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#### Abstract

For an analytic function in open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, we consider the $p$-valent analogue of the Noshiro-Warschawski univalence condition. We apply the Fejér-Riesz inequality to establish some sufficient conditions for functions to be $p$-valent or to be a Bazilevič function or to be in some other classes.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the class of functions analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of analytic functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f$ meromorphic in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is said to be $p$-valent in $D$ if for each $w$ the equation $f(z)=w$ has at most $p$ roots in $D$, where roots are counted in accordance with their multiplicity, and there is some $v$ such that the equation $f(z)=v$ has exactly $p$ roots in $D$. Furthermore, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, $p=1,2,3, \ldots$, is said to be $p$-valently starlike if

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

The class of all such functions is usually denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}$. For $p=1$ we obtain the well-known class of normalized starlike univalent functions $\mathcal{S}^{*}=\mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}$.

## 2. Main result

Theorem 2.1 [8, Th. 2, p.93] Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}, f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0$ in $0<|z|<1$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, p$ and suppose that

$$
\left|\arg f^{(p)}(z)\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right)
$$

Then $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.

[^0]Corollary 2.2 Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}, f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0$ in $0<|z|<1$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, p$ and suppose that

$$
p \geq e^{\pi}=23.14 \ldots
$$

If

$$
\left|\arg f^{(p)}(z)\right|<\pi, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

then $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Proof Since for $p=e^{\pi}$ we have

$$
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right)=\pi
$$

a function $f$ is $p$-valent by Theorem 2.1.
Applying Theorem 2.1, we can easily obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 Let $f(z)=\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, a_{p} \neq 0, f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0$ in $0<|z|<1$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, p$ and suppose that

$$
\left|\arg \left\{\exp (-i \alpha) f^{(p)}(z)\right\}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $\alpha=\arg \left\{a_{p}\right\}$. Then $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Proof It is enough to see that $F(z)=\frac{f(z)}{a_{p}}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ and apply Theorem 2.1. From the hypothesis, we have

$$
F(z)=\frac{f(z)}{a_{p}}=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{A}_{p}
$$

Furthermore, $F^{(k)}(z) \neq 0$ in $0<|z|<1$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, p$ and

$$
b_{n}=\frac{a_{n}}{a_{p}} \text { for } p \leq n
$$

Then, from Theorem 2.1, $F$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore, $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$ too.
Remark In [11] and [12], Ozaki proved that if $f$ of the form (1.1) is analytic in a convex domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and for some real $\alpha$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\exp (i \alpha) f^{(p)}(z)\right\}>0, \quad z \in D \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is at most $p$-valent in $D$. Ozaki's condition is a generalization of the well-known Noshiro-Warschawski univalence condition (see [6], [14]), where $p=1$. An improvement of Ozaki's condition is given in [9].

Nunokawa [7] proved the following result.
If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ and

$$
\left|\arg f^{(p)}(z)\right|<\frac{3 \pi}{4}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $2 \leq p$, then $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$. To prove the main results, we also need the following integral inequality. It is due to Fejér and Riesz [1] and can be found in [3, p. 175] and in [13]. This result requires the regularity of $f$ in the closed unit disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Lemma 2.4 [1] Let $f$ be analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, and $0<p$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}|g(z)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} z \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z|=1}|g(z)|^{p}|\mathrm{~d} z| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral on the left is taken along the real axis.

Therefore, a change of variables in (2.2) will give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-r}^{r}\left|g\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \rho \leq \frac{r}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \theta \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the above lemma provides the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}, f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0$ in $0<|z|<1$ for $k=1,2, \ldots p$, and suppose that

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{(p+1)}(z)}{f^{(p)}(z)}\right|<\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Then $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.

Proof We have

$$
\log \frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!}=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\log \frac{f^{(p)}(t)}{p!}\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{f^{(p+1)}(t)}{f^{(p)}(t)} \mathrm{d} t
$$

and hence we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\arg \left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\}\right| & =\left|\mathfrak{I m} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f^{(p+1)}(t)}{f^{(p)}(t)} \mathrm{d} t\right| \\
& =\left|\mathfrak{I m} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f^{(p+1)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{(p)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)} e^{i \theta} \mathrm{~d} \rho\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{r} \mathfrak{I m}\left\{\frac{e^{i \theta} f^{(p+1)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{(p)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} \mathrm{d} \rho\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{r}\left|\mathfrak{I m}\left\{\frac{e^{i \theta} f^{(p+1)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{(p)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}\right| \mathrm{d} \rho \\
& \leq \int_{-r}^{r}\left|\mathfrak{I m} \frac{e^{i \theta} f^{(p+1)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{(p)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \rho \\
& \leq \int_{-r}^{r}\left|\frac{f^{(p+1)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{(p)}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \rho
\end{aligned}
$$
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where $z=r e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq r<1,0 \leq \rho \leq r$, and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$. Now, applying (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\arg \left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\}\right| & \leq \frac{r}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{f^{(p+1)}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{(p)}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{(p+1)}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{(p)}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& <\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right) \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1, we obtain that $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Applying the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.6 Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Assume that $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Proof We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\arg \left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}\right| & =\left|\mathfrak{I m} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f^{\prime}(t)} \mathrm{d} t\right| \\
& =\left|\mathfrak{I m} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)} e^{i \theta} \mathrm{~d} \rho\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{r}\left|\frac{e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \rho \\
& \leq \int_{-r}^{r}\left|\frac{e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z=r e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq r<1,0 \leq \rho \leq r$, and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$. Now, applying (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\arg \left\{f^{\prime}(z)\right\}\right| & \leq \frac{r}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& <\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{1+r e^{i \theta}}{1-r e^{i \theta}}\right\} \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1-r^{2}}{1-2 r \cos \theta+r^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 \pi=\frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Then, by Noshiro-Warschawski univalence condition (2.1), we obtain that $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$.
A result related to Theorem 2.6 can be found in [10]. In [10], $z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)$ in (2.4) is replaced by $\mathfrak{R e}\left\{z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right\}$ and the right-hand side is a constant. This stronger hypothesis follows that $f$ is univalent Janowski function.

