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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a finite group. We prove that $G$ is nilpotent if the set of conjugacy class sizes of primary and bipirimary elements is $\{1, m, n, m n\}$ with $m$ and $n$ coprime. Moreover, $m$ and $n$ are distinct primes power.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all groups considered are finite and $G$ always denotes a group. For an element $x$ of a group $G$ we denote by $x^{G}$ the conjugacy class containing $x$, and by $\left|x^{G}\right|$ the conjugacy class size of $x$. A primary element is an element of prime power order and a biprimary (triprimary) element is an element whose order is divisible by precisely two (three) primes. The rest of the notation and terminology is standard; readers may refer to [7].

In recent years, there has been tremendous interest in studying the structure of a group by some arithmetical conditions imposed on the conjugacy class sizes of $G$. A classical result due to Itô [8] is that a group $G$ with two conjugacy class sizes is nilpotent and $G$ is solvable if it has three conjugacy class sizes. Beltrán and Felipe [3, 2] studied groups with four conjugacy class sizes and proved that if the set of conjugacy class sizes of $G$ is $\{1, m, n, m n\}$ with integers $m, n>1$ coprime, then $G$ is nilpotent with $m$ and $n$ distinct primes power.

To investigate the influence of partial conjugacy class sizes on the structure of groups is also an interesting topic. For instance, Li [11] proved that a group $G$ is solvable if its conjugacy class size of every primary element is either 1 or $m$ with $m$ a fixed integer. In [9], Jiang and Shao showed that if the set of conjugacy class sizes of primary, biprimary, and triprimary elements is $\{1, m, n, m n\}$ with $m$ and $n$ coprime, then $G$ is solvable.

In the present paper, we are concerned with the influence of conjugacy class sizes of primary and biprimary elements on the structure of groups. Our main result is the following:

Theorem A Let $G$ be a group. Further let $m, n>1$ be two coprime integers. If the set of conjugacy class sizes of primary and biprimary elements of $G$ is $\{1, m, n, m n\}$, then $G$ is nilpotent. Furthermore, $m=p^{a}$ and $n=q^{b}$ for distinct primes $p$ and $q$.

The authors proved in [14] that:
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Theorem B ([14, Main Theorem]) Let $G$ be a solvable group and let $m$ and $n$ be two coprime integers. Suppose further that the conjugacy class size of every primary or biprimary element is one of $\{1, m, n, m n\}$ and all of these occur. Then $G$ is nilpotent. In particular, $m=p^{a}$ and $n=q^{b}$ for distinct primes $p$ and $q$.

As a result, our main task of this paper is to prove the solvability of $G$. That is:
Theorem C Let $G$ be a group. Further let $m, n>1$ be two coprime integers. If the set of conjugacy class sizes of primary and biprimary elements of $G$ is $\{1, m, n, m n\}$, then $G$ is solvable.

In order to show Theorem C, first we prove a special case:
Theorem D Let $G$ be a group and $n$ be an integer coprime to $p$. If the set of conjugacy class sizes of primary and biprimary elements of $G$ is $\left\{1, p^{a}, n, p^{a} n\right\}$ with positive integer $a$, then $G$ is solvable.

## 2. Preliminaries

Before taking up the problems, we first give some lemmas that will be used in the sequel.
Lemma 2.1 ([12, Theorem 5]) If for some prime $p$ every primary $p^{\prime}$-element of a group $G$ has conjugacy class size prime to $p$, then the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ is a direct factor of $G$.

Lemma 2.2 ([10, Theorem 3.2]) Let $G$ be a group such that $p^{a}$ is the highest power of a prime $p$ that divides the conjugacy class size of a biprimary element of $G$. Assume that there is a $p$-element in $G$ whose conjugacy class size is precisely $p^{a}$. Then $G$ has a normal $p$-complement.

