

Second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions

Murat ÇAĞLAR^{1,*}, Erhan DENİZ¹, Hari Mohan SRIVASTAVA^{2,3}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

³Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan, Republic of China

Received: 08.02.2016

Accepted/Published Online: 30.06.2016

Final Version: 22.05.2017

Abstract: In the present paper, we obtain the upper bounds for the second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. Moreover, several interesting applications of the results presented here are also discussed.

Key words: Analytic functions, univalent functions, bi-univalent functions, subordination between analytic functions, Hankel determinant

1. Introduction and definitions

Let \mathcal{A} denote the family of functions f analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$$

of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1.1)$$

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} that are univalent in \mathbb{U} . The Koebe one-quarter theorem (see, for example, [9]) ensures that the image of \mathbb{U} under every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains a disk of radius $1/4$. Clearly, every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse function f^{-1} satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ ($|w| < r_0(f)$; $r_0(f) \geq 1/4$), where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Let σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} given by (1.1).

In 1967, Lewin [21] showed that, for every function $f \in \sigma$ of the form (1.1), the second coefficient of f satisfies the estimate $|a_2| < 1.51$. In 1967, Brannan and Clunie [2] conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $f \in \sigma$. Later, Netanyahu [22] proved that $\max_{f \in \sigma} |a_2| = \frac{4}{3}$. In 1985, Kedzierawski [17] proved the Brannan–Clunie conjecture for bi-starlike functions. In 1985, Tan [31] obtained the bound for a_2 , namely that $|a_2| < 1.485$, which is the best

*Correspondence: mcaglar25@gmail.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45, 30C50; Secondary 30C80.

known estimate for functions in the class σ . Brannan and Taha [3] obtained estimates on the initial coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in the classes of bi-starlike functions of order β and bi-convex functions of order β .

The study of bi-univalent functions was revived in recent years by Srivastava et al. [30] and a considerably large number of sequels to the work of Srivastava et al. [30] have appeared in the literature since then. In particular, several results on coefficient estimates for the initial coefficients $|a_2|$, $|a_3|$, and $|a_4|$ were proved for various subclasses of σ (see, for example, [1, 4, 5, 10, 12, 14, 16, 25, 28, 29, 32, 33]).

Recently, Deniz [7] and Kumar et al. [19] both extended and improved the results of Brannan and Taha [3] by generalizing their classes by means of the principle of subordination between analytic functions. The problem of estimating the coefficients $|a_n|$ ($n \geq 2$) is still open (see also [29] in this connection).

Among the important tools in the theory of univalent functions are Hankel determinants, which are used, for example, in showing that a function of bounded characteristic in \mathbb{U} , that is, a function that is a ratio of two bounded analytic functions, with its Laurent series around the origin having integral coefficients, is rational [6]. The Hankel determinants $H_q(n)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) of the function f are defined by (see [23])

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix} \quad (a_1 = 1).$$

This determinant was discussed by several authors with $q = 2$. For example, we know that the functional $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$ is known as the Fekete–Szegő functional and one usually considers the further generalized functional $a_3 - \mu a_2^2$ where μ is some real number (see [11]). Estimating for the upper bound of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ is known as the Fekete–Szegő problem. In 1969, Keogh and Merkes [18] solved the Fekete–Szegő problem for the classes of starlike and convex functions. One can see the Fekete–Szegő problem for the classes of starlike functions of order β and convex functions of order β in special cases in the paper of Orhan et al. [24]. On the other hand, quite recently, Zaprawa (see [34, 35]) studied the Fekete–Szegő problem for some classes of bi-univalent functions. In special cases, he gave the Fekete–Szegő problem for the classes of bi-starlike functions of order β and bi-convex functions of order β .

The second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$ is given by $H_2(2) = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2$. The bounds for the second Hankel determinant $H_2(2)$ were obtained for the classes of starlike and convex functions in [15]. Lee et al. [20] established the sharp bound for $|H_2(2)|$ by generalizing their classes by means of the principle of subordination between analytic functions. In their paper [20], one can find the sharp bound for $|H_2(2)|$ for the functions in the classes of starlike functions of order β and convex functions of order β . Recently, Deniz et al. [8] and Orhan et al. [26] found the upper bound for the functional $H_2(2) = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2$ for the subclasses of bi-univalent functions.