Theorem 2.7 Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}, f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0$ in $0<|z|<1$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, p$, and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{(p+1)}(z)}{f^{(p)}(z)}\right|<\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right) \mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Proof Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we obtain

$$
\left|\arg \left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{(p+1)}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{(p)}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

Then, by (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\arg \left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{(p+1)}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{(p)}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{1+r e^{i \theta}}{1-r e^{i \theta}}\right\} \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{1-r^{2}}{1-2 r \cos \theta+r^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi} \log p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z=r e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq r<1$, and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$. Then, by (2.1), we obtain that $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 2.8 Let $p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{\Re e}\left\{\frac{1}{1-z}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{R e}\{p(z)\}>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$.

Proof It follows from (2.6) that $p(z) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Otherwise, we would have $p(z)=\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k} q(z)$ for some $z_{0}$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\left|z_{0}\right|<1$ and $q\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Then the left-hand side of $(2.6)$ tends to $\infty$ as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$
while the right-hand side of (2.6) is bounded at $z_{0}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\arg \{p(z)\}| & =|\mathfrak{I m} \log \{p(z)\}| \\
& =\left|\mathfrak{I m} \int_{0}^{z}(\log \{p(z)\})^{\prime} \mathrm{d} z\right| \\
& =\left|\mathfrak{I m} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{p^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{p\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)} e^{i \theta} \mathrm{~d} \rho\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{r}\left|\mathfrak{I m}\left\{\frac{p^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{p\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)} e^{i \theta}\right\}\right| \mathrm{d} \rho \\
& \leq \int_{-r}^{r}\left|\mathfrak{I m}\left\{\frac{p^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{p\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)} e^{i \theta}\right\}\right| \mathrm{d} \rho \\
& \leq \int_{-r}^{r}\left|\frac{p^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{p\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z=r e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq r<1,0 \leq \rho \leq r$, and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$. Now, applying (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
|\arg \{p(z)\}| & \leq \frac{r}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{p^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{p\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{r e^{i \theta} p^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{p\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right| \mathrm{d} \theta \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\arg \{p(z)\}| & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{1}{1-r e^{i \theta}}\right\} \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2 \pi=\frac{\pi}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $\mathfrak{R e}\{p(z)\}>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$.
If we take $p(z)$ such that

$$
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_{1}
$$

then Theorem 2.8 becomes the following corollary.

Corollary 2.9 Let $f=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and suppose that

$$
\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{\Re e}\left\{\frac{1}{1-z}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Then

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0 \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

or $f$ is a starlike function with respect to the origin, that is, $f \in \mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}$.

Recall that if $f \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{e^{i \alpha} g(z)}\right\}>0, z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

for some $g \in \mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}$ and some $\alpha \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$, then $f$ is said to be close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$ and denoted by $f \in \mathcal{C}$. A univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if the complement $E$ of the image-region $F=\{f(z):|z|<1\}$ is the union of rays that are disjoint (except that the origin of one ray may lie on another one of the rays).

On the other hand, if $f \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{1-\beta}(z) g^{\beta}(z)}\right\}>0, z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

for some $g \in \mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}$ and some $\beta \in(0, \infty)$, then $f$ is said to be a Bazilevič function of type $\beta$ and denoted by $f \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$. If we take $p$ such that

$$
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{e^{i \alpha} g(z)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, \quad g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}
$$

then Theorem 2.8 becomes the following corollary.

Corollary 2.10 Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and suppose that

$$
\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\beta \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{1}{1-z}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $g \in \mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{e^{i \alpha} g(z)}\right\}>0, z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

or $f$ is a close-to-convex function.
If we take $p(z)$ such that

$$
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{1-\beta}(z) g^{\beta}(z)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, \quad g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}
$$

then Theorem 2.8 becomes the following corollary.
Corollary 2.11 Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and suppose that

$$
\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-(1-\beta) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\beta \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{\Re e}\left\{\frac{1}{1-z}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{1}^{*}$ and $\beta \in(0, \infty)$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{1-\beta}(z) g^{\beta}(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

or $f$ is a Bazilevic̆ function of type $\beta$, that is, $f \in \mathcal{B}(\beta)$.

We say that a function $f$ is in the class $\mathcal{K}_{s}(\gamma), 0 \leq \gamma<1$, if $f \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ and if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$, starlike of order $1 / 2$, such that

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left[z f^{\prime}(z) /(g(z) g(-z))\right]>\gamma, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

The class $\mathcal{K}_{s}(0)=\mathcal{K}_{s}$ was defined by Gao and Zhou in [2], while the class $\mathcal{K}_{s}(\gamma)$ was introduced in [5] (see also [4]).

Corollary 2.12 Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and suppose that

$$
\left|1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{z g^{\prime}(-z)}{g(-z)}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{R e}\left\{\frac{1}{1-z}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $g$ is starlike of order $1 / 2$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{R e}\left[z f^{\prime}(z) /(g(z) g(-z))\right]>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

or $f$ is in the class $\mathcal{K}_{s}(0)$.
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