

Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Turk J Math (2017) 41: 983 – 997 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/mat-1508-66

Research Article

Permutation groups with cyclic-block property and *MNFC*-groups

Ali Osman \mathbf{ASAR}^*

Yargıç Sokak 11/6 Cebeci, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 20.08.2015	•	Accepted/Published Online: 07.10.2016	٠	Final Version: 25.07.2017

Abstract: This work continues the investigation of perfect locally finite minimal non-FC-groups in totally imprimitive permutation p-groups. At present, the class of totally imprimitive permutation p-groups satisfying the cyclic-block property is known to be the only class of p-groups having common properties with a hypothetical minimal non-FCgroup, because a totally imprimitive permutation p-group satisfying the cyclic-block property cannot be generated by a subset of finite exponent and every non-FC-subgroup of it is transitive, which are the properties satisfied by a minimal non-FC-group. Here a sufficient condition is given for the nonexistence of minimal non-FC-groups in this class of permutation groups. In particular, it is shown that the totally imprimitive permutation p-group satisfying the cyclic-block property that was constructed earlier and its commutator subgroup cannot be minimal non-FC-groups. Furthermore, some properties of a maximal p-subgroup of the finitary symmetric group on \mathbb{N}^* are obtained.

Key words: Finitary permutation, totally imprimitive, cyclic-block property, homogeneous permutation, FC-group

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a nonempty (infinite) set. A permutation g on Ω is called finitary if its support supp(g) is finite. The set of all the finitary permutations on Ω forms a normal subgroup of the symmetric group $Sym(\Omega)$ and is called the restricted symmetric group on Ω . It is denoted by $FSym(\Omega)$. A subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$ is called a finitary permutation group on Ω . Let G be a transitive finitary permutation group on Ω , where Ω is infinite. If G has no proper blocks or has a maximal proper block, then G is called primitive or almost primitive, respectively, and then G has a homomorphic image that is isomorphic to one of $Alt(\Omega)$ or $Fsym(\Omega)$ by [10, p.261] (see also [9, Corollary 6.9]). Note that if Δ is a proper block for G, then there exists a $g \in G$ with $g(\Delta) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ since two blocks are either equal or disjoint and then Δ must be finite since supp(g) is finite. In the remaining case G is called totally imprimitive. In this case, G has an infinite ascending chain of proper blocks and their union is an infinite block for G, which must be equal to Ω since G is transitive. Thus, Ω and G are countably infinite. It is well known that a finitary permutation group G has only finite orbits if and only if one of the following holds:

G is solvable, hypercentral, an FC-group, or residually finite by [23, Theorems 1,2] or [10, Lemma 8.3D]. If G is locally solvable, then G is totally imprimitive and hyperabelian of height at most ω by [18, Theorem 2].

Let G be a totally imprimitive subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$, where Ω is infinite. It is well known that set-wise stabilizers of finite sets are FC-groups and they are hypercentral when G is a p-group by [23, Theorem 1] or

^{*}Correspondence: aliasar@gazi.edu.tr

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20B 07 20B 35 20E 25 20F 15.

[10, Lemma 8.3D]. Subgroups having infinite orbits of G are non-FC-subgroups (NFC-subgroups for short). In general an NFC-group is called a minimal NFC-group (MNFC-group for short) if every proper subgroup of this group is an FC-group.

The structure of an imperfect MNFC-group was determined in [6, 7] (see also [22, Theorem 8.13]). In this group the commutator subgroup is a divisible abelian q-group of finite rank (Chernikov q-group) and the commutator quotient is a finite p-group, where p, q are primes. On the other hand, it is still unknown whether or not a perfect MNFC-group exists. If a perfect MNF-group exists, then it is a p-group for a prime p by [7, Theorem 2] and [14, Theorem], and it has a nontrivial representation in the group of finitary permutations on some infinite set by the characterizations given in [8, 15]. (Some partial results in this direction are contained in [1–5].)

Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$, where Ω is infinite. An element g of G is said to satisfy the cyclic-block property if the support of each cycle in the cycle decomposition of q is a block for G, and a subset Y of G satisfies the cyclic-block property if every element of Y satisfies this property. Now suppose in addition that G satisfies the cyclic-block property. By [4, Lemma 2.2] two blocks for G are either disjoint or one is contained in the other one. This implies that G must be a p-group for a prime p. Furthermore, every NFC-subgroup of G is transitive and a subset of finite exponent of G generates a subgroup of finite exponent and so cannot be equal to G (see Lemma 3.1(b) below). These are properties satisfied by a perfect MNFC-group. (A perfect MNFC-group cannot be generated by a subset of finite exponent (see [1, Remark (1.10)).) There are no known other types of p-groups that share common properties with a perfect MNFC-pgroup. For this reason it is a rather crucial step to settle the existence problem of MNFC-groups in the class of permutation groups satisfying the cyclic-block property. In this work a new result (Theorem 1.1) is obtained in this direction. This result is a considerable generalization of [1, Theorem 1.5] (see below). In particular, if a group in this class is generated by homogeneous elements and satisfies (*) (see below), then the group cannot be MNFC (Corollary 1.2). Furthermore, Theorem 1.1 provides a short proof for [4, Theorem 1.2] (Corollary 1.3). (Another proof of [4, Theorem 1.2] is contained in [5, Theorem 1.6].) The group given in [4, Theorem 1.1] satisfies the cyclic-block property, it has an easily defined generating set, and all of its blocks of p-power size are easily described, but it is not known whether or not it contains an MNFC-subgroup. (This group satisfying the cyclic-block property is a transitive subgroup of the maximal p-subgroup, denoted by W here. of $FSym(\mathbb{N}^*)$ constructed in [22]; see Proposition 2.1 for some properties of W.) [5] contains new properties of NFC-subgroups of a perfect totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$. Among other things it is shown there that the normalizer of an NFC-subgroup is self-normalizing and a self-normalizing subgroup is closed in the topology of point-wise convergence (see also [15]). It follows from [5] that a group of finitary permutations contains an *MNFC*-subgroup if and only if the set of self-normalizing subgroups contains minimal elements.

Let G be a subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$ and let $g \in G$. The minimum of the lengths of the cycles in the cycle decomposition of g is denoted by m(g). g is called homogeneous if every cycle of g has equal length. An infinite subset Y of G is called ascending if Y has an infinite exponent and is not contained in a set stabilizer of a finite set. We say that Y satisfies the property (*) if for every $y, z \in Y$, and for all cycles c_y, c_z in the cycle decompositions of y and z, respectively, the following holds. Put $supp(c_y) = \Delta$ and suppose that $\Delta \subseteq supp(c_z)$. Then

(*)

$$[c_z^{s(c_z,\Delta)}|_{\Delta}, c_y] = 1$$

where $s(c_z, \Delta)$ is the smallest positive integer such that $c_z^{s(c_z, \Delta)} \in G_{\{\Delta\}}$.

It is well known that this condition is equivalent to

$$c_z^{s(c_z,\Delta)}|_{\Delta} = c_y^k$$

for a $k \ge 1$ by [13, Lemma 1]. (The centralizer of a cycle is generated by the cycle itself and permutations disjoint with it.)

Let Δ be a block for G and put $\Sigma = \{x(\Delta) : x \in G\}$. Then the kernel of the natural permutation representation of G into $Sym(\Sigma)$ is denoted by $Ker_G(\Delta)$ and is called the kernel subgroup of G with respect to Δ . Since $Ker_G(\Delta)$ fixes $x(\Delta)$ for every $x \in G$ it follows that $Ker_G(\Delta)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct product of copies of a finite group, and so $Ker_G(\Delta)$ is an FC-group of finite exponent.

