

Coefficient bounds for a new subclass of analytic bi-close-to-convex functions by making use of Faber polynomial expansion

Fethiye Müge SAKAR¹, Hatun Özlem GÜNEY^{2,*}

¹Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Management and Economics, Batman University, Batman, Turkey

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Dicle University, Diyarbakır, Turkey

Received: 26.05.2016

Accepted/Published Online: 27.09.2016

Final Version: 25.07.2017

Abstract: Recently, in the literature, we can see quite a few papers about general coefficient bounds for subclasses of bi-univalent functions. However, we can find just a few papers about general coefficient estimates for subclasses of bi-close-to-convex functions. In the present study, we give and look into a new subclass of analytic and bi-close-to-convex functions in the open unit disk. Making use of the Faber series, we have an upper bound for the general coefficient of functions in this class. We also demonstrate the invisible behavior of the beginning coefficients of a special subclass of bi-close-to-convex functions.

Key words: Analytic functions, bi-close-to convex functions, Faber polynomials, bi-univalent functions, coefficient estimates

1. Introduction

We know that a function is *univalent* if it never takes the same value twice. We also know that a function is *bi-univalent* if both it and its inverse are univalent.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions f that are *analytic* in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of functions in \mathcal{A} that are univalent in \mathbb{U} and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ and having the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1.1)$$

For α ; $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we let $S^*(\alpha)$ denote the class of function $g \in \mathcal{S}$ that are starlike of order α in \mathbb{U} , namely, $Re \left\{ \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \alpha$ in \mathbb{U} and $C(\alpha)$ indicate the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ that are close-to-convex of order α in \mathbb{U} , namely, if a function g is in $S^*(0) = S^*$ so that $Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \alpha$ in \mathbb{U} [6, 10]. We note that $S^*(\alpha) \subset C(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}$ and that $|a_n| \leq n$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ by the Bieberbach conjecture [3, 6].

The Koebe 1/4 theorem [6] asserts that the image of \mathbb{U} under each univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ contains the disk of radius 1/4. According to this, if $F = f^{-1}$ is the inverse of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then F has a Maclaurin series expansion in some disk about the origin. Thus every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} that satisfies $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ for $|w| < 1/4$.

*Correspondence: ozlemg@dicle.edu.tr

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be *bi-univalent* in \mathbb{U} if both f and $F = f^{-1}$ are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Similarly, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be *bi-close-to-convex* of order α if both f and $F = f^{-1}$ are bi-close-to-convex of order α in \mathbb{U} . Let Σ define the class of all bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} represented by the Taylor–Maclaurin series expansion (1.1). For a short history and examples of functions in the class Σ , see [13] (see also [5, 11, 12, 14]).

For some $(0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$, we let $\mathcal{T}_\Sigma(\lambda, \beta)$ define the subclass of close-to-convex functions f given by (1.1) if a function $g(z)$ is in S^* such that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \lambda)g(z) + \lambda z g'(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{1.2}$$

In particular, for $\lambda = 0$, we have $\mathcal{T}_\Sigma(0, \beta)$, which was introduced by Hamidi and Jahangiri [8] and they said that the bi-close-to-convex functions considered in their paper are the largest subclass of bi-univalent functions.

Faber polynomials, which are used by us in this paper, play a considerable act in geometric function theory, which was introduced by Faber [7].

Firstly, Lewin [11] considered the class of bi-univalent functions, obtaining the estimate $|a_2| \leq 1.51$. Subsequently, Brannan and Clunie [4] developed Lewin’s result to $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $f \in \Sigma$. Accordingly, Netanyahu [12] showed that $|a_2| \leq \frac{4}{3}$. Brannan and Taha [5] defined certain subclasses of bi-univalent function class Σ similar to the usual subclasses. In fact, the aforementioned work by Srivastava et al. [13] essentially revived the investigation of various subclasses of bi-univalent function class Σ in recent years. Lately, many mathematicians found bounds for several subclasses of bi-univalent functions (see [9, 13, 16]). Only a few papers determine general coefficient bounds $|a_n|$ for the analytic bi-close-to-convex functions in the associated documents. In particular, in [8] Hamidi and Jahangiri introduced the class of bi-close-to-convex functions and determined estimates for the general coefficient $|a_n|$ of bi-close-to-convex function under certain gap series condition by using Faber polynomials.

