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Abstract: Let $p_{1} \equiv p_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ be different prime numbers such that $\left(\frac{2}{p_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)=-\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)=-1$. Put $\mathbb{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ and let $\mathbb{K}$ be a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{k}$ contained in its absolute genus field $\mathbb{k}^{(*)}$. Denote by $k_{j}$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$, the three quadratic subfields of $\mathbb{K}$. Let $E_{\mathbb{K}}$ (resp. $E_{k_{j}}$ ) be the unit group of $\mathbb{K}$ (resp. $k_{j}$ ). The unit index $\left[E_{\mathbb{K}}: \prod_{j=1}^{3} E_{k_{j}}\right]$ is characterized in terms of biquadratic residue symbols between $2, p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ or by the capitulation of 2 , the prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$ above 2 , in $\mathbb{K}$. These results are used to describe the 2 -rank of some CM-fields.
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## 1. Introduction and notations

Let $k$ be a multiquadratic number field of degree $2^{n}$, (i.e., $[k: \mathbb{Q}]=2^{n}$ ) and $k_{i}(i=1, \cdots, s)$ be the $s=2^{n}-1$ quadratic subfields of $k$. Denote by $E_{k}$ (resp. $E_{k_{i}}$ ) the unit group of $k$ (resp. $k_{i}$ ), i.e. the group of the invertible elements of $\mathcal{O}_{k}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{k_{i}}$ ), the ring of integers of $k$ (resp. $k_{i}$ ). Then the index $q(k)=\left[E_{k}: \prod_{i=1}^{s} E_{k_{i}}\right]$ is called the unit index of $k$. By Dirichlet's unit theorem, if $2^{n}=r_{1}+2 r_{2}$, where $r_{1}$ is the number of real embeddings and $r_{2}$ is the number of pairs of complex conjugate embeddings of $k$, then there exist $r=r_{1}+r_{2}-1$ units of $\mathcal{O}_{k}$ that generate $E_{k}$ (modulo the roots of unity), and these $r$ units are called the fundamental system of units of $k$.

One major problem in algebraic number theory is the computation of the number $q(k)$. For quadratic fields, the problem is easily solved. For some fields $k=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{m})$, where $m$ is a positive square-free integer, Dirichlet [9] showed that $q(k)=1$ or 2 . Over time, Dirichlet's result has been generalized by many mathematicians; see, for example [1, 2, 8, 11-13, 16-20, 26]. For quartic bicyclic fields, Kubota [17] gave a method for finding a fundamental system of units and thus for computing the unit index. Wada [26] generalized Kubota's method, creating an algorithm for computing fundamental units in any given multiquadratic field. However, in general, it is not easy to compute this index.

Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} \equiv p_{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4) \text { and }\left(\frac{2}{p_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)=-\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)=-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Put $\mathbb{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ and let $\mathbb{K}$ be a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{k}$ contained in its absolute genus field, i.e. $\mathbb{K}$ equals $\mathbb{K}_{1}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{2 p_{2}}\right), \mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$, or $\mathbb{K}_{3}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$. The purpose of this paper is to characterize the index $q(\mathbb{K})$ in terms of biquadratic residue symbols between 2 , $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, or by the capitulation in $\mathbb{K}$ of 2 , the prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$ above 2 . Note that in [5], we dealt with the same problem for $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ assuming $\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)=-1$ and $p_{1} \equiv p_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Denote by $\epsilon_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 3$, the fundamental units of the three quadratic subfields of $\mathbb{K}$. In Section 2, we collect some necessary results, and we give the abelian types and the generators of the 2 -class groups of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$. In Section 3, we prove necessary and sufficient conditions for $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq 3$, to be equal to 1 (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). This allows us to characterize the solvability in $\mathbb{K}$, whenever the norms of $\epsilon_{j}$ are equal to -1 , of the equation $X^{2}-\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3}=0$ in terms of biquadratic residue symbols between $2, p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K}_{1}^{+}$or $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K}_{3}^{+}$, and by using the capitulation of the prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$ above 2 if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$. We end this paragraph by giving some results on units, indices, and the structure of $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{k}_{2}^{(2)} / \mathbb{k}\right)$, where $\mathbb{k}_{2}^{(2)}$ is the second Hilbert 2 -class field of $\mathbb{k}$. We then apply these results, in Section 4 , to compute the 2 -rank of the CM-fields $\mathbb{K}_{1}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{2 p_{2}}, \sqrt{-1}\right)$, $\mathbb{K}_{2}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2 p_{1}}, \sqrt{-1}\right), \mathbb{K}_{3}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_{1} p_{2}}, \sqrt{-1}\right)$, and $\mathbb{F}^{(*)}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{p_{2}}, i\right)$.

Let $k$ be a number field and $m$ be a square-free integer. In what follows, we adopt the following notations:

- $h(m)($ resp. $h(k))$ : the 2 -class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})($ resp $k)$.
- $E_{k}$ : the unit group of $k$.
- $W_{k}$ : the group of roots of unity contained in $k$, and $\omega_{k}$ denotes its order.
- $Q_{k}=\left[E_{k}: W_{k} E_{k^{+}}\right]$is Hasse's unit index, if $k$ is a CM-field.
- $k^{+}$: the maximal real subfield of $k$.
- $q(k)=\left[E_{k}: \prod_{i}^{s} E_{k_{i}}\right]$, the unit index of $k$ if $k$ is multiquadratic, where $k_{i}$ are the $s$ quadratic subfields of $k$.
- $k^{(*)}$ : the genus field of $k$; that is, the maximal abelian unramified extension of $k$ obtained by composing $k$ and an abelian extension over $\mathbb{Q}$.
- $k_{2}^{(1)}$ : the first Hilbert 2 -class field of $k$; that is, the maximal abelian unramified extension of $k$ such that $\left[k_{2}^{(1)}: k\right]$ is a power of 2 .
- $k_{2}^{(2)}$ : the second Hilbert 2 -class field of $k$; that is, the first Hilbert 2 -class field of $k_{2}^{(1)}$.
- $\mathbf{C} l_{2}(k)$ (resp. $\left.\mathbf{C} l(k)\right)$ : the 2 -class (resp. class) group of $k$.
- $\epsilon_{m}$ : the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$.
- $i=\sqrt{-1}$.