Lemma 2.3 ([4, Corollary B]) Let $G$ be a group and suppose that the conjugacy class size of every primary element is 1 or $m$. Then $G$ is nilpotent. More precisely, $m=p^{n}$ for some prime $p$, and $G=P \times A$ with $A$ abelian and $P$ a $p$-group.

Lemma 2.4 Let $G$ be a group and $p$ a prime. Then every $p$-element has a $p$-power conjugacy class size if and only if $G=\mathbf{O}_{p}(G) \times \mathbf{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)$.
Proof The sufficiency is obvious; we only prove the necessity. Since every $p$-element has a $p$-power conjugacy class size, we see that $\mathbf{O}_{p}(G) \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ by [1, Corollary 4]. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, $G$ has a Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup, say $H$. On the other hand, for an arbitrary element $y \in G$, we may write $y=y_{p} \cdot y_{p^{\prime}}$, where $y_{p}$ and $y_{p^{\prime}}$ are the $p$-part and the $p^{\prime}$-part of $y$, respectively. Since $\left|y_{p}^{G}\right|$ is a $p$-power, there is some $g \in G$ such that $y_{p^{\prime}} \leq H^{g} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y_{p}\right)$, yielding $y_{p} \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(H)^{g}$. As a result, $y \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(H)^{g} H^{g}$, leading to $G \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in G}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(H) H\right)^{g}$. Consequently, $G=\mathbf{C}_{G}(H) H$, implying $H \unlhd G$ and thus $G=\mathbf{O}_{p}(G) \times \mathbf{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([14, Lemma 2.5]) Suppose that the three smallest nontrivial conjugacy class sizes of primary and biprimary elements are $a<b<c$ with $(a, b)=1$ and $a^{2}<c$. Then the set $W:=\left\{g \in G| | g^{G} \mid=1\right.$ or $\left.a\right\}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.

Lemma 2.6 ([6, Theorem 5.3.4]) Let $P \times Q$ be the direct product of a $p$-group $P$ and a $p^{\prime}$-group $Q$. Suppose that $G$ is a $p$-group such that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(P) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(Q)$. Then $Q$ acts trivially on $G$.

Lemma 2.7 ([14, Lemma 2.6]) Let $G=K \rtimes H$ and $g \in H$. Then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(g)=\mathbf{C}_{K}(g) \mathbf{C}_{H}(g)$.
Lemma 2.8 ([13, 9.1.10]) Let the group $G$ possess a nilpotent Hall $\pi$-subgroup $H$. Then every $\pi$-subgroup of $G$ is contained in a conjugate of $H$. In particular, all Hall $\pi$-subgroups of $G$ are conjugate.

## 3. Proof of Theorem D

Proof If there exists a prime $r \in \pi(G)-(\{p\} \cup \pi(n))$, then Lemma 2.1 shows that the Sylow $r$-subgroup $R$ of $G$ is a direct factor of $G$, implying that the conjugacy class size of each $r$-element is an $r$-number. As a result, $R \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ and we may write $G=A \times B$, where $A \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ and $B$ is a Hall $\{p\} \cup \pi(n)$-subgroup of $G$. As central factors are irrelevant in this context, we conclude that the set of conjugacy class sizes of primary and biprimary elements of $B$ is $\left\{1, p^{a}, n, p^{a} n\right\}$. Without loss of generality, $G$ can be assumed as a $\{p\} \cup \pi(n)$-group. Moreover, we may suppose that $|\pi(n)| \geq 2$ since, otherwise, $G$ is a $\{p, q\}$-group for some prime $q$ distinct from $p$, and the theorem follows immediately by [ 5 , Theorem 2]. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. There exists no $p$-element of conjugacy class size $p^{a}$.
Assume false. Then $G$ has a normal $p$-complement $K$ by Lemma 2.2. Further, for every primary element $x \in K$, we have

$$
|G: K|\left|K: \mathbf{C}_{K}(x)\right|=\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)\right|\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(x): \mathbf{C}_{K}(x)\right|,
$$

yielding to $\left|x^{K}\right|=1$ or $n$. As a result, $K$ is nilpotent by Lemma 2.3. Moreover, $G$ is solvable according to $[7$, Theorem 6.4.3], and we are done.