In this study, we let $f \in \mathcal{T}_\Sigma(\lambda, \beta)$ and $F = f^{-1} \in \mathcal{T}_\Sigma(\lambda, \beta)$. We make use of the Faber series to determine the general Taylor–Maclaurin coefficients $|a_n|$ of functions in a certain subclass of bi-close-to-convex functions under some condition. We demonstrate the unpredictability of the beginning coefficients behavior of bi-starlike functions. For some special cases, the coefficient estimates for the functions in this class are the same as the coefficient estimates of the bi-close-to-convex functions considered in [8], which are the largest subclass of bi-univalent functions.

We need the following theorem from Airault and Bouali [1] for proving our main results.

Theorem 1.1 Let $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$. The inverse function of f , $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ is given in terms of the Faber polynomials of f with

$$F(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_{n-1}^{-n}(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) w^n = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n w^n, \tag{1.3}$$

$$K_{n-1}^{-n} = \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+1)!(n-1)!} a_2^{n-1} + \frac{(-n)!}{(2(-n+1))!(n-3)!} a_2^{n-3} a_3$$

$$+ \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+3)!(n-4)!} a_2^{n-4} a_4$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{(-n)!}{(2(-n+2))!(n-5)!} a_2^{n-5} [a_5 + (-n+2)a_3^2] \\
 & + \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+5)!(n-6)!} a_2^{n-6} [a_6 + (-2n+5)a_3a_4] + \sum_{j \geq 7} a_2^{n-j} V_j,
 \end{aligned}$$

where V_j is a homogeneous polynomial in the variables a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n [2]. The first few terms of K_{n-1}^{-n} are

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1^{-2} &= -2a_2 \\
 K_2^{-3} &= 3(2a_2^2 - a_3) \\
 K_3^{-4} &= -4(5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4).
 \end{aligned}$$

In general, an expansion of $K_{n-1}^p(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)$ is given by

$$K_{n-1}^p = pa_n + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} D_{n-1}^2 + \frac{p!}{(p-3)!3!} D_{n-1}^3 + \dots + \frac{p!}{(p-n+1)!(n-1)!} D_{n-1}^{n-1}, \quad (p \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \mp 1, \mp 2, \dots\}$ and $D_{n-1}^p = D_{n-1}^p(a_2, a_3, \dots)$ and by [15],

$$D_{n-1}^m = D_{n-1}^m(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{m!(a_2)^{\mu_1} \dots (a_n)^{\mu_{n-1}}}{\mu_1! \dots \mu_{n-1!}}, \quad \text{for, } m \leq n.$$

and the sum is taken over all nonnegative integers μ_1, \dots, μ_{n-1} satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_{n-1} = m \\ \mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \dots + (n-1)\mu_{n-1} = n-1. \end{cases}$$

(see, for details, [1, 2]). It is clear that

$$D_{n-1}^{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n) = a_2^{n-1}.$$

2. Main results

Firstly, we state the general coefficient estimate of functions in $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}(\lambda, \beta)$ as follows.

Theorem 2.1 For $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, let $f \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}(\lambda, \beta)$ and $F = f^{-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}(\lambda, \beta)$. If $a_k = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{n[1+(n-1)\lambda]} + 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof Let $f \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}(\lambda, \beta)$ given by (1.1). Therefore, there is a function $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in S^*$ so that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\lambda)g(z) + \lambda z g'(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

By using Faber polynomial expansion, we obtain

$$\frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \lambda)g(z) + \lambda z g'(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)(na_n - b_n) + \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} (1 + (n - s - 1)\lambda)K_s^{-1}[(1 + \lambda)b_2, (1 + 2\lambda)b_3, \dots, (1 + s\lambda)b_{s+1}]((n - s)a_{n-s} - b_{n-s})] z^{n-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Now for the inverse map $F = f^{-1}$ there exists a function $G(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n w^n \in S^*$ so that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{wF'(w) + \lambda w^2 F''(w)}{(1 - \lambda)G(w) + \lambda w G'(w)} \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

According to (2.2), the Faber polynomial series of the inverse map $F = f^{-1}$ is $F(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n w^n$. Thus we have

$$\frac{wF'(w) + \lambda w^2 F''(w)}{(1 - \lambda)G(w) + \lambda w G'(w)} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)(nA_n - B_n) + \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} (1 + (n - s - 1)\lambda)K_s^{-1}[(1 + \lambda)B_2, (1 + 2\lambda)B_3, \dots, (1 + s\lambda)B_{s+1}]((n - s)A_{n-s} - B_{n-s})] w^{n-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

