

Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Research Article

Some classes of harmonic mappings with analytic part defined by subordination

Shuhai LI*, Ma LI-NA, Ao EN, Tang HUO

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chifeng University, Chifeng, Inner Mongolia, P.R. China

Received: 23.06.2018 • Accepted/Published Online: 21.11.2018	•	Final Version: 18.01.2019
--	---	----------------------------------

Abstract: Let S_H be the class of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, where h, g are analytic and $f(0) = f'_z(0) - 1 = 0$ in \mathbb{U} . In this paper, we investigate the properties of some subclasses of S_H such that h(z) is a starlike (or convex) function defined by subordination. We provide coefficient estimates, extremal function, distortion and growth estimates of g, growth, and Jacobian estimates of f. We also obtain area estimates and covering theorems of the classes. The results presented here generalize some known results.

Key words: Harmonic univalent function, subordination, coefficient estimate, distortion, area estimate, covering theorem

1. Introduction and preliminaries

For two analytic functions f and g on $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ with f(0) = g(0), f is said to be subordinate to g if there exists an analytic function ω on \mathbb{U} such that $\omega(0) = 0, |\omega| < 1$, and $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$). We denote this subordination relation by $f(z) \prec g(z), z \in \mathbb{U}$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence (see [4]; also see [27]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions h(z) that are analytic in \mathbb{U} and let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of functions in \mathcal{A} that are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, we let $S^*(A, B)$ and K(A, B), respectively, denote the subclasses of \mathcal{A} (see [12]):

$$h \in S^*(A, B) \iff \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \ (h \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$h \in K(A, B) \iff \frac{(zh'(z))'}{h'(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \ (h \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It is clear that

$$h\in K(A,B) \Longleftrightarrow zh'(z)\in S^*(A,B)$$



^{*}Correspondence: lishms66@sina.com

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50, 30C80

Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11561001), the Program for Young Talents of Science and Technology in Universities of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (No. NJYT-18-A14), and the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia Province (Nos.2014MS0101 and 2018MS01026).

and

$$K(A,B) \subset S^*(A,B), \ K(A,B) \subset K \subset \mathcal{S}, \ \ S^*(A,B) \subset S^* \subset \mathcal{S}$$

Especially, $S^*(1-2\beta,-1) = S^*_{\beta}$ $(0 \le \beta < 1)$ and $K(1-2\beta,-1) = K_{\beta}$ are a starlike function of order β and convex function of order β , respectively [24]; $S^*(1,-1) = S^*$ and K(1,-1) = K = CV are the well-known starlike function and convex function, respectively.

In [7], a classical problem of Fekete and Szegö states that, for $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu, & \mu \le 0, \\ 1 + 2\exp(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu}), & 0 \le \mu \le 1, \\ 4\mu - 3, & \mu \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

The result is sharp.

In 1994, Ma and Minda [20] obtained the Fekete–Szegö problem for the starlike function and convex function defined by subordination. Many authors studied the problems of Fekete and Szegö and obtained some useful results (for example, see [6,17,26]).

A continuous function f = u + iv is a complex valued harmonic function in a complex domain \mathbb{U} if both u and v are real harmonic in \mathbb{U} . In any simply connected domain \mathbb{U} , we can write $f = h + \overline{g}$, where h and g are analytic in \mathbb{U} . We call h the analytic part and g the coanalytic part of f. The Jacobian and second complex dilatation of f(z) are given by $J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2$ and $\omega(z) = g'(z)/h'(z)(z \in \mathbb{U})$, respectively. Lewy [19] proved that a necessary and sufficient condition for f(z) to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in \mathbb{U} is $J_f(z) > 0$. A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in \mathbb{U} is that |h'(z)| > |g'(z)| in \mathbb{U} (see [3]; see also [5]).

Denote by S_H the class of univalent and harmonic functions f that are sense-preserving in \mathbb{U} and has the form (see [3,5])

$$f = h + \overline{g}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},\tag{1.1}$$

where

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
 and $g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, $|b_1| < 1.$ (1.2)

In [1,2,10,11,21,22,25,28,29,31], many authors further investigated various subclasses of S_H and obtained some important results. In [15], the authors studied the properties of a subclass \bar{S}^{α}_H of S_H , consisting of all univalent antianalytic perturbations of the identity in the unit disk with $|b_1| = \alpha$, and in [16], the authors studied the class \bar{S}^{α}_H of all $f \in S_H$, such that $|b_1| = \alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $h \in CV$, where CV denotes the well-known family of normalized, univalent functions that are convex. Recently, Kans and Klimek-Smet [14] studied the properties of a subclass of \bar{S}^{α}_H and established estimates of some functionals and bounds of the Bloch constant for the coanalytic part. Also, Hotta and Michalski [9] studied the properties of a subclass of L_H having starlike analytic part h and obtained coefficient, distortion, and growth estimates of g, and Jacobian estimates of f. Kahramaner et al. [13] investigated the class of harmonic mappings related to Janowski starlike functions. Zhu and Huang [32] studied the distortion theorems for harmonic mappings with analytic parts of convex or starlike functions of order β . Some sharp estimates of coefficients, distortion, and growth are obtained (also see Sun et al. [30]).

