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#### Abstract

We consider a two-obstacle problem with measure data. For measures that do not charge sets of zero $p(\cdot)$ capacity, we obtain the existence and uniqueness of the solution. On the other hand, for the measure concentrated on a set with zero $p(\cdot)$-capacity, we prove a nonexistence result in the sense that when one looks for solutions via approximation, one cannot find a reasonable solution; see Theorem 2.3 and Remark 2.1 below.
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## 1. Introduction

Differential equations and variational problems involving variable exponents have attracted more and more attention in recent years. Such problems are interesting from the purely mathematical point of view. Moreover, they have potential applications in various fields such as flow through porous media [1], thermorheological fluids [2], image processing [5, 10], and especially electrorheological fluids (an essential class of non-Newtonian fluids), which have been used not only in fast-acting hydraulic valves and clutches, brakes, and shock absorbers, but also in some new fields such as accurate abrasive polishing, robotics, and space technology [3, 18].

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N>2)$ and let $\mu$ be a bounded Radon measure on $\Omega$. Let $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1<p^{-}=\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p^{+}=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<N$. In this paper, we consider the double-obstacle problem involving a variable exponent, which consists of finding a function $u \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}$ such that the following variational inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla(v-u) \geq \int_{\Omega} \mu(v-u) d x, \forall v \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\psi}^{\varphi}=\left\{v \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \psi \leq v \leq \varphi\right\}, \varphi, \psi \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega), \psi \leq \varphi$ a.e. in $\Omega$.
We recall that obstacle problems with constant exponents and data of $L^{1}$ or measure type have been studied largely; see for example [4, 15, 16] and the references therein. In [19], an obstacle problem with variable exponent and $L^{1}$ data was studied. Using smooth approximation, the authors proved the existence and uniqueness of the entropy solution. This result was then extended to obstacle problems with more general type of variable exponents by the authors in [17]. In [8, 11], the regularity and stability results were established for some obstacle problems involving variable exponents.

[^0]More recently, in [20] Rodrigues and Teymurazyanin studied a double-obstacle problem, which included problem (1.1) as a special case. The existence and uniqueness result was obtained when the data involved were regular enough. Motivated by these previous works, in this paper we consider the double-obstacle problem (1.1) involving measure data. Under suitable assumptions, we prove that problem (1.1) admits a unique solution if $\mu$ does not charge sets of zero $p(\cdot)$-capacity, and the problem does not admit a "reasonable" solution if $\mu$ is concentrated on a set with zero $p(\cdot)$-capacity.

To move on, let us first recall the definitions and properties of the generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces; interested readers may refer to [7, 9, 13] for more details.

For $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ with $p^{-}>1$, define the variable exponent Lebesgue space as

$$
L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)=\left\{u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; u \text { is measurable and } \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

with norm $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}=\inf \left\{\rho>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\rho}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}$. We have

$$
\min \left\{\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p^{+}},\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p^{-}}\right\} \leq \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \leq \max \left\{\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p^{+}},\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}^{p^{-}}\right\} .
$$

As $p^{-}>1$, the space is a reflexive Banach space with dual $L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}=1$. For any $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), v \in L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we have the Hölder-type inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u v| d x \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}\right)\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}
$$

For positive integer $k$, the generalized Sobolev space is defined as

$$
W^{k, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega): D^{\alpha} u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega),|\alpha| \leq k\right\}
$$

with norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{k, p(\cdot)}}=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}
$$

In this paper, we will always assume that $p(\cdot)$ satisfies the following log-Hölder continuous condition, i.e. there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq-\frac{C}{\log |x-y|}, \text { for every } x, y \in \Omega \text { with }|x-y|<\frac{1}{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition ensures that smooth functions are dense in the generalized Sobolev spaces. Then $W_{0}^{k, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ can naturally be defined as the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{k, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k, p(\cdot)}}$. For $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, the Poincaré-type inequality holds, i.e. $\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}$, where the positive constant $C$ depends on $p$ and $\Omega$. Thus $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}$ is an equivalent norm in $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Let $K \subset \Omega$ be compact and $\chi_{K}$ be its characteristic function. The $p(\cdot)$-capacity of $K$ with respect to $\Omega$ can be defined as follows (see $[7,12]$ ):