Nevertheless, since $f \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}(\lambda, \beta)$ and $F = f^{-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}(\lambda, \beta)$, we know that there are two positive real part functions:

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

and

$$q(w) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n w^n,$$

where $Re(p(z)) > 0$ and $Re(q(w)) > 0$ in \mathbb{U} so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zf'(z) + \lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \lambda)g(z) + \lambda z g'(z)} &= \beta + (1 - \beta)p(z) \\ &= 1 + (1 - \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{wF'(w) + \lambda w^2 F''(w)}{(1 - \lambda)G(w) + \lambda wG'(w)} &= \beta + (1 - \beta)\mathbf{q}(w) \\ &= 1 + (1 - \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n w^n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Comparing the coefficients of (2.2) with (2.4) and considering the Caratheodory Lemma, $|c_n| \leq 2$ and $|d_n| \leq 2$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)(na_n - b_n) + \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} (1 + (n - s - 1)\lambda)K_s^{-1}[(1 + \lambda)b_2, (1 + 2\lambda)b_3, \dots, (1 + s\lambda)b_{s+1}]((n - s)a_{n-s} - b_{n-s}) \right] \\ &= (1 - \beta)c_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In a similar way, comparing the coefficients of (2.3) with (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)(nA_n - B_n) + \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} (1 + (n - s - 1)\lambda)K_s^{-1}[(1 + \lambda)B_2, (1 + 2\lambda)B_3, \dots, (1 + s\lambda)B_{s+1}]((n - s)A_{n-s} - B_{n-s}) \right] \\ &= (1 - \beta)d_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Note that for the special case $n = 2$, (2.6) and (2.7) respectively, yield

$$(1 + \lambda)(2a_2 - b_2) = (1 - \beta)c_1$$

and

$$-(1 + \lambda)(2a_2 + B_2) = (1 - \beta)d_1.$$

Now, solving for a_2 and taking modulus, we have

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{(2 + \lambda - \beta)}{(1 + \lambda)}.$$

For $a_k = 0$, $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we note that (2.6) and (2.7) respectively, yield

$$(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)(na_n - b_n) = (1 - \beta)c_{n-1} \tag{2.8}$$

$$-(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)(na_n + B_n) = (1 - \beta)d_{n-1}. \tag{2.9}$$

It is known that, with respect to the Caratheodory Lemma [6], $|c_n| \leq 2$ and $|d_n| \leq 2$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Just taking the absolute values of (2.8) and (2.9) for $|b_n| \leq n$ and $|B_n|$, we get

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{n[1 + (n - 1)\lambda]} + 1$$

which evidently finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

If we take $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain Corollary 2.2, which was proved by Hamidi and Jahangiri [8].

Corollary 2.2 For $0 \leq \beta < 1$ let the function $f \in S$ be bi-close-to-convex of order β in \mathbb{U} . If $a_k = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, then

$$|a_n| \leq 1 + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{n}.$$

In particular, we let $f(z) = g(z)$ in (1.2). Thus, for the bi-starlike case, we have the class $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\lambda, \beta)$, which is a subclass of $\mathcal{T}_\Sigma(\lambda, \beta)$. Then we give Theorem 3, which proves the unpredictability of the coefficient behaviour of this subclass of bi-univalent functions.

Theorem 2.3 Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\lambda, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$) be given by (1.1) and $F = f^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\lambda, \beta)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{1-\lambda^2+2\lambda}}; & 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1+2\lambda-3\lambda^2}{2(1+2\lambda-\lambda^2)} \\ \frac{2(1-\beta)}{1+\lambda}; & \frac{1+2\lambda-3\lambda^2}{2(1+2\lambda-\lambda^2)} \leq \beta < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2(1-\beta)}{-\lambda^2+2\lambda+1}; & 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1+2\lambda-3\lambda^2}{2(1+2\lambda-\lambda^2)} \\ \frac{(1-\beta)(3-2\beta)}{1+2\lambda}; & \frac{1+2\lambda-3\lambda^2}{2(1+2\lambda-\lambda^2)} \leq \beta < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof We note that the function $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty b_n z^n$ will be equal to the function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1 for the bi-starlike case. Namely, $a_n = b_n$ in there.

