^{2} s^{2}\right) f(x) d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1}(x)$ is the exponential integral defined as

$$
E_{1}(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} t^{-1} e^{-t} d t, \quad x>0
$$

A function $f$ is $q$-integrable on $[0, \infty)$ if the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n} f\left(q^{n}\right)$ converges absolutely. We write $L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$ for the set of all functions that are absolutely $q$-integrable on $[0, \infty)$, where $\mathbb{R}_{q,+}$ is the set

$$
\mathbb{R}_{q,+}=\left\{q^{n}: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q}\right) & :=\left\{f: \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n}\left|f\left(q^{n}\right)\right|<\infty\right\} \\
& :=\left\{f: \frac{1}{1-q} \int_{0}^{\infty}|f(x)| d_{q} x<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we introduce the following $q$-integral transform, which may be regarded as a $q$-extension of the $\mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1^{-}}$transform (3.1).

Definition 3.1 A q-analogue of $\mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}$-transform will be denoted ${ }_{q} \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}$ and defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\{f(x) ; s\}=\frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x) e_{q^{2}}\left(s^{2} x^{2}\right) E_{1}\left(s^{2} x^{2} ; q^{2}\right) d_{q} x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1}(x ; q)$ is the $q$-exponential integral defined as in (1.16). In view of (1.5), (3.2) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\{f(x) ; s\}=\frac{1-q}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n} e_{q^{2}}\left(s^{2} q^{2 n}\right) E_{1}\left(s^{2} q^{2 n} ; q^{2}\right) f\left(q^{n}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 If $f \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$, then the $q$-integral defined by (3.2) is convergent.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\{f(x) ; s\}\right| & \leq\left|\frac{(1-q)^{2}}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}}\right| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|e_{q^{2}}\left(s^{2}(1-q)^{2}\right) E_{1}\left(s^{2}(1-q)^{2} ; q^{2}\right)\right|\left|q^{n} f\left(q^{n}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{(1-q)^{2}}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}} e_{q^{2}}\left(s^{2}(1-q)^{2}\right) E_{1}\left(s^{2}(1-q)^{2} ; q^{2}\right) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|q^{n} f\left(q^{n}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f \in L_{q}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{q,+}\right)$, then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n}\left|f\left(q^{n}\right)\right|<\infty$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2 Let $x$ be real and $s$ be complex. Then we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; t\right\} ; s\right\}\right. & =\frac{1}{[2]_{q}}{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; t\} ; s\right\}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\frac{1}{[2]_{q}}{ }_{q} \mathcal{P}_{2}\left\{\mathcal{L}_{q}\{f(x) ; t\} ; s\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; t\right\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}^{2}}{ }_{q} \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\{f(x) ; s\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold true, provided that the integrals involved converge absolutely.
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Proof. The proof of (3.4) comes immediately from (2.6). In order to prove (3.5), inserting (2.2) and (2.5) into (3.4), we get

$$
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; t\right\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} t e_{q^{2}}\left(-s^{2} t^{2}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x f(x)}{t^{2}+x^{2}} d_{q} x\right) d_{q} t\right.
$$

Changing the order of integration, which is permissible by absolute convergence of the integrals involved, and then using definition (2.5) once again, it follows that

$$
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; t\right\} ; s\right\}=\frac{q^{2}}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x) \mathcal{P}_{q}\left\{e_{q^{2}}\left(-s^{2} t^{2}\right) ; x\right\} d_{q} x .\right.
$$

From Theorem 2.1, we find that

$$
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; t\right\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}^{2}\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x) e_{q^{2}}\left(s^{2} x^{2}\right) E_{1}\left(s^{2} x^{2} ; q^{2}\right) d_{q} x\right.
$$

which is the desired result according to Definition 3.1.
Example 3.3 Let $\alpha$ be real. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(-\alpha / 2) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)}{K_{q^{2}}^{2}(\alpha / 2+1)} \frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2-1}}{u^{\alpha+2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-2<\alpha<0$.

Proof. We put $f(x)=x^{\alpha} \quad(-2<\alpha<0)$ in Theorem 3.2, and hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; u\right\} ; t\right\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}^{2}}{ }_{q} \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; s\right\}\right. \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first, we calculate the left-hand side of equation (3.7). From (2.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; u\right\} ; t\right\} ; s\right\}=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}}{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\mathcal{P}_{q}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; u\right\} ; s\right\} .\right. \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.9) and (2.10), identity (3.8) gives rise to

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\left\{{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{x^{\alpha} ; u\right\} ; t\right\} ; s\right\}\right. & =\frac{1}{[2]_{q}^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(-\alpha / 2)}{K_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)} t^{\alpha}{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{t^{\alpha} ; s\right\} \\
& =\frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{\alpha / 2-1}}{[2]_{q}^{3}} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1) \Gamma_{q^{2}}(-\alpha / 2)}{K_{q^{2}}^{2}(\alpha / 2+1)} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(\alpha / 2+1)}{u^{\alpha+2}} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, in view of (3.7) and (3.9), we obtain the complete proof of the example.
Remark. Letting $q \rightarrow 1^{-}$and making use of the limits formulas

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1^{-}} \Gamma_{q}(t)=\Gamma(t), \quad \lim _{q \rightarrow 1^{-}} K_{q}(A ; t)=1
$$
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we observe identity (3.6), the $q$-extension of the known result

$$
\mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\left\{x^{\alpha-1} ; s\right\}=\frac{\pi}{2} \sec \left(\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right) s^{-\alpha-1}
$$

due to Brown et al. [2, Example 2, 2.8].