The object of the present paper is to seek the upper bound for the functional $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|$ for $f \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma(\beta)$ and $f \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma^\alpha$, which are defined as follows.

Definition 1 (see [30]) *A function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $f \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma(\beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$f \in \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \Re(f'(z)) > \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1) \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\Re(g'(w)) > \beta \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1), \tag{1.3}$$

where the function g is given by

$$g(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \tag{1.4}$$

Definition 2 (see [30]) A function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $f \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad |\arg(f'(z))| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 < \alpha \leq 1) \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$|\arg(g'(w))| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}; 0 < \alpha \leq 1), \tag{1.6}$$

where the function g is defined by (1.4).

For special values of the parameters α and β , we have

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma(0) = \mathcal{N}_\sigma^1 = \mathcal{N}_\sigma.$$

Let \mathcal{P} be the class of functions with positive real part consisting of all analytic functions $\mathcal{P} : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $p(0) = 1$ and $\Re(p(z)) > 0$.

To establish our main results, we shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (see, for example, [27]) If the function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by the following series:

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots, \tag{1.7}$$

then the sharp estimate given by

$$|c_k| \leq 2 \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

holds true.

Lemma 2 (see [13]) If the function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by the series (1.7), then

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2), \tag{1.8}$$

$$4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z \tag{1.9}$$

for some x and z with $|x| \leq 1$ and $|z| \leq 1$.

2. Main results

Our first main result for the class $f \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma(\beta)$ is stated as follows:

Theorem 1 Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(\beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1$). Then

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2} \left(2(1-\beta)^2 + 1 \right) & \left(\beta \in \left[0, \frac{11-\sqrt{37}}{12} \right] \right), \\ \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{16} \left(\frac{60\beta^2 - 84\beta - 25}{9\beta^2 - 15\beta + 1} \right) & \left(\beta \in \left(\frac{11-\sqrt{37}}{12}, 1 \right) \right). \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Proof Let $f \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma(\beta)$ and $g = f^{-1}$. Then

$$f'(z) = \beta + (1 - \beta)p(z) \text{ and } g'(w) = \beta + (1 - \beta)q(w) \tag{2.2}$$

where the functions $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ given by

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$$

and

$$q(w) = 1 + d_1w + d_2w^2 + \dots$$

are in class \mathcal{P} .

Comparing the coefficients in (2.2), we have

$$2a_2 = (1 - \beta)c_1, \tag{2.3}$$

$$3a_3 = (1 - \beta)c_2, \tag{2.4}$$

$$4a_4 = (1 - \beta)c_3, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$-2a_2 = (1 - \beta)d_1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$3(2a_2^2 - a_3) = (1 - \beta)d_2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$-4(5a_2^3 - 5a_3a_2 + a_4) = (1 - \beta)d_3. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.3) and (2.6), we find that

$$c_1 = -d_1 \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{(1 - \beta)}{2}c_1. \tag{2.10}$$

Now, from (2.4), (2.7) and (2.10), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{4}c_1^2 + \frac{(1 - \beta)}{6}(c_2 - d_2). \tag{2.11}$$

Also, from (2.5) and (2.8), we find that

$$a_4 = \frac{5(1 - \beta)^2}{24}c_1(c_2 - d_2) + \frac{(1 - \beta)}{8}(c_3 - d_3). \tag{2.12}$$

Thus, we can easily establish that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = & \left| -\frac{(1 - \beta)^4}{16}c_1^4 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^3}{48}c_1^2(c_2 - d_2) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{16}c_1(c_3 - d_3) - \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{36}(c_2 - d_2)^2 \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

