

Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Research Article

Piecewise asymptotically almost periodic solution of neutral Volterra integro-differential equations with impulsive effects

Zhinan XIA*

Department of Applied Mathematics, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, P.R. China

Received: 06.08.2014	•	Accepted/Published Online: 15.02.2017	•	Final Version: 23.11.2017
----------------------	---	---------------------------------------	---	----------------------------------

Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of a piecewise asymptotically almost periodic mild solution to nonautonomous neutral Volterra integro-differential equations with impulsive effects in Banach space. The working tools are based on the Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and semigroup theory. In order to illustrate our main results, we study the piecewise asymptotically almost periodic solution of the impulsive partial differential equations with Dirichlet conditions.

Key words: Neutral Volterra integro-differential equations, impulsive effects, asymptotically almost periodicity, Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of a piecewise asymptotically almost periodic mild solution of neutral Volterra integro-differential equations with impulsive effects:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}D(t,u(t)) = A(t)D(t,u(t)) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s)g(s,u(s))ds + h(t,u(t)), \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \neq t_{i}, \ i \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \Delta u(t_{i}) = u(t_{i}^{+}) - u(t_{i}^{-}) = \gamma_{i}u(t_{i}) + \delta_{i}, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $A(t) : \mathcal{D} \subset X \to X$ are a family of closed linear operators on Banach space X, D(t, u(t)) = u(t) + f(t, u(t)), $f, g, h : \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$ are piecewise asymptotically almost periodic functions in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ uniformly in the second variable, γ_i , δ_i are asymptotically almost periodic sequences, and $u(t_i^+), u(t_i^-)$ represent the right-hand side and the left-hand side limits of $u(\cdot)$ at t_i , respectively.

There are many physical phenomena that are described by means of integro-differential equations with impulsive effects, for instance, biological systems, electrical engineering, and chemical reactions. For more details about this topic, one can see [6, 7, 9, 16, 23, 24, 26], where the authors have given an important overview about the theory of impulsive differential and integro-differential equations. On the other hand, the asymptotic properties of solutions of impulsive differential equations have been studied from different points, such as almost periodicity [8, 17, 18, 20, 21, 29], almost automorphy [1, 30], asymptotic stability [19, 28], asymptotic equivalence [5], and oscillation[15]. However, the existence and uniqueness of a piecewise asymptotically almost periodic

^{*}Correspondence: xiazn299@zjut.edu.cn

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B15, 35R12

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11501507).

mild solution for neutral Volterra integro-differential equations with impulsive effects in the form (1.1) is an untreated topic in the literature and this fact is the motivation of the present work.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some fundamental results about the notion of piecewise asymptotically almost periodic function including composition theorem. Section 3 is devoted to the existence and uniqueness of a mild solution to nonautonomous neutral Volterra integro-differential equations with impulsive effects in Banach space. In Section 4, an application of impulsive partial differential equations with Dirichlet conditions is given.

2. Preliminaries and basic results

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ be Banach spaces, Ω be a subset of X, and \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{C} stand for the set of natural numbers, integers, real numbers, and complex numbers, respectively. For A being a linear operator on X, $\mathcal{D}(A)$, $\rho(A)$, $R(\lambda, A)$, $\sigma(A)$ stand for the domain, the resolvent set, the resolvent, and spectrum of A. Let T be the set consisting of all real sequences $\{t_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\alpha = \inf_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(t_{i+1} - t_i) > 0$. It is immediate that this condition implies that $\lim_{i\to\infty} t_i = \infty$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} t_i = -\infty$.

In order to facilitate the discussion below, we further introduce the following notations:

- $C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ (resp. $C(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$): the set of continuous functions from \mathbb{R} to X (resp. from $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ to X).
- $BC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ (resp. $BC(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$): the Banach space of bounded continuous functions from \mathbb{R} to X (resp. from $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ to X) with the supremum norm.
- $PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$: the space formed by all piecewise continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to X$ such that $f(\cdot)$ is continuous at t for any $t \notin \{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $f(t_i^+)$, $f(t_i^-)$ exist, and $f(t_i^-) = f(t_i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $PC(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$: the space formed by all piecewise continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to X$ such that for any $x \in \Omega, f(\cdot, x) \in PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$, and for any $t \in \mathbb{R}, f(t, \cdot)$ is continuous at $x \in \Omega$.
- L(X, Y): the Banach space of bounded linear operators from X to Y endowed with the operator topology. In particular, we write L(X) when X = Y.
- $l^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, X) = \{ x : \mathbb{Z} \to X : ||x|| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} ||x(n)|| < \infty \}.$

2.1. Fixed point theorem and compactness criterion

First, we recall the definition of strong continuous evolution family and Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem, which will be used later.

Definition 2.1 [13] A family of bounded linear operators $(U(t,s))_{t\geq s}$ on a Banach space X is called a strong continuous evolution family if

- (i) U(t,r)U(r,s) = U(t,s) and U(s,s) = I for all $t \ge r \ge s$ and $t, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) The map $(t,s) \to U(t,s)x$ is continuous for all $x \in X, t \ge s$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([27] Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem) Let \mathcal{M} be a closed convex nonempty subset of a Banach space X. Suppose that A and B map \mathcal{M} into X such that

- (i) $Au + Bv \in \mathcal{M} \ (\forall u, v \in \mathcal{M}),$
- (ii) A is compact and continuous,
- (*iii*) B is a contraction mapping.

Then there exists $v \in \mathcal{M}$ such that Av + Bv = v.

Next, we recall a useful compactness criterion on $PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous function such that $h(t) \ge 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h(t) \to \infty$ as $|t| \to \infty$. Define

$$PC_h^0(\mathbb{R}, X) := \left\{ f \in PC(\mathbb{R}, X) : \lim_{|t| \to \infty} \frac{\|f(t)\|}{h(t)} = 0 \right\}$$

endowed with the norm $||f||_h = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{||f(t)||}{h(t)}$, it is a Banach space.