## 2. Preliminaries

Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1) and put $\mathbb{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ and $k_{1}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$. Let $\epsilon_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 3$, denote the three fundamental units of the three quadratic subfields of any biquadratic bicyclic real number field $K$.

Lemma 2.1 ([18]) Assuming $N\left(\epsilon_{1}\right)=N\left(\epsilon_{2}\right)=N\left(\epsilon_{3}\right)= \pm 1$, then the equation $X^{2}-\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3}=0$ has a solution in $K$ if and only if $q(K)=2$.

Lemma 2.2 [4, Corollary 3.6] If $d=2 p_{1} p_{2}$, where $p_{1} \equiv p_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ are different primes, and at least two of the elements of $\left\{\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right),\left(\frac{2}{p_{2}}\right),\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)\right\}$ are equal to -1 , then the norm of the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is -1 .

Lemma 2.3 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1). Then the 2-class group $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)$ of $k_{1}$ is cyclic of order $h_{2}\left(2 p_{1}\right)=2^{n}$, $n \geq 1$. It is generated by the class of $P_{2}$, a prime ideal of $k_{1}$ above $p_{2}$. Moreover, $P_{2}^{2^{n-1}} \sim 2$ in $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)$, where 2 is the prime ideal of $k_{1}$ above 2.

Proof As $\left(\frac{2 p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)=1$, so $p_{2}$ splits in $k_{1}$. Put $p_{2} O_{k_{1}}=P_{2} P_{2}^{\prime}$ and denote by 2 and $P_{1}$ the prime ideals of $k_{1}$ above 2 and $p_{1}$, respectively. $P_{1}$ is not principal in $k_{1}$, as otherwise we will get $p_{1}=x^{2}-2 p_{1} y^{2}$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$; this contradicts the fact that $\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)=-1$. Similarly, we prove that 2 and $P_{2}$ are not principal.

It is well known, under our conditions, that $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is cyclic of order $2^{n}$ where $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, $\left(\frac{p_{2}, 2 p_{1}}{p_{1}}\right)=\left(\frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}\right)=-1$, and then by genus theory $\left[P_{2}\right]$ is not a square in $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)$. Thus, $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(k_{1}\right)=\left\langle\left[P_{2}\right]\right\rangle$. Finally, since $2 \sim P_{1}$ are of order 2 , we deduce that $P_{2}^{2^{n-1}} \sim 2 \sim P_{1}$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1). Then the 2 -class group $\mathbf{C} l_{2}(\mathbb{k})$ of $\mathfrak{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ is of type $(2,2)$. It is generated by the classes of $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ the prime ideals above $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, respectively.

Proof According to [14] $\mathbf{C} l_{2}(\mathbb{k})$, the 2 -class group of $\mathbb{k}$ is of type (2,2). It is generated by the classes of $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ the prime ideals above $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, respectively. In fact, $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is of order 2 since $\mathfrak{p}_{i}^{2}=\left(p_{i}\right)$, and it is not principal for all $i \in\{1,2\}$; otherwise, we would get $p_{i}=\mp\left(x^{2}-2 p_{1} p_{2} y^{2}\right)$ for some $x$ and $y$ in $\mathbb{Q}$ and this implies the contradiction $\left(\frac{p_{i}}{p_{j}}\right)=-1$ where $i \neq j \in\{1,2\}$. Similarly, we show that $\tilde{z}$ the prime ideal of $\mathbb{k}^{k}$ above 2 is not principal, too. The same reasoning shows that $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ (resp. $\tilde{2}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ ) are independent. As $\tilde{2} \mathfrak{p}_{1} \sim \mathfrak{p}_{2}$, so $\tilde{2} \mathfrak{p}_{1}$ is not principal, too. Finally, the classes of $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ are not squares since $\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)=-1$.

## 3. Main results

Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1) and put $\mathbb{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$. Letting $\mathbb{F}=$ $\mathbb{k}(i)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}, i\right)$, then $\mathbb{F}$ admits three unramified quadratic extensions that are abelian over $\mathbb{Q}$, which
are $\mathbb{K}_{1}=\mathbb{F}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{2 p_{2}}, i\right), \mathbb{K}_{2}=\mathbb{F}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2 p_{1}}, i\right)$, and $\mathbb{K}_{3}=\mathbb{F}(\sqrt{2})=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_{1} p_{2}}, i\right)$. Let $\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}$denote the maximal real subfield of $\mathbb{K}_{j}$ where $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1. $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$,
2. $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}^{+}\right)=1$ or $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}^{+}\right)=1$,
3. $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=1$ and $h\left(2 p_{1}\right)=2$.

Proof To prove this theorem, consider Figure 1 below, where $\mathbb{k}^{(*)}$ denotes the genus field of $\mathbb{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ and $\mathbb{k}_{2}^{(1)}$ denotes its Hilbert 2 -class field. Since the 2 -class group of $\mathbb{k}$ is of type (2,2) (see Lemma 2.4), and


Figure 1. Subfields of $\mathbb{k}^{(*)} / \mathbb{k}$.
since also the discriminant of $\mathbb{k}$ is equal to $d_{\mathrm{k}}=8 p_{1} p_{2}$, then by [7] we have $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$ if and only if $\mathbb{k}_{1}^{(1)}=\mathbb{k}_{2}^{(2)}$.