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p(\cdot)}(K, \Omega)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{p(x)} d x, \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega), \varphi \geq \chi_{K}\right\}
$$

where we set $\inf \emptyset=\infty$. For any open set $U \subset \Omega$, define

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p(\cdot)}(U, \Omega)=\sup \left\{\operatorname{cap}_{p(\cdot)}(K, \Omega), K \subset U, K \text { compact }\right\} .
$$

The definition of $p(\cdot)$-capacity can be extended to any Borel set $E \subset \Omega$ as

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p(\cdot)}(E, \Omega)=\inf \left\{\operatorname{cap}_{p(\cdot)}(U, \Omega), E \subset U \subset \Omega, U \text { open }\right\} .
$$

Using truncation and smooth approximation, for every compact set $K \subset \Omega$, one may define its $p(\cdot)$-capacity as

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p(\cdot)}(K, \Omega)=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi|^{p(x)} d x, \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega), 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, \varphi=1 \text { in some neighborhood of } K\right\} .
$$

Let $\mu$ be a bounded Radon measure concentrated on a set $E$. Thanks to the Hahn decomposition theorem, $\mu$ can be decomposed as $\mu=\mu^{+}-\mu^{-}$, where $\mu^{+}$and $\mu^{-}$, being positive, are the upper and lower variation of $\mu$, respectively, with $\mu^{+}$concentrated on $E^{+}, \mu^{-}$concentrated on $E^{-}$, and $E^{+} \cap E^{-}=\emptyset$.

The following lemmas play an essential role in our analysis in the next.
Lemma 1.1 ([6]) Let $\mu$ be a bounded Radon measure on $\Omega$, which is absolutely continuous with respect to the $p(\cdot)$-capacity. Then $\mu$ can be decomposed as $\mu=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$ with $\mu_{1} \in L^{1}(\Omega), \mu_{2} \in W^{-1, p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 1.2 [6] Let $\mu=\mu^{+}-\mu^{-}$be a Radon measure concentrated on a set $E$ of zero $p(\cdot)$-capacity with $1<p^{-} \leq p^{+} \leq N$. Then for every $\delta>0$, there exist two functions $\psi_{\delta}^{+}, \psi_{\delta}^{-} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \psi_{\delta}^{+} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \psi_{\delta}^{-} \leq 1, \quad \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \psi_{\delta}^{+}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq \delta, \quad \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \psi_{\delta}^{-}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq \delta \\
& 0 \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(1-\psi_{\delta}^{+}\right) d \mu^{+} \leq \delta, \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(1-\psi_{\delta}^{-}\right) d \mu^{-} \leq \delta, \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\delta}^{-} d \mu^{+} \leq \delta, \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\delta}^{+} d \mu^{-} \leq \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Existence and nonexistence results

In this section, we prove existence and nonexistence results for problem (1.1) according to the singularity of the data $\mu$. We are mainly concerned with the case $1<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<N$.

Our first result concerns the existence result for problem (1.1).
Theorem 2.1 If $\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the $p(\cdot)$-capacity, problem (1.1) admits a unique solution $u$.
Proof If $\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the $p(\cdot)$-capacity, thanks to Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\mu=g+\operatorname{div} G \text { with } g \in L^{1}(\Omega), G \in\left(L^{\left.p^{p^{\prime}} \cdot\right)}(\Omega)\right)^{N} .
$$

Then problem (1.1) is equivalent to the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla(v-u) d x \geq \int_{\Omega} g(v-u) d x+\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla(v-u) d x \quad \forall v \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi},  \tag{2.1}\\
u_{n} \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}=\left\{v \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \psi \leq v \leq \varphi\right\},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega), \psi \leq \varphi$ a.e. in $\Omega$.

The uniqueness of the solution to problem (2.1) follows from rather standard arguments. Indeed, assume that $u_{1}, u_{2}$ are two solutions of (2.1). Taking $u_{1}$ as a test function in the formulation of solution $u_{2}$, and taking $u_{2}$ as a test function in the formulation of solution $u_{1}$, we can easily deduce that $u_{1}=u_{2}$.

The existence of the solution $u$ for problem (2.1) can be obtained as the limit of the solution sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ for the following approximate problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega} g_{n}\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x, \quad \forall v \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}  \tag{2.2}\\
u_{n} \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}=\left\{v \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \psi \leq v \leq \varphi\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g_{n}$ is a sequence of smooth functions that converges to $g$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$ with $\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq\|g\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}$. Since the analysis is rather similar to those in [6, 19], we omit the details.