Lemma 2.1 [19] A set $B \subseteq PC_h^0(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is relatively compact if and only if it verifies the following conditions:

- (1) $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{\|f(t)\|}{h(t)} = 0$ uniformly for $f \in B$.
- (2) $B(t) = \{f(t) : f \in B\}$ is relatively compact in X for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (3) The set B is equicontinuous on each interval (t_i, t_{i+1}) $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$.

2.2. Piecewise asymptotic almost periodicity

Definition 2.2 [14] A function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is said to be almost periodic if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $l(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that every interval J of length $l(\varepsilon)$ contains a number τ with the property that $||f(t+\tau)-f(t)|| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $AP(\mathbb{R}, X)$ the set of such functions.

Definition 2.3 [26] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called almost periodic if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a relatively dense set of its ε -periods, i.e. there exists a natural number $l = l(\varepsilon)$, such that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is at least one number p in [k, k+l], for which inequality $||x_{n+p} - x_n|| < \varepsilon$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $AP(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ the set of such sequences.

Define

$$AAP_0(\mathbb{Z}, X) = \left\{ x_n \in l^\infty(\mathbb{Z}, X) : \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n\| = 0 \right\}.$$

Definition 2.4 [25] A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in l^{\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ is called asymptotically almost periodic if $x_n = x_n^1 + x_n^2$, where $x_n^1 \in AP(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, $x_n^2 \in AAP_0(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. Denote by $AAP(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ the set of such sequences.

For $\{t_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in T$, $\{t_i^j\}$ is defined by

$$\left\{t_i^j = t_{i+j} - t_i\right\}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

It is easy to verify that the numbers t_i^j satisfy

 $t_{i+k}^{j} - t_{i}^{j} = t_{i+j}^{k} - t_{i}^{k}, \quad t_{i}^{j} - t_{i}^{k} = t_{i+k}^{j-k} \quad \text{for} \ i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Definition 2.5 [4] A function $f \in PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is said to be piecewise almost periodic if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) $\left\{t_i^j = t_{i+j} t_i\right\}, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ are equipotentially almost periodic, that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a relatively dense set in \mathbb{R} of ε -almost periods common for all of the sequences $\{t_i^j\}$.
- (2) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive number $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that if the points t' and t'' belong to the same interval of continuity of f and $|t' t''| < \delta$, then $||f(t') f(t'')|| < \varepsilon$.
- (3) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a relatively dense set Ω_{ε} in \mathbb{R} such that if $\tau \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$, then

$$\|f(t+\tau) - f(t)\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy the condition $|t - t_i| > \varepsilon, i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We denote by $AP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$ the space of all piecewise almost periodic functions. Obviously, $AP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$ endowed with the supremum norm is a Banach space. Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume that $\{t_i^j\}$ are equipotentially almost periodic. Let $\mathcal{UPC}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ be the space of all functions $f \in PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ such that fsatisfies the condition (2) in Definition 2.5.

Lemma 2.2 [26] If the sequences $\{t_i^j\}$ are equipotentially almost periodic, then for each j > 0 there exists a positive integer N such that on each interval of length j there are no more than N elements of the sequence $\{t_i\}$, i.e.

$$i(t,s) \le N(t-s) + N,$$

where i(t,s) is the number of the points $\{t_i\}$ in the interval [s,t].

Definition 2.6 [26] $f \in PC(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ is said to be piecewise almost periodic in t uniformly in $x \in \Omega$ if for each compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$, $\{f(\cdot, x) : x \in K\}$ is uniformly bounded, and given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a relatively dense set Ω_{ε} such that $||f(t + \tau, x) - f(t, x)|| \le \varepsilon$ for all $x \in K, \tau \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|t - t_i| > \varepsilon$. Denote by $AP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ the set of all such functions.

Define

$$PC_T^0(\mathbb{R}, X) = \left\{ f \in PC(\mathbb{R}, X) : \lim_{t \to \infty} \|f(t)\| = 0 \right\},$$
$$PC_T^0(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X) = \left\{ f \in PC(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X) : \lim_{t \to \infty} \|f(t, x)\| dt = 0 \text{ uniformly with respect to} x \in K, \text{ where } K \text{ is an arbitrary compact subset of } \Omega \right\}.$$

Definition 2.7 A function $f \in PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ is said to be piecewise asymptotically almost periodic if it can be decomposed as $f = g + \varphi$, where $g \in AP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and $\varphi \in PC_T^0(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Denote by $AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$ the set of all such functions.

Similarly as the proof of [11, Lemma 2.5], one has

Lemma 2.3 Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset PC^0_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$ be a sequence of functions. If f_n converges uniformly to f, then $f \in PC^0_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Definition 2.8 Let $AAP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ consist of all functions $f \in PC(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ such that $f = g + \varphi$, where $g \in AP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ and $\varphi \in AAP_T^0(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$.

Similarly as the proof of [19, Theorem 3.1], the composition theorems hold for piecewise asymptotically almost periodic function.

Theorem 2.2 Suppose $f \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\{f(t,u): t \in \mathbb{R}, u \in K\}$ is bounded for every bounded subset $K \subseteq \Omega$.
- (ii) $f(t, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous in each bounded subset of Ω uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $\varphi \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$ such that $R(\varphi) \subset \Omega$, then $f(\cdot, \varphi(\cdot)) \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Corollary 2.1 Let $f \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$, $\varphi \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$, and $R(\varphi) \subset \Omega$. Assume that there exists a constant $L_f > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t,u) - f(t,v)\| \le L_f \|u - v\|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ u, v \in \Omega,$$

then $f(\cdot, \varphi(\cdot)) \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

3. Neutral Volterra integro-differential equations with impulsive effects

In this section, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of a piecewise asymptotically almost periodic mild solution of (1.1).