For a nonempty subset X of G, exp(X) denotes the maximum of the set $\{|x| : x \in X\}$ if it exists; otherwise, it is equal to ∞ .

Theorem 1.1 Let G be a perfect totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$, where Ω is infinite. Suppose that G contains an ascending subset X satisfying the cyclic-block property such that the following properties hold.

(a) X satisfies (*). Thus for all $x, y \in X$ and for all cycles c_x, c_y in the cycle decompositions of x and y, respectively, the following holds. If $supp(c_x) \subseteq supp(c_y)$, then

$$[c_y^{s(c_y, supp(c_x))}|_{supp(c_x)}, c_x] = 1,$$

where $supp(c_x)$ and $supp(c_y)$ are blocks for G, which is equivalent to

$$c_y^{s(c_y, supp(c_x))}|_{supp(c_x)} = c_x^{q(c_x)}$$

for a $q(c_x) \geq 1$.

(b) For every $x \in X$ there exists a $y \in X$ so that m(x) < m(y).

Then G cannot be an MNFC-group.

Theorem 1.1 is a considerable generalization of [1, Theorem 1.5]. In [1, Theorem 1.5] if F is a finite subgroup of G and $supp(F) \subseteq \Delta$ for a finite block Δ , then there exists $y \in G \setminus G_{\{\Delta\}}$ so that $y^{s(y,\Delta)} \in C_G(F)$. In particular $[F^y, F] = 1$ since $supp(F^y) \cap \Delta = 1$. This leads to the existence of an ascending subgroup H of G for a given $a \in G$ with $\langle a^G \rangle$ nonabelian so that $\langle a^H \rangle$ is abelian, which gives a contradiction. On the other hand, in Theorem 1.1, there is information only about the centralizer of a cycle, namely c_x of $x \in X$, but X is required to satisfy the additional property called the cyclic-block property. (Also in the proof of Theorem 1.1 $\langle c_x^G \rangle$ is not abelian, but there will exist an ascending subgroup, say X^* of G, so that $\langle c_x^{X^*} \rangle$ is abelian, which gives a contradiction.) It is not known yet whether condition (b) of Theorem 1.1 is indispensable.

Corollary 1.2 Let G be a perfect totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$, where Ω is infinite. Suppose that G contains an ascending subset X of homogeneous elements satisfying the cyclic-block property and the (*) condition. Then G cannot be an MNFC-group.

Corollary 1.3 The totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\mathbb{N}^*)$ given in [4, Theorem 1.1] and its commutator subgroup cannot be MNFC-groups.

For definitions, notations, and basic properties the reader is referred to [9, 10, 21, 22].

Question. Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$ satisfying the cyclic-block property where Ω is infinite. Does G contain a minimal non-FC subgroup?

2. A finitary permutation group with cyclic-block property

In this section the finitary permutation p-group given in [4] and satisfying the cyclic-block property is described briefly for the convenience of the reader. This group is a subgroup of the example given in [23] by Wiegold.

For each $k, n \ge 1$ define

$$x_{k,n} = \prod_{i=1}^{p^{k-1}} (i + (n-1)p^k, i + (n-1)p^k + p^{k-1}, \dots, i + (n-1)p^k + (p-1)p^{k-1}).$$

Each $x_{k,n}$ is a disjoint product of p^{k-1} cycles, each of which has length p.

For each $k \ge 1$ define

$$T_k = \{x_{k,n}; n \ge 1\}$$
 and $T_k^* = \langle T_i : 1 \le i \le k \rangle$.

Wiegold's group, denoted here by W, is defined as $W = \langle T_k : k \geq 1 \rangle$. T_k is a set of pairwise disjoint permutations of order p and it is easy to check that $T_k^* \triangleleft W$ and T_{k+1}^*/T_k^* is elementary abelian for every $k \geq 0$, where $T_0 = 1$. W is a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\mathbb{N}^*)$ since every element of every T_k^* has finite support.

For p = 2,

$$T_1 = \{(1,2), (3,4), (5,6), \dots\}, T_2 = \{(1,3)(2,4), (5,7)(6,8), (9,11)(10,12), \dots\}$$
$$T_3 = \{(1,5)(2,6)(3,7)(4,8), (9,13)(10,14)(11,15)(12,16), \dots\}.$$

For all $k, n \ge 1$ the sets

$$\Delta_{k,n} = \{1 + (n-1)p^k, 2 + (n-1)p^k, \dots, p^k + (n-1)p^k\}$$

are blocks for W and $|\Delta_{k,n}| = p^k$. We may show that each $\Delta_{k,1}$ is a block. We may put $\Delta_k = \Delta_{k,1}$ when no confusion arises. Thus, $\Delta_k = \{1, 2, \dots, p^k\}$ for $k \ge 1$. It suffices to show that $T_k^*(1) = \Delta_k$ for all $k \ge 1$ by [10, Theorem 1.6A(i)]. For k = 1 $T_1(1) = \{1, 2, \dots, p\} = \Delta_1$. Assume that $T_k^*(1) = \Delta_k$. Now

$$x_{k+1,1} = (1, 1+p^k, 1+2p^k, \dots, 1+(p-1)p^k) \cdots (p^k, p^k+p^k, p^k+2p^k, \dots, p^k+(p-1)p^k)$$
$$= (1, 1+p^k, 1+2p^k, \dots, 1+(p-1)p^k) \cdots (p^k, 2p^k, 3p^k, \dots, p^{k+1}).$$

Hence, it is easy to see that

$$\langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle (\Delta_k) = \{1, 2, \dots, p^k\} \cup \{1 + p^k, 2 + p^k, \dots, 2p^k\} \cup \dots \cup \{1 + (p-1)p^k, 2 + (p-1)p^k, \dots, p^{k+1}\}$$
$$= \Delta_{k+1}$$

since the sets in the union are pairwise disjoint and are contained in Δ_{k+1} . In particular, it is easy to see that $x_{k+1,1}$ permutes the sets

$$\{1, 2, \dots, p^k\}, \{1 + p^k, 2 + p^k, \dots, 2p^k\}, \dots, \{1 + (p-1)p^k, 2 + (p-1)p^k, \dots, p^{k+1}\}$$

among themselves. Since $\langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle (\Delta_k) = \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle (T_k^*(1)) = (\langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle T_k^*)(1) = T_{k+1}^*(1)$ it follows that $T_{k+1}^*(1) = \Delta_{k+1}$, which was to be shown. It can be shown that the finite blocks of *p*-power size for *W* consist of

$$\Delta_{k,n} = \{1 + (n-1)p^k, 2 + (n-1)p^k, \dots, p^k + (n-1)p^k\}$$

for $k, n \ge 1$.

