

p -Subordination chains and p -valence integral operators

Erhan DENİZ^{1,*}, Halit ORHAN², Murat ÇAĞLAR¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey

Received: 04.05.2015

Accepted/Published Online: 04.10.2016

Final Version: 25.07.2017

Abstract: In the present investigation we obtain some sufficient conditions for the analyticity and the p -valence of an integral operator in the unit disk \mathbb{D} . Using these conditions we give some applications for a few different integral operators. The significant relationships and relevance to other results are also given. A number of known univalent conditions would follow upon specializing the parameters involved in our main results.

Key words: Univalent functions, p -valent function, p -subordination chain, p -valence criterion

1. Introduction

Denote by $\mathbb{D}_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ ($0 < r \leq 1$) the disk of radius r and let $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_1$. Let \mathcal{A} be the class of analytic functions f in the open unit disk \mathbb{D} that satisfy the usual normalization conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Traditionally, the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of univalent functions is denoted by \mathcal{S} . Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of functions $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$ that satisfy the condition $\Re p(z) > 0$. Let \mathcal{A}_p denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk \mathbb{D} that satisfy the normalizations $f^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ ($p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$) and $f^{(p)}(0) \neq 0$, and let \mathcal{A}_p^* be the subclass of \mathcal{A}_p consisting of functions of the form $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in \mathbb{D} . These classes have been one of the most important subjects of research in geometric function theory for a long time (see [22]). For analytic functions f and g in \mathbb{D} , f is said to be subordinate to g , denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists an analytic function w satisfying $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$). In particular, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{D} , the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D})$.

2. p -Normalized subordination chain and related theorem

Before proving our main theorem we need a brief summary of the method of p -subordination chains.

Definition 2.1 (see Hallenbeck and Livingston [8]) Let $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ be a function defined on $\mathbb{D} \times I$, where $I := [0, \infty)$. $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ is called a p -subordination chain if $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} for all $t \in I$,
2. $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(0, t) = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$, and $\mathcal{L}^{(p)}(0, t) \neq 0$,
3. $\mathcal{L}(z, t) \prec \mathcal{L}(z, s)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq s < \infty$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

*Correspondence: edeniz36@gmail.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C55, 30C80.

A p -subordination chain is said to be normalized if $\mathcal{L}(0, t) = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}^{(p)}(0, t) = p!e^{pt}$ for all $t \in I$.

In order to prove our main results we need the following lemma due to Hallenbeck and Livingston [8].

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{L}(z, t) = a_p(t)z^p + a_{p+1}(t)z^{p+1} + \dots$, $a_p(t) \neq 0$, be analytic in \mathbb{D}_r for all $t \in I$. Suppose that:*

- (i) $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ is a locally absolutely continuous function in the interval I and locally uniformly with respect to \mathbb{D}_r .
- (ii) $a_p(t)$ is a complex valued continuous function on I such that $|a_p(t)| \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L}(z, t)}{a_p(t)} \right\}_{t \in I}$$

forms a normal family of functions in \mathbb{D}_r .

- (iii) There exists an analytic function $h : \mathbb{D} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\Re h(z, t) > 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $t \in I$ and

$$p \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial t} = z \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial z} h(z, t), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_r, t \in I. \tag{2.1}$$

Then, for each $t \in I$, the function $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} .

Pommerenke’s theory of subordination chains (see [18, 19]) corresponds to $p = 1$.

The univalence of complex functions is an important property, but unfortunately it is difficult and in many cases impossible to show directly that a certain complex function is univalent. For this reason, many authors obtained different types of sufficient conditions of univalence or not. Pommerenke [18, 19] and Becker [2] used the idea of normalized 1-subordination chains to obtain sufficient conditions for univalence. Two of the most important conditions of univalence are the well-known criteria of Becker [2] and Ahlfors [1], which were obtained by a clever use of the theory of 1-subordination chains and the generalized Loewner differential equation. Detailed information about 1-subordination chains can be found in Hotta’s works (see [10] and [9]). Furthermore, Pascu [15] and Pescar [16] obtained some extensions of Becker and Ahlfors’ univalence criteria for an integral operator, respectively, using 1-subordination chains.