First, we make the following assumptions:

 (H_1) There exist constants $\lambda_0 \ge 0, \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi), L, \widetilde{M} \ge 0$, and $\beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ with $\beta + \gamma > 1$ such that

$$\Sigma_{\theta} \cup \{0\} \subset \rho(A(t) - \lambda_0), \quad ||R(\lambda, A(t) - \lambda_0)|| \le \frac{\widetilde{M}}{1 + |\lambda|}$$

and

$$\|(A(t) - \lambda_0)R(\lambda, A(t) - \lambda_0)[R(\lambda_0, A(t)) - R(\lambda_0, A(s))]\| \le L|t - s|^\beta |\lambda|^{-\gamma}$$

for $t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \Sigma_{\theta} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |arg\lambda| \le \theta\}.$

- $(H_2) \quad R(\lambda_0, A(\cdot)) \in AP(\mathbb{R}, L(X)).$
- (H₃) The evolution family $(U(t,s))_{t\geq s}$ generated by A(t) is exponentially stable, i.e. there exist constants $M > 0, \ \omega > 0$ such that $||U(t,s)|| \leq Me^{-\omega(t-s)}, \ t \geq s, \ t, s \in \mathbb{R}.$

 (H_4) $f \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ and there exists a constant $L_f > 0$ such that

$$||f(t,u) - ||f(t,v)|| \le L_f ||u-v||, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ u,v \in \Omega.$$

- (H_5) $g \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ and $g(t, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous in each bounded subset of Ω uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (H_6) $h \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ and $h(t, \cdot)$ is uniformly continuous in each bounded subset of Ω uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- $(H_7) \quad \gamma_i \in AAP(\mathbb{Z}, X), \ \delta_i \in AAP(\mathbb{Z}, X) \text{ and } \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\gamma_i\| \le \varpi, \ \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\delta_i\| \le \kappa, \ i \in \mathbb{Z}.$
- (H₈) $k \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ and $|k(t)| \leq C_k e^{-\eta t}$ for some positive constants C_k, η .
- $(H_9) \text{ For any } L > 0, \ C_{1L} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}, \|u\| \le L} \|g(t, u)\| < \infty, \ C_{2L} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}, \|u\| \le L} \|h(t, u)\| < \infty. \text{ Moreover, there exists a constant } L_0 > 0 \text{ such that}$

$$L_f L_0 + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(t,0)\| + \frac{M(C_k \eta^{-1} C_{1L_0} + C_{2L_0})}{\omega} + \frac{M(\varpi L_0 + \kappa)}{1 - e^{-\omega\alpha}} \le L_0.$$

 (H_{10}) For fixed $t, s \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq s$, the operator $U(t, s) : X \to X$ is compact.

Remark 3.1 (H_1) is usually called "Acquistapace-Terreni" conditions, which was first introduced in [3] and widely used to study nonautonomous differential equations in [2, 3, 12, 13]. If (H_1) holds, there exists a unique evolution family $(U(t,s))_{t>s}$ on X, which governs the homogeneous version of (1.1) [2].

Before starting our main results, we recall the definition of the mild solution to (1.1).

Definition 3.1 [10] A function $u : \mathbb{R} \to X$ is called a mild solution of (1.1) if for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > \sigma$, $\sigma \neq t_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$u(t) = U(t,\sigma)(u(\sigma) + f(\sigma, u(\sigma))) - f(t, u(t)) + \int_{\sigma}^{t} U(t,s)((Ku)(s) + h(s, u(s)))ds + \sum_{\sigma < t_i < t} U(t, t_i)(\gamma_i u(t_i) + \delta_i),$$
(3.1)

where

$$(Ku)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s)g(s,u(s))ds.$$

Note that if (H_3) holds, then (3.1) can be replaced by

$$u(t) = -f(t, u(t)) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} U(t, s)((Ku)(s) + h(s, u(s)))ds + \sum_{t_i < t} U(t, t_i)(\gamma_i u(t_i) + \delta_i).$$

Lemma 3.1 [22] Assume that $(H_1) - (H_3)$ hold; then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and h > 0, there is a relatively dense set $\Omega_{\varepsilon,h}$ such that

 $\|U(t+\tau,s+\tau) - U(t,s)\| \le \varepsilon e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}(t-s)}, \quad t-s > h, t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \in \Omega_{\varepsilon,h}.$

This property can be abbreviated by writing $U \in AP(L(X))$.

Lemma 3.2 [4] Assume that $f \in AP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $U \in AP(L(X))$, the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in AP(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, and $\{t_i^j\}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ are equipotentially almost periodic. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist relatively dense sets Ω_{ε} of \mathbb{R} and Q_{ε} of \mathbb{Z} such that

- (i) $||f(t+\tau) f(t)|| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|t-t_i| > \varepsilon$, $\tau \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$, and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (ii) $||U(t+\tau,s+\tau) U(t,s)|| \le \varepsilon e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}(t-s)}$ for all $t,s \in \mathbb{R}$, |t-s| > 0, $|s-t_i| > \varepsilon$, $|t-t_i| > \varepsilon$, $\tau \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$, and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (iii) $||x_{i+q} x_i|| < \varepsilon$ for all $q \in Q_{\varepsilon}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (iv) $|t_i^q \tau| < \varepsilon$ for all $q \in Q_{\varepsilon}, \ \tau \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$, and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.3 Assume that $(H_1) - (H_3)$, (H_5) , (H_8) , (H_9) hold, if $u \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$, then

$$(Ku)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s)g(s,u(s))ds \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R},X).$$

Proof For $u \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$, it is not difficult to see that $\phi(\cdot) = g(\cdot, u(\cdot)) \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$ by Theorem 2.2. Let $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, where $\phi_1 \in AP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$, $\phi_2 \in PC_T^0(\mathbb{R}, X)$; then

$$(Ku)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s)\phi(s)ds = \int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s)\phi_1(s)ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s)\phi_2(s)ds$$

:= $\Psi_1(t) + \Psi_2(t)$.