Define

$$u_k = x_{k,1} x_{k-1,1} \cdots x_{1,1}$$

for all $k \ge 1$. Then $u_k \in T_k^*$ and $u_k = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p^k})$, where $1 \le a_i \le p^k$ by [4, Lemma 3.2(a)]. Next define

$$v_k = u_k^{x_{k+1,1}} \cdots u_k^{x_{k+1,1}^{p-1}}.$$

Then $v_k = u_k^{x_{k+1,1}} \times \cdots \times u_k^{x_{k+1,1}^{p-1}}$, i.e. a product of disjoint cycles since $supp(u_k) = \Delta_k$ and $x_{k+1,1}$ sends each $1 \leq i \leq p^{k+1}$ to $i + p^k \mod (p^{k+1})$. (Always $c = a \times b$ means that a, b are disjoint permutations.) Put $g_k = u_k \times v_k$ for every $k \geq 1$ and define $G = \langle g_k : k \geq 1 \rangle$. Then G satisfies the cyclic-block property by [4, Theorem 1.1]. We see from the definitions that $\{g_k : k \geq 1\}$ is an ascending set of homogeneous elements of G. Furthermore, it follows from the definition that

$$u_{k+1}^p = (x_{k+1,1}u_k)^p = x_{k+1,1}^p u_k^{x_{k+1,1}^{p-1}} \cdots u_k^{x_{k+1,1}} u_k = u_k \times u_k^{x_{k+1,1}} \times \cdots \times u_k^{x_{k+1,1}^{p-1}} = g_k$$

for every $k \ge 1$. Hence, it follows that the g_k satisfy (*) as can be seen from the proof of Corollary 1.2. It can also be shown easily that $G \le W'$. Indeed,

$$g_1 = x_{1,1} x_{1,1}^{x_{2,1}} \cdots x_{1,1}^{x_{2,1}^{p-1}} = x_{1,1}^p [x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}] \cdots [x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}^{p-1}] \in W'$$

since $x_{1,1}^p = 1$. Assume that $g_k \in W'$ for a $k \ge 1$. Now

$$g_{k+1} = u_k u_k^{x_{k+1,1}} \cdots u_k^{x_{k+1,1}^{p-1}} = u_k^p [u_k, x_{k+1,1}] \cdots [u_k, x_{2,1}^{p-1}].$$

Since $u_k^p = g_{k-1} \in W'$ it follows that $g_{k+1} \in W'$, which completes the induction, and so $G \leq W'$.

As was indicated above, each u_k is a cycle of length p^k with $supp(u_k) = \Delta_k$ by [4, Lemma 3.2(a)]. Hence, $supp(u_k^{x_{k+1,1}^i}) = x_{k+1,1}^{-i}(\Delta_k)$ for every $i \ge 1$ and hence

$$supp(v_k) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p^{k-1}} supp(u_k^{x_{k+1,1}^i}) = \Delta_{k+1} \setminus \Delta_k.$$

For p = 2

$$u_1 = (1, 2); u_2 = (1, 4, 2, 3); u_3 = (1, 8, 4, 6, 2, 7, 3, 5)$$

and

$$u_4 = (1, 16, 8, 12, 4, 14, 6, 10, 2, 15, 7, 11, 3, 13, 5, 9).$$

Hence,

$$g_1 = (1, 2)(3, 4); g_2 = (1, 4, 2, 3)(5, 8, 6, 7); g_3 = (1, 8, 4, 6, 2, 7, 3, 5)(9, 16, 12, 14, 10, 15, 11, 13)$$

Finally, it follows from [4, Theorem 1.1, Lemmas 2.2 and 3.4] that G satisfies the cyclic-block property, any two blocks for G are either disjoint or one is contained in the other one, and the blocks for G are the blocks for W. Thus, the set of the blocks of the same p-power size for G form a block system for G and hence also for W.

We end this section with a characterization of W.

Proposition 2.1 W is a transitive maximal p-subgroup of $FSym(\mathbb{N}^*)$, Z(W) = 1, self-normalizing, and W/W' is infinite elementary abelian.

Proof Put $W_k = \langle x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, \ldots, x_{k,1} \rangle$ for every $k \ge 1$. Then $W = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} W_k$ and also $\mathbb{N}^* = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_k$, where $\Delta_k = \{1, 2, \ldots, p^k\}$ for every $k \ge 1$. It is easy to see that each W_k is transitive on Δ_k , which implies that W is transitive on Ω , and then Z(W) = 1 by [10, Lemma 8.3C(ii)].

First we show that W_k is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of $Sym(\Delta_k)$ for every $k \ge 1$. Note that $supp(W_k) = \Delta_k$. Put

$$P_k = (\dots (\langle x_{1,1} \rangle \wr \langle x_{2,1} \rangle) \wr \dots \wr \langle x_{k,1} \rangle).$$

Then P_k is isomorphic to a Sylow *p*-subgroup of $Sym(\Delta_k)$ by [11, Proposition 19.10] since each $\langle x_{k,i} \rangle$ has order *p*. It will suffice to show that $W_k \cong P_k$. For k = 1 the assertion holds since $\langle x_{1,1} \rangle = \langle (1, 2, \ldots, p) \rangle$ is a Sylow *p*subgroup of $Sym(\{1, 2, \ldots, p\})$. Suppose that the assertion holds for $k \ge 1$. Then $W_k \cong \langle x_{1,1} \rangle \langle \langle x_{2,1} \rangle \rangle \cdots \langle \langle x_{k,1} \rangle$, and by identifying these two groups, W_k becomes a Sylow *p*-subgroup of $Sym(\Delta_k)$. Thus, we get $P_{k+1} \cong$ $W_k \wr \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle$. Let B_k be the base subgroup; that is, $B_k = \prod_{b \in \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle} (W_k)_b$, where each $(W_k)_b$ is equal to W_k . Then P_{k+1} is isomorphic to $B_k \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle$ and so $|P_{k+1}| = p|B_k| = p|W_k|^p$. However, we have seen above that $x_{k+1,1}$ permutes the sets $\{1, 2, \ldots, p^k\}, \{1+p^k, 2+p^k, \ldots, 2p^k\}, \ldots, \{1+(p-1)p^k, 2+(p-1)p^k, \ldots, p^{k+1}\}$ among themselves and induces a cycle of length *p* on them. Also, W_k is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of $Sym(\Delta_k)$. Clearly then $x_{k+1,1}^{-i}W_k x_{k+1}^i$ are Sylow *p*-subgroups on the corresponding sets $x_{k+1,1}^{-i}(\Delta_k)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Thus, $x_{k+1,1}^{-i}W_k x_{k+1}^i$ and $x_{k+1,1}^{-j}W_k x_{k+1}^j$ have disjoint supports for $i \neq j$ and so they commute. Therefore, we get

$$W_{k+1} = (W_k \times x_{k+1,1}^{-1} W_k x_{k+1} \times \dots \times x_{k+1,1}^{-(p-1)} W_k x_{k+1}^{p-1}) \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle.$$

This gives $|W_{k+1}| = |W_k|^p |x_{k+1,1}| = p|W_k|^p$ and hence $|W_{k+1}| = |P_{k+1}|$. This implies that W_{k+1} is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of $Sym(\Delta_{k+1})$ since $W_{k+1} \leq Sym(\Delta_{k+1})$, which completes the induction. Clearly it follows from this that W is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of $FSym(\mathbb{N}^*)$ since $FSym(\mathbb{N}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Sym(\Delta_k)$.

Next we show that W is self-normalizing. Assume not. Then there exists a subgroup Y of $FSym(\mathbb{N}^*)$ with W < Y and Y/W is abelian. Also, Y is transitive since W is. Moreover, $Y' \leq W$ and so Y' is a p-group, but then Y is a p-group by [20, Lemma 2.1], which is a contradiction.

