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#### Abstract

This paper is written to correct the proof of Lemma $2.1(i)$ in [1] and to add some decomposition results for the class of $P D$-modules defined in [1].


Key words: $P D$-modules
In line 14 of the Introduction replace "[...] a sublattice of the lattice of submodules of $M$ " with "closed under intersections."

In the proof of Lemma $2.1(i)$ replace "Observe that $\left(M / N_{1}\right) \oplus\left(M / N_{2}\right) \cong M /\left(N_{1} \cap N_{2}\right)$." with "Define the homomorphism $\alpha: M \rightarrow M /\left(N_{1} \cap N_{2}\right)$ by $\alpha(m)=\left(m+N_{1}, m+N_{2}\right)$. Observe that $M /\left(N_{1} \cap N_{2}\right) \cong$ $\alpha(M) \leq\left(M / N_{1}\right) \oplus\left(M / N_{2}\right)$. Hence $\alpha(M)$ is nonsingular, as $\left(M / N_{1}\right) \oplus\left(M / N_{2}\right)$ is nonsingular. Thus [...]"

In the statement of Proposition 2.4, insert " $M_{1}$ is a p-submodule of $M$ such that" before "and [...]".
In the proof of Proposition 3.6 replace "[3, Lemma 4.11]" with "[3, Lemma 4.13]".
Moreover, we obtain the following decomposition results with respect to the second singular submodule $Z_{2}(M)$ of $M$ for the class of $P D$-modules.

Proposition 1 Let $M$ be a $P D$-module and $K$ a p-submodule of $M$. Then $M=Z_{2}(M) \oplus T \oplus Y$, where $K=Z_{2}(M) \oplus T$ and $Y$ are $P D$-modules .

Proof Let $M$ be a $P D$-module and $K$ a p-submodule of $M$. Then $M=K \oplus K^{\prime}$ for some $K^{\prime} \leq M$. Since $K \unlhd_{p} M, K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are $P D$-modules by [1, Proposition 3.6]. Recall that $Z_{2}(M) \subseteq K$, as $M / K$ is nonsingular. Since $Z_{2}(M) \unlhd_{p} M$ and $Z_{2}(M) \subseteq K, Z_{2}(M) \unlhd_{p} K$. Moreover, $Z\left(K / Z_{2}(M)\right)=0$ yields that $Z_{2}(M)$ is a psubmodule of $K$. It follows that $K=Z_{2}(M) \oplus T$ for some $T \leq K$. Therefore, $M=K \oplus K^{\prime}=Z_{2}(M) \oplus T \oplus K^{\prime}$. Hence, $K^{\prime}=Y ; Y$ is the desired direct summand.

Corollary $2 M$ is a $P D$-module if and only if $M=Z_{2}(M) \oplus Y$, where $Z_{2}(M)$ and $Y$ are $P D$-modules.
Proof It is clear from Proposition 1.
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