Step 2. There is no $p^{\prime}$-element of conjugacy class size $n$.
Assume on the contrary that $y$ is a $p^{\prime}$-element of conjugacy class size $n$. By considering its primary decomposition, $y$ can be assumed to be a $q$-element for some $q \in \pi(n)$. Further, for every primary $q^{\prime}$-element $x \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$, we obtain that $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}(x)\right|=\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): \mathbf{C}_{G}(x y)\right|=1$ or $p^{a}$, which follows by Lemma 2.1 that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}}$ is the normal Hall $q^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$.

On the other hand, for every primary element $z \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}}$, we see that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(z)$, indicating that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y) \cap \mathbf{C}_{G}(z)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}} \cap \mathbf{C}_{G}(z)\right)$. This implies that $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}}: \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}}}(z)\right|=\mid \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}}:$ $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}} \cap \mathbf{C}_{G}(z)\left|=\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): \mathbf{C}_{G}(y z)\right|=1\right.$ or $p^{a}$. Then Lemma 2.3 gives that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}}$ is nilpotent and thus $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{q^{\prime}}=P \times B$, where $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and $B$ is a Hall $\{p, q\}^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=$ $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}} \times P$. Let $t$ be a primary $p^{\prime}$-element of conjugacy class size $p^{a}$ in $G$, which exists by Step 1 . Without loss we may assume that $y \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(t)$, yielding $t \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$, against the fact that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$ is centralized by $P$.

Step 3. If $x$ is a $p$-element of conjugacy class size $p^{a} n$, then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p}$ is the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}} \not \approx \mathbf{Z}(G)$ is the abelian Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)$, respectively. On the other hand, if $y$ is an $r$-element of conjugacy class size $p^{a} n$ with prime $r \neq p$, then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p} \not \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ is the abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$ is the Hall $\pi^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$.

Let $x$ be a $p$-element of conjugacy class size $p^{a} n$. Then for every primary $p^{\prime}$-element $z \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)$, we obtain that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x z)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(x) \cap \mathbf{C}_{G}(z) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)$. By the maximality of $p^{a} n$, we see that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x z)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(x) \leq$ $\mathbf{C}_{G}(z)$ and thus $z \in \mathbf{Z}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)\right)$. This shows that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p}$ is the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}$ is the abelian Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)$. Assume that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}} \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$.

Then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}=\mathbf{Z}(G)_{p^{\prime}}$ and thus $|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|_{p^{\prime}}=n$. We prove that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(t)_{p}=\mathbf{Z}(G)_{p}$ for every noncentral primary $p^{\prime}$-element $t$. If not, we may select some element $w \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(t)_{p}-\mathbf{Z}(G)$ satisfying $\left|w^{G}\right|=n$ or $p^{a} n$ by Step 2. Both cases indicate that $\mathbf{Z}(G)_{p^{\prime}}$ is a Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)$, yielding that $t \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$, contrary to the choice of $t$. Hence, $|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|_{p}=p^{a}$ and thus $|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|=|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|_{p}|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|_{p^{\prime}}=p^{a} n$, against the existence of a primary or biprimary element of conjugacy class size $p^{a} n$.

Let $y$ be an $r$-element of conjugacy class sizes $p^{a} n$ with $r \neq p$. The same argument above implies that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{r} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{r^{\prime}}=\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p}$ is the abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$ is the Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p} \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p}=\mathbf{Z}(G)_{p}$, yielding that $|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|_{p}=p^{a}$. We prove that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p^{\prime}}=\mathbf{Z}(G)_{p^{\prime}}$ for every noncentral $p$-element $w$. Otherwise, we select some element $t \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p^{\prime}}-\mathbf{Z}(G)$, a primary element. Then $\left|t^{G}\right|=p^{a}$ or $p^{a} n$ by Step 2. Further, both cases imply that $\mathbf{Z}(G)_{p}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(t)$, yielding that $w \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$, contrary to the choice of $w$. This shows that $|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|_{p^{\prime}}=n$ and thus $|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|=|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|_{p}|G: \mathbf{Z}(G)|_{p^{\prime}}=p^{a} n$, against our assumption.