Theorem 3.4 The Parseval-Goldstein type relations: The identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; t\} \mathcal{P}_{q}\{g(u) ; t\} d_{q} t=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x) \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\{g(u) ; x\} d_{q} x \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; t\} \mathcal{P}_{q}\{g(u) ; t\} d_{q} t=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}} \int_{0}^{\infty} u g(u) \mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}\{f(x) ; u\} d_{q} u \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold true, provided that the $q$-integrals involved converge absolutely.

Proof. Inserting (2.2) into the left-hand side of (3.10) followed by changing the order of $q$-integrations, we get

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; t\} \mathcal{P}_{q}\{g(u) ; t\} d_{q} t=\frac{1}{1-q^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} t e_{q^{2}}\left(-x^{2} t^{2}\right) \mathcal{P}_{q}\{g(u) ; t\} d_{q} t\right) d_{q} x
$$

Once again, from (2.2), we get

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; t\} \mathcal{P}_{q}\{g(u) ; t\} d_{q} t=\int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x){ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{\mathcal{P}_{q}\{g(u) ; t\} ; x\right\} d_{q} x
$$

In view of (3.4), (3.5), and the last formula, we obtain the identity (3.10). The proof of (3.11) is similar.

Theorem 3.5 Let $\nu$ be a complex number. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\nu}{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; y\} d_{q} y=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{K_{q^{2}}\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x^{\nu}} d_{q} x \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holding true for $\Re(\nu)>-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; y\}}{y^{\nu}} d_{q} y=\frac{1}{[2]_{q}\left(1-q^{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(\frac{1+\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(\frac{1-\nu}{2}\right)}{K_{q^{2}}\left(\frac{1-\nu}{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x^{\nu}} d_{q} x \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

holding true for $-1<\Re(\nu)<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; y\}}{y^{\nu}} d_{q} y=\frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(\frac{1-\nu}{2}\right)}{\left(1-q^{2}\right)^{\frac{1+\nu}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\nu}{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; y\} d_{q} y \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

holding true for $\Re(\nu)<1$, provided that the $q$-integrals involved converge absolutely.

Proof. Insert the definition of ${ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}$-transform (2.2) into the left-hand side of identity (3.12) followed by changing the order of integration to obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\nu}{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; y\} d_{q} y=\frac{1}{1-q^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x f(x)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\nu} e_{q^{2}}\left(-x^{2} y^{2}\right) d_{q} y\right) d_{q} x .
$$

Replace $x y$ by $u^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{1-q^{2}}$ in the internal $q$-integral with using the rule (1.8) and the definition of the $q$ gamma function (1.10) to obtain the first identity (3.12). The proof of identity (3.13) is similar by inserting the definition of the $q$-Widder transform (2.5) instead of the definition of ${ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}$-transform. To prove identity (3.14), inserting relation (2.6) into the left-hand side of identity (3.14) gives

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; y\}}{y^{\nu}} d_{q} y=[2]_{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{{ }_{q} L_{2}\left\{{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} ; y\right\}}{y^{\nu}} d_{q} y .
$$

From the definition of ${ }_{q} L_{2}$-transform (2.1), we get

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; y\}}{y^{\nu}} d_{q} y=\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-\nu}\left(\int_{0}^{y^{-1}} u E_{q^{2}}\left(q^{2} u^{2} y^{2}\right){ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\{f(x) ; u\} d_{q} u\right) d_{q} y .
$$

Replace $u y$ by $t$ in the internal $q$-integral followed by changing the order of integration to obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; y\}}{y^{\nu}} d_{q} y=\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{0}^{1} t E_{q^{2}}\left(q^{2} t^{2}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{-\nu-2}{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{f(x) ; t y^{-1}\right\} d_{q} y\right) d_{q} t .
$$

Again, replacing $y$ by $t u$ in the internal $q$-integral gives

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{q}\{f(x) ; y\}}{y^{\nu}} d_{q} y=\frac{1}{1-q} \int_{0}^{1} t^{-\nu} E_{q^{2}}\left(q^{2} t^{2}\right) d_{q} t \int_{0}^{\infty} u^{-\nu-2}{ }_{q} \mathcal{L}_{2}\left\{f(x) ; u^{-1}\right\} d_{q} u .
$$

To compute the first $q$-integral, put $t=u^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{1-q^{2}}$ with using rule (1.8) and the definition of the $q$-gamma function (1.9). Using rule (1.6) ends the proof of the theorem.

## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their thorough review and highly appreciate their comments and suggestions, which significantly contributed to improving the quality of the publication.

## References

[1] Albayrak D, Purohit SD, Uçar F. On $q$-integral transforms and their applications. Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 2012; 4: 103-115.
[2] Brown D, Dernek N, Yürekli O. Identities for the $\mathcal{E}_{2 ; 1}$-transform and their applications. Appl Math Comput 2007; 187: 1557-1566.
[3] El-Shahed M, Salem A. On $q$-analogue of the incomplete gamma function. Int J Pure Appl Math 2008; 44: 773-780.
[4] Kürem G, Vulaş B. $q$-Laplace transforms. Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Society 2009; 12: 203-218.
[5] Salem A. A $q$-analogue of the exponential integral. Afrika Matematika 2013; 24: 117-125.
[6] Uçar F, Albayrak D. On $q$-Laplace type integral operators and their applications. J Differ Equ Appl 2012; 18: 1001-1014.


[^0]:    *Correspondence: fucar@marmara.edu.tr
    2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 33D05, 05A30.