(i) $\Psi_1 \in AP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

It is not difficult to see that $\Psi_1 \in \mathcal{U}PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Since $\phi_1 \in AP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a relatively dense set Ω_{ε} such that

$$\|\phi_1(t+\tau) - \phi_1(t)\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \tau \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}, t \in \mathbb{R}, |t-t_i| > \varepsilon, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Thus, by (H_8) , for $t \in \mathbb{R}, |t - t_i| > \varepsilon, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$\begin{split} \|\Psi_1(t+\tau) - \Psi_1(t)\| &= \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} k(t+\tau-s)\phi_1(s)ds - \int_{-\infty}^t k(t-s)\phi_1(s)ds \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_{-\infty}^t k(t-s)(\phi_1(s+\tau) - \phi_1(s))ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t C_k e^{-\eta(t-s)} \|\phi_1(s+\tau) - \phi_1(s)\| ds \\ &< \frac{C_k}{\eta}\varepsilon, \end{split}$$

which implies that $\Psi_1 \in AP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

(*ii*)
$$\Psi_2 \in PC^0_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$$
.

Since $\phi_2 \in PC_T^0(\mathbb{R}, X)$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that $\|\phi_2(s)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $s > T_0$; then for all $t > 2T_0$, one has

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{2}(t)|| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} \|k(t-s)\phi_{2}(s)\|ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} C_{k}e^{-\eta(t-s)}\|\phi_{2}(s)\|ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{t/2} C_{k}e^{-\eta(t-s)}\|\phi_{2}(s)\|ds + \int_{t/2}^{t} C_{k}e^{-\eta(t-s)}\|\phi_{2}(s)\|ds \\ &\leq C_{k}\|\phi_{2}\|\int_{t/2}^{\infty} e^{-\eta s}ds + \varepsilon C_{k}\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\eta s}ds, \end{split}$$

and, therefore, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \|\Psi_2(t)\| = 0$, that is $\Psi_2 \in PC^0_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $(H_1) - (H_{10})$ hold; then (1.1) has a mild solution $u \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$. **Proof** Let $\Gamma : AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap \mathcal{U}PC(\mathbb{R}, X) \to PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$ be the operator defined by

$$(\Gamma u)(t) = -f(t, u(t)) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} U(t, s)((Ku)(s) + h(s, u(s)))ds + \sum_{t_i < t} U(t, t_i)(\gamma_i u(t_i) + \delta_i)$$
(3.2)
$$:= (\Gamma_1 u)(t) + (\Gamma_2 u)(t),$$

where

$$(\Gamma_1 u)(t) = -f(t, u(t)), \quad (\Gamma_2 u)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t U(t, s)((Ku)(s) + h(s, u(s)))ds + \sum_{t_i < t} U(t, t_i)(\gamma_i u(t_i) + \delta_i).$$

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{ u \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap \mathcal{U}PC(\mathbb{R}, X) : ||u|| \leq L_0 \}$. We next show that Γ has a fixed point in \mathcal{M} and divide the proof into several steps.

(i) For $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\Gamma_1 u, \Gamma_2 v \in \mathcal{U}PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

For $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, it is not difficult to see that $\Gamma_1 u \in \mathcal{UPC}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Next, we will show that $\Gamma_2 v \in \mathcal{UPC}(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Let $t', t'' \in (t_i, t_{i+1}), i \in \mathbb{Z}, t'' < t', v \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap \mathcal{UPC}(\mathbb{R}, X)$, and one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_{2}v)(t') &- (\Gamma_{2}v)(t'') \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{t'} U(t',s)((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))ds + \sum_{t_{i} < t'} U(t',t_{i})(\gamma_{i}v(t_{i}) + \delta_{i}) \\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{t''} U(t'',s)((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))ds - \sum_{t_{i} < t''} U(t'',t_{i})(\gamma_{i}v(t_{i}) + \delta_{i}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{t''} [U(t',s) - U(t'',s)]((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))ds + \int_{t''}^{t'} U(t',s)((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))ds \\ &+ \sum_{t_{i} < t''} [U(t',t_{i}) - U(t'',t_{i})](\gamma_{i}v(t_{i}) + \delta_{i}). \end{aligned}$$
(3.3)

1663

Moreover,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{-\infty}^{t''} [U(t',s) - U(t'',s)]((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))ds \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} [U(t',t''-s) - U(t'',t''-s)]((Kv)(t''-s) + h(t''-s,v(t''-s)))ds \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} [U(t',t'')U(t'',t''-s) - U(t'',t''-s)]((Kv)(t''-s) + h(t''-s,v(t''-s)))ds \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} [U(t',t'') - I]U(t'',t''-s)((Kv)(t''-s) + h(t''-s,v(t''-s)))ds. \end{split}$$

Note that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $0 \le \delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{3M(C_k\eta^{-1} + \|\Psi\|_{\infty})}$ such that if t', t'' belong to the same continuity and $0 < t' - t'' < \delta$, then

$$\|U(t',t'') - I\| \le \min\left\{\frac{\omega\varepsilon}{3M(C_k\eta^{-1} + \|\Psi\|_{\infty})}, \frac{(1 - e^{-\omega\alpha})\varepsilon}{3MN(\varpi\|v\|_{\infty} + \kappa)}\right\},\$$

where $\|\Psi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|h(t, v(t))\|$, N is the constant in the Lemma 2.2. Hence

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{t''} [U(t',s) - U(t'',s)]((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \|U(t',t'') - I\| \|U(t'',t''-s)\| \|(Kv)(t''-s) + h(t''-s,v(t''-s))\| ds \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega\varepsilon}{3M(C_{k}\eta^{-1} + \|\Psi\|_{\infty})} Me^{-\omega s} (C_{k}\eta^{-1} + \|\Psi\|_{\infty}) ds \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \end{split}$$
(3.4)

and

$$\left\| \int_{t''}^{t'} U(t',s)((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))ds \right\| \leq \int_{t''}^{t'} \|U(t',s)\| \|((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))\| ds$$
$$< \delta M(C_k \eta^{-1} + \|\Psi\|_{\infty}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$
(3.5)