In the following, we divide the proof into two cases: $p^{a}>n$ and $p^{a}<n$.
Case 1. $p^{a}>n$.
Step 4. $L_{p}:=\left\{x \in G \mid x\right.$ is a $p$-element such that $\left|x^{G}\right|=1$ or $\left.n\right\}$ is an abelian normal Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$.

Since $p^{a}>n$, by Lemma 2.5 we see that $W:=\left\{x \in G| | x^{G} \mid=1\right.$ or $\left.n\right\}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. Moreover, $W=L_{p} \times \mathbf{Z}(G)_{p^{\prime}}$ since there is no $p^{\prime}$-element of conjugacy class size $n$ by Step 2. As a result, $L_{p} \unlhd G$. Moreover, $L_{p}$ is abelian since $\left|u^{L_{p}}\right|$ divides $\left(\left|L_{p}\right|, n\right)=1$ for each element $u \in L_{p}$.

If $L_{p}$ is not a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$, then there exists a $p$-element $y$ such that $\left|y^{G}\right|=p^{a} n$ by Step 1 , which leads to $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$ with the abelian Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$ such that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}} \not \leq$ $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ by Step 3 . Taking an arbitrary primary element $z \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}-\mathbf{Z}(G)$, we see that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(z)$ and thus $\mathbf{C}_{L_{p}}(y) \leq \mathbf{C}_{L_{p}}(z)$, which follows by Lemma 2.6 that $z \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(L_{p}\right)=: M$. Consequently, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}} \leq M$. On the other hand, because $z$ has conjugacy class size $p^{a}$ or $p^{a} n$, we see that $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(z): \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)\right|=1$ or $n$. Note that $L_{p} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(z)$. This indicates $L_{p} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ and thus $y \in M$. We conclude that $M$ contains all $p$-elements of $G$ as $L_{p}$ is abelian. As a result, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y) \leq M$ and $|G: M|$ is a $p^{\prime}$-number. Note that $M \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(k)$ for every $k \in L_{p}-\mathbf{Z}(G)$ because $L_{p}$ is abelian. This yields that $n$ divides $|G: M|$.

Along with the equality

$$
|G: M|\left|M: \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)\right|=\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)\right|=p^{a} n
$$

we see clearly that $|G: M|=n$ and $\left|M: \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)\right|=p^{a}$, indicating that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$ is a Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $M$. Further, every $p$-element of $M$ has conjugacy class size 1 or $p^{a}$ in $M$. By Lemma 2.4, we see that $M=M_{p} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$, where $M_{p} \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}} \not \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ by Step 3 . If we choose a noncentral primary element $w \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p^{\prime}}$, we get $\left|w^{G}\right|=n$, against Step 2 .

Step 5. Conclusion in Case 1.
Since $G$ has an abelian normal Sylow $p$-subgroup $L_{p}$, we obtain that $G$ has a $p$-complement $H$ by the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem. If $H$ is abelian, then $G$ is solvable by [7, Theorem 6.4.3], which follows by Theorem B that $G$ is nilpotent. Write $G=P \times H$, where $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$. Consequently, $H \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$, a contradiction to our
assumption. As a result, $H$ is nonabelian. Lemma 2.7 implies that the set of conjugacy class sizes of primary elements of $H$ is $\{1, n\}$. Hence, $H$ is nilpotent by Lemma 2.3, yielding that $G$ is solvable, and the theorem is proved.

Case 2. $p^{a}<n$.
Step 6. Let $q$ be a prime dividing $n$. Denote that $L_{q}:=\left\{x \in G \mid x\right.$ is a $q$-element such that $\left|x^{G}\right|=1$ or $\left.p^{a}\right\}$. If $L_{q}$ is not central, then $L_{q}$ is the normal Sylow $q$-subgroup of $G$.