Finally, we show that $W/W' = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{k,1}W' \rangle$, as a direct product. This will be the case if we can show that $W_k/W'_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \langle x_{i,1}W'_k \rangle$, as a direct product, for every $k \ge 1$. For k = 1 this is trivial. Suppose that the assertion holds for $k \ge 1$. We have seen above that

$$W_{k+1} \cong W_k \wr \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle = (\prod_{b \in \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle} (W_k)_b) \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle = B_k \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle,$$

which implies that $W_{k+1}/W'_{k+1} \cong B_k \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle / (B_k \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle)'$. We can now apply [17, Corollary 4.5] to $B_k \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle$. This gives

 $(B_k \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle)' = M$

where $M = \{f \in B_k : \pi(f) \in W'_k\}$ and $\pi(f) = \prod_{b \in \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle} f(b)$. Next define $x_{i,1}^*(1) = x_{i,1}$ and $x_{i,1}^*(b) = 1$ for $b \neq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Each $x_{i,1}^* \in B_k = \prod_{b \in \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle} (W_k)_b$. We claim that $x_{1,1}^*M, \ldots, x_{k,1}^*M$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z}_p , the field of p elements. Assume if possible that there exists an $f = (x_{1,1}^*)^{s_1} \cdots (x_{r,1}^*)^{s_r}$, where $1 \leq r \leq k$ and $1 \leq s_i < p$ so that $f \in M$. Then $f = (x_{1,1}^{s_1} \cdots x_{r,1}^{s_r}, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and $\pi(f) = x_{1,1}^{s_1} \cdots x_{r,1}^{s_r} \in W'_k$, but since $W_k/W'_k = \langle x_{1,1}W'_k \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_{k,1}W'_k \rangle$ by the induction hypothesis it follows that $x_{1,1}^{s_1}W'_k = \cdots =$ $x_{r,1}^{s_r}W'_k = 1$, which means that $x_{i,1}^{s_i} \in W'_k$ and then $p|s_i$ since $|x_{i,1}| = p$, which is impossible since $1 \leq s_i < p$ for every $i \geq 1$. Consequently it follows that $x_{1,1}^*M, \ldots, x_{k,1}^*M$ are linearly independent in $B_k \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle \cap B_k = 1$. Therefore,

$$B_k \langle x_{k+1,1} \rangle / M = \langle x_{1,1}^* M \rangle \times \dots \times \langle x_{k+1,1}^* M \rangle.$$

Hence, using the above isomorphism, we get

$$W_{k+1}/W'_{k+1} = \langle x_{1,1}W'_{k+1} \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_{k+1,1}W'_{k+1} \rangle,$$

which completes the induction. Now since $W = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} W_k$ it follows easily that

1

$$W/W' = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x_{k,1} W' \rangle$$

as a direct product. Suppose that $\langle x_{t,1}W' \rangle \cap \langle x_{k,1}W' : k \ge 1, k \ne t \rangle \ne 1$ for a $t \ge 1$. Then $\langle x_{t,1}W' \rangle \le \langle x_{k,1}W' : k \ge 1, k \ne t \rangle$ since $|x_{t,1}| = p$. Hence, $x_{t,1}$ is a finite product of elements of certain cosets of the right side. Also, $W' = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} W'_k$. Clearly then there exists an n > t so that $x_{t,1} \in \langle x_{k,1}W'_n : 1 \le k \le n, k \ne t \rangle$, but since $W_n/W'_n = \langle x_{1,1}W'_n \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_{n,1}W'_n \rangle$, as was shown above, this is impossible. Therefore, the assumption is false and so W/W' is a direct product of the $\langle x_{k,1}W'_n \rangle$ as k ranges over the positive integers. \Box

Remark. The commutator subgroup W' of W is perfect and transitive by [19, Theorem 1]. Also, W does not satisfy the normalizer condition by [1, Theorem 1.2(b)] since $G \leq W$ and G' is not an MNFC-group by Corollary 1.3. The reader may observe that W is exactly the same group that is constructed in [12, 18.2.2 Example], where it is shown also that this group does not satisfy the normalizer condition.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin with a known result on the cyclic-block property for the convenience of the reader. (See also [5, Proposition 1.7].)

Lemma 3.1 3.1 Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$ satisfying the cyclic-block property, where Ω is infinite. Then the following hold:

- (a) Let Δ be a finite block for G and let $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then for every $y \in G \setminus G_{\{\Delta\}}$, $\langle y^{s(y,\Delta)} \rangle(\alpha) = \Delta$.
- (b) Let Δ be a finite block for G. Then

$$Ker_G(\Delta) = \{g \in G : |g| \le |\Delta|\}.$$

Furthermore, $exp(G_{\{\Delta\}})$ is infinite.

(c) Any NFC-subgroup of G is transitive on Ω .

Proof (a) (See [4, Lemma 2.1].) Put $H = G_{\{\Delta\}}$ and let $y \in G \setminus H$. Put $t = s(y, \Delta)$. Then t is the smallest number such that $y^t \in H$. Also, $t = p^r$ for an $r \ge 1$. Next put $\langle y \rangle \langle \alpha \rangle = \Gamma$ and $\langle y^t \rangle \langle \alpha \rangle = \Lambda$. Then Γ and Λ are blocks for G by the cyclic-block property. Also, $\Delta \subset \Gamma$ and $\Lambda \subseteq \Delta$ by [4, Lemma 2.2] since $y \notin H$ but $y^t \in H$. Clearly $|\Gamma| = p^r |\Lambda|$. Assume if possible that there exists a $y^j(\alpha) \in \Delta \setminus \Lambda$. Then $j \nmid p^r$ and so $j < p^r$, but since $\alpha \in \Delta \cap y^{-j}(\Delta)$ and since Δ is a block, it follows that $y^j(\Delta) = \Delta$, which is a contradiction since $t = p^r$ is the smallest number with the property that $y^t(\Delta) = \Delta$. Therefore, the assumption is false and so $\langle y^t \rangle \langle \alpha \rangle = \Delta$.

(b) Put $M = Ker_G(\Delta)$. Then M < H since $H \neq G$ due to the fact that Ω is infinite and G is transitive. Let $y \in G$ and put |y| = t. First suppose that $t \leq |\Delta|$. Then we claim that $y \in H$. This is trivial if $supp(y) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ since then $y(\Delta) = \Delta$. Suppose that $y(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ for an $\alpha \in \Delta$. Put $\Gamma = \langle y \rangle(\alpha)$. Then Γ is a block for G by the hypothesis and $|\Gamma| \leq t \leq |\Delta|$. Also, since $\alpha \in \Gamma \cap \Delta$ applying [4, Lemma 2.2], we get $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$, which implies that $y \in H$. Thus, $\{g \in G : |g| \leq |\Delta|\} \subseteq M$. Next suppose that $t > |\Delta|$. There exists a $\beta \in \Omega$ so that $t = |\langle y \rangle(\beta)|$. Also, there exists a $g \in G$ so that $g(\beta) = \alpha$. Since $\langle y \rangle(\beta) = \{\beta, y(\beta), \ldots, y^{t-1}(\beta)\}$, it follows that $\langle gyg^{-1} \rangle(\alpha) = \{gy(\beta), \ldots, gy^{t-1}(\beta), g(\beta)\}$. Now if $y \in M$ then also $gyg^{-1} \in M$, but since $\langle gyg^{-1} \rangle(\alpha)$ is a block containing α and has size greater than $|\Delta|$, this is a contradiction. Therefore, $M = \{g \in G : |g| \leq |\Delta|\}$. In particular it follows that any subgroup of finite exponent of G is contained in a kernel subgroup which is nilpotent of finite exponent. It is well-known that a transitive subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$ has infinite exponent if Ω is infinite by [18, Lemma 3.1] or [10, Theorem 8.3A]). Let $\alpha \in \Omega$. We show that G_{α} contains a conjugate of every element of G. Let $g \in G$. There exists a $\beta \in \Omega$ so that $g(\beta) = \beta$ and so $g \in G_{\beta}$. Also, $\beta = x(\alpha)$ for an $x \in G$. Hence, $g \in G_{x(\alpha)} = xG_{\alpha}x^{-1}$ and so $g^x \in G_{\alpha}$, which completes the proof of (b).