For further results we refer to the recent papers [3–6, 9–12, 14, 20, 21] where, among other things, some interesting univalence criteria and quasiconformal extensions were established.

It is the purpose of this paper to use p -subordination chains to obtain conditions for an integral operator to be the p th power of a univalent function where $p = 1, 2, \dots$. In special cases our results contain the results obtained by some of the authors cited in the references. We also extend the aforementioned results of Hallenbeck and Livingston [8]. Our considerations are based on the theory of p -subordination chains.

3. p -Valence criteria

Making use of Lemma 2.1 we can prove now our main results.

Theorem 3.1 *Let α and c be complex numbers such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $|c| < p$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_p^*$. If the inequality*

$$\left| c|z|^{2\alpha p} + \frac{(1 - |z|^{2\alpha p})}{\alpha} \left[1 - p + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] \right| \leq p \tag{3.1}$$

holds true for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the integral operator

$$F_\alpha(z) = \left[\alpha \int_0^z u^{p(\alpha-1)} f'(u) du \right]^{1/\alpha} \tag{3.2}$$

is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Proof We will prove that there exists a real number $r \in (0, 1]$ such that the function $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{D}_r \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined formally by

$$\mathcal{L}(z, t) = \left(\alpha \int_0^{e^{-t}z} u^{p(\alpha-1)} f'(u) du + \frac{1}{p+c} (e^{2\alpha pt} - 1) (e^{-t}z)^{(p(\alpha-1)+1)} f'(e^{-t}z) \right)^{1/\alpha} \tag{3.3}$$

is analytic in \mathbb{D}_r for all $t \in I$.

Consider the function

$$\phi_1(z, t) = \alpha \int_0^{e^{-t}z} u^{p(\alpha-1)} f'(u) du = e^{-\alpha pt} z^{\alpha p} + \dots,$$

and then we have

$$\phi_1(z, t) = (e^{-t}z)^{\alpha p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(n+p)}{\alpha p+n} a_{n+p} (e^{-t}z)^{\alpha p+n}.$$

Let the function $\phi_2(z, t)$ be such that

$$\phi_1(z, t) = z^{\alpha p} \phi_2(z, t).$$

It is easy to check that $\phi_2(z, t)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} for all $t \in I$ and

$$\phi_2(z, t) = (e^{-t})^{\alpha p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(n+p)e^{-(\alpha p+n)t}}{\alpha p+n} a_{n+p} z^n.$$

Since the function $f(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} , it follows that the function

$$\phi_3(z, t) = (e^{2\alpha pt} - 1) e^{-t(p(\alpha-1)+1)} z^{1-p} f'(e^{-t}z)$$

is an analytic function in \mathbb{D} for all $t \in I$. Then the function $\phi_4(z, t)$ given by

$$\phi_4(z, t) = \phi_2(z, t) + \frac{1}{p+c} \phi_3(z, t)$$

is also analytic in \mathbb{D} .

We have

$$\phi_4(0, t) = \phi_2(0, t) + \frac{1}{p+c} \phi_3(0, t) = e^{\alpha pt} \left[\frac{p + ce^{-2\alpha pt}}{p+c} \right].$$

The conditions $|c| < p$ and $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ yield $\phi_4(0, t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$. Therefore, there is a disk \mathbb{D}_{r_1} , $r_1 \in (0, 1]$, in which $\phi_4(z, t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$. Then we can choose a uniform branch of $[\phi_4(z, t)]^{1/\alpha}$ analytic in \mathbb{D}_{r_1} , denoted by $\phi_5(z, t)$.

It follows from (3.3) that

$$\mathcal{L}(z, t) = z^p \phi_5(z, t) = a_p(t)z^p + a_{p+1}(t)z^{p+1} + \dots$$

and thus the function $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D}_{r_1} .