Since $p^{a}<n$, we obtain that $L_{p^{\prime}}:=\left\{x \in G \mid x\right.$ is a $p^{\prime}$-element such that $\left|x^{G}\right|=1$ or $\left.p^{a}\right\}$ is an abelian normal $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $G$ if we apply a similar argument as in Step 4. Further, $L_{q}$ is abelian.

Assume that $L_{q} \not \subset \mathbf{Z}(G)$. If $L_{q}$ is not a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $G$, then there exists a $q$-element $w$ satisfying $\left|w^{G}\right|=p^{a} n$ according to Step 2. Step 3 implies that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p} \not \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ is the abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p^{\prime}}$ is the Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)$. For each element $u \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}-\mathbf{Z}(G)$, we have $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(u)$, yielding $\mathbf{C}_{L_{q}}(w) \leq \mathbf{C}_{L_{q}}(u)$. By Lemma 2.6, we obtain that $u \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(L_{q}\right)=: N$ and thus $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p} \leq N$. On the other hand, we see that $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(u): \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)\right|=1$ or $p^{a}$. Since $L_{q} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(u)$, it follows that $L_{q} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)$, leading to $w \in N$. Consequently, every $q$-element of $G$ lies in $N$. Fix $y \in L_{q}$ a noncentral $q$-element. We see that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p} \leq N \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ as $L_{q}$ is abelian. Moreover, $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): N\right|\left|N: \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}\right|=\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}\right|$ is a $p^{\prime}$-number, which implies that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p} \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(N)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p} \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)\right)$.

We claim that there exists some $g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ such that $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}^{g}\right)$ for an arbitrary element $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)-\mathbf{Z}(G)$. If there exists some component $v_{i}$ of $v$ with conjugacy class size $p^{a} n$, say $v_{1}$, then we see easily that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(v)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Moreover, $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y v_{1}\right)\right|=n$. By Sylow's theorem, we see that there exists some $g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ such that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}^{g} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y v_{1}\right) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(v)$, leading to $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}^{g}\right)$. Hence, we assume that every component has no conjugacy class size $p^{a} n$. Let $v_{1}$ be the $p$-component of $v$ and $v_{2}, \ldots, v_{t}$ be all the $p^{\prime}$-components of $v$. We show that $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2} \cdots v_{t}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)$. If $t=2$, there is nothing to prove. Assume then that $t>2$ and $j \in\{3, \ldots, t\}$. Then $\left|v_{2}^{G}\right|=p^{a}$ and $\left|v_{j}^{G}\right|=p^{a}$ by Step 2. Moreover, it follows that $p^{a}=\left|v_{2}^{G}\right|| |\left(v_{2} v_{j}\right)^{G}\left|\leq\left|v_{2}^{G}\right|\right| v_{j}^{G} \mid=p^{2 a}<p^{a} n$ by [13, 1.3.11], yielding $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2} v_{j}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)$. Further, $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2} \cdots v_{t}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)$, as required. This gives that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(v)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)$. In particular, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(v)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=$ $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)$ if we apply a similar argument above. Recall that $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y v_{1}\right)\right|=n$. By Sylow's theorem, there exists some $g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ such that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}^{g} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y v_{1}\right) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$, leading to $v_{1} \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}^{g}\right)$. If $v_{2}$ is a $q$-element, then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p} \leq N \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)$ is also a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)$ by the second argument of this step, leading to $v_{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}\right)$; if $v_{2}$ is a $q^{\prime}$-component, then $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y v_{2}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$, which also implies that $v_{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}^{g}\right)$. Consequently, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}^{g} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(v)$, yielding $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}^{g}\right)$, as claimed.

Therefore, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)} \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}\right)^{g}$, which forces that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}$ must be central in $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. However, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{p}$ is not central in $G$ by Step 3. Thus, if we choose some noncentral element $u_{1} \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p}$, we have $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)$, leading to $\left|u_{1}^{G}\right|=p^{a}$, against Step 1 .