On one hand, according to [15] and [25] the condition $\mathbb{k}_{2}^{(1)}=\mathbb{k}_{2}^{(2)}$ is equivalent to $h\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right)=2$ for some $j \in\{1,2,3\}$. On the other hand, the class number formula implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}^{+}\right)=\frac{1}{4} q\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}^{+}\right) h\left(p_{1}\right) h\left(2 p_{2}\right) h\left(2 p_{1} p_{2}\right)=2 q\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}^{+}\right), \\
& h\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=\frac{1}{4} q\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right) h\left(p_{2}\right) h\left(2 p_{1}\right) h\left(2 p_{1} p_{2}\right)=h\left(2 p_{1}\right) q\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right), \text {and } \\
& h\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}^{+}\right)=\frac{1}{4} q\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}^{+}\right) h(2) h\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right) h\left(2 p_{1} p_{2}\right)=2 q\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the results.

Theorem 3.2 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1). Denote by 2 the prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$ lies above 2 and by $h\left(2 p_{1}\right)=2^{n}, n \geq 1$, the 2 -class number of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$. Then the following assertions hold:

1. $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=1$ if and only if 2 capitulates in $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$.
2. $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)$is cyclic generated by the class of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ a prime ideal of $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$above $p_{2}$. Moreover, $h\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=2^{n} \Longleftrightarrow$ $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=1$, i.e. $h\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=2^{n+1} \Longleftrightarrow q\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=2$.


Figure 2. Subfields of $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof To prove this theorem, we need Figure 2 below. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / k_{1}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / k_{2}$ are ramified, but $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / \mathbb{k}$ is not, so by class field theory $N_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / k_{1}}\left(\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)\right)=\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(k_{1}\right), N_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / k_{2}}\left(\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)\right)=\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(k_{2}\right)$ (which has an odd class number), and $\left[\mathbf{C} l_{2}(\mathbb{k}): N_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / \mathbb{k}}\left(\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)\right)\right]=2$.

On the other hand, it is easy to see also that $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ capitulates and splits in $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$. Letting $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$above $p_{2}$, then $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ is not principal, as otherwise we will get $N_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / k_{1}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right) \sim P_{2} \sim 1$, which is absurd (Lemma 2.3).

We claim that, in $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right), \mathcal{P}_{2}^{2} \sim P_{2}$. To this end, let $s$ and $t$ be the elements of order 2 in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ that fix $k_{1}$ and $\mathbb{k}$, respectively. Using the identity $2+(1+s+t+s t)=(1+s)+(1+t)+(1+s t)$ of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right]$ and observing that $\mathbb{Q}$ and the fixed field of $s t$ have odd class numbers, we find:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{2}^{2} \sim \mathcal{P}_{2}^{1+s} \mathcal{P}_{2}^{1+t} \mathcal{P}_{2}^{1+s t} \sim \mathfrak{p}_{2} P_{2} \sim P_{2}
$$

where the last relation (in $\left.\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)\right)$comes from the fact that $\mathfrak{p}_{2}$ capitulates in $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{2}^{2^{n}} \sim P_{2}^{2^{n-1}} \sim 2 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{P}_{2}^{2^{n+1}} \sim P_{2}^{2^{n}} \sim 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for all $i \leq n-1, \mathcal{P}_{2}^{2^{i}} \nsim 1$; otherwise, we get $P_{2}^{2^{i}} \sim 1$, which is absurd by Lemma 2.3. Hence, the class of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ generates a subgroup of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)$of order $2^{n}$ or $2^{n+1}$ accordingly as 2 capitulates or not in $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$.

On one hand, $\left.N_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / k_{1}}\left(\left\langle\left[\mathcal{P}_{2}\right]\right\rangle\right)\right)=\left\langle\left[P_{2}\right]\right\rangle$ and $\left.N_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / \mathbb{k}}\left(\left\langle\left[\mathcal{P}_{2}\right]\right\rangle\right)\right)=\left\langle\left[\mathfrak{p}_{2}\right]\right\rangle$, which is of index 2 in $\mathbf{C} l_{2}(\mathbb{k})$; on the other hand, in $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)$, we have $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{2^{n}} \sim P_{2}^{2^{n-1}} \sim 2 \sim \mathcal{P}_{1}$, where $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ is the prime ideal of $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$above $p_{1}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)$is cyclic generated by the class of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$, i.e.

$$
\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=\left\langle\left[\mathcal{P}_{2}\right]\right\rangle
$$

Finally, the class number formula implies that $h\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=q\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right) h\left(2 p_{1}\right)$; thus, by the equation (2), 2 capitulates in $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$if and only if $\mathcal{P}_{2}^{2^{n}} \sim 1$. Therefore, 2 capitulates in $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$if and only if $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=1$. Thus the results.

Remark 3.3 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be primes as above and keep the previous notations. Then, for $j \in\{1,3\}$, we have:

$$
q\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right)=1 \Leftrightarrow\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1 \Leftrightarrow p_{1} \neq x^{2}+32 y^{2}, \text { where } x, y \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Proof Note first that $N\left(\epsilon_{2}\right)=-1$ and, by Lemma 2.2, $N\left(\epsilon_{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)=-1$. Moreover, since $\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)=-1$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p_{2}}\right)=-1$, then according to [24] $N\left(\epsilon_{p_{1} p_{2}}\right)=-1$ and $N\left(\epsilon_{2 p_{2}}\right)=-1$. Thus, [18] implies that $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right)=1$ or 2 . Hence, the first equivalence is assured by Theorem 3.1, and the second one is assured by [6]. Thus the results derived.