We have

$$a_p(t) = e^{pt} \left[\frac{p + ce^{-2\alpha pt}}{p + c} \right]^{1/\alpha}.$$

From $|c| < p$ and $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |a_p(t)| = \infty.$$

Moreover, $a_p(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$.

From the analyticity of $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ in \mathbb{D}_{r_1} , it follows that there exists a number r_2 , $0 < r_2 < r_1$ where $\mathcal{L}(z, t)/a_p(t)$ is analytic in disk \mathbb{D}_{r_2} and a constant $K = K(r_2)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{L}(z, t)}{a_p(t)} \right| < K, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_2}, t \in I.$$

Then, by Montel's theorem, $\left\{ \frac{\mathcal{L}(z, t)}{a_p(t)} \right\}_{t \in I}$ is a normal family in \mathbb{D}_{r_2} . From the analyticity of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial t}$, we obtain that for all fixed numbers $T > 0$ and r_3 , $0 < r_3 < r_2$, there exists a constant $K_1 > 0$ (that depends on T and r_3) such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial t} \right| < K_1, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_3}, t \in [0, T].$$

Therefore, the function $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ is locally absolutely continuous in I , locally uniform with respect to \mathbb{D}_{r_3} .

Let $h : \mathbb{D} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the function defined by

$$h(z, t) = p \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial t} / z \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial z}.$$

If the function

$$w(z, t) = \frac{h(z, t) - 1}{h(z, t) + 1} = \frac{p \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial t} - z \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial z}}{p \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial t} + z \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(z, t)}{\partial z}} \tag{3.4}$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{D} \times I$ and $|w(z, t)| < 1$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $t \in I$, then $h(z, t)$ is an analytic function with positive real part in \mathbb{D} , for all $t \in I$.

From equality (3.4), we have

$$w(z, t) = \frac{(p + 1)\Psi(z, t) - 2p^2}{(p - 1)\Psi(z, t) - 2p^2}, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\Psi(z, t) = ce^{-2\alpha pt} + \frac{(1 - e^{-2\alpha pt})}{\alpha} \left[1 - p + \frac{e^{-t} z f''(e^{-t} z)}{f'(e^{-t} z)} \right] + p \tag{3.6}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $t \in I$.

The inequality $|w(z, t)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $t \in I$, where $w(z, t)$ is defined by (3.5), is equivalent to

$$|\Psi(z, t) - p| < p, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}, t \in I. \tag{3.7}$$

From the hypothesis of the theorem and (3.6), we have

$$|\Psi(z, 0) - p| = |c| < p, \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$|\Psi(0, t) - p| = |ce^{-2\alpha pt}| = |c|e^{-2pt\Re(\alpha)} < p, \quad \text{for all } t \in I. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $t > 0$ and let $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. Since $|e^{-t} z| \leq e^{-t} < 1$ for all $z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ we find that $\Psi(z, t) - p$ is an analytic function in $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. Using the maximum modulus principle it follows that for all $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and each $t > 0$ arbitrarily fixed there exists $\theta = \theta(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\Psi(z, t) - p| < \lim_{|z|=1} |\Psi(z, t) - p| = |\Psi(e^{i\theta}, t) - p|. \tag{3.10}$$

Denote $u = e^{-t} e^{i\theta}$. Then $|u| = e^{-t}$, and from (3.6), we have

$$|\Psi(e^{i\theta}, t) - p| = \left| c|u|^{2\alpha p} + \frac{(1 - |u|^{2\alpha p})}{\alpha} \left[1 - p + \frac{u f''(u)}{f'(u)} \right] \right|.$$

Since $u \in \mathbb{D}$, the inequality (3.1) implies that

$$|\Psi(e^{i\theta}, t) - p| \leq p,$$

and from (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10), we conclude that

$$|\Psi(e^{i\theta}, t) - p| < p$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $t \in I$. Therefore, $|w(z, t)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $t \in I$.