Similarly,

$$\left\| \sum_{t_{i} < t''} [U(t', t_{i}) - U(t'', t_{i})](\gamma_{i}v(t_{i}) + \delta_{i}) \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{t_{i} < t''} [U(t', t'') - I]U(t'', t_{i})(\gamma_{i}v(t_{i}) + \delta_{i}) \right\|$$
$$\leq \sum_{t_{i} < t''} \|U(t', t'') - I\| \|U(t'', t_{i})\| \|\gamma_{i}v(t_{i}) + \delta_{i}\|$$
$$\leq \sum_{t_{i} < t''} \frac{(1 - e^{-\omega\alpha})\varepsilon}{3MN(\varpi\|v\|_{\infty} + \kappa)} Me^{-\omega(t'' - t_{i})}(\varpi\|v\|_{\infty} + \kappa)$$
$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{3.6}$$

Hence, by (3.3) - (3.6), if t', t'' belong to the same continuity and $0 < t' - t'' < \delta$, then

$$\|(\Gamma_2 v)(t') - (\Gamma_2 v)(t'')\| < \varepsilon,$$

which implies that $\Gamma_2 v \in \mathcal{U}PC(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

(*ii*) For $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $\Gamma_1 u, \Gamma_2 v \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

For $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, by Theorem 2.2, one has $\Gamma_1 u \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Next, we will show that $\Gamma_2 v \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Similarly as the proof of Lemma 3.3, one has

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} U(t,s)((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))ds \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R},X).$$

It remains to show that

$$\sum_{t_i < t} U(t, t_i)(\gamma_i v(t_i) + \delta_i) \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X).$$

It is not difficult to see that $\gamma_i v(t_i) + \delta_i \in AAP(\mathbb{Z}, X)$; then let $\gamma_i v(t_i) + \delta_i = \beta_i + \sigma_i$, where $\beta_i \in AP(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ and $\sigma_i \in AAP_0(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, and so

$$\sum_{t_i < t} U(t, t_i)(\gamma_i v(t_i) + \delta_i) = \sum_{t_i < t} U(t, t_i)\beta_i + \sum_{t_i < t} U(t, t_i)\sigma_i := \Pi_1(t) + \Pi_2(t).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by Lemma 3.2, there exist relative dense sets of real numbers Ω_{ε} and integers Q_{ε} , such that for $t_i < t \le t_{i+1}, \ \tau \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \ q \in Q_{\varepsilon}, \ |t - t_i| > \varepsilon, \ |t - t_{i+1}| > \varepsilon, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$t + \tau > t_i + \varepsilon + \tau > t_{i+q},$$

and

$$t_{i+q+1} > t_{i+1} + \tau - \varepsilon > t + \tau,$$

that is $t_{i+q} < t + \tau < t_{i+q+1}$; then, by Lemma 2.2, one has

$$\begin{split} \|\Pi_{1}(t+\tau) - \Pi_{1}(t)\| &= \left\| \sum_{t_{i} < t+\tau} U(t+\tau,t_{i})\beta_{i} - \sum_{t_{i} < t} U(t,t_{i})\beta_{i} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{t_{i} < t} U(t+\tau,t_{i+q})\beta_{i+q} - \sum_{t_{i} < t} U(t+\tau,t_{i+q})\beta_{i} \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{t_{i} < t} U(t+\tau,t_{i+q})\beta_{i} - \sum_{t_{i} < t} U(t,t_{i})\beta_{i} \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{t_{i} < t} \|U(t+\tau,t_{i+q})\| \|\beta_{i+q} - \beta_{i}\| \\ &+ \sum_{t_{i} < t} \|U(t+\tau,t_{i+q}) - U(t,t_{i})\| \|\beta_{i}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{t_{i} < t} Me^{-\omega(t-t_{i})}\varepsilon + \sum_{t_{i} < t} \varepsilon M_{\beta_{i}}e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}(t-t_{i})} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{j < t-t_{i} \leq j+1} Me^{-\omega(t-t_{i})}\varepsilon + \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{j < t-t_{i} \leq j+1} \varepsilon M_{\beta_{i}}e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}(t-t_{i})} \\ &\leq \frac{NM\varepsilon}{1-e^{-\omega\alpha}} + \frac{NM_{\beta_{i}}\varepsilon}{1-e^{-\frac{\omega}{2}\alpha}}, \end{split}$$

where $M_{\beta_i} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\beta_i\|$. Thus $\Pi_1 \in AP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

Next, we show that $\Pi_2 \in PC^0_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$. For a given $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the function $\rho(t)$ by

$$\rho(t) = U(t, t_i)\sigma_i, \quad t_i < t \le t_{i+1},$$

then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|\rho(t)\| = \lim_{t \to \infty} \|U(t, t_i)\sigma_i\| \le \lim_{t \to \infty} Me^{-\omega(t-t_i)} \|\sigma_i\| = 0,$$

and then $\rho \in PC_T^0(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Define $\rho_k : \mathbb{R} \to X$ by

$$\rho_k(t) = U(t, t_{i-k})\sigma_{i-k}, \quad t_i < t \le t_{i+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Hence $\rho_k \in PC^0_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Moreover,

$$\|\rho_k(t)\| = \|U(t, t_{i-k})\sigma_{i-k}\| \le M \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma_i\| e^{-\omega(t-t_{i-k})} \le M \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma_i\| e^{-\omega(t-t_i)} e^{-\omega\alpha k}$$

Therefore, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k$ is uniformly convergent on \mathbb{R} . By Lemma 2.3, one has

$$\Pi_2(t) = \sum_{t_i < t} U(t, t_i) \sigma_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k \in PC_T^0(\mathbb{R}, X).$$

Thus $\Gamma_2 v \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$.