According to Lemma 2 and (2.9), we write

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 2c_2 &= c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2) \\ 2d_2 &= d_1^2 + y(4 - d_1^2) \end{aligned} \right\} \implies c_2 - d_2 = \frac{4 - c_1^2}{2}(x - y) \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 4c_3 &= c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z, \\ 4d_3 &= d_1^3 + 2(4 - d_1^2)d_1y - d_1(4 - d_1^2)y^2 + 2(4 - d_1^2)(1 - |y|^2)w. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_3 - d_3 &= \frac{c_1^3}{2} + \frac{c_1(4 - c_1^2)}{2}(x + y) - \frac{c_1(4 - c_1^2)}{4}(x^2 + y^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{(4 - c_1^2)}{2} \left((1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

$$c_2 + d_2 = c_1^2 + \frac{(4 - c_1^2)}{2}(x + y) \tag{2.16}$$

for some x, y and z, w with $|x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1, |z| \leq 1$ and $|w| \leq 1$. Using (2.14) and (2.15) in (2.13), and applying the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| -\frac{(1 - \beta)^4}{16}c_1^4 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^3}{96}c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)(x - y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{16}c_1 \left[\frac{c_1^3}{2} + \frac{(4 - c_1^2)c_1}{2}(x + y) - \frac{(4 - c_1^2)c_1}{4}(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{(4 - c_1^2)}{2} \left((1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{144}(4 - c_1^2)^2(x - y)^2 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \beta)^4}{16}c_1^4 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{32}c_1^4 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{16}c_1(4 - c_1^2) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)^3}{96}c_1^2(4 - c_1^2) + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{32}c_1^2(4 - c_1^2) \right] (|x| + |y|) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{64}c_1^2(4 - c_1^2) - \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{32}c_1(4 - c_1^2) \right] (|x|^2 + |y|^2) + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{144}(4 - c_1^2)^2(|x| + |y|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $|c_1| \leq 2$. Letting $c_1 = c$, we may assume without loss of generality that $c \in [0, 2]$. Thus, for $\lambda = |x| \leq 1$ and $\mu = |y| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq T_1 + T_2(\lambda + \mu) + T_3(\lambda^2 + \mu^2) + T_4(\lambda + \mu)^2 = F(\lambda, \mu),$$

where

$$T_1 = T_1(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{32} c \left[(1 + 2(1 - \beta)^2) c^3 + 2(4 - c^2) \right] \geq 0,$$

$$T_2 = T_2(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{96} c^2 (4 - c^2) (4 - \beta) \geq 0,$$

$$T_3 = T_3(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{64} c (4 - c^2) (c - 2) \leq 0,$$

$$T_4 = T_4(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{144} (4 - c^2)^2 \geq 0.$$

Now we need to maximize $F(\lambda, \mu)$ in the closed square $\mathbb{S} = \{(\lambda, \mu) : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq 1\}$ for $c \in [0, 2]$. We must investigate the maximum of $F(\lambda, \mu)$ according to $c = (0, 2)$, $c = 0$ and $c = 2$, keeping in view the sign of $F_{\lambda\lambda}F_{\mu\mu} - (F_{\lambda\mu})^2$.

First, let $c \in (0, 2)$. Since $T_3 < 0$ and $T_3 + 2T_4 > 0$ for $c \in (0, 2)$, we conclude that

$$F_{\lambda\lambda}F_{\mu\mu} - (F_{\lambda\mu})^2 < 0.$$

Thus, the function F cannot have a local maximum in the interior of the square \mathbb{S} . Now we investigate the maximum of F on the boundary of the square \mathbb{S} .

For $\lambda = 0$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, we obtain

$$F(0, \mu) = G(\mu) = (T_3 + T_4) \mu^2 + T_2 \mu + T_1.$$

We consider the following two cases separately.

Case 1. Let $T_3 + T_4 \geq 0$. In this case, for $0 < \mu < 1$ and for any fixed c with $0 < c < 2$, it is clear that

$$G'(\mu) = 2(T_3 + T_4) \mu + T_2 > 0 \quad (0 < \mu < 1),$$

that is, that $G(\mu)$ is an increasing function. Hence, for fixed $c \in (0, 2)$, the maximum of $G(\mu)$ occurs at $\mu = 1$, and

$$\max\{G(\mu)\} = G(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

Case 2. Let $T_3 + T_4 < 0$. Since

$$T_2 + 2(T_3 + T_4) \geq 0$$

for any fixed c with $0 < c < 2$, it is clear (in this case) that

$$T_2 + 2(T_3 + T_4) < 2(T_3 + T_4) \mu + T_2 < T_2 \quad (0 < \mu < 1),$$

which shows that $G'(\mu) > 0$. Hence, for fixed $c \in (0, 2)$, the maximum of $G(\mu)$ occurs at $\mu = 1$. Similarly, for $\mu = 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, we get

$$\max\{F(\lambda, 0)\} = \max\{G(\lambda)\} = G(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