Corollary 3.4 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1). Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an unramified quadratic extension of $\mathbb{k}$. Denote by $\epsilon_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 3$, the three fundamental units of the three quadratic subfields of $\mathbb{K}$. Denote by 2 the prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$ above 2. If $N\left(\epsilon_{1}\right)=N\left(\epsilon_{2}\right)=N\left(\epsilon_{3}\right)=-1$, then the equation $X^{2}-\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3}=0$ has a solution in $\mathbb{K}$ if and only if one of the following statements holds:

1. $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=1$, if $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K}_{1}^{+}$or $\mathbb{K}_{3}^{+}$.
2. 2 does not capitulate in $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$.

Proof Follows immediately from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 and Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 3.5 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be primes as above and keep the previous notations. Put $\mathbb{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ and denote by $\mathbb{k}_{2}^{(1)}$ its first Hilbert 2 -class field and by $\mathbb{k}_{2}^{(2)}$ its second Hilbert 2 -class field. Put $G=\mathbf{G}$ al $\left(\mathbb{k}_{2}^{(2)} / \mathbb{k}\right)$ and denote by 2 the prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$ lies above 2 . Then:

1. For $j \in\{1,2,3\}$ the following statements are equivalent:
a. $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$,
b. $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right) \simeq(2)$,
c. All the classes of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}(\mathbb{k})$ capitulate in $\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}$,
d. $G \sim(2,2)$.
2. For $j \in\{1,3\}$ the following statements are equivalent:
a. $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=1$,
b. Two classes of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}(\mathbb{k})$ capitulate in $\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}$,
c. $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right) \simeq(2,2)$,
d. $G$ is dihedral of order $2^{m}(m \geq 8)$ or quaternionic of order $2^{m}(m>3)$, and, moreover, $G$ is dihedral of order $2^{m} \quad(m \geq 8)$ if and only if 2 capitulates in $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$.

Proof Let $E_{\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}}$and $E_{\mathbb{k}}$ be the unit groups of $\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}$and $\mathbb{k}$, respectively. It is well known from [10] that the number of classes of $E_{\mathbb{k}}$ that capitulate in $\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}$is $2\left[E_{\mathbb{k}}: N_{\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+} / \mathbb{k}}\left(E_{\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}}\right)\right]$. On the other hand, as $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right)=1$ or 2 and, under our conditions, $\left[E_{\mathbb{k}}: N_{\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+} / \mathrm{k}}\left(E_{\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}}\right)\right]=1$ or 2 , then we deduce easily that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{\mathbb{k}}: N_{\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+} / \mathbb{k}}\left(E_{\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}}\right)\right]=1 \Longleftrightarrow q\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right)=2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. a. is equivalent by Theorem 3.1 to $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right)=1$, which is equivalent by the equation (3) to c., and a. is also equivalent by [24] to $h\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right)=2$. This in turn is equivalent by [15] to d.
2. a. is equivalent by Theorem 3.1 to $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right)=2$, which is equivalent by the equation (3) to b .

We know from Lemma 2.4 that $\mathbf{C} l_{2}(\mathbb{k})=\left\langle\left[\mathfrak{p}_{1}\right],\left[\mathfrak{p}_{2}\right]\right\rangle$, where $\mathbb{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \mathfrak{p}_{2}$ are the prime ideals above $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, respectively. We know also from Theorem 3.2 that $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)=\left\langle\left[\mathcal{P}_{2}\right]\right\rangle$ with $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ being a prime ideal of $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$above $p_{2}$. Thus, $N_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / \mathfrak{k}}\left(\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)\right)=\left\langle\left[\mathfrak{p}_{2}\right]\right\rangle$. As $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2 p_{1}}\right)$, it is easy to see $N_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+} / \mathbb{k}}\left(\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}\right)\right) \subset \kappa_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}}$, where $\kappa_{\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}}$is the set of ideal classes of $\mathbb{k}$ that capitulate in $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$. Hence, $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{+}$satisfies Taussky's condition A. Therefore, $G$ is never a semidihedral group (see [15]).

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we determine the generators of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}^{+}\right)$and $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}^{+}\right)$. From that we deduce that b. is equivalent to c. By calculating $N_{\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+} / \mathbb{k}}\left(\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{j}^{+}\right), 1 \leq j \leq 3\right.$, we notice (using Taussky's conditions) that we have two cases of capitulation: $4 \quad 2 B \quad 2 B$ or $2 A \quad 2 B \quad 2 B$.

The first case occurs if and only if $G$ is dihedral of order $2^{m}(m \geq 3)$, and the second one occurs if and only if $G$ is quaternionic of order $2^{m}(m>3)$ (for more details, see [15]). Therefore, the equivalence between c. and d. is assured by Theorem 3.2 and [15].

## 4. The 2-rank of some CM-fields

Recall that $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are different primes satisfying the following conditions:

$$
p_{1} \equiv p_{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4) \text { and }\left(\frac{2}{p_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)=-\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)=-1
$$

Consider the field $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}, i\right)$. The goal of this section is to compute the 2 -rank of the 2 -class groups of the fields $\mathbb{K}_{1}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{2 p_{2}}, \sqrt{-1}\right), \mathbb{K}_{2}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2 p_{1}}, \sqrt{-1}\right), \mathbb{K}_{3}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_{1} p_{2}}, \sqrt{-1}\right)$, and $\mathbb{F}^{(*)}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{p_{2}}, i\right)$. Let us begin by $\mathbb{K}_{2}$.