Step 7. Conclusion in Case 2.
Let $t \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ be an arbitrary element. Write $t=t_{q} \cdot t_{q^{\prime}}$ as before. If we apply a similar argument in

Step 6, we obtain that

$$
\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p}\right)^{g} L_{q}=\bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p} L_{q}\right)\right)^{g}
$$

which yields $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p}\right) L_{q}$. Hence, $\left|G: \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p}\right)\right|$ is a $\{p, q\}$-number. Now, if there exists some noncentral element $u \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{p}$ that has conjugacy class size $n$ or $p^{a} n$, we see that $n$ is a $q$-power, against $|\pi(n)| \geq 2$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem C

Proof If we reason similarly as to the proof of Theorem D, we may assume that $G$ is a $(\pi(m) \cup \pi(n))$-group with $|\pi(m)| \geq 2$ and $|\pi(n)| \geq 2$. Write $\pi:=\pi(m)$. The proof will be completed in several following steps.

Step 1. If $x$ is a primary $\pi$-element of conjugacy class size $m n$, then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{\pi} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{\pi^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{\pi^{\prime}} \not \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ is an abelian Hall $\pi^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)$. Analogously, if $y$ is a primary $\pi^{\prime}$-element of conjugacy class size $m n$, then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{\pi} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{\pi^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)_{\pi} \not \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ is an abelian Hall $\pi$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$.

This follows exactly by a similar argument as in Step 3 of Theorem D.
Step 2. $G$ has no primary $\pi$-element of conjugacy class size $m$. Analogously, there exists no $\pi^{\prime}$-element of conjugacy class size $n$.

By the symmetry of $m$ and $n$, we only prove the first statement. Let $x$ be a primary $\pi$-element of conjugacy class size $m$. We may consider $x$ as a $p$-element with $p \in \pi$. Then for every primary $p^{\prime}$-element $y \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)$, we have $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(x): \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)}(y)\right|=\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(x): \mathbf{C}_{G}(x y)\right|=1$ or $n$, which follows by Lemma 2.1 that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}$ is the Hall $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)$.

For each primary element $y \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}$, we have $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$, implying $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x) \cap \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=$ $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p}\left(\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}} \cap \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)\right)$. As a result, $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}: \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}}(y)\right|=\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}: \mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}} \cap \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)\right|=\mid \mathbf{C}_{G}(x):$ $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x y) \mid=1$ or $n$. If $n$ occurs, then $n$ is a prime power according to Lemma 2.3, against our assumption. Hence, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{p^{\prime}}$ is abelian, implying that $G$ has an abelian Hall $\pi^{\prime}$-subgroup $H$. Let $y \in G$ be a primary or biprimary element of conjugacy class sizes $n$. We may assume without loss that $y$ is a $q$-element with prime $q \in \pi$ if we consider the primary decomposition of $y$. As a result, there is some $g \in G$ such that $x^{g} \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$, yielding that $y \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(x^{g}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(x)_{\pi}^{g} \times H^{g}$. Moreover, $H^{g} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$, against $\left|y^{G}\right|=n$.

Without loss of generality, we will assume that $n<m$ in the following.
Step 3. Write $L_{\pi}:=\left\{x \in G \mid x\right.$ is a $\pi$-element with $\left|x^{G}\right|=1$ or $\left.n\right\}$. Then $L_{\pi}$ is a nontrivial abelian normal $\pi$-subgroup of $G$.

By Lemma 2.5, the set $W:=\left\{x \in G| | x^{G} \mid=1\right.$ or $\left.n\right\}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. Moreover, it follows by Step 2 that $W=L_{\pi} \times \mathbf{Z}(G)_{\pi^{\prime}}$ and, consequently, $L_{\pi}$ is a nontrivial normal $\pi$-subgroup of $G$. Further, for each primary element $y \in L_{\pi}$, we have that $\left|y^{L_{\pi}}\right|$ divides $\left(\left|L_{\pi}\right|, n\right)=1$, indicating that $L_{\pi}$ is abelian.