For $\lambda = 1$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, we obtain

$$F(1, \mu) = H(\mu) = (T_3 + T_4) \mu^2 + (T_2 + 2T_4) \mu + T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

Thus, from the above Case 1 and Case 2 for $T_3 + T_4$, we get

$$\max\{H(\mu)\} = H(1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4.$$

Similarly, for $\mu = 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, we have

$$\max\{F(\lambda, 1)\} = \max\{H(\lambda)\} = H(1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4.$$

Since $G(1) \leq H(1)$ for $c \in (0, 2)$, we have

$$\max\{F(\lambda, \mu)\} = F(1, 1)$$

on the boundary of the square \mathbb{S} . Thus, clearly, the maximum of the function $F(\lambda, \mu)$ occurs when $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 1$ in the closed square \mathbb{S} and for $c \in (0, 2)$.

Let $K : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$K(c) = \max\{F(\lambda, \mu)\} = F(1, 1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4. \tag{2.17}$$

Substituting the values of T_1, T_2, T_3 , and T_4 into the function $K(c)$ defined by (2.17) yields

$$K(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{144} [(9\beta^2 - 15\beta + 1)c^4 + (34 - 12\beta)c^2 + 64].$$

We now investigate the maximum value of $K(c)$ in the interval $(0, 2)$. By elementary calculation, we find that

$$K'(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{36} [(9\beta^2 - 15\beta + 1)c^3 + (17 - 6\beta)c]. \tag{2.18}$$

As a result of some calculations, we can accomplish the following results.

Result 1. Let

$$9\beta^2 - 15\beta + 1 \geq 0,$$

that is,

$$\beta \in \left[0, \frac{5 - \sqrt{21}}{6}\right].$$

Then $K'(c) > 0$ for every $c \in (0, 2)$. Furthermore, since $K(c)$ is an increasing function in the interval $(0, 2)$, it has no maximum value in this interval.

Result 2. Let

$$9\beta^2 - 15\beta + 1 < 0,$$

that is,

$$\beta \in \left(\frac{5 - \sqrt{21}}{6}, 1\right).$$

Then $K'(c) = 0$ implies the real critical point given by

$$c_{0_1} = \sqrt{\frac{6\beta - 17}{9\beta^2 - 15\beta + 1}}.$$

In the case when

$$\beta \in \left(\frac{5 - \sqrt{21}}{6}, \frac{11 - \sqrt{37}}{12} \right],$$

then $c_{0_1} \geq 2$, that is, c_{0_1} lies outside of the interval $(0, 2)$. In the case when

$$\beta \in \left(\frac{11 - \sqrt{37}}{12}, 1 \right),$$

then $c_{0_1} < 2$, that is, c_{0_1} is in the interior of the interval $[0, 2]$. Furthermore, since $K''(c_{0_1}) < 0$, the maximum value of $K(c)$ occurs at $c = c_{0_1}$ for

$$\beta \in \left(\frac{11 - \sqrt{37}}{12}, 1 \right).$$

Thus, clearly, it is observed that

$$\max_{0 < c < 2} \{K(c)\} = K(c_{0_1}) = K \left(\sqrt{\frac{6\beta - 17}{9\beta^2 - 15\beta + 1}} \right) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{2} \left(\frac{15\beta^2 - 21\beta - \frac{25}{4}}{18\beta^2 - 30\beta + 2} \right) \quad (2.19)$$

for

$$\beta \in \left(\frac{11 - \sqrt{37}}{12}, 1 \right).$$

Secondly, let $c = 2$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{S}$. We then obtain a constant function of the dependent variables λ and μ as follows:

$$F(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{2} (2\beta^2 - 4\beta + 3) \quad (2.20)$$

for every $0 \leq \beta < 1$.