In the above theorem, we have found that when $\mu$ is a 'smooth' measure, we can find a solution for problem (1.1) via approximations. However, for a singular measure $\mu$ we cannot expect to find a reasonable solution for problem (1.1) in such a way.

Let $\mu\left(=\mu^{+}-\mu^{-}\right)$be a bounded Radon measure concentrated on a set $E(\subset \Omega)$ with zero $p(\cdot)$-capacity. Let $f_{n}=f_{n}^{\oplus}-f_{n}^{\ominus}$ be a sequence of smooth data with $f_{n}^{\oplus}, f_{n}^{\ominus}$ being positive and converging to $\mu^{+}, \mu^{-}$ respectively in the narrow topology of measures, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\oplus} \phi d x=\int_{\Omega} \phi d \mu^{+}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\ominus} \phi d x=\int_{\Omega} \phi d \mu^{-} \quad \text { for any } \phi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G \in\left(L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)\right)^{N}, g \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, and $g_{n}$ be a sequence of smooth functions that converges to $g$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$ with $\left\|g_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq\|g\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}$. Consider the following obstacle problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega} g_{n}\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x  \tag{2.4}\\
+\int_{\Omega} f_{n}\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x, \forall v \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi} \\
u_{n} \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}=\left\{v \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \psi \leq v \leq \varphi\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega), \psi \leq \varphi$ a.e. in $\Omega$. As $n$ tends to infinity, we have the following convergence result for the solution sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ (the existence and uniqueness of the solution $u_{n}$ to (2.2) for each $n$ follows from standard results for monotone, coercive operators; see [14, 20]).

Theorem 2.2 Let $u_{n}$ be the solution to problem (2.2). Then when $n$ tends to infinity, $u_{n}$ converges in $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ to a function $u$, which is the unique solution of the following double obstacle problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla(v-u) \geq \int_{\Omega} g(v-u) d x+\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla(v-u), \forall v \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}  \tag{2.5}\\
u \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}=\left\{v \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \psi \leq v \leq \varphi\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

As a special case, we have the following nonexistence result for problem (1.1) immediately.
Theorem 2.3 Let $\mu\left(=\mu^{+}-\mu^{-}\right)$be a bounded Radon measure concentrated on a set with zero $p(\cdot)$-capacity, and $f_{n}$ be a sequence of smooth functions converging to $\mu$ in the sense of (2.3). Then as $n$ tends to infinity,
the solution $u_{n}$ for the following obstacle problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega} f_{n}\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x, \forall v \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}  \tag{2.6}\\
u_{n} \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}=\left\{v \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \psi \leq v \leq \varphi\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

converges to 0 in $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.
Remark 2.1 As we see, if $\mu$ is a bounded Radon measure concentrated on a set with zero $p(\cdot)$-capacity, we cannot find a solution to problem (1.1) by approximation. The 'singular' measure disappears when we pass to the limit in the approximate problem. Moreover, 0 is not a solution to the original problem (1.1). Thus Theorem 2.3 implies a nonexistence result for problem (1.1) in the sense that when one looks for solutions by approximation, one cannot find a reasonable solution.

Remark 2.2 For obstacle problems with general Leray-Lions type operators involving variable exponent (see for example [21]), with very minor modifications, we can prove the similar result.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 2.2. Hereafter, we use $C$ to denote some positive constant, which may distinguish with each other even in the same line. We denote by $w(\delta, n)$ any quantity such that

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}|w(\delta, n)|=0
$$

Furthermore, the convergences may be understood to be taken possibly up to a suitable subsequence extraction, even if we do not explicitly stress it.