Replacing n by 2 in (2.6) and (2.7), respectively, we have

$$(1 + \lambda)a_2 = (1 - \beta)c_1 \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$-(1 + \lambda)a_2 = (1 - \beta)d_1. \tag{2.11}$$

By taking the absolute values of either (2.10) or (2.11) we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq 2 \frac{(1 - \beta)}{(1 + \lambda)}. \tag{2.12}$$

Replacing n by 3 in (2.6) and (2.7), respectively, we have

$$2(1 + 2\lambda)a_3 - (1 + \lambda)^2 a_2^2 = (1 - \beta)c_2 \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$-2(1 + 2\lambda)a_3 + (3 + 6\lambda - \lambda^2)a_2^2 = (1 - \beta)d_2. \tag{2.14}$$

Adding the above two equations (2.13) and (2.14), taking absolute values and solving for $|a_2|$, gives

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - \beta)}{(1 + 2\lambda - \lambda^2)}}. \tag{2.15}$$

As a result of this, we obtain the bound on $|a_2|$ upon noting that $\sqrt{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1+2\lambda-\lambda^2)}} < 2(1-\beta)$, if $\beta < \frac{1+2\lambda-3\lambda^2}{2(1+2\lambda-\lambda^2)}$.

When we solve the equations (2.13) and (2.14) for a_3 and then taking absolute values we obtain

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(1+2\lambda-\lambda^2)}. \tag{2.16}$$

Substituting $a_2 = \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1+\lambda)}c_1$ in equation (2.13) we obtain $2(1+2\lambda)a_3 = (1-\beta)|c_2 + (1-\beta)c_1^2|$, calculating their absolute values, and implementing the Caratheodory Lemma, we have

$$|a_3| = \frac{(1-\beta)[c_2 + (1-\beta)c_1^2]}{2(1+2\lambda)} \leq \frac{(1-\beta)(3-2\beta)}{1+2\lambda}.$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 2.3 was completed.

If we take $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have Corollary 2.4, which was proved by Hamidi and Jahangiri [8].

Corollary 2.4 For $0 \leq \beta < 1$ let $f \in S^*(\beta)$ and $F = f^{-1} \in S^*(\beta)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2(1-\beta)}; & 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\ 2(1-\beta); & \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1. \end{cases}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} 2(1-\beta); & 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\ (1-\beta)(3-2\beta); & \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1. \end{cases}$$

References

- [1] Airault H, Bouali A. Differential calculus on the Faber polynomials. *Bull Sci Math* 2006; 130: 179-222.
- [2] Airault H, Ren J. An algebra of differential operators and generating functions on the set of univalent functions. *Bull Sci Math* 2002; 126: 343-367.
- [3] Branges DL. A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. *Acta Math* 1985; 154: 137-152.
- [4] Brannan DA, Clunie J. Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press, 1980.
- [5] Brannan DA, Taha TS. On some classes of bi-univalent functions. In: Mazhar SM, Hamoui A, Faour NS, editors. *Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications*, Kuwait; 18-21 February 1985, in: *KFAS Proceedings Series*, Pergamon Press, Elsevier Science Limited, Oxford, UK, 1988; 3: 53-60.
- [6] Duren PL. Univalent Functions. In: *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 259, New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [7] Faber G. Über polynomische Entwicklungen. *Math Ann* 1903; 57: 389-408.
- [8] Hamidi SG, Jahangiri JM. Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions. *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences: Series I* 2014; 352: 17-20.
- [9] Jahangiri JM, Hamidi SG. Coefficient estimates for certain classes of bi-univalent functions. *Internat J Math Math Sci* 2013; Article ID 190560: 1-4.

- [10] Kaplan W. Close-to-convex schlicht functions. *Mich Math J* 1952; 1: 169-185.
- [11] Lewin M. On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. *Proc Amer Math Soc* 1967; 18: 63-68.
- [12] Netanyahu ME. The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$. *Arch Rational Mech Anal* 1969; 32: 100-112.
- [13] Srivastava HM, Mishra AK, Gochhayat P. Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl Math Lett* 2010; 23: 1188-1192.
- [14] Taha TS. Topics in univalent function theory. PhD, University of London, London, UK, 1981.
- [15] Todorov PG. On the Faber polynomials of the univalent functions of class Σ . *J Math Anal Appl* 1991; 162: 268-276.
- [16] Xu QH, Gui YC, Srivastava HM. Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl Math Lett* 2012; 25: 990-994.