Finally, let $c = 0$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{S}$. We then find that

$$F(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{9} (\lambda + \mu)^2.$$

We can easily see that the maximum of $F(\lambda, \mu)$ occurs at $\lambda = \mu = 1$ and we have

$$\max\{F(\lambda, \mu)\} = F(1, 1) = \frac{4(1 - \beta)^2}{9} \quad (2.21)$$

for every β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$).

From (2.19), (2.20), and (2.21), it is easily seen that

$$\frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{9} < \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2}(2\beta^2 - 4\beta + 3) < \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2} \left(\frac{15\beta^2 - 21\beta - \frac{25}{4}}{18\beta^2 - 30\beta + 2} \right)$$

for

$$\beta \in \left(\frac{11 - \sqrt{37}}{12}, 1 \right).$$

We thus obtain the second inequality of (2.1) for

$$\beta \in \left(\frac{11 - \sqrt{37}}{12}, 1 \right).$$

On the other hand, since the following inequality:

$$\frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{9} < \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{2}(2\beta^2 - 4\beta + 3)$$

is satisfied for every β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$), we obtain the first inequality of (2.1) for

$$\beta \in \left[0, \frac{11 - \sqrt{37}}{12} \right].$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Our second main result for the class $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^\alpha$ is given by Theorem 2 below.

Theorem 2 *Let the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$). Then*

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4\alpha^2}{9} & (0 < \alpha \leq \frac{7}{24}), \\ \frac{\alpha^2}{48} \left(\frac{64\alpha^2 - 144\alpha + 5}{12\alpha^2 - 12\alpha + 1} \right) & \left(\frac{7}{24} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{4} \right), \\ \frac{\alpha^2(8\alpha^2+1)}{6} & \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{4} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \right). \end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

Proof Let $f \in \mathcal{N}_\sigma^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and $g = f^{-1}$. Then

$$f'(z) = [p(z)]^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad g'(w) = [q(w)]^\alpha, \tag{2.23}$$

where the functions $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ given by

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots \quad \text{and} \quad q(w) = 1 + d_1w + d_2w^2 + \dots$$

are in class \mathcal{P} .

Now, upon equating the coefficients in (2.23), we have

$$2a_2 = \alpha c_1, \tag{2.24}$$

$$3a_3 = \alpha c_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}c_1^2, \tag{2.25}$$

$$4a_4 = \alpha c_3 + \alpha(\alpha-1)c_1c_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)c_1^3}{6}, \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$-2a_2 = \alpha d_1, \tag{2.27}$$

$$3(2a_2^2 - a_3) = \alpha d_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}d_1^2, \tag{2.28}$$

$$-4(5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4) = \alpha d_3 + \alpha(\alpha-1)d_1d_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)d_1^3}{6}. \tag{2.29}$$

From (2.24) and (2.27), we obtain

$$c_1 = -d_1 \tag{2.30}$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{\alpha c_1}{2}. \tag{2.31}$$

Now, from (2.25), (2.28), and (2.31), we find that

$$a_3 = \frac{\alpha^2 c_1^2}{4} + \frac{\alpha(c_2 - d_2)}{6}. \tag{2.32}$$

Also, from (2.26) and (2.29), we get

$$a_4 = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)c_1^3}{24} + \frac{5\alpha^2 c_1(c_2 - d_2)}{24} + \frac{\alpha(c_3 - d_3)}{8} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)c_1(c_2 + d_2)}{8}. \tag{2.33}$$

We can thus easily establish that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| \frac{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)c_1^4}{48} - \frac{\alpha^4c_1^4}{16} + \frac{\alpha^3c_1^2(c_2 - d_2)}{48} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha^2c_1(c_3 - d_3)}{16} - \frac{\alpha^2(c_2 - d_2)^2}{36} + \frac{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)c_1^2(c_2 + d_2)}{16} \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Using (2.14), (2.15), and (2.16) in (2.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &\leq \frac{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)c_1^4}{48} + \frac{\alpha^4c_1^4}{16} + \frac{\alpha^2c_1^4}{32} + \frac{\alpha^2(\alpha-1)c_1^4}{16} + \frac{\alpha^2c_1(4 - c_1^2)}{16} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha^3c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)}{24}(|x| + |y|) + \frac{\alpha^2c_1(4 - c_1^2)(c_1 - 2)}{64}(|x|^2 + |y|^2) + \frac{\alpha^2(4 - c_1^2)^2}{144}(|x| + |y|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, we obtain $|c_1| \leq 2$. Taking $c_1 = c$, we may assume without any loss of generality that $c \in [0, 2]$. Thus, for