Taking a function $v_{0} \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}$ as a test function in (2.4), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(g_{n}+f_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla v_{0} d x+\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x \\
& \leq C \int_{\Omega}|G|^{p^{\prime}(x)} d x+C \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that (2.3) implies that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C$. We then deduce from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega}\left(|G|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x+2\left(\|g\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+C\right) \max \left\{\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)},\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right\} \leq C \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\psi \leq u_{n} \leq \varphi$, we know that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and there exists a function $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\psi \leq u \leq \varphi$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \longrightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{1}(\Omega), \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}(\Omega) \\
& u_{n} \longrightarrow u \quad \text { weakly in } W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \nabla u_{n} \longrightarrow \nabla u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, let us prove that $u_{n}$ converges to $u$ strongly in $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.
Let $\Psi_{\delta}=\psi_{\delta}^{+}+\psi_{\delta}^{-}$. Taking $u_{n} \Psi_{\delta}+u\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right.$ ) (of course belongs to $K_{\psi}^{\varphi}$ ) as a test function in (2.4), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \Psi_{\delta}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} g\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla \Psi_{\delta}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\oplus}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\ominus}\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right) d x \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote the seven terms in the above inequality by $A_{1}$ to $A_{7}$ sequentially. Thanks to Lemma 2.2 , both $\psi_{\delta}^{+}$and $\psi_{\delta}^{-}$converge to 0 weakly* in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, strongly in $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, almost everywhere in $\Omega$, as $\delta$ vanishes. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we are ready to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}, A_{5}=w(\delta, n) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the weakly* convergence of $u_{n}$ to $u$, we have $A_{3}=w(\delta, n)$. On the other hand, from the weak convergence of $\nabla u_{n}$ to $\nabla u$ in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we know that $A_{4}=w(n)$. By Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\left|A_{6}\right| \leq C \int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\oplus}\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right) d x=C \int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\oplus}\left(1-\psi_{\delta}^{+}\right) d x-C \int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\oplus} \psi_{\delta}^{-} d x=w(\delta, n)
$$

Similarly, we have $A_{7}=w(\delta, n)$. Lastly, from the weak convergence of $\nabla u_{n}$ to $\nabla u$ in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right) d x=w(\delta, n)
$$

Then we deduce from the convergences of $A_{2}$ to $A_{7}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right) d x \leq A_{1}-\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right) d x=w(\delta, n) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the strong convergence of $u_{n}$ to $u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we need only to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u-\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \Psi_{\delta} d x=w(\delta, n) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)} \Psi_{\delta} d x \longrightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we need only to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \Psi_{\delta} d x \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \delta \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\varphi \psi_{\delta}^{+}+\left(1-\psi_{\delta}^{+}\right) u_{n}\left(\in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}\right)$ as a test function in (2.4), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla\left(u_{n}-\varphi\right) \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \psi_{\delta}^{+}\left(u_{n}-\varphi\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} g\left(u_{n}-\varphi\right) \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x+\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-\varphi\right) \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x+\int_{\Omega} G \cdot \nabla \psi_{\delta}^{+}\left(u_{n}-\varphi\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\oplus}\left(u_{n}-\varphi\right) \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x-\int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\ominus}\left(u_{n}-\varphi\right) \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote the seven terms in (2.14) by $B_{1}$ to $B_{7}$ sequentially. Similar to the analysis of (2.9), thanks to the convergence of $\psi_{\delta}^{+}$and the estimate for $u_{n}$, we have

$$
B_{2}, B_{3}, B_{4}, B_{5}=w(\delta, n)
$$

Note that $B_{6}$ is nonpositive and

$$
\left|B_{7}\right|=\left|\int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\ominus}\left(u_{n}-\varphi\right) \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x\right| \leq C \int_{\Omega} f_{n}^{\ominus} \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x=w(\delta, n)
$$

Note that $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n}$ converges to some function $\chi$ weakly in $L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. We have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x=\int_{\Omega} \chi \nabla \varphi \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x+w(n)=w(\delta, n)
$$

Then we deduce from (2.14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x=B_{1}+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n} \nabla \varphi \psi_{\delta}^{+} d x=w(\delta, n) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, taking $\psi \psi_{\delta}^{-}+\left(1-\psi_{\delta}^{-}\right) u_{n}\left(\in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}\right)$ as a test function in (2.4), we can prove that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \psi_{\delta}^{-} d x=w(\delta, n)
$$

which, combined with (2.15), implies (2.13). Then we conclude from (2.11), (2.12) that $u_{n}$ converges to $u$ strongly in $W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

For any $v \in K_{\psi}^{\varphi}$, taking $u_{n} \Psi_{\delta}+v\left(1-\Psi_{\delta}\right)$ in (2.4), thanks to the convergence of $u_{n}$ and $\Psi_{\delta}$, it is easy to obtain that $u$ is a solution to problem (2.5) by passing to the limit on $n$ and $\delta$. The proof is completed.
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