Since all the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied, we obtain that the function $\mathcal{L}(z, t)$ is the p th power of a univalent function whole unit disk \mathbb{D} , for all $t \in I$. For $t = 0$ we have $\mathcal{L}(z, 0) = F_\alpha(z)$, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and therefore the function $F_\alpha(z)$ is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} . □

For $p = 1$, condition (3.1) is a well-known sufficient condition of univalence given by Pescar [16].

Condition (3.1) of Theorem 3.1 can be replaced with a simpler one.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p^*$ and let α be a complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. Supposing that*

$$\left| 1 - p + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq p \Re(\alpha) \tag{3.11}$$

is true for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the integral operator $F_\alpha(z)$ defined by (3.2) is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Proof It is known (see [15]) that for all $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\Re(\alpha) > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{1 - |z|^{2\alpha p}}{\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{1 - |z|^{2p\Re(\alpha)}}{\Re(\alpha)}. \tag{3.12}$$

Making use of (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| c|z|^{2\alpha p} + \frac{(1 - |z|^{2\alpha p})}{\alpha} \left[1 - p + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] \right| \\ & \leq |c| |z|^{2p\Re(\alpha)} + \frac{1 - |z|^{2p\Re(\alpha)}}{\Re(\alpha)} \left| 1 - p + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \\ & \leq p|z|^{2p\Re(\alpha)} + \frac{1 - |z|^{2p\Re(\alpha)}}{\Re(\alpha)} p\Re(\alpha) = p. \end{aligned}$$

Since the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, it follows that the function $F_\alpha(z)$ defined by (3.2) is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} . □

We now give some results that follow from Theorem 3.1. If we set $c = 0$, then by Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.3 *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p^*$ and let α be a complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. Supposing that*

$$\left| \frac{(1 - |z|^{2\alpha p})}{\alpha} \left[1 - p + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] \right| \leq p$$

is true for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the integral operator $F_\alpha(z)$ defined by (3.2) is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Becker’s univalence criterion can also be obtained from Corollary 3.3 for $\alpha = p = 1$. Using the inequality (3.12) in Corollary 3.3, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 3.4 *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p^*$ and let α be a complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. Supposing that*

$$\frac{1 - |z|^{2p\Re(\alpha)}}{\Re(\alpha)} \left| 1 - p + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq p$$

is true for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the integral operator $F_\alpha(z)$ defined by (3.2) is the p power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Example 3.1 *Let α be complex number such that $\Re(\alpha) > 1 - \frac{1}{p}$. Then the integral operator*

$$E_\alpha(z) = \left[\alpha p \int_0^z u^{p\alpha-1} e^{u(p-1)} du \right]^{1/\alpha} \tag{3.13}$$

is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Proof In the integral operator (3.2) we get $f'(z) = p(ze^z)^{p-1}$. Then we have

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = (p-1)(1+z).$$

From Corollary 3.4 we see that E_α given by (3.13) is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} . □

For $p = 1$, Corollary 3.4 in turn implies the well-known univalence criterion of Pascu [15].

Theorem 3.5 Let α and c be complex numbers such that $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $|c| < p$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}$. Supposing that

$$\left| c|z|^{2\alpha p} + \frac{(1-|z|^{2\alpha p})}{\alpha} \left[(1-\alpha p) \left(1 - \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right) + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right] \right| \leq p$$

is true for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the function g is univalent in \mathbb{D} .

Proof Let $F_\alpha(z) = [g(z)]^p$. Thus, we obtain

$$f'(z) = pg'(z)(g(z))^{\alpha p-1} z^{p(1-\alpha)}.$$

It is easy to see that F_α satisfies the assumption of Theorem 3.1 if it satisfies the assumption of this theorem. Thus, g is a univalent function in \mathbb{D} because F_α in view of Theorem 3.1 is the p th power of a univalent function. □

Reasoning along the same lines as in the proof of the Theorem 3.1 for the p -subordination chain

$$\mathcal{L}(z, t) = \left(\alpha \int_0^{e^{-t}z} u^{p(\alpha-1)} f'(u) du + \frac{\alpha}{p+c} (e^{2pt} - 1) (e^{-t}z)^{(p(\alpha-1)+1)} f'(e^{-t}z) \right)^{1/\alpha}, \tag{3.14}$$

we obtain the following theorem. We omit the details.