$$\lambda = |x| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = |y| \leq 1,$$

we obtain

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq M_1 + M_2(\lambda + \mu) + M_3(\lambda^2 + \mu^2) + M_4(\lambda + \mu)^2 = \Psi(\lambda, \mu),$$

where

$$M_1 = M_1(c) = \frac{\alpha^2}{96} [(8\alpha^2 + 1)c^4 - 6c^3 + 24c] \geq 0,$$

$$M_2 = M_2(c) = \frac{\alpha^3}{24} c^2(4 - c^2) \geq 0,$$

$$M_3 = M_3(c) = \frac{\alpha^2}{64} c(4 - c^2)(c - 2) \leq 0,$$

$$M_4 = M_4(c) = \frac{\alpha^2}{144} (4 - c^2)^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we need to maximize $\Psi(\lambda, \mu)$ in the closed square \mathbb{S} given by

$$\mathbb{S} = \{(\lambda, \mu) : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1\}.$$

In order to determine the maximum of $\Psi(\lambda, \mu)$, we can analogously follow the derivation of the maximum of $F(\lambda, \mu)$ in Theorem 1. Thus, clearly, the maximum of $\Psi(\lambda, \mu)$ occurs at $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 1$ in the closed square \mathbb{S} . Let $\Phi : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi(c) = \max\{\Psi(\lambda, \mu)\} = \Psi(1, 1) = M_1 + 2(M_2 + M_3) + 4M_4. \tag{2.35}$$

Substituting the values of M_1, M_2, M_3 , and M_4 into the function $\Phi(c)$ given by (2.35), we get

$$\Phi(c) = \frac{\alpha^2}{144} [(12\alpha^2 - 12\alpha + 1)c^4 + (48\alpha - 14)c^2 + 64].$$

Let

$$P = 12\alpha^2 - 12\alpha + 1, \quad Q = 48\alpha - 14, \quad \text{and} \quad R = 64. \tag{2.36}$$

Then, since

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 4} \{(Pt^2 + Qt + R)\} = \begin{cases} R & (Q \leq 0; P \leq -\frac{Q}{4}), \\ 16P + 4Q + R & (Q \geq 0 \text{ and } P \geq -\frac{Q}{8} \text{ or } Q \leq 0 \text{ and } P \geq -\frac{Q}{4}), \\ \frac{4PR - Q^2}{4P} & (Q > 0; P \leq -\frac{Q}{8}), \end{cases} \tag{2.37}$$

we have

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{144} \begin{cases} R & (Q \leq 0; P \leq -\frac{Q}{4}), \\ 16P + 4Q + R & (Q \geq 0 \text{ and } P \geq -\frac{Q}{8} \text{ or } Q \leq 0 \text{ and } P \geq -\frac{Q}{4}), \\ \frac{4PR - Q^2}{4P} & (Q > 0; P \leq -\frac{Q}{8}), \end{cases}$$

where P , Q , and R are given by (2.36).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

For $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 1 or for $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the coefficient estimate given by the corollary below.

Corollary. *Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class \mathcal{N}'_σ . Then*