LI et al./Turk J Math

In this paper, we introduce some subclasses of S_H , such that h(z) is a subclass of starlike (or convex) functions defined by subordination. We provide coefficient estimates, distortion, and area estimates, and covering theorems of the classes. The results presented here generalize the main results in [9,13,14,32].

Now we introduce the following classes.

Definition 1.1 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$; $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. The function $f(z) \in \bar{S}_{H}^{*,\alpha}(A, B)$ if and only if $f \in S_{H}, |b_{1}| = \alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $h(z) \in S^{*}(A, B)$. Also, the function $f(z) \in \bar{K}_{H}^{\alpha}(A, B)$ if and only if $f \in S_{H}, |b_{1}| = \alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $h(z) \in K(A, B)$. Additionally, we define the classes

$$\bar{S}^*_H(A,B) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1)} \bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_H(A,B)$$

and

$$\bar{K}_H(A,B) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1)} \bar{K}_H^{\alpha}(A,B)$$

Obviously, $\bar{K}^{\alpha}_{H}(A,B) \subset \bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_{H}(A,B)$ and $\bar{K}_{H}(A,B) \subset \bar{S}^{*}_{H}(A,B)$.

Remark 1.2 Specializing the parameters, the classes $\bar{K}^{\alpha}_{H}(A, B)$, $\bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_{H}(A, B)$, $\bar{K}_{H}(A, B)$, and $\bar{S}^{*}_{H}(A, B)$ reduce to the various subclasses of S_{H} :

- (i) $\bar{K}^{\alpha}_{H}(1,-1) = \bar{K}^{\alpha}_{H} = \bar{S}^{\alpha}_{H} = \{f \in S_{H} : h \in \mathcal{CV}\}$ (Klimek and Michalski [16]; Kans and Klimek-Smet [14]).
- (ii) $\bar{S}_{H}^{*,\alpha}(1,-1) = \bar{S}_{H}^{*,\alpha} = \check{L}_{H}^{\alpha} = \{f \in S_{H} : h \in S^{*}\}$ and $\bar{S}_{H}^{*}(1,-1) = \bar{S}_{H}^{*} = \check{L}_{H}$ (Hotta and Michalski [9]; also [3]).
- (iii) $\bar{S}_{H}^{*,\alpha}(A,B) = S_{H}^{*}(A,B)$ (Kahramaner et al. [13]).

(iv)
$$\bar{K}^{\alpha}_{H}(1-2\beta,-1) = S^{\alpha}_{H}(C_{\beta})$$
 and $\bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_{H}(1-2\beta,-1) = L^{\alpha}_{H}(S^{*}_{\beta}) \ (0 \le \beta < 1)$ (Zhu and Huang [32])

We need the following lemmas to prove our results.

Lemma 1.3 [18] If the function $\omega(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + \cdots + c_n z^n + \cdots$ is analytic and $|\omega(z)| \leq 1$ on \mathbb{U} , then

$$|c_n| \le 1 - |c_0|^2, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots.$$
 (1.3)

Lemma 1.4 Let $-1 \le B < A \le 1, n = 2, 3, \cdots$.

(i) If
$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S^*(A, B)$$
, then $|a_n| \le F_n(A, B)$, where

$$F_n(A, B) = \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-2} (A - B + k)}{(n-1)!}.$$
(1.4)

(ii) If
$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in K(A, B)$$
, then $|a_n| \leq \frac{F_n(A, B)}{n}$, where $F_n(A, B)$ is defined by (1.4).

Proof If $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S^*(A, B)$, there exists a positive real function $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k$ and $|p_k| \leq A - B$, such that $\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} = p(z)$. Comparing the coefficients of both sides of the equation, we have $(n-1)a_n = p_1a_{n-1} + p_2a_{n-2} + \dots + p_{n-2}a_2 + p_{n-1}$. Therefore,

$$|a_n| \le \frac{(A-B)}{n-1}(1+|a_2|+\dots+|a_{n-1}|).$$

Let $\phi(n) = 1 + |a_2| + \dots + |a_n|$, we have

$$\phi(n) \le \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (A - B + k)}{(n-1)!}, \ n \ge 2.$$

Thus, $|a_n| \leq \frac{\prod\limits_{k=0}^{n-2} (A-B+k)}{(n-1)!}$. If $h(z) = z + \sum\limits_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in K(A, B)$, then $zh'(z) \in S^*(A, B)$, and by using (i), we have

$$|a_n| \le \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-2} (A - B + k)}{n!}$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 1.4.