Theorem 3.6 Let α and c be complex numbers such that $|\alpha - 1| < 1$, $|c| < p$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_p^*$. If the inequality

$$\left| c|z|^{2p} + (1-|z|^{2p}) \left[p(\alpha-2) + 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] \right| \leq p \tag{3.15}$$

holds true for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the integral operator $F_\alpha(z)$ defined by (3.2) is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

4. Applications

The problem of the univalence of integral operators in \mathbb{D} was discussed by many authors. For example, Pfaltzgraß [17] proved that for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ the integral operator

$$G_\beta(z) = \int_0^z (f'(u))^\beta du$$

is in the class \mathcal{S} if $|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{4}$. He showed that the bound $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp.

On the other hand, Kim and Merkes [13] showed that for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ the integral operator

$$G_\gamma(z) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f(u)}{u} \right)^\gamma du$$

is in the class \mathcal{S} if $|\gamma| \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

The following lemma is of fundamental importance in our investigation.

Lemma 4.1 (Wesolowski [23]). *For each function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and a fixed z , $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the inequality*

$$\left| \frac{z}{f(z)} - 1 + |z|^2 \right| \leq 2(1 + |z|)$$

holds.

Proof By using a rotation of the form $f_\lambda(z) = \bar{\lambda}f(\lambda z)$, $|\lambda| = 1$, if needed, we see that it is enough to prove the inequality

$$\left| \frac{r}{f(r)} - 1 + r^2 \right| \leq 2(1 + r), \quad |z| = r.$$

Grunsky [7, p. 323] proved that the domain of variability in $\frac{z}{f(z)}$ is the closed disk

$$\left| \ln \frac{z}{f(z)} - \ln(1 - r^2) \right| \leq \ln \frac{1+r}{1-r}, \quad |z| = r, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Hence, arguing as in [7, pp. 323-326] and denoting $\frac{1+r}{1-r} = a$, for any θ , $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{r}{f(r)} - 1 + r^2 \right| &= \left| (1 - r^2)a^{e^{i\theta}} - 1 + r^2 \right| \\ &= (1 - r^2) \sqrt{a^{2 \cos \theta} - 2a^{\cos \theta} \cos(\sin \theta \ln a) + 1} \\ &\leq (1 - r^2) \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right)^{\cos \theta} + 1 - r^2 \leq 2(1 + r). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.1 *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If α and β are any complex numbers such that $|\alpha - 1| < 1$ and*

$$|\beta| \leq \frac{p(1 - |\alpha - 1|)}{6p - 2},$$

then the integral operator

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \left[\alpha p \int_0^z u^{\alpha p - 1} (f'(u))^\beta du \right]^{1/\alpha} \tag{4.1}$$

is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Proof We begin by setting

$$F(z) = \int_0^z pu^{p-1}(f'(u))^\beta du \tag{4.2}$$

so that, obviously,

$$F'(z) = pz^{p-1}(f'(z))^\beta, \tag{4.3}$$

and from (4.3), we obtain

$$\frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = p - 1 + \beta \left(\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right). \tag{4.4}$$

It is well known that for any arbitrary point $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, the function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ can be written as

$$f(z) = \frac{k\left(\frac{z+z_0}{1+z\bar{z}_0}\right) - k(z_0)}{k'(z_0)(1-|z_0|^2)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{4.5}$$

where k is a function in the class \mathcal{S} .

Therefore, we get that for all such z_0 ,

$$\frac{-z_0f''(-z_0)}{f'(-z_0)} = \frac{2|z_0|^2 - 2a_2z_0}{1-|z_0|^2} \tag{4.6}$$

where $a_2 = a_2(z_0)$ is the second coefficient in the Taylor series expansion of the function k . The classical Bieberbach theorem states that $|a_2(z_0)| \leq 2$ for every $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$.