(c) Let X be a proper NFC- subgroup of G. Then X cannot be contained in the set-wise stabilizer of a finite block for G since X is not an FC-group. However, if $exp(X) \leq |\Delta|$ for a finite block Δ , then $X \leq Ker(\Delta) \leq G_{\{\Delta\}}$ by (b), which is impossible. Therefore, $exp(X) = \infty$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ and let Δ be a finite block for G containing both of them. Then there exists a $g \in X \setminus X_{\{\Delta\}}$ so that $\langle g^p \rangle(\alpha) = \Delta$ by (a), which implies that $\beta = (g^p)^j(\alpha)$ for a $j \geq 1$, and so X is transitive.

Lemma 3.2 Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$ and let c, d be two cycles in G such that supp(c), supp(d) are blocks for G and $supp(c) \subseteq supp(d)$. Let $|c| = p^a, |d| = p^b$ and put t = s(d, supp(c)).

Then $t = p^{b-a}$. If $d^{p^{b-a}}|_{supp(c)} = c^k$, then (p,k) = 1 and

$$d^{p^{b-a}} = c^k \times (c^k)^d \times \dots \times (c^k)^{d^{p^{b-a}-1}}$$

Proof Put $\Delta = supp(c)$, $\Gamma = supp(d)$. Then $|\Delta| = p^a$ and $|\Gamma| = p^b$. Let $\alpha \in \Delta$. Now $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$. Clearly $\Gamma = \Delta \cup d(\Delta) \cup \cdots \cup d^{t-1}(\Delta)$ as a disjoint union since Δ is a block and d is a cycle. Hence, $p^b = tp^a$ and hence $t = p^{b-a}$.

Put $H = G_{\{\Delta\}}$. Then t is the smallest number with $d^t \in H$. Hence, $\langle d^{p^{b-a}} \rangle(\alpha) \subseteq \Delta$ and $|d^{p^{b-a}}| = |\langle d^{p^{b-a}} \rangle(\alpha)|$ since d is a cycle, which implies that $|\langle d^{p^{b-a}} \rangle(\alpha)| = p^a$. Now suppose that $d^{p^{b-a}}|_{\Delta} = c^k$. Then $p \nmid k$ since |c| is a cycle of length p^a . Thus, (p, k) = 1 and c^k is a cycle.

Now $d^{p^{b-a}}|_{d^i(\Delta)} = d^i c^k d^{-i}$ for every $1 \le i \le p^{b-a}$ and $\Gamma = \Delta \cup d(\Delta) \cup \cdots \cup d^{p^{b-a}-1}(\Delta)$. Obviously then

$$d^{p^{b-a}} = d^{p^{b-a}}|_{\Gamma} = c^k \times (c^k)^d \times \dots \times (c^k)^{p^{b-a}-1}.$$

Lemma 3.3 Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$. Let X be an ascending subset of G satisfying the cyclic-block property. Suppose also that X satisfies (*). Then X contains an ascending subset $Y = \{y_i : i \ge 1\}$ of G such that the following holds. Each y_i can be expressed as a direct product of cycles as

$$y_i = c_{i,1} \times \cdots \times c_{i,r(i)}$$

so that $supp(y_i) \subset supp(c_{i+1,1})$, $m(y_i) \leq m(y_{i+1})$, and the following hold. Let $1 \leq j \leq r(i)$ and $k \geq i$. Put $|c_{i,j}| = p^a$ and $|c_{k,1}| = p^b$. Then

$$[c_{k,1}^{p^{b-a}}|_{supp(c_{i,j})}, c_{i,j}] = 1.$$

Proof Choose a $y_1 \neq 1$ in X so that $m(y_1) \leq m(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and let

$$y_1 = c_{1,1} \times \cdots \times c_{1,r(1)}$$

be the cycle decomposition of y_1 . Let Γ_1 be the smallest block containing $supp(y_1)$. Next choose a y_2 in $X \setminus G_{\{\Gamma_1\}}$ so that $m(y_2) \leq m(x)$ for every $x \in X \setminus G_{\{\Gamma_1\}}$. Now $\langle y_2 \rangle(\alpha)$ is a block by the cyclic-block property and $\Gamma_1 \subset supp(\langle y_2 \rangle(\alpha))$ by [4, Lemma 2.2] since $y_2 \notin G_{\{\Gamma_1\}}$. Also, $m(y_1) \leq m(y_2)$. Put $c_{2,1} = (\alpha, \ldots, y_2^{t_2-1}(\alpha))$, where t_2 is the smallest number such that $y_2^{t_2}(\alpha) = \alpha$. Thus, $\Gamma_1 \subset supp(c_{2,1})$. Continuing in this way we obtain an infinite subset $Y = \{y_i : i \geq 1\}$ of X such that $m(y_i) \leq m(y_{i+1})$ and $supp(y_i) \subset supp(c_{i+1,1})$ for every $i \geq 1$, where

$$y_i = c_{i,1} \times \dots \times c_{i,r(i)}$$

is the cycle decomposition of y_i . Let $1 \le i < k$ and let $1 \le j \le r(i)$. Then $supp(c_{i,j}) \subset supp(c_{k,1})$. Also, Y satisfies (*) since Y is a subset of X. Therefore,

$$[c_{k,1}^{s(c_{k,1},supp(c_{i,j}))}|_{supp(c_{i,j})}, c_{i,j}] = 1$$

991

Let $|c_{i,j}| = p^a$ and $|c_{k,1}| = p^b$. Then since $s(c_{k,1}, supp(c_{i,j})) = p^{b-a}$ by Lemma 3.2, substituting this value above the desired equality is obtained. Furthermore, Y is ascending since $supp(c_{i,1})$ is a block and $supp(c_{i,1}) \subset supp(c_{i+1,1})$ for every $i \ge 1$.

Lemma 3.4 Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$. Let X be an ascending subset of G satisfying the cyclic-block property and (*). Let $Y = \{y_i : i \ge 1\}$ be the subset of X obtained in Lemma 3.3. Thus, for each $i \ge 1$, the cycle decomposition of y_i can be written as

$$y_i = c_{i,1} \times \cdots \times c_{i,r(i)}$$

such that $supp(y_i) \subset supp(c_{i+1,1})$ and $m_1(y_i) \leq m_1(y_{i+1})$ for every $i \geq 1$. Moreover, if we put $|c_{i,j}| = p^{a(i,j)}$, for every $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq r(i)$, then for $1 \leq i \leq k$ the equality

$$c_{k,1}^{p^{a(k,1)-a(i,j)}}|_{supp(c_{i,j})} = c_{i,j}^{q(i,j)}$$
(1)

holds for a $q(i,j) \ge 1$ with (p,q(i,j)) = 1 by Lemma 3.3.

Now let $j, k, t \ge 1$ be integers with $j \le k, t$ and suppose that $|y_j| \le \min\{m(y_k), m(y_t)\}$. Let $m, n \ge 1$. Then

$$c_{j,1}^{y_k^m y_t^n} = c_{j,1}^{y_r^s}$$

for an $r \in \{k, t\}$ and $s \ge 1$.