In [6, Theorem 1], letting $b = 1, \alpha = 0, \varphi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}(-1 \le B < A \le 1)$ and letting n = 0 and n = 1, respectively, we have:

Lemma 1.5 Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $-1 \le B < A \le 1$.

(i) If
$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S^*(A, B)$$
, then
 $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{A - B}{2} \max\{1, |(1 - 2\mu)(A - B) - B|\}.$ (1.5)

(ii) If
$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in K(A, B)$$
, then
 $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{A - B}{6} \max\left\{1, \left|(1 - \frac{3}{2}\mu)(A - B) - B\right|\right\}.$ (1.6)

Lemma 1.6 Let $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{A}, \ -1 \le B < A \le 1, \ |z| = r, \ 0 \le r < 1.$

175

(i) If $h(z) \in K(A, B)$, then

$$\begin{cases} (1 - Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} \le |h'(z)| \le (1 + Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B}}, & B \ne 0, \\ e^{-Ar} \le |h'(z)| \le e^{Ar}, & B = 0, \end{cases} ([23, Theorem 3.1 with b = 1])$$
(1.7)

and

$$\begin{cases} r(1-Br)^{\frac{A-B}{2B}} \le |h(z)| \le r(1+Br)^{\frac{A-B}{2B}}, & B \ne 0, \\ re^{-\frac{rA}{2}} \le |h(z)| \le re^{\frac{rA}{2}}, & B = 0. \end{cases} ([23, Theorem \ 3.2 \ with \ b = 1]) (1.8)$$

(ii) If $h(z) \in S^*(A, B)$, then

$$\begin{cases} (1 - Ar)(1 - Br)^{\frac{A-2B}{B}} \le |h'(z)| \le (1 + Ar)(1 + Br)^{\frac{A-2B}{B}}, & B \ne 0, \\ (1 - Ar)e^{-rA} \le |h'(z)| \le (1 + Ar)e^{rA}, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.9)

and

$$\begin{cases} r(1-Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} \le |h(z)| \le r(1+Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B}}, & B \ne 0, \\ re^{-Ar} \le |h(z)| \le re^{Ar}, & B = 0. \end{cases} ([12, \ Theorem \ 4]) \tag{1.10}$$

Proof We need only consider the case of (1.9). Since $h(z) \in S^*(A, B)$, then

$$\left|\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} - \frac{1 - ABr^2}{1 - B^2r^2}\right| \le \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - B^2r^2}.$$

By simple calculation, we have

$$\frac{1-Ar}{1-Br} \leq \left|\frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+Ar}{1+Br}$$

that is,

$$\frac{1 - Ar}{1 - Br} |h(z)| \le |zh'(z)| \le \frac{1 + Ar}{1 + Br} |h(z)|.$$

Applying (1.10), it is easy to obtain (1.9), so we complete the proof of Lemma 1.6.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1 If $f = h + \overline{g} \in \overline{S}_{H}^{*,\alpha}(A, B)$, then $F = H + \overline{G} \in \overline{K}_{H}^{\alpha}(A, B)$, where H(z) and G(z) satisfy the conditions zH'(z) = h(z) and $zG'(z) = g(z), z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Proof According to the definition of $\overline{S}_{H}^{*,\alpha}(A, B)$, $h \in S^{*}(A, B)$. By Alexander's theorem [4, p. 43], the function $H(z) \in K(A, B)$. Also, H(0) = 0, $H'(0) = \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{h(z)}{z} = h'(0) = 1$, and $|G'(0)| = |\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{g(z)}{z}| = |g'(0)| = \alpha$. Let $\Gamma := [0, h(z)] \subset h(\mathbb{U}), z \in \mathbb{U} - \{0\}$; then

$$|g(z)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} d(g \circ h^{-1}(\omega)) \right| \le \int_{\Gamma} \left| \frac{d(g \circ h^{-1}(\omega))}{d\omega} \right| |d\omega| < \int_{\Gamma} |d\omega| = |h(z)|.$$

Hence,

$$|G'(z)| = \lim_{t \to z} \left| \frac{g(t)}{t} \right| < \lim_{t \to z} \left| \frac{h(t)}{t} \right| = |H'(z)|.$$

It shows that F is a locally univalent and sense-preserving harmonic function in \mathbb{U} . Finally, appealing to [16, Corollary 2.3], we conclude that $F = H + \overline{G} \in \overline{K}_{H}^{\alpha}(A, B)$.