1666

(*iii*) For all $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, we claim that $\Gamma_1 u + \Gamma_2 v \in \mathcal{M}$.

For $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, one has

$$\|\Gamma_{1}u\| \leq \|f(t, u(t)) - f(t, 0)\| + \|f(t, 0)\|$$
$$\leq L_{f}\|u\| + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(t, 0)\|$$
$$\leq L_{f}L_{0} + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(t, 0)\|,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|(\Gamma_{2}v)(t)\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} \|U(t,s)\| \|(Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s))\| ds + \sum_{t_{i} < t} \|U(t,t_{i})\| \|\gamma_{i}v(t_{i}) + \delta_{i}\| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me^{-\omega(t-s)} \|(Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s))\| ds + \sum_{t_{i} < t} Me^{-\omega(t-t_{i})} \|\gamma_{i}v(t_{i}) + \delta_{i}\| \\ &\leq (C_{k}\eta^{-1}C_{1L_{0}} + C_{2L_{0}}) \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me^{-\omega(t-s)} ds + (\varpi L_{0} + \kappa) \sum_{t_{i} < t} Me^{-\omega(t-t_{i})} \\ &\leq \frac{M(C_{k}\eta^{-1}C_{1L_{0}} + C_{2L_{0}})}{\omega} + \frac{M(\varpi L_{0} + \kappa)}{1 - e^{-\omega\alpha}}, \end{split}$$

and then $\|\Gamma_1 u + \Gamma_2 v\| \le L_0$ by (H_9) . Hence, by (i) and (ii), we claim that $\Gamma_1 u + \Gamma_2 v \in \mathcal{M}$.

(*iv*) Γ_1 is a contraction mapping.

For $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, one has

$$\|\Gamma_1 u - \Gamma_1 v\| = \|f(t, u) - f(t, v)\| \le L_f \|u - v\|,$$

and it follows that Γ_1 is a contraction mapping by (H_9) .

(v) Γ_2 is continuous.

Let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}, u_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$; then there exists a bounded subset $\widetilde{\Omega} \subseteq \Omega$ such that $R(u) \subseteq \widetilde{\Omega}$, $R(u_n) \subseteq \widetilde{\Omega}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. By $(H_5)-(H_7)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ such that $u, v \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ and $||u-v|| < \delta$ implies that

$$\|g(t, u) - g(t, v)\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$
$$\|h(t, u) - h(t, v)\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For the above $\delta > 0$, there exists n_0 such that $||u_n(t) - u(t)|| < \delta$ for all $n > n_0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$; then, for $n > n_0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t, u_n(t)) - g(t, u(t))\| &< \varepsilon, \quad \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \|h(t, u_n(t)) - h(t, u(t))\| &< \varepsilon, \quad \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \|(\Gamma_{2}u_{n})(t) - (\Gamma_{2}u)(t)\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} \|U(t,s)\| \|((Ku_{n})(s) + h(s,u_{n}(s))) - ((Ku)(s) + h(s,u(s)))\| ds \\ &+ \sum_{t_{i} < t} \|U(t,t_{i})\| \|\gamma_{i}u_{n}(t_{i}) - \gamma_{i}u(t_{i})\| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me^{-\omega(t-s)} \|((Ku_{n})(s) + h(s,u_{n}(s))) - ((Ku)(s) + h(s,u(s)))\| ds \\ &+ \sum_{t_{i} < t} Me^{-\omega(t-t_{i})} \|\gamma_{i}u_{n}(t_{i}) - \gamma_{i}u(t_{i})\| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me^{-\omega(t-s)} (C_{k}\eta^{-1} + 1)\varepsilon ds + \sum_{t_{i} < t} Me^{-\omega(t-t_{i})}\varpi\varepsilon \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M(C_{k}\eta^{-1} + 1)}{\omega} + \frac{MN\varpi}{1 - e^{-\omega\alpha}}\right)\varepsilon, \end{split}$$

which implies that Γ_2 is continuous.

(vi) $B(t) = \{(\Gamma_2 u)(t) : u \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a relatively compact subset of X in each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $u \in \mathcal{M}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_2^{\varepsilon} u)(t) &:= \int_{-\infty}^{t-\varepsilon} U(t,s)((Ku)(s) + h(s,u(s)))ds + \sum_{t_i < t-\varepsilon} U(t,t_i)(\gamma_i v(t_i) + \delta_i) \\ &= U(t,t-\varepsilon) \left[\int_{-\infty}^{t-\varepsilon} U(t-\varepsilon,s)((Ku)(s) + h(s,u(s)))ds + \sum_{t_i < t-\varepsilon} U(t-\varepsilon,t_i)(\gamma_i v(t_i) + \delta_i) \right] \\ &= U(t,t-\varepsilon)(\Gamma_2 u)(t-\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{(\Gamma_2 u)(t-\varepsilon) : u \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is bounded in X and $U(t,t-\varepsilon)$ is compact by $(H_{10}), \{(\Gamma_2^{\varepsilon} u)(t) : u \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a relatively compact subset of X. Moreover,

$$\begin{split} \|(\Gamma_2 u)(t) - (\Gamma_2^{\varepsilon} u)(t)\| &= \left\| \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t U(t,s)((Ku)(s) + h(s,u(s)))ds + \sum_{t-\varepsilon < t_i < t} U(t,t_i)(\gamma_i v(t_i) + \delta_i) \right\| \\ &\leq \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \|U(t,s)\| \|(Ku)(s) + h(s,u(s))\| ds + \sum_{t-\varepsilon < t_i < t} \|U(t,t_i)\| \|\gamma_i v(t_i) + \delta_i\| \\ &\leq \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t Me^{-\omega(t-s)} \|(Ku)(s) + h(s,u(s))\| ds + \sum_{t-\varepsilon < t_i < t} Me^{-\omega(t-t_i)} \|\gamma_i v(t_i) + \delta_i\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon M(C_k \eta^{-1} C_{1L_0} + C_{2L_0})}{\omega} + \frac{\varepsilon M(\varpi L_0 + \kappa)}{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Thus $\{(\Gamma_2 u)(t) : u \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a relatively compact subset of X in each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

By (i), { $\Gamma_2 u : u \in \mathcal{M}$ } is equipotentially continuous at each interval (t_i, t_{i+1}) $(i \in \mathbb{Z})$. Since { $\Gamma_2 u : u \in \mathcal{M}$ } $\subset PC_h^0(\mathbb{R}, X)$, then { $\Gamma_2 u : u \in \mathcal{M}$ } is a relatively compact set by Lemma 2.1, and then

 Γ_2 is a compact operator. Since \mathcal{M} is a closed convex set, by Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem (Theorem 2.1), Γ has a fixed point u in \mathcal{M} , which is the piecewise asymptotically almost periodic mild solution of (1.1). \Box

The following existence result is based on the Banach contraction mapping principle.