From (4.4) and (4.6), putting $z_0 = -z$, we have

$$\frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = p - 1 + \beta \frac{2|z|^2 + 2a_2(-z)z}{1-|z|^2},$$

where $|a_2| = |a_2(-z)| \leq 2$.

Putting $c = p(\alpha - 1) - 2\beta$ and F instead of f in (3.15) and using the above equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (p(\alpha - 1) - 2\beta)|z|^{2p} + (1 - |z|^{2p}) \left[p(\alpha - 1) + \beta \frac{2|z|^2 + 2a_2z}{1 - |z|^2} \right] \right| \\ &= \left| -2\beta|z|^{2p} + p(\alpha - 1) + 2\beta(1 - |z|^{2p}) \left[\frac{|z|^2 + a_2z}{1 - |z|^2} \right] \right| \\ &\leq p|\alpha - 1| + 2|\beta| \left| a_2z \left(1 + |z|^2 + \dots + |z|^{2(p-1)} \right) + |z|^2 \left(1 + |z|^2 + \dots + |z|^{2(p-2)} \right) \right| \\ &\leq p|\alpha - 1| + 2|\beta|(3p - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in view of the assumption $|\beta| \leq \frac{p(1-|\alpha-1|)}{6p-2}$ and Theorem 3.6, we conclude that the function $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ defined by (4.1) is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} . This completes the proof. \square

For $p = \alpha = 1$ in Theorem 4.1 we obtain the following result of Pfaltzgraff [17].

Corollary 4.2 Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $|\beta| \leq 1/4$, then the integral operator

$$G_\beta(z) = \int_0^z (f'(u))^\beta du \tag{4.7}$$

is univalent in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Theorem 4.3 Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If α and γ are any complex numbers such that $|\alpha - 1| < 1$ and

$$|\gamma| \leq \frac{1 - |\alpha - 1|}{4},$$

then the integral operator

$$G_{\alpha,\gamma}(z) = \left[\alpha p \int_0^z u^{\alpha p - 1} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u} \right)^\gamma du \right]^{1/\alpha} \tag{4.8}$$

is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Proof We begin by setting

$$F(z) = \int_0^z p u^{p-1} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u} \right)^\gamma dt \tag{4.9}$$

so that, obviously,

$$F'(z) = pz^{p-1} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^\gamma, \tag{4.10}$$

and from (4.10), we obtain

$$\frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = p - 1 + \gamma \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right). \tag{4.11}$$

For the class of univalent functions \mathcal{S} we use the well-known Koebe transformation defined by (4.5) and we have

$$\frac{-z_0 f'(-z_0)}{f(-z_0)} = \frac{z_0}{k(z_0)(1 - |z_0|^2)}, \quad k \in \mathcal{S}. \tag{4.12}$$

From (4.11) and (4.12), putting $z_0 = -z$, we have

$$\frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = p - 1 + \gamma \left(\frac{z}{-k(-z)(1 - |z|^2)} - 1 \right).$$

Putting $c = p(\alpha - 1)$ in (3.15) and using the above equality and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| p(\alpha - 1)|z|^{2p} + (1 - |z|^{2p}) \left[p(\alpha - 1) + \gamma \left(\frac{z}{-k(-z)(1 - |z|^2)} - 1 \right) \right] \right| \\ &= \left| p(\alpha - 1) + \gamma \frac{(1 - |z|^{2p})}{1 - |z|^2} \left[\frac{z}{-k(-z)} - 1 + |z|^2 \right] \right| \\ &\leq p|\alpha - 1| + 2|\gamma|(1 + |z|)(1 + |z|^2 + \dots + |z|^{2(p-1)}) \\ &\leq p|\alpha - 1| + 4p|\gamma|. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the assumption $|\gamma| \leq \frac{1-|\alpha-1|}{4}$ and Theorem 3.6, we obtain the assertion of the theorem. \square

For $p = \alpha = 1$ in Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following result of Kim and Merkes [13].