Theorem 4.1 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1). Assume $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$, and consider $\mathbb{K}_{2}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2 p_{1}}, i\right)$. Then $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}\right)$, the 2-class group of $\mathbb{K}_{2}$, is of type $\left(2,2^{\ell+1}\right)$, where $2^{\ell}=h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.

Proof Setting $F=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}, i\right)$, then according to [2] the unit group of $F$ is $E_{F}=\left\langle i, \epsilon_{p_{2}}\right\rangle$. As $h(F)=$ $\frac{1}{2} q(F) h\left(p_{2}\right) h\left(-p_{2}\right)=1$, so the class number of $F$ is odd. Therefore, the 2 -rank of the 2 -class group of $\mathbb{K}_{2}$ is equal to $r=t-e-1$, where $t$ is the number of finite and infinite primes of $F$ ramified in $\mathbb{K}_{2} / F$ and $2^{e}=\left[E_{F}: E_{F} \cap N_{\mathbb{K}_{2} / F}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}^{\times}\right)\right]$.

Let us compute $t$. Let $p$ be a prime number of $\mathbb{Q}$ and denote by $\mathfrak{p}_{M}$ a prime ideal of some extension $M / \mathbb{Q}$, which lies above $p$, and $e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{M} / p\right)$ its ramification index.

As the extension $\mathbb{K}_{2} / \mathbb{F}$ is unramified, then $e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{F} / p\right) . e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{K}_{2}} / \mathfrak{p}_{F}\right)=e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{F} / p\right)$. Since 2 is totally ramified in $\mathbb{F}$ and inert in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}\right)$, then there is only one ideal prime of $F$ above 2 that ramifies in $\mathbb{K}_{2}$. On the other hand, $p_{1}$ is inert in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}\right)$ and hence $e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1 F} / p_{1}\right)=1$, and since $e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1 F} / p_{1}\right)=2$, then $e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{1 \mathbb{K}_{2}} / \mathfrak{p}_{1 F}\right)=2$.

Finally, $e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{2 F} / p_{2}\right)=2$, and as $e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{2 \mathbb{F}} / p_{2}\right)=2$, we deduce that $e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{2 \mathbb{K}_{2}} / \mathfrak{p}_{2 F}\right)=1$. Thus, $t=3$ and $r=2-e$, i.e. the 2 -rank of $\mathbb{K}_{2}$ is $r=2-e$.

To compute $e$, we have to find units of $F$ that are norms of some elements of $\mathbb{K}_{2}^{\times}$. Letting $\mathfrak{p}$ be an ideal of $F$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \neq 2_{F}$, then we have:

- If $\mathfrak{p}$ is not above $p_{1}$, then $v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\epsilon_{p_{2}}\right)=v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(2 p_{1}\right)=v_{\mathfrak{p}}(i)=0$. Hence, $\left(\frac{2 p_{1}, \epsilon_{p_{2}}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=1$ and $\left(\frac{2 p_{1}, i}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=1$.
- If $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}_{1 F}$ is above $p_{1}$, then $v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\epsilon_{p_{2}}\right)=v_{\mathfrak{p}}(i)=0$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(2 p_{1}\right)=1$. As $\mathfrak{p}$ is not ramified in both of $F(\sqrt{i})$ and $F\left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{p_{2}}}\right)$, so

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{2}}, 2 p_{1}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 F}}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{2}}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 F}}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{2}}^{2}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}\right)}}\right)=1 \\
\left(\frac{i, 2 p_{1}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 F}}\right)=\left(\frac{i}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 F}}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, for all prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$, the product formula for the Hilbert symbol implies that $\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{2}}, 2 p_{1}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=$ $\left(\frac{i, 2 p_{1}}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=1$.
From this, we deduce that $e=0$ and $r=2$.
We prove now that the 4 -rank of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}\right)$ is 1 . For this, put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right)$ and denote by $k^{(*)}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-2}, \sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{p_{2}}\right)$ its genus field (see Figure 3). Note that $q\left(L_{1}\right)=q\left(L_{2}\right)=q\left(L_{3}\right)=1$, so the 2-class


Figure 3. Subfields of $k^{(*)} / k$.
group of $k$ is of type $(2,2)$ (see [14]). The class number formula implies that $h\left(L_{1}\right)=4, h\left(L_{2}\right)=2 h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$, and $h\left(L_{3}\right)=4$. On the other hand, according to [3], the 2 -class group of $L_{3}$ is of type $(2,2)$. Thus, by [7] we have $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$ if and only if $k^{(*)}=k_{2}^{(1)}=k_{2}^{(2)}$. Hence, by [15] and [25] we get that the 2 -class group of $L_{1}$ is of type $(2,2)$ and that of $L_{2}$ is cyclic of order $2 h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$. To this end, consider the application:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi: \quad \mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}\right) & \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(L_{2}\right) \\
c & \longmapsto N_{\mathbb{K}_{2} / L_{2}}(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $h\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}\right)=4 h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$ and $h\left(L_{2}\right)=2 h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$, so $|\operatorname{ker} \varphi|=2$. Since also the 2 -rank of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}\right)$ is 2 and that of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(L_{2}\right)$ is 1 , then the 4 -rank of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}\right)$ is 1 . Hence, $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}\right)$ is of type $\left(2,2 h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)\right)=\left(2,2^{\ell+1}\right)$, where $2^{\ell}=h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$.