Write $L_{q}:=\left\{x \in G \mid x\right.$ to be a $q$-element such that $\left|x^{G}\right|=1$ or $\left.n\right\}$ with $q \in \pi$. Then $L_{\pi}$ is the direct product of the subgroups $L_{q}$ for all primes $q \in \pi$. As a sequence, $L_{q}$ is an abelian normal subgroup of $G$.

Step 4. If $L_{q}$ is not central in $G$, then $L_{q}$ is a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $G$.

Assume that $L_{q} \not \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$. If $L_{q}$ is not a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $G$, then there exists some $q$-element $w$ of conjugacy class size $m n$ by Step 2. Moreover, Step 1 gives that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}}$ with $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}} \not \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ abelian. For every $u \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}}$, we have $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(u)$ and, in particular, $\mathbf{C}_{L_{q}}(w) \leq$ $\mathbf{C}_{L_{q}}(u)$. By applying Lemma 2.6, we get $u \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(L_{q}\right)=: N$ and, therefore, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}} \leq N$. On the other hand, $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(u): \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)\right|=1$ or $n$ since $u$ has conjugacy class size $m$ or $m n$. Note that $L_{q} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(u)$. This implies that $L_{q} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)$ and thus $w \in N$. We conclude that $N$ contains all $q$-elements of $G$.

Fix $y \in L_{q}-\mathbf{Z}(G)$. Then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}} \leq N \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. Moreover, $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): N\right|\left|N: \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}}\right|=\mid \mathbf{C}_{G}(y):$ $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}} \mid$ is a $\pi$-number, indicating that both $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): N\right|$ and $\left|N: \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}}\right|$ are $\pi$-numbers. Therefore, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}} \not \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ is an abelian Hall $\pi^{\prime}$-subgroup of $N$ and $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. Let $R \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}} \leq N$ be a noncentral Sylow $r$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ with $r \in \pi^{\prime}$. We prove that for every noncentral element $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)-\mathbf{Z}(G)$, there exists some $g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ such that $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(R^{g}\right)$.

If there is some component $v_{i}$ of conjugacy class size $m n$, say $v_{1}$, then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(v)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Moreover, if $v_{1}$ is a $q$-component, then $R \leq N \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$, yielding $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(R)$; if $v_{1}$ is a $q^{\prime}$-component, then $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y v_{1}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ and $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y v_{1}\right)\right|=n$. By Sylow's theorem, there exists some $g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ such that $R^{g} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y v_{1}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(v)$, leading to $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(R^{g}\right)$. As a consequence, we assume that $v$ has no component of conjugacy class size $m n$. Write $v=\left(v_{1} \cdots v_{r}\right) \cdot\left(v_{r+1} \cdots v_{t}\right)$, where $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$ are all the $\pi$-components of $v$ and $v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_{t}$ are all the $\pi^{\prime}$-components of $v$, respectively. Note that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}}$ is an abelian Hall $\pi^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. Then every $\pi^{\prime}$-element of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ is contained in a conjugate of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}}$ by applying Lemma 2.8. As a result, there exists some $g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ such that $v_{r+1} \cdots v_{t} \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(w)_{\pi^{\prime}}^{g}$, leading to $v_{r+1} \cdots v_{t} \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(R^{g}\right)$. Hence, $R^{g} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{r+1} \cdots v_{t}\right)$. On the other hand, by Step 2 , we see that each $v_{i}$ has conjugacy class size $n$ with $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$. For every $j \in\{2, \ldots, r\}$, we see that $n=\left|v_{1}^{G}\right|| |\left(v_{1} v_{j}\right)^{G} \mid \leq$ $\left|v_{1}^{G}\right|\left|v_{j}^{G}\right|=n^{2}$ by [13, 1.3.11], and this implies that $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1} v_{j}\right)$, implying $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1} \cdots v_{r}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Analogously, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(y v_{1}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. If $v_{1}$ is a $q^{\prime}$-element, then by Sylow's theorem, there exists some $g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ such that $R^{g} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and thus $v_{1} \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(R^{g}\right)$; if $v_{1}$ is a $q$-element, then by a similar argument above we obtain that $R \leq N \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$. This shows that $R^{g} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(v_{1} \cdot v_{r+1} \cdots v_{t}\right)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(v)$, yielding $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(R^{g}\right)$.