Corollary 4.4 *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $|\gamma| \leq 1/4$ then the integral operator*

$$G_\gamma(z) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f(u)}{u} \right)^\gamma du \tag{4.13}$$

is univalent in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Another application is as follows.

Theorem 4.5 *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p^*$ be the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} . If α and μ are any complex numbers such that $|\alpha - 1| < 1$ and*

$$|\mu| \leq \frac{p(1 - |\alpha - 1|)}{4p^2 + 2p - 2},$$

then the integral operator

$$H_{\alpha,\mu}(z) = \left[\alpha p \int_0^z u^{\alpha p - 1} \left(\frac{f'(u)}{pu^{p-1}} \right)^\mu du \right]^{1/\alpha} \tag{4.14}$$

is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Proof We begin by setting

$$F(z) = \int_0^z pu^{p-1} \left(\frac{f'(u)}{pu^{p-1}} \right)^\mu dt$$

so that, obviously,

$$F'(z) = pz^{p-1} \left(\frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^\mu, \tag{4.15}$$

and from (4.15), we obtain

$$\frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = (p - 1)(1 - \mu) + \mu \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}. \tag{4.16}$$

Let $f(z) = (h(z))^p$ where $h \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = (p-1)\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)}. \tag{4.17}$$

Now, from (4.16) and (4.17), we rewrite

$$\frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = (p-1)(1-\mu) + \mu \left((p-1)\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \frac{zh''(z)}{h'(z)} \right). \tag{4.18}$$

By using the identities (4.6) and (4.12) for h instead of f , putting $c = p(\alpha - 1) - 2\mu$ in (3.15) and Lemma 4.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (p(\alpha - 1) - 2\mu)|z|^{2p} + (1 - |z|^{2p}) [p(\alpha - 1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu(p-1) \left(\frac{z}{-k(-z)(1 - |z|^2)} - 1 \right) + \mu \frac{2|z|^2 + 2a_2z}{1 - |z|^2} \right] \Big| \\ &= \left| p(\alpha - 1) - 2\mu|z|^{2p} + \frac{(1 - |z|^{2p})}{(1 - |z|^2)} \left[\mu(p-1) \left(\frac{z}{-k(-z)} - 1 + |z|^2 \right) + \mu(2|z|^2 + 2a_2z) \right] \right| \\ &= \left| p(\alpha - 1) + 2\mu \left(-|z|^{2p} + \frac{1 - |z|^{2p}}{1 - |z|^2} |z|^2 \right) + 2\mu a_2z \frac{1 - |z|^{2p}}{1 - |z|^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \mu(p-1) \frac{1 - |z|^{2p}}{1 - |z|^2} \left(\frac{z}{-k(-z)} - 1 + |z|^2 \right) \right| \\ &\leq p|\alpha - 1| + 2|\mu| \left| -|z|^{2p} + |z|^2 \frac{1 - |z|^{2p}}{1 - |z|^2} \right| + 2|\mu| \frac{1 - |z|^{2p}}{1 - |z|^2} (|a_2||z| + (p-1)(1 + |z|)) \\ &= p|\alpha - 1| + 2|\mu||z|^2 \left(1 + |z|^2 + \dots + |z|^{2(p-2)} \right) \\ & \quad + 2|\mu| (|a_2||z| + (p-1)(1 + |z|)) \left[1 + |z|^2 + \dots + |z|^{2(p-1)} \right] \\ &\leq p|\alpha - 1| + |\mu| [4p^2 + 2p - 2]. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the assumption $|\mu| \leq \frac{p(1-|\alpha-1|)}{4p^2+2p-2}$ and Theorem 3.6, the proof is completed. □

For $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 4.5 we obtain the following result of Hallenbeck and Livingston [8].