Theorem 4.2 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1). Assume $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$, and consider the field $\mathbb{K}_{3}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_{1} p_{2}}, i\right)$; then $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}\right)$, the 2 -class group of $\mathbb{K}_{3}$, is of type $(2,2,2)$.

Proof Putting $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, i)$ and letting $\epsilon_{2}$ be the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, from [2] we get that the unit group of $F$ is $E_{F}=\left\langle i, \epsilon_{2}\right\rangle$. It is well known that the class number of $F$ is odd. Thus, the 2-rank of the 2-class group of $\mathbb{K}_{3}$ is $r=t-e-1$, where $t$ is the number of finite and infinite primes of $F$ ramified in $\mathbb{K}_{3} / F$ and $2^{e}=\left[E_{F}: E_{F} \cap N_{\mathbb{K}_{3} / F}\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}^{\times}\right)\right]$. Proceeding as in Theorem 4.1 we prove that $r=3$. On the other hand, the 2 -class number of $\mathbb{K}_{3}$ is $h\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}\right)=4 q\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}\right)=8$. Hence the result.

Theorem 4.3 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1). Assume $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$, and consider the field $\mathbb{K}_{1}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{2 p_{2}}, i\right)$; then $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)$, the 2 -class group of $\mathbb{K}_{1}$, is of type $(2,2,4)$.

Proof Putting $F=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}, i\right)$ and letting $\epsilon_{p_{1}}$ be the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}\right)$, then by [2] the unit group of $F$ is $E_{F}=\left\langle i, \epsilon_{p_{1}}\right\rangle$. As $p_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$, then the class number of $F$ is even and hence the 2 -rank of the class group of $\mathbb{K}_{1}$ satisfies $r \geq t-e-1$, where $t$ is the number of finite and infinite primes of $F$ ramified in $\mathbb{K}_{1} / F$, and $2^{e}=\left[E_{F}: E_{F} \cap N_{\mathbb{K}_{1} / F}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}^{\times}\right)\right]$. Proceeding as in Theorem 4.1 we prove that $t=4$. Thus, $r \geq 3-e$. Let us calculate $e$ by computing the units of $F$ that are norms of some elements of $\mathbb{K}_{1}^{\times}$.

Keep the notation that $\mathfrak{p}_{M}$ denotes a prime ideal of some extension $M / \mathbb{Q}$ lying above a prime number $p$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $e\left(\mathfrak{p}_{M} / p\right)$ be its ramification index.

Since $\mathfrak{p}_{2 F}$ is unramified in both of $F(\sqrt{i})$ and $F\left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{p_{1}}}\right)$, so

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{1}}, 2 p_{2}}{\mathfrak{p}_{2 F}}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{1}}}{\mathfrak{p}_{2 F}}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2 \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{i})}}\right)=1 \\
\left(\frac{i, 2 p_{2}}{\mathfrak{p}_{2 F}}\right)=\left(\frac{i}{\mathfrak{p}_{2 F}}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2 \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{i})}}\right)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, as $2_{F}$ is unramified in $F\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}\right)$, so

$$
\left(\frac{i, 2 p_{2}}{2_{F}}\right)=\left(\frac{i, 2}{2_{F}}\right)\left(\frac{i, p_{2}}{2_{F}}\right)=\left(\frac{i, 2}{2_{F}}\right)=\left(\frac{i, i^{-1}}{2_{F}}\right)\left(\frac{i, 2 i}{2_{F}}\right)=1
$$

Finally, since $N\left(\epsilon_{p_{1}}\right)=-1$, then $2 \pi_{1} \epsilon_{p_{1}}$ is a square in $F$ (where $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $p_{1}=\pi_{1} \pi_{2}$ ), and hence $\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{1}}, 2}{\mathfrak{p}_{2 F}}\right)=\left(\frac{2 \pi_{1}, 2}{\mathfrak{p}_{2 F}}\right)$, so

$$
\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{1}}, 2 p_{2}}{2 F}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{1}}, 2}{2_{F}}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{1}}, p_{2}}{2 F}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{1}}, 2}{2_{F}}\right)=\left(\frac{2 \pi_{1}, 2}{2_{F}}\right)=\left(\frac{\pi_{1}}{2_{F}}\right)^{v_{2_{F}}(2)}=1
$$

Consequently, $e=0$, and thus $r \geq 3$.
Setting $k_{0}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-p_{1}}, \sqrt{2 p_{2}}\right)$, we will compute the 2 -rank of the class group of $k_{0}$. For this, we use the notations of [22]. Putting $k_{1}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-p_{1}}\right), k_{2}=\sqrt{2 p_{2}}, k_{3}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-2 p_{1} p_{2}}\right), l=p_{1}, q=2$, and $r=2$, then
$t_{1}=2, t_{2}=2$, and $t_{3}=3$. Thus, $t=4, r_{a}=4, w=1, x=0$, and $y=1$ and consequently the 2 -rank of the class group of $k_{0}$ is $r_{2}=4-1-0-1=2$.

On the other hand, since $q\left(k_{0}\right)=1$ and $q\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)=2$, then the class number formula implies that $h\left(k_{0}\right)=h\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)=16$. Hence, $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)$ is of type $(2,2,2,2)$ or $(2,2,4)$.

To this end, $\mathbb{K}_{1}$ is an unramified quadratic extension of $k_{0}$, and then

$$
\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right) / \mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma} \simeq N_{\mathbb{K}_{1} / k_{0}}\left(\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)\right)
$$

where $\langle\sigma\rangle=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1} / k_{0}\right)$. If we suppose that $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)$ is of type $(2,2,2,2)$, we will get that $N_{\mathbb{K}_{1} / k_{0}}\left(\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)\right)$ is of type $(2,2,2)$ since $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma}$ is of index 2 . However, this contradicts the fact that $N_{\mathbb{K}_{1} / k_{0}}\left(\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)\right)$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}(\mathbb{k})$ that is of 2 -rank equal to 2 . Therefore, $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)$ is of type $(2,2,4)$.