Proof Put $c_i = c_{i,1}$ and let $|supp(c_i)| = p^{a(i)}$ for i = j, k, t. Then c_i is a factor of the cycle decomposition of y_i for i = j, k, t and $supp(y_u) \subset supp(c_v)$ for every $1 \le u < v$. We may suppose that j < k, t.

Case 1 j < k < t. Now

$$\sum_{j}^{y_k^m y_t^n} = c_j^{c_k^m y_t^n} \tag{2}$$

since $supp(c_j) \subseteq supp(c_k)$. On the other hand,

$$c_t^{p^{a(t)-a(k)}} = c_k^{q(k,1)} \times \dots \times (c_k^{q(k,1)})^{c_t^{p^{a(t)-a(k)}-1}} = c_k^{q(k,1)} \times v_k$$

by (1) and Lemma 3.2, where $supp(v_k) \cap supp(c_k) = \emptyset$. Also, $bq(k, 1) \equiv 1 \mod (p^{a(k)})$ for an integer b since (q(k, 1), p) = 1 by Lemma 3.2. Using this above gives

$$c_t^{bp^{a(t)-a(k)}} = c_k \times \dots \times c_k^{bc_t^{p^{a(t)-a(k)}}-1} = c_k \times v_k^b$$

Hence, $c_k^m = c_t^{mbp^{a(t)-a(k)}} v_k^{-bm}$. Substituting this in (2) gives

$$c_{j}^{c_{k}^{m}y_{t}^{n}} = c_{j}^{v_{k}^{-bm}c_{t}^{mbp^{b-a}}y_{t}^{n}} = c_{j}^{c_{t}^{mbp^{a(t)-a(k)}}y_{t}^{n}} = c_{j}^{c_{t}^{mbp^{a(t)-a(k)}+n}} = c_{j}^{y_{t}^{mbp^{b-a}+n}}$$

since $supp(c_j) \subset supp(c_k)$.

Case 2 k > t > j. We may suppose that $supp(c_j^{c_k^m}) \cap supp(y_t^n) \neq \emptyset$; otherwise, $c_j^{c_k^m y_t^n} = c_j^{c_k^m}$ and we are done. Then there exists a cycle $c_{t,r}$ in the cycle decomposition of y_t so that $supp(c_j^{c_k^m}) \subseteq supp(c_{t,r})$ by

the cyclic-block property since $|y_j| < m(y_t)$ by the hypothesis. For simplicity, put $u_t = c_{t,r}$ and q(t) = q(t,r). Clearly now $c_j^{c_k^m y_t^n} = c_j^{c_k^m u_t^n}$. Let $|u_t| = p^z$. Then

$$c_k^{p^{a(k)-z}} = u_t^{q(t)} \times \dots \times (u_t^{q(t)})^{c_k^{p^{a(k)-z}-1}} = u_t^{q(t)} \times v_t$$

where (q(t), p) = 1 by (1). Then, as in Case 1, there exists an integer b so that

$$c_k^{bp^{a(k)-z}} = u_t \times v_t^b$$

where $supp(u_t) \cap supp(v_t) = \emptyset$. Hence $u_t^n = v_t^{-bn} c_k^{nbp^{a(k)-z}}$. Substituting this above gives

$$c_{j}^{c_{k}^{m}u_{t}^{n}} = c_{j}^{c_{k}^{m}v_{t}^{-bn}c_{k}^{nbp^{a(k)-z}}} = c_{j}^{c_{k}^{m}c_{k}^{nbp^{a(k)}}}$$
$$= c_{j}^{c_{k}^{m+nbp^{a(k)-z}}} = c_{j}^{y_{k}^{m+nbp^{a(k)-z}}}$$

since $supp(c_j^{c_k^m}) \subseteq supp(u_t)$ and $supp(u_t) \cap supp(v_t) = \emptyset$, which completes the proof of the lemma. \Box

Lemma 3.5 Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$. Let X be an ascending subset of G satisfying the cyclic-block property and (*). Let $Y = \{y_i : i \ge 1\}$ be the subset of X obtained in Lemma 3.3 and suppose that $|y_i| < m(y_{i+1})$ for every $i \ge 1$. Let $j \ge 1$ and put $Y_j^* = \langle y_i : i \ge j \rangle$. Let $y = y_{k_1}^{m_1} \cdots y_{k_r}^{m_q} \in Y_j^*$, where $k_i \ge j$, $r \ge 1$, $m_i \ge 1$, and $k_u \ne k_{u+1}$. Then $c_{j,1}^y = c_{j,1}^{y_u^s}$ for a $u \in \{k_1, \ldots, k_r\}$ and $s \ge 1$.

Proof We may use induction on $r \ge 1$. For r = 1 the assertion is obvious. Suppose that r > 1 and the assertion holds for numbers less than r. Note that $|y_j| < m(y_{k_i})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q$ by the hypothesis. Hence, applying Lemma 3.4 we obtain a $k \in \{k_1, k_2\}$ and an $m \ge 1$ so that $c_{j,1}^{y_{k_1}^{m_1}y_{k_2}^{m_2}\cdots y_{k_r}^{m_q}} = c_{j,1}^{y_k^m}y_{k_3}^{m_3}\cdots y_{k_r}^{m_q}$. Then the induction hypothesis applies to the right side of the preceding equality. Therefore, there exist a $u \in \{k, k_3, \ldots, k_r\}$ and an $s \ge 1$ so that $c_{j,1}^{y_k^m}y_{k_3}^{m_3}\cdots y_{k_r}^{m_q} = c_{j,1}^{y_u^s}$. Then since $c_{j,1}^y = c_{j,1}^{y_u^s}$ the induction and the proof of the lemma are complete.

Lemma 3.6 Let the hypothesis and the notation be as in Lemma 3.5. Let $j \ge 1$. Then $[c_{j,1}^y, c_{j,1}] = 1$ for every $y \in Y_i^*$.

Proof Put $c_j = c_{j,1}$. Let $y \in Y_j^*$. We have $c_j^y = c_j^{y_k^s}$ for a $k \ge j$ and an $s \ge 1$ by Lemma 3.5. Let $supp(c_j) = \Gamma_j$ and put $H = G_{\{\Gamma_j\}}$. If $y_k^s \notin H$, then $y_k^s(\Gamma_j) \cap \Gamma_j = \emptyset$ and since $supp(c_j^{y_k^s}) = y_k^{-s}(\Gamma_j)$ it follows that $[c_j^{y_k^s}, c_j] = 1$, and the assertion holds in this case since $c_j^y = c_j^{y_k^s}$.

Next suppose that $y_k^s \in H$. Let $c_{k,1} = c_k$, $supp(c_k) = \Gamma_k$, $|\Gamma_j| = p^{a(j)}$, and $|\Gamma_k| = p^{a(k)}$. Then $p^{a(k)-a(j)}|s$ by Lemma 3.2 and hence $s = p^{a(k)-a(j)}t$ for a $t \ge 1$. Also, $supp(y_j) \subseteq \Gamma_k$ and $c_k^{p^{a(k)-a(j)}} = c_j^{q(j)} \times v_k$ for a $v_k \in FSym(\Omega)$ and $q(j) \ge 1$ by (1) in Lemma 3.4. Hence, $c_k^s = c_j^{tq(j)}v_k^t$, but also $y_k = c_k \times z_k$ for a

 $z_k \in FSym(\Omega)$. Combining these values we get $y_k^s = c_j^{tq(j)}(v_k^t z_k^s)$, where $supp(v_k z_k) \cap \Gamma_k = \emptyset$. Using this last equality we get

$$c_{j}^{y_{k}^{s}} = c_{j}^{c_{j}^{t}q(j)(v_{k}^{t}z_{k}^{s})} = c_{j}^{v_{k}^{t}z_{k}^{s}} = c_{j}$$

and hence

$$[c_j^{y_k^*}, c_j] = [c_j, c_j] = 1,$$

which was to be shown.