Theorem 3.2 Assume that $(H_1) - (H_4)$, (H_7) , (H_8) hold and satisfy the following conditions:

 $(H_5') \ g \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ and there exists a constant $L_g > 0$ such that

$$||g(t,u) - ||g(t,v)|| \le L_q ||u-v||, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ u,v \in \Omega.$$

 (H'_6) $h \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega, X)$ and there exists a constant $L_h > 0$ such that

$$||h(t,u) - ||h(t,v)|| \le L_h ||u-v||, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ u, v \in \Omega.$$

Then (1.1) has a unique mild solution $u \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X)$ if $\frac{M(C_k \eta^{-1} L_g + L_h)}{\omega} + \frac{MN\varpi}{1 - e^{-\omega\alpha}} + L_f < 1$.

Proof Define the operator Γ as in (3.2). Similarly as the proof of Theorem 3.1, for $u \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap UPC(\mathbb{R}, X)$, one has $\Gamma u \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap UPC(\mathbb{R}, X)$. Hence $\Gamma(AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap UPC(\mathbb{R}, X)) \subset AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap UPC(\mathbb{R}, X)$. It suffices now to show that Γ has a fixed point in $AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap UPC(\mathbb{R}, X)$. For $u, v \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap UPC(\mathbb{R}, X)$, one has

$$\begin{split} \|(\Gamma u)(t) - (\Gamma v)(t)\| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} \|U(t,s)\| \|((Ku)(s) + h(s,u(s))) - ((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))\| ds \\ &+ \sum_{t_i < t} \|U(t,t_i)\| \|\gamma_i u(t_i) - \gamma_i v(t_i)\| + \|f(t,u(t)) - f(t,v(t))\| \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} Me^{-\omega(t-s)} \|((Ku)(s) + h(s,u(s))) - ((Kv)(s) + h(s,v(s)))\| ds \\ &+ \sum_{t_i < t} Me^{-\omega(t-t_i)} \|\gamma_i u(t_i) - \gamma_i v(t_i)\| + \|f(t,u(t)) - f(t,v(t))\| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{t} Me^{-\omega(t-s)} (C_k \eta^{-1} L_g + L_h) ds + \sum_{t_i < t} \varpi Me^{-\omega(t-t_i)} + L_f\right) \|u - v\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{M(C_k \eta^{-1} L_g + L_h)}{\omega} + \frac{MN\varpi}{1 - e^{-\omega\alpha}} + L_f\right) \|u - v\|. \end{split}$$

Since $\frac{M(C_k \eta^{-1}L_g + L_h)}{\omega} + \frac{MN\varpi}{1 - e^{-\omega\alpha}} + L_f < 1$, Γ is a contraction. By the Banach contraction mapping principle, Γ has a unique fixed point in $AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap UPC(\mathbb{R}, X)$, which is the unique piecewise asymptotically almost periodic mild solution to (1.1).

4. Example

Consider the impulsive partial differential equations with Dirichlet conditions

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u(t,x) + f(t,x,u(t,x))) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(u(t,x) + f(t,x,u(t,x))) - 2(u(t,x) + f(t,x,u(t,x))) \\ + \left(\sin t + \sin\sqrt{2}t\right)(u(t,x) + f(t,x,u(t,x))) + \int_{-\infty}^t k(t-s)g(s,x,u(s,x))ds + h(t,x,u(t,x)), \\ \Delta u(t_i,x) = \beta_i u(t_i,x), i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad x \in [0,\pi], \\ u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

where $f, g, h \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \pi] \times L^2[0, \pi], L^2[0, \pi]), t_i = i + \frac{1}{4} |\sin i + \sin \sqrt{2}i|, \beta_i \in AAP(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}), \text{ and } \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |\beta_i| \le \varpi$. Note that $\{t_i^j\}, i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ are equipotentially almost periodic and $\alpha = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (t_{i+1} - t_i) > 0$; one can see [19, 26] for more details.

Take $X = L^2[0, \pi]$ is equipped with its natural topology and define

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{ u \in L^2[0,\pi] : u'' \in L^2[0,\pi], u(0) = u(\pi) = 0 \},\$$

$$Au = u'' - 2u, \qquad \text{for all} \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

Let $\varphi_n(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(nt)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known that A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on $L^2[0,\pi]$ with $||T(t)|| \leq e^{-3t}$ for $t \geq 0$. Moreover,

$$T(t)\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-(n^2+2)t} \langle \varphi, \varphi_n \rangle \varphi_n,$$

 $\text{for each } \varphi \in L^2[0,\pi]\,.$

Define a family of linear operators A(t) by

$$\mathcal{D}(A(t)) = \mathcal{D}(A),$$

$$A(t)\varphi(x) = \left(A + \sin t + \sin \sqrt{2}t\right)\varphi(x), \quad \forall \ x \in [0,\pi], \ \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

Then the system

$$u'(t) = A(t)u(t), \quad t \ge s,$$
$$u(s) = \varphi \in L^2[0,\pi],$$

has an associated evolution family $(U(t,s))_{t\geq s}$ on $L^2[0,\pi]$, which can be explicitly expressed by

$$U(t,s)\varphi = T(t-s)e^{\int_s^t (\sin\tau + \sin\sqrt{2}\tau)d\tau}\varphi.$$

Moreover,

$$||U(t,s)|| \le e^{-(t-s)}$$
 for every $t \ge s$.