Therefore, $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}(R)^{g}$, which implies that $R$ must be central in $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. However, we know that $R$ is not central in $G$, and so if we take some noncentral $u_{1} \in R$, we have $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(R) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)$. This provides an $r$-element $u_{1}$ of conjugacy class size $n$, against Step 2 .

Step 5. Final contradiction.
We will complete this theorem in the following two cases:
Case 1. $L_{\pi}$ is a Hall $\pi$-subgroup of $G$.
By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, $G$ has a $\pi$-complement $H$. If $H$ is abelian, then $G$ is solvable, and we are done. Assume then that $H$ is nonabelian. Then it follows by Lemma 2.7 that the conjugacy class sizes of primary elements of $H$ are $\{1, n\}$. Then Lemma 2.3 implies that $H$ is nilpotent, yielding that $G$ is also solvable, and the theorem is proved.

Case 2. $L_{\pi}$ is not a Hall $\pi$-subgroup of $G$.
In this case, there must be some prime $p \in \pi$ such that $L_{p} \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Further, by Step 2 there exists some $q \in \pi$ such that $L_{q}$ is not central in $G$, and thus $L_{q}$ is a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $G$ by Step 4. Fix $y$ a $q$-element
of conjugacy class size $n$. Let $t$ be a $p$-element of conjugacy class size $m n$ in $G$. Without loss, we assume that $t \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. It follows by Step 1 that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(t)=\mathbf{C}_{G}(t)_{\pi} \times \mathbf{C}_{G}(t)_{\pi^{\prime}}$ with $\mathbf{C}_{G}(t)_{\pi^{\prime}} \not \approx \mathbf{Z}(G)$ abelian. Notice that $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): \mathbf{C}_{G}(y) \cap \mathbf{C}_{G}(t)\right|=m$. Then $\mathbf{C}_{G}(t)_{\pi^{\prime}}$ is also a Hall $\pi^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. According to Lemma 2.8, all the Hall $\pi^{\prime}$-subgroups of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ are conjugate.

Since $\mathbf{C}_{G}(t) \pi_{\pi^{\prime}} \not \approx \mathbf{Z}(G)$, there exists a noncentral Sylow $r$-subgroup $R$ of $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$ for some prime $r \in \pi^{\prime}$. The same arguments in Step 4 give that $v_{p^{\prime}} \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}\left(R^{g}\right)$ for every element $v \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$. Thus, if we take into account that $L_{q}$ is a normal Sylow $q$-subgroup of $G$, we have

$$
\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}(R)^{g} L_{q}=\bigcup_{g \in \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}(R) L_{q}\right)^{g}
$$

This implies that $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}(R) L_{q}$, and accordingly, $\left|\mathbf{C}_{G}(y): \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}(R)\right|$ is a $q$-number. Now we take some noncentral $u_{1} \in R$, which has conjugacy class size $m$ or $m n$. Observe that $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{C}_{G}(y)}(R) \leq$ $\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right) \cap \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)=\mathbf{C}_{G}\left(u_{1} y\right) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(y)$, so that $u_{1} y$ has conjugacy class size $n$ or $m n$. The first case leads to $\mathbf{C}_{G}(y) \leq \mathbf{C}_{G}(u)$, which is a contradiction, and so $u_{1} y$ has conjugacy class size $m n$ and it follows that $m$ is a $q$-power. By Theorem D, we obtain that $G$ is solvable and the theorem is established.
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