Theorem 4.4 Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be different primes satisfying the conditions (1). Put $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 p_{1} p_{2}}, i\right)$ and denote by $\mathbb{F}^{(*)}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2}, i\right)$ its genus field. Then the rank of $\mathbf{C} l_{2}\left(\mathbb{F}^{(*)}\right)$, the 2 -class group of $\mathbb{F}^{(*)}$, is 2 and $h\left(\mathbb{F}^{(*)}\right)=4 h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$.

Proof Put $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-p_{1}}, \sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2}\right), F=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{1}}, \sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2}\right)$, and $L=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}, \sqrt{2}, i\right)$. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{F}^{(*)} / L^{+}$is a $V_{4}$-extension of CM-type fields, The following diagram (Figure 4) clarifies this. According to [21]


Figure 4. Subfields of $\mathbb{F}^{(*)} / L^{+}$.
we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\mathbb{F}^{(*)}\right)=\frac{Q_{\mathbb{F}^{(*)}}}{Q_{K} Q_{L}} \cdot \frac{\omega_{\mathbb{F}^{(*)}}}{\omega_{K} \omega_{L}} \cdot \frac{h(K) h(L) h(F)}{h\left(L^{+}\right)^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, note that $\omega_{\mathbb{F}^{(*)}}=\omega_{L}=4 \omega_{K}=8, W_{\mathbb{F}^{(*)}}=W_{L}$, and $W_{K}=\{ \pm 1\}$. On the other hand, by [12] we get $Q_{\mathbb{F}^{(*)}}=1$; thus, $Q_{L}=1$, since by [21], we have $Q_{L} \mid Q_{\mathbb{F}^{(*)}}\left[W_{\mathbb{F}^{(*)}}: W_{L}\right]$.

As $q\left(L^{+}\right)=2$, i.e. $\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}$ is a square in $L^{+}$, then according to [2]
$\left\{\epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{p_{2}}, \sqrt{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}}\right\}$ is not a fundamental system of units of $K$ if and only if there exist $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ in $\{0,1\}$, not all zero, such that $p_{1} \sqrt{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}} \alpha \epsilon_{2}^{\beta} \epsilon_{p_{2}}^{\gamma}$ is a square in $L^{+}$. Supposing that $p_{1} \sqrt{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}} \alpha \epsilon_{2}^{\beta} \epsilon_{p_{2}}^{\gamma}=X^{2}$, where $X \in L^{+}$, then $N_{L^{+} / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})}\left(X^{2}\right)=p_{1}^{2} \epsilon_{2}^{\alpha} \epsilon_{2}^{2 \beta}(-1)^{\gamma}$, and thus $\gamma=0$ and $\alpha=0$ since $\epsilon_{2}$ is not a square in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Consequently, $X^{2}=p_{1} \epsilon_{2}^{\beta}$, and this implies that $\beta=1$. Hence, $N_{L^{+} / \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}\right)}\left(X^{2}\right)=-p_{1}^{2}$, which is false. Therefore, $\left\{\epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{p_{2}}, \sqrt{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}}\right\}$ is a fundamental system of units of $K$. We conclude that $q(K)=2$ and $Q_{K}=1$. Similarly, we prove that $\left\{\epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{p_{2}}, \sqrt{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}}\right\}$ is a fundamental system of units of $L$ and $q(L)=4$.

Finally, by Theorem 4.1, $h(F)=1$. The class number formula yields that $h(L)=1$ and $h(K)=$ $2 h\left(-p_{1}\right) h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$. By replacement in formula (4) we get: $h\left(\mathbb{F}^{(*)}\right)=h\left(-p_{1}\right) h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$. As also $\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$, so $h\left(-p_{1}\right)=4$, and hence $h\left(\mathbb{F}^{(*)}\right)=4 h\left(-2 p_{1}\right)$.

We know that the class number of $L=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_{2}}, i\right)$ is odd, so then the 2 -rank of the class group of $\mathbb{F}^{(*)}$ is given by the formula $r=t-e-1$, where $t$ is the number of finite and infinite primes of $L$ ramified in $\mathbb{F}^{(*)} / L$ and $2^{e}=\left[E_{L}: E_{L} \cap N_{\mathbb{F}^{(*)} / L}\left(\mathbb{F}^{(*) \times}\right)\right]$. We compute $t$ by using Figure 5.


Figure 5. Subfields of $\mathbb{F}^{(*)} / \mathbb{Q}$.

Since $\mathbb{F}^{(*)}$ is an unramified extension of $\mathbb{K}_{2}$, and $\mathbb{K}_{2}$ is also an unramified extension of $\mathbb{k}$, then it is easy to see that there are 4 prime ideals of $L$ that ramify in $\mathbb{F}^{(*)}$ and they all lie above $p_{1}$. Thus, $t=4$, and $r=3-e$.

Let us now compute $e$. For this we will use the Hilbert symbol. We know that $E_{L}=\left\langle\sqrt{i}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{p_{2}}, \sqrt{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}}\right\rangle$; denote by $\mathfrak{p}_{j L}, j \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, the prime ideals of $L$ above $p_{1}$; and denote also by $\mathfrak{p}_{1 M}$ an ideal prime of some extension $M / \mathbb{Q}$ that is above $p_{1}$.