Lemma 3.7 Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$, where Ω is infinite. Let $y \in G$ and let j > 1 so that $\alpha \in supp(y) \subset \langle c_{j,1}^{p^2} \rangle(\alpha)$ and $|c_{j,1}| = p^t$ for a $t \ge 4$. Then $[c_{j,1}^y, c_{j,1}] \neq 1$.

Proof Put $c = c_{j,1}$. Then $supp(y) \subseteq \{\alpha, c^{p^2}(\alpha), \dots, (c^{p^2})^{p^{t-2}-1}(\alpha)\}$ by the hypothesis. This means that if y moves an element of Ω , then it must be of the form $(c^{p^2})^k(\alpha)$ for a $0 \le k \le p^{t-2} - 1$.

Assume if possible that $c^y c = cc^y$. Then

$$ycy^{-1}c(\alpha) = cycy^{-1}(\alpha).$$
(1)

Now

$$ycy^{-1}c(\alpha) = ycc(\alpha) = y(c^{2}(\alpha))$$

since y cannot move $c(\alpha)$ and

$$cycy^{-1}(\alpha) = cyc(c^{kp^2}(\alpha)) = cy(c^{kp^2+1}(\alpha)) = c^{kp^2+2}(\alpha)$$

since y cannot move $c^{kp^2+1}(\alpha)$, where $y^{-1}(\alpha) = (c^{p^2})^k(\alpha)$ and $1 \le k \le p^{t-2} - 1$ since $y(\alpha) \ne \alpha$. Thus the equality (1) takes the form

$$y(c^2(\alpha)) = c^{kp^2+2}(\alpha).$$

Now if p > 2, then $y(c^2(\alpha)) = c^2(\alpha)$ since $c^2(\alpha)$ is not of the form $(c^{p^2})^k(\alpha)$. Indeed, if $c^2(\alpha) = (c^{p^2})^k(\alpha)$, then $c^{kp^2-2}(\alpha) = \alpha$, which implies that $p^t | kp^2 - 2$ since $|c| = p^t$, which is impossible. Therefore, $c^2(\alpha) = c^{kp^2+2}(\alpha)$ and hence $\alpha = c^{kp^2}(\alpha)$, which is a contradiction since $1 \le k \le p^{t-2} - 1$, c is a cycle, $|c| = p^t$, and $t \ge 4$. Next suppose that p = 2. Again since y can move only elements of the form $(c^{p^2})^k(\alpha) = c^{4k}(\alpha)$ and since $c^2(\alpha)$ is not of this form, we get $y(c^2(\alpha)) = c^2(\alpha)$ and hence $c^2(\alpha) = c^{4k+2}(\alpha)$. Hence, $c^{4k}(\alpha) = \alpha$, which is another contradiction since $|c| = 2^t$, $t \ge 4$, and $1 \le k \le 2^{t-2} - 1$.

Lemma 3.8 Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$, where Ω is infinite. Let X be the ascending subset of G satisfying the cyclic-block property such that for every $x \in X$ there exists a $y \in X$ such that m(x) < m(y). Then there exists an ascending subset $Z = \{z_i : i \ge 1\}$ of G so that $m(z_i) < m(z_{i+1})$ for every $i \ge 1$.

Proof By the hypothesis we can obtain easily an infinite subset $X^* = \{x_i : i \ge 1\}$ of X so that $m(x_i) < m(x_{i+1})$ for every $i \ge 1$. We may suppose that $x_1 \ne 1$. Let d_i be a cycle of x_i of the smallest length, that is, of length $m(x_i)$ for every $i \ge 1$. Then $|d_i| < |d_{i+1}|$ for every $i \ge 1$. Choose an $\alpha \in supp(d_1)$. By the transitivity of G for every $i \ge 1$ there exists an $a_i \in G$ so that $\alpha \in supp(d_i^{a_i})$. Then $supp(d_i^{a_i}) \subset supp(d_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}})$ by [4, Lemma 2.2 since $\alpha \in supp(d_i^{a_i}) \cap supp(d_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}})$ for every $i \ge 1$. Put $z_i = x_i^{a_i}$ for every $i \ge 1$ and define $Z = \{z_i : i \ge 1\}$. Since $supp(d_i^{a_i}) \subset supp(d_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}})$ and since each $supp(d_i^{a_i})$ is a block for G it follows that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} supp(d_i^{a_i}) = \Omega$ due to the fact that every proper block is finite by the transitivity of G on Ω . Hence, it follows that Z cannot be contained in the set stabilizer of a finite subset of G and also exp(Z) is infinite. Therefore, Z is an ascending subset of G.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$, where Ω is infinite. Let X be an ascending subset of G satisfying the cyclic-block property so that conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied. Then applying Lemma 3.8 we obtain an ascending subset $Z = \{z_i : i \ge 1\}$ of G so that $m(z_i) < m(z_{i+1})$ for every $i \ge 1$. Next we can choose an infinite subset $U = \{u_i : i \ge 1\}$ of Z so that $|u_i| < m(u_{i+1})$ for every $i \ge 1$ since the numbers $m(z_i)$ are increasing without bound. We now substitute U in place of X in Lemma 3.3. This gives an ascending subset $Y = \{y_i : i \ge 1\}$ of G so that the following hold. The cycle decomposition of each y_i can be expressed as

$$y_i = c_{i,1} \times \cdots \times c_{i,r(i)}$$

so that $supp(y_i) \subset supp(c_{i+1,1})$ and if $1 \le j \le r(i), \ k \ge i, \ |c_{i,j}| = p^a, \ |c_{k,1}| = p^b$, then

$$c_{k,1}^{p^{b-a}}|_{supp(c_{i,j})} = c_{i,j}^{q(i,j)}$$

for a $q(i,j) \ge 1$. Furthermore, for each $i \ge 1$, the inequality $|y_i| < m(y_{i+1})$ is satisfied by definition of U. Thus, Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6 can be applied to Y.

Next we may suppose that $y_1 \neq 1$. Choose an $\alpha \in supp(y_1)$. Let Δ be the smallest block such that $supp(y_1) \subseteq \Delta$ and let $|\Delta| \leq p^t$, for a $t \geq 4$. There exists a j > 1 so that $|c_{j,1}| \geq p^{2t}$ and $\Delta \subseteq supp(c_{j,1})$. Put $c_j = c_{j,1}$. Then $c_j = (\alpha, c_j(\alpha), \dots, c_j^{|c_j|-1}(\alpha))$. Now $c_j^{p^2}$ is a product of p^2 cycles each of length $\geq p^{2t-2} = p^{2(t-1)} \geq p^t$ since $t \geq 4$. Then it is easy to see that $\Delta \subseteq \langle c_j^{p^2} \rangle(\alpha)$ by the cyclic-block property since $\langle c_j^{p^2} \rangle(\alpha)$ is a block and $\alpha \in \Delta \cap \langle c_j^{p^2} \rangle(\alpha)$.