1670

Note that $\sin t + \sin \sqrt{2}t \in AP(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ and it is not difficult to verify that $(H_1)-(H_3)$, (H_7) hold with $M = 1, \omega = 1$. One can see [11] for more details.

Now the following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 4.1 Under the assumptions (H_4) , (H'_5) , (H'_6) , (H_8) , (4.1) admits a unique mild solution $u \in AAP_T(\mathbb{R}, L^2[0, \pi])$ if $C_k \eta^{-1} L_g + L_h + \frac{N \varpi}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} + L_f < 1$.

References

- Abbas S, Mahto L, Hafayed M, Alimi AM. Asymptotic almost automorphic solutions of impulsive neutral network with almost automorphic coefficients. Neurocomputing 2014; 142: 326-334.
- [2] Acquistapce P. Evolution operators and strong solution of abstract linear parabolic equations. Differential Integral Equations 1988; 1: 433-457.
- [3] Acquistapce P, Terreni B. A unified approach to abstract linear parabolic equations. Rend Semin Mat Univ Padova 1987; 78: 47-107.
- [4] Akhmetov M, Perestyuk N, Samoilenko A. Almost-periodic solutions of differential equations with impulse action. Akademia Nauk Ukrainskoi SSR, Institut Matematiki, 1983; 26: pp. 49 (in Russian) (preprint).
- [5] Bainov DD, Simeonov PS. Impulsive Differential Equations, Asymptotic Properties of the Solutions. Singapore: World Scientific 1995.
- [6] Caicedo A, Cuevas C, Mophou G M, N'Guérékata GM. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of some semilinear functional differential and integro-differential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces. J Franklin Inst 2012; 349: 1-24.
- [7] Chang YK, Kavitha V, Mallika Arjunan M. Existence results for impulsive neutral differential and integrodifferential equations with nonlocal conditions via fractional operators. Nonlinear Anal Hybrid Syst 2010; 4: 32-43.
- [8] Chérif F. Pseudo almost periodic solutions of impulsive differential equations with delay. Differ Equ Dyn Syst 2014; 22: 73-91.
- [9] Cuevas C, Hernández E, Rabelo M. The existence of solutions for impulsive neutral functional differential equations. Comput Math Appl 2009; 58: 744-757.
- [10] Cuevas C, N'Guérékata GM, Rabelo M. Mild solutions for impulsive neutral functional differential equations with state-dependent delay. Semigroup Forum 2010; 80: 375-390.
- [11] Diagana T. Stepanov-like pseudo-almost periodicity and its applications to some nonautonomous differential equations. Nonlinear Anal 2008; 69: 4277-4285.
- [12] Ding HS, Liang J, N'Guérékata GM, Xiao TJ. Mild pseudo-almost periodic solutions of nonautonomous semilinear evolution equations. Math Comput Modelling 2007; 45: 579-584.
- [13] Engel KJ, Nagel R. One Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations. Grad. Texts in Math. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [14] Fink AM. Almost Periodic Differential Equations. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1974.
- [15] Guan KZ. Oscillation of solutions of a neutral pantograph equation with impulsive perturbations. Turk J Math 2013; 37: 455-465.
- [16] Guo DJ. Boundary value problems for impulsive integro-differential equations on unbounded domains in a Banach space. Appl Math Comput 1999; 99: 1-15.
- [17] Henríquez HR, De Andrade B, Rabelo M. Existence of almost periodic solutions for a class of abstract impulsive differential equations. ISRN Mathematical Analyis 2011; 2011: 1-21.

- [18] Liu JW, Zhang CY. Existence and stability of almost periodic solutions for impulsive differential equations. Adv Difference Equ 2012; 2012: 1-14.
- [19] Liu JW, Zhang CY. Composition of piecewise pseudo almost periodic functions and applications to abstract impulsive differential equations. Adv Difference Equ 2013; 2013: 1-21.
- [20] Liu JW, Zhang CY. Existence and stability of almost periodic solutions to impulsive stochastic differential equations. Cubo 2013; 15: 77-96.
- [21] Liu JW, Zhang CY. Existence of almost periodic solutions for impulsive neutral functional differential equations. Abstr Appl Anal 2014; 2014: 1-11.
- [22] Maniar L, Roland S. Almost Periodicity of Inhomogeneous Parabolic Evolution Equations, in: Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 234, New York, NY, USA: Dekker, 2003, pp. 299-318.
- [23] Nieto JJ, Rodríguez-López R. New comparison results for impulsive integro-differential equations and applications. J Math Anal Appl 2007; 328: 1343-1368.
- [24] Park JY, Balachandran K, Annapoorani N. Existence results for impulsive neutral functional integrodifferential equations with infinite delay. Nonlinear Anal 2009; 71: 3152-3162.
- [25] Ruess WM, Phong VQ. Asymptotically almost periodic solutions of evolution equations in Banach spaces. J Differential Equations 1995; 122: 282-301.
- [26] Samoilenko AM, Perestyuk NA. Impulsive Differential Equations. Singapore: World Scientific, 1995.
- [27] Smart DR. Fixed Point Theorems. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [28] Stamov GT. Almost periodic solutions of impulsive differential equations with time-varying delay on the PC-space. Nonlinear Stud 2007; 14: 269-279.
- [29] Stamov GT. Almost Periodic Solutions of Impulsive Differential Equations. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2012.
- [30] Wang C, Agarwal RP. Weighted piecewise pseudo almost automorphic functions with applications to abstract impulsive ∇-dynamic equations on time scales. Adv Difference Equ 2014; 2014: 1-29.