Since $\mathfrak{p}_{j L}$ is unramified in $L(\sqrt{\sqrt{i}})$ and $v_{\mathfrak{p}_{j L}}\left(p_{1}\right)=1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\sqrt{i}, p_{1}}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{j} L}}\right)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{i}}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{j} L}}\right)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}(1+i)}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{j} L}}\right)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{j}}}\right)\left(\frac{1+i}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{j} L}}\right)=\left(\frac{1+i}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 R_{2}}}\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1_{R_{1}}}}\right)= \\
& \left(\frac{(1+i)^{2}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \mathbb{Q}(i)}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})}}\right)=\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have also that $\mathfrak{p}_{j L}$ is unramified in both of $L\left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}}\right)$ and $L\left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{p_{2}}}\right)$, and $v_{\mathfrak{p}_{j}}\left(p_{1}\right)=1$, so then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\epsilon_{2}, p_{1}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 L}}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 R_{1}}}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{2}^{2}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})}}\right)=1 \\
\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{2}}, p_{1}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 L}}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{2}}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 R_{1}}}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{p_{2}}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{p_{2}}\right)}}\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{p_{1}}\right)=1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\left(\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}}, p_{1}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 L}}\right)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1_{R_{1}}}}\right)=\left(\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{\mathfrak{p}_{1 \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})}}\right)=\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1
$$

Consequently, $\sqrt{\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{p_{2}} \epsilon_{2 p_{2}}}$ is not a norm of some element from $\mathbb{F}^{(*)}$. Thus, $e=1$, and the 2 -rank of $\mathbb{F}^{(*)}$ is $r=2$.

## 5. Numerical examples

In this section and in the following Table, we give examples that illustrate our results. The first column gives the number $d=2 p_{1} p_{2}$, the second (resp. third, fourth, fifth, sixth) gives the class group of the field $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}, i)$ (resp. $\left.\mathbb{K}_{1}, \mathbb{K}_{2}, \mathbb{K}_{3}, \mathbb{F}^{(*)}\right)$, and the seventh (resp. eighth) column gives the biquadratic residue symbol $a=\left(\frac{2}{p_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{p_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}$ (resp. the unit index $b=q\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}^{+}\right)$). The computations are made using PARI/GP [23].

Table. Numerical examples.

| $2 . p_{1} \cdot p_{2}$ | $\mathbf{C} l(\mathbb{k})$ | $\mathbf{C} l\left(\mathbb{K}_{1}\right)$ | $\mathbf{C} l\left(\mathbb{K}_{2}\right)$ | $\mathbf{C} l\left(\mathbb{K}_{3}\right)$ | $\mathbf{C} l\left(\mathbb{F}^{(*)}\right)$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.17 .5 | $[6,2,2]$ | $[6,2,2]$ | $[12,2,2]$ | $[24,2]$ | $[12,4]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.73 .5 | $[6,6,2]$ | $[30,6,2]$ | $[12,6,2]$ | $[96,6]$ | $[240,12]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.97 .5 | $[6,2,2]$ | $[30,2,2]$ | $[12,2,2]$ | $[120,2]$ | $[60,20]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.17 .29 | $[22,2,2]$ | $[66,2,2]$ | $[44,2,2]$ | $[264,2]$ | $[132,12]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.41 .13 | $[10,2,2]$ | $[30,2,2,2]$ | $[120,2,2]$ | $[40,4]$ | $[120,12,2]$ | 1 | 2 |
| 2.113 .5 | $[22,2,2]$ | $[66,2,2,2]$ | $[88,2,2]$ | $[176,8]$ | $[264,8,8]$ | 1 | 2 |
| 2.17 .37 | $[6,2,2]$ | $[18,6,2]$ | $[60,2,2]$ | $[24,2]$ | $[180,12]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.137 .5 | $[22,2,2]$ | $[66,2,2,2]$ | $[88,2,2]$ | $[264,4]$ | $[264,12,2]$ | 1 | 2 |
| 2.73 .13 | $[14,2,2]$ | $[42,2,2]$ | $[84,2,2]$ | $[224,2]$ | $[336,12]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.193 .5 | $[10,2,2]$ | $[110,2,2]$ | $[20,2,2]$ | $[40,10]$ | $[220,20]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.17 .61 | $[10,2,2]$ | $[10,10,2]$ | $[20,10,2]$ | $[120,2]$ | $[60,20,5]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.89 .13 | $[14,2,2]$ | $[14,14,2]$ | $[84,6,2]$ | $[112,2]$ | $[168,84]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.233 .5 | $[30,2,2]$ | $[30,10,2]$ | $[60,6,2]$ | $[240,2]$ | $[120,60]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.41 .29 | $[30,2,2]$ | $[30,10,2,2]$ | $[120,2,2]$ | $[120,12]$ | $[240,60,2]$ | 1 | 2 |
| 2.97 .13 | $[18,2,2]$ | $[90,2,2]$ | $[36,6,2]$ | $[360,2]$ | $[180,60]$ | -1 | 1 |
| 2.257 .5 | $[22,2,2]$ | $[66,2,2,2]$ | $[528,2,2]$ | $[352,4]$ | $[528,48,2]$ | 1 | 2 |
| 2.313 .5 | $[14,2,2]$ | $[14,14,2,2]$ | $[56,2,2]$ | $[504,4]$ | $[1008,28,2]$ | 1 | 2 |
| 2.337 .5 | $[18,2,2]$ | $[234,2,2,2]$ | $[72,2,2]$ | $[144,12]$ | $[936,24,2]$ | 1 | 2 |
| 2.353 .5 | $[26,2,2]$ | $[390,2,2,2]$ | $[208,2,2]$ | $[624,4]$ | $[3120,24,2]$ | 1 | 2 |
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