Put $Y_j^* = \langle y_i : i \geq j \rangle$. Then the application of Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6 gives $[c_{j,1}^y, c_{j,1}] = 1$ for every $y \in Y^*$, but application of Lemma 3.7 gives $[c_j^{y_1}, c_j] \neq 1$, which implies that $y_1 \notin Y^*$ and so $Y^* \neq G$. However, since $\{y_i : i \geq j\}$ is ascending by definition of Y, the subgroup Y^* cannot be an *FC*-subgroup of G. Therefore, G cannot be an *MNFC*-group and so the proof of the theorem is complete. \Box

Proof of Corollary 1.2 Let G be a totally imprimitive p-subgroup of $FSym(\Omega)$, where Ω is infinite. Let X be an ascending subset of homogeneous elements of G satisfying the cyclic-block property so that X satisfies the (*) condition. Then condition (a) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. Therefore, we need only show that condition (b) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. Since X is ascending by the hypothesis, exp(X) is infinite and $\langle X \rangle$ is a non-FC-subgroup of G. Also, since G is locally finite, it follows that for every $x \in X$ there exists a $y \in X$ so that

|x| < |y|. Now the homogeneity of the elements of X shows that (b) is satisfied by X. Therefore, G cannot be MNFC by Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.3 Let G be the p-subgroup of $FSym(\mathbb{N}^*)$ described in Section 2. Then G satisfies the cyclic-block property by [4, Theorem 1.1]. We have $G = \langle g_k : k \ge 1 \rangle$, where $g_k = u_k \times v_k$, $u_k = (a_1, \ldots, a_{p^k})$, $v_k = u_k \times \cdots \times u_k^{x_{k+1,1}^{p-1}}$, $supp(u_k) = \Delta_k$, and $supp(v_k) = \Delta_{k+1} \setminus \Delta_k$. Hence, it follows that each g_k is homogeneous; that is, $|g_k| = m(g_k) = p^k$ for every $k \ge 1$. Furthermore,

$$g_{k+1}^p|_{\Delta_k} = g_k$$

since $u_{k+1}^p = g_k$ as was shown in Section 2. Thus, G satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 1.2 and therefore G cannot be an MNFC-group.

Next we show that G' cannot be MNFC. For each $s \ge 2$ let $Y_s = \{g_k^{-1}g_k^{g_s} : 1 \le k < s\}$ and put $Y = \bigcup_{s\ge 2} Y_s$. Then Y is an ascending subset of homogeneous elements of G'. To see this let $1 \le k < s$. Then $g_k^{-1}g_k^{g_s} = g_k^{-1}g_k^{u_s}$ since $supp(g_k) = \Delta_{k+1} = supp(u_{k+1}) \subseteq supp(u_s)$. Also $u_{k+1}^p = g_k$ (see Section 2). Hence $g_k^{-1}g_k^{g_{k+1}} = g_k^{-1}g_k^{u_{k+1}} = 1$. So suppose that s > k + 1. Then $u_s(\Delta_{k+1}) \cap \Delta_{k+1} = \emptyset$. Also, $supp(g_k^{u_s}) = u_s^{-1}(supp(g_k)) = u_s^{-1}(\Delta_{k+1})$. Clearly it follows from this that $g_k^{-1}g_k^{g_s} = g_k^{-1} \times g_k^{g_s}$ and so $g_k^{-1}g_k^{g_s}$ is homogeneous since g_k is homogeneous. Furthermore, $g_k \notin G_{\{\Delta_{k-1}\}}$ since $g_k = u_k \times v_k$, $supp(u_k) = \Delta_k$ and $\Delta_{k-1} \subset \Delta_k$. Now suppose that s > k + 1. Then also $g_k^{-1}g_k^{u_s} \notin G_{\{\Delta_{k-1}\}}$ since $\Delta_{k-1} \subset supp(g_k)$ and $g_k^{u_s} \in G_{\Delta_{k-1}}$ due to the fact that $supp(g_k) \cap supp(g_k^{u_s}) = \emptyset$. Therefore, Y is an ascending subset of homogeneous elements of G'. In particular, (b) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied.

Finally, let $1 \le k + 1 < s$. Then

$$(g_{k+1}^{-1}g_{k+1}^{g_s})^p|_{\Delta_k} = g_{k+1}^{-p}|_{\Delta_k} = u_{k+1}^{-p}|_{\Delta_k} = g_k^{-1}|_{\Delta_k} = g_k^{-1} \times g_k^{g_s}|_{\Delta_k}$$

and so (a) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. Therefore, G' cannot be MNFC by Theorem 1.1. (A different proof of this result is given in [5, Theorem 1.6].)

Acknowledgment

The author is very grateful to the editor and the referee(s) for accepting this work for publication in Turkish Journal of Mathematics.

References

- [1] Asar AO. On finitary permutation groups. Turk J Math 2006; 30: 101-116.
- [2] Asar AO. Subgroups of finitary permutation groups. J Group Theory 2008; 11: 229-234.
- [3] Asar AO. Corrigendum: Subgroups of finitary permutation groups. J Group Theory 2009; 12: 487-489.
- [4] Asar AO. Totally imprimitive permutation groups with the cyclic-block property. J Group Theory 2011; 14: 127-141.
- [5] Asar AO. Subgroups of totally imprimitive permutation groups. Comm Algebra 2017; 6: 2690-2707.
- [6] Belyaev VV, Sesekin, NF. On infinite groups of Miller-Moreno type. Acta Math Acad Sci Hungar 1975; 26: 369-376.
- [7] Belyaev VV. Minimal non-FC-groups. All Union Symposium Kiev 1980: 97-108.

- [8] Belyaev VV. On the question of existence of minimal non-FC-groups. Siberian Math J 1998; 39: 1093-1095.
- [9] Bhattacharjee, M, Machperson, DR, Möller, G, Neumann, PM. Notes on Infinite Permutation Groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1698. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1998.)
- [10] Dixon JD, Moretimer, B. Permutation Groups. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1996.
- [11] Humphreys JH. A Course in Group Theory. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- [12] Kargapolov MI, Merzljakov, JI. Fundamentals of the Theory of Groups. 2nd ed. Translated from the Russian by RG Burns. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1979.
- [13] Kezlan TP, Rhee NH. A characterization of the centralizer of a permutation. Missouri J Math Sci 1999; 11: 158-163.
- [14] Kuzucuoglu M, Phillips R. Locally finite minimal non-FC-groups. Math Proc Cambridge 1989; 105: 417-420.
- [15] Leinen F. A reduction theorem for perfect locally finite minimal non-FC-groups. Glasgow Math J 1999; 41: 81-83.
- [16] Leinen F, Puglisi O. Finitary representations and images of transitive finitary permutation groups. J Algebra 1999; 222: 524-549.
- [17] Neumann PM. On the structure of standard wreath products of groups. Math Z 1964; 84: 343-373.
- [18] Neumann PM. The lawlessness of groups of finitary permutations. Arch Math 1975; 26: 561-566.
- [19] Neumann PM. The structure of finitary permutation groups. Arch Math 1976; 27: 3-17.
- [20] Pinnock CJE. Irreducible and transitive locally- nilpotent by abelian groups. Arch Math 2000; 74: 168-172.
- [21] Robinson DJS. A Course in the Theory of Groups. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1980.
- [22] Tomkinson MJ. FC-Groups. Boston, MA, USA: Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, 1984.
- [23] Wiegold J. Groups of finitary permutations. Arch Math 1974; 25: 466-469.