

Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Turk J Math (2016) 40: 1398 – 1400 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/mat-1510-55

Research Article

Every norm is a restriction of an order-unit norm

Mert ÇAĞLAR¹, Zafer ERCAN^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, İstanbul Kültür University, Bakırköy, İstanbul, Turkey ²Department of Mathematics, Abant İzzet Baysal University, Bolu, Turkey

Received: 15.10.2015 • Accepted/Published Online: 26.02.2	2016 • Final Version: 02.12.2016
---	----------------------------------

Abstract: We point out the equivalence of the fact that every norm on a vector space is a restriction of an order-unit norm to that of Paulsen's construction concerning generalization of operator systems.

Key words: Norm, ordered vector space, order-unit

1. Introduction

The purpose of this very short expository note is to bring a widely unnoticed fact concerning normed spaces to the readers' attention by pointing out that it is equivalent to Paulsen's construction in quantum analysis given in [4]. We refer to [1] for the general theory of ordered vector spaces.

A subset K of a vector space E is called a **cone** if

$$K + K \subseteq K$$
, $\mathbb{R}^+ K \subseteq K$, and $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}$,

in which case the pair (E, K) is called an *ordered vector space*. We write $x \leq y$, or $y \geq x$ in E, whenever $y - x \in K$. An element $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$ is called an *order-unit* if for each $x \in E$ there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that $x \leq \lambda e$. The notion of order-unit is due to Kadison [3]. An ordered vector space E is called *almost Archimedean* if $-\varepsilon x \leq y \leq \varepsilon x$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$; then y = 0. Similarly, E is called *Archimedean* if $\mathbb{N}x \leq y$ implies $x \leq 0$. It is obvious that Archimedeanness implies almost Archimedeanness, but not vice versa. If (E, K) is an almost Archimedean vector space with an order-unit e > 0, then

$$||x||_e = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : -\varepsilon e \leqslant x \leqslant \varepsilon e\}$$

defines a norm on the ordered vector space (E, K). Let us call this norm as the norm generated by the order unit e.

Theorem 1 Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Then there exists an Archimedean ordered vector space F with an order-unit e > 0 such that E is isomorphic to a vector subspace of F, and in this case the equality

 $\|x\| = \|x\|_e$

holds for all $x \in E$.

^{*}Correspondence: zercan@ibu.edu.tr

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54E35.

Proof Let $F := E \times \mathbb{R}$, and consider F as a vector space under the pointwise algebraic operations. One can easily show that F is an Archimedean ordered vector space with respect to the cone

$$K = \{(x, r) : x \in B(0, r)\}$$

where B(0,r) is the closed ball with center zero and radius r in the normed space $(E, \|\cdot\|_H)$. Moreover, the element e = (0,1) is an order unit of F. It is also obvious that F can be embedded into F as a vector subspace via the map $x \mapsto (x,0)$. Therefore, we may write x instead of (x,0). From the following equality

$$-\|x\| e \leqslant (x,0) \leqslant \|x\| e,$$

it follows that

$$||x||_e = ||(x,0)||_e \le ||x||$$

Now suppose that $||(x,0)||_e < ||x||_H$. Choose $\alpha > 0$ so that

$$||(x,0)||_e < \alpha < ||x||, \text{ and } (x,0) \leq \alpha e.$$

Then $0 \leq (-x, \alpha)$ in F, whence

$$||x|| = || - x|| \le \alpha < ||x||,$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Let us point out that Theorem 1 follows directly from the following construction of Paulsen [4, pp. 178, 182]: Let V be a (real or complex) vector space equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|$. Define

 $\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & v \\ w^* & \mu \end{bmatrix} : \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \ v, w \in V \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{V} \oplus \overline{V}, \text{ where } \overline{V} \text{ is the conjugate space to } V. \text{ Note that } \mathcal{S} \text{ is a } *-vector space with the involution } \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & v \\ w^* & \mu \end{bmatrix}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\lambda} & w \\ v^* & \overline{\mu} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_h = \{x \in \mathcal{S} : x^* = x\} \text{ is a real vector subspace in } \mathcal{S}. \text{ Define}$

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & v \\ v^* & \mu \end{bmatrix} : \lambda, \mu \ge 0, \ \|v\|^2 \leqslant \lambda \mu \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \varepsilon := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and note that \mathcal{C} is a cone in \mathcal{S}_h , that e is an order-unit, and that \mathcal{S}_h is an ordered vector space that is almost Archimedean. In particular, $||x||_e = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : -\varepsilon e \leqslant x \leqslant \varepsilon e\}$ is the norm on \mathcal{S}_h generated by the order-unit e. Moreover, the (real part) vector space V can be embedded into \mathcal{S}_h via the real linear mapping $v \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Lastly, the equality

$$\|v\| = \inf\left\{\varepsilon > 0: \begin{bmatrix}\varepsilon & v\\v^* & \varepsilon\end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{C}\right\} = \left\|\begin{bmatrix}0 & v\\v^* & 0\end{bmatrix}\right\|_{\epsilon}$$

holds, whence the proof of Theorem is complete 1.

We also note, for the sake of completeness, that similar arguments underlying the proof of Theorem 1 in the setting of normed spaces are also used in [2].

References

- Aliprantis CD, Tourky R. Cones and Duality. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 84, 2007.
- [2] Fakhar M, Koushesh MR, Raoofi M. Embedding normed linear spaces into C(X). arXiv:1502.06008.
- [3] Kadison RV. A representation theory for commutative topological algebra. Mem Amer Math Soc 1971; 21: 39 pp.
- [4] Paulsen V. Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2003.