Corollary 4.6 *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_p^*$ be the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} . If μ is any complex number such that*

$$|\mu| \leq \frac{p}{4p^2 + 2p - 2}, \tag{4.19}$$

then the integral operator

$$H_\mu(z) = p \int_0^z u^{p-1} \left(\frac{f'(u)}{pu^{p-1}} \right)^\mu du \tag{4.20}$$

is the p th power of a univalent function in \mathbb{D} , where the principal branch is considered.

Acknowledgment

The research of the first author was supported by the Commission for the Scientific Research Projects of Kafkas University, project number 2012-FEF-30. The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading of the paper and for their helpful comments to improve it.

References

- [1] Ahlfors LV. Sufficient conditions for quasiconformal extension. *Ann Math Studies* 1974; 79: 23-29.
- [2] Becker J. Löwnersche Differentialgleichung und quasikonform fortsetzbare schlichte Funktionen. *J Reine Angew Math* 1972; 255: 23-43 (in German).
- [3] Çağlar M, Orhan H. Sufficient conditions for univalence and quasiconformal extensions. *Indian J Pure Appl Math* 2015; 46: 41-50.
- [4] Deniz E, Orhan H. Some notes on extensions of basic univalence criteria. *J Korean Math Soc* 2011; 48: 179-189.
- [5] Deniz E, Orhan H. Loewner chains and univalence criteria related with Ruscheweyh and Sălăgean derivatives. *J Appl Anal Comput* 2015; 3: 465-478.
- [6] Deniz E, Răducanu D, Orhan H. On an improvement of an univalence criterion. *Math Balkanica (N.S.)* 2010; 24: 33-39.
- [7] Duren PL. *Univalent Functions*. Berlin, Germany: Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [8] Hallenbeck DJ, Livingston AE. Subordination chains and p -valent functions. *Not Am Math Soc* 1976: A-731.
- [9] Hotta I. Explicit quasiconformal extensions and Loewner chains. *P Jpn Acad A-Math* 2009; 85: 108-111.
- [10] Hotta I. Loewner chains with complex leading coefficient. *Monatsh Math* 2011; 163: 315-325.
- [11] Kanas S, Lecko A. Univalence criteria connected with arithmetic and geometric means. In: *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Transform Methods and Special Functions (Varna, 1996)*. Singapore: Science Culture Technology Publishing Company, 1998, pp. 201-209.
- [12] Kanas S, Srivastava HM. Some criteria for univalence related to Ruscheweyh and Sălăgean derivatives. *Complex Variables Theory Appl* 1997; 38: 263-275.
- [13] Kim YJ, Merkes EP. On an integral of power of a spirallike functions. *Kyungpook Math J* 1972; 12: 249-253.
- [14] Ovesea H. A generalization of Ruscheweyh's univalence criterion. *J Math Anal Appl* 2001; 258: 102-109.
- [15] Pascu NN. On a univalence criterion. In: *Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations Approximation and Convexity*, Cluj-Napoca, pp. 153-154.
- [16] Pescar V. A new generalization of Ahlfors's and Becker's criterion of univalence. *Bull Malaysian Math Soc* 1996; 19: 53-54.
- [17] Pfaltzgraff JA. Univalence of the integral of $(f'(z))^\lambda$. *B Lond Math Soc* 1975; 7: 254-256.
- [18] Pommerenke C. Über die Subordination analytischer Funktionen. *J Reine Angew Math* 1965; 218: 159-173 (in German).
- [19] Pommerenke C. *Univalent Functions*. Gottingen, the Netherlands: Vandenhoeck Ruprecht, 1975.
- [20] Răducanu D, Orhan H, Deniz E. On some sufficient conditions for univalence. *Analele Stiint Univ* 2010; 18: 217-222.
- [21] Ruscheweyh S. An extension of Becker's univalence condition. *Math Ann* 1976; 220: 285-290.
- [22] Srivastava HM, Owa S, editors. *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Company, 1992.
- [23] Wesolowski A. On the univalence of certain integrals meromorphic functions. *Glas Mat* 1990; 25: 43-47.