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] Altınkaya Ş, Yalçın S. Faber polynomial coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions. *C R Acad Sci Paris Sr I* 2015; 353: 1075-1080.
- [2] Brannan DA, Clunie JG. Aspects of contemporary complex analysis. In: Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute Held at the University of Durham. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press, 1980.
- [3] Brannan DA, Taha TS. On some classes of bi-univalent functions. In: Mazhar SM, Hamoui A, Faour NS, editors. *KFAS Proceedings Series, Vol. 3*. Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press, 1988, pp. 53-60.
- [4] Bulut S. Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions. *C R Acad Sci Paris Sr I* 2014; 352: 479-484.
- [5] Çağlar M, Orhan H, Yağmur N. Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Filomat* 2013; 27: 1165-1171.
- [6] Cantor DG. Power series with integral coefficients. *B Am Math Soc* 1963; 69: 362-366.
- [7] Deniz E. Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions. *J Class Anal* 2013; 2: 49-60.
- [8] Deniz E, Çağlar M, Orhan H. Second Hankel determinant for bi-starlike and bi-convex functions of order β . *Appl Math Comput* 2015; 271: 301-307.
- [9] Duren PL. Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 259. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 1983.
- [10] Eker SS. Coefficient bounds for subclasses of m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. *Turk J Math* 2016; 40: 641-646.
- [11] Fekete M, Szegő G. Eine Bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte Funktionen. *J London Math Soc* 1933; 8: 85-89 (in German).
- [12] Frasin BA, Aouf MK. New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Appl Math Lett* 2011; 24: 1569-1573.
- [13] Grenander U, Szegő G. Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications. California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences. Berkeley, CA, USA: University California Press, 1958.
- [14] Hamidi SG, Jahangiri JM. Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions. *C R Acad Sci Paris Sr I* 2014; 352: 17-20.
- [15] Janteng A, Halim SA, Darus M. Hankel Determinant for starlike and convex functions. *Int J Math Anal* 2007; 1: 619-625.
- [16] Kanas S, Kim SA, Sivasubramanian S. Verification of Brannan and Clunie's conjecture for certain subclasses of bi-univalent function. *Ann Polon Math* 2015; 113: 295-304.

- [17] Kedzierawski AW. Some remarks on bi-univalent functions. *Ann Univ Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect A* 1985; 39: 77-81.
- [18] Keogh FR, Merkes EP. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. *P Am Math Soc* 1969; 20: 8-12.
- [19] Kumar SS, Kumar V, Ravichandran V. Estimates for the initial coefficients of bi-univalent functions. *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Information and Mathematical Sciences* 2013; 29: 487-504.
- [20] Lee SK, Ravichandran V, Supramaniam S. Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions. *J Inequal Appl* 2013; 2031: 281.
- [21] Lewin M. On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. *P Am Math Soc* 1967; 18: 63-68.
- [22] Netanyahu E. The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$. *Arch Rational Mech Anal* 1969; 32: 100-112.
- [23] Noonan JW, Thomas DK. On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p -valent functions. *T Am Math Soc* 1976; 223: 337-346.
- [24] Orhan H, Deniz E, Raducanu D. The Fekete-Szegő problem for subclasses of analytic functions defined by a differential operator related to conic domains. *Comput Math Appl* 2010; 59: 283-295.
- [25] Orhan H, Magesh N, Balaji VK. Initial coefficient bounds for a general class of bi-univalent functions. *Filomat* 2015; 29: 1259-1267.
- [26] Orhan H, Magesh N, Yamini J. Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions. *Turk J Math* 2016; 40: 679-687.
- [27] Pommerenke C. *Univalent Functions*. Gottingen, Germany: Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, 1975.
- [28] Srivastava HM, Bulut S, Çağlar M, Yağmur N. Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Filomat* 2013; 27: 831-842.
- [29] Srivastava HM, Eker SS, Ali RM. Coefficient estimates for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Filomat* 2015; 29: 1839-1845.
- [30] Srivastava HM, Mishra AK, Gochhayat P. Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl Math Lett* 2010; 23: 1188-1192.
- [31] Tan DL. Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions. *Chinese Ann Math Ser A* 1984; 5: 559-568.
- [32] Xu QH, Gui YC, Srivastava HM. Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl Math Lett* 2012; 25: 990-994.
- [33] Xu QH, Xiao HG, Srivastava HM. A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems. *Appl Math Comput* 2012; 218: 11461-11465.
- [34] Zaprawa P. On the Fekete-Szegő problem for classes of bi-univalent functions. *Bull Belg Math Soc Simon Stevin* 2014; 21: 169-178.
- [35] Zaprawa P. Estimates of initial coefficients for bi-univalent functions. *Abstr Appl Anal* 2014; 2014: 357480.