Corollary 2.2 If $f = h + \overline{g} \in \overline{S}^*_H(A, B)$, then $F = H + \overline{G} \in \overline{K}_H(A, B)$, where H(z) and G(z) satisfy the conditions zH'(z) = h(z) and $zG'(z) = g(z), z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Next, we give coefficient estimates for functions of these classes.

Theorem 2.3 Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2) and $F_n(A, B)$ is defined by (1.4). If $f \in \overline{S}_H^{*,\alpha}(A, B)$, then

$$|b_n| \le \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} + \alpha(A-B), & n=2, \\ \alpha F_n(A,B) + \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{n} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k F_k(A,B) \right\}, & n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

The estimate of (2.1) is sharp and the extremal function is

$$f_0(z) = h_0(z) + \overline{g_0(z)} = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{A-B}} + \overline{\int_0^z \frac{\alpha - (\alpha^2 + \alpha - 1)t}{(1-t)} \cdot \frac{1 + (A-B-1)t}{(1-t)^{A-B+1}} dt}.$$
 (2.2)

In particular, if $f \in \bar{S}_{H}^{*,\alpha}$, $n = 2, 3, \cdots$, then

$$|b_n| \le n\alpha + \frac{(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}(1-\alpha^2).$$
(2.3)

The estimate of (2.3) is sharp and the extremal function is

$$f_0(z) = h_0(z) + \overline{g_0(z)} = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} + \overline{\int_0^z \frac{\alpha - (\alpha^2 + \alpha - 1)t}{(1-t)} \cdot \frac{1+t}{(1-t)^3} dt}$$

Proof Making use of the relation $g' = \omega h'$ and the power series expansions (1.2), we obtain

$$nb_n = \sum_{p=1}^n pa_p c_{n-p} \quad (a_1 = 1, \ n = 2, 3, \cdots).$$
 (2.4)

The fact $g' = \omega h'$, for the case z = 0, implies that $c_0 = b_1$, so that by (1.3), we obtain $|c_{n-p}| \le 1 - |b_1|^2 = 1 - \alpha^2$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Therefore,

$$|b_n| \le \frac{1}{n} (1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k |a_k|) (1 - |c_0|^2) + |a_n| |c_0|.$$
(2.5)

If $f \in \bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_H(A,B)$, $h \in S^*(A,B)$, then from Lemma 1.4 (i) and (2.5), we have

$$|b_n| \le \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{n} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} kF_k(A,B) \right\} + \alpha F_n(A,B),$$

where $F_k(A, B)$ is defined by (1.4). In particular,

$$|b_2| \le \frac{|c_1|}{2} + |a_2||c_0| \le \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} + \alpha(A-B)$$

Thus, the proof is completed.

Using the same methods as in Theorem 2.3, we can obtain the following results.

Theorem 2.4 Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2), and $F_n(A, B)$ be defined by (1.4). If $f \in \overline{K}^{\alpha}_H(A, B)$, then

$$b_n | \le \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} + \frac{\alpha(A-B)}{2}, & n = 2, \\ \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{n} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} F_k(A,B) \right\} + \frac{F_n(A,B)\alpha}{n}, & n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $f \in \overline{K}_{H}^{\alpha}$, then $|b_{n}| \leq \alpha + \frac{(n-1)(1-\alpha^{2})}{2}$ $(n \geq 2)$. From Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, we have:

Corollary 2.5 Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2) and $F_n(A, B)$ is defined by (1.4).

(i) If $f \in \bar{S}^*_H(A, B)$, then

$$|b_n| \le \begin{cases} \frac{1 + (A - B)^2}{2}, & n = 2, \\ \frac{4(1 + \sum\limits_{k=2}^{n-1} kF_k(A, B))^2 + n^2 F_n^2(A, B)}{4n(1 + \sum\limits_{k=2}^{n-1} kF_k(A, B))}, & n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Especially, if $f \in \bar{S}_H^*$, then

$$|b_n| \le \begin{cases} \frac{5}{2}, & n = 2, \\ \frac{(n-1)^2(2n-1)^2 + 9n^2}{6(n-1)(2n-1)}, & n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $f \in \overline{K}_H(A, B)$, then

$$|b_n| \le \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(A-B)^2}{8}, & n = 2\\ \frac{4(1+\sum\limits_{k=2}^{n-1} F_k(A,B))^2 + F_n^2(A,B)}{4n(1+\sum\limits_{k=2}^{n-1} F_k(A,B))}, & n \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

Especially, if $f \in \overline{K}_H$, then

$$|b_n| \le \begin{cases} 1, & n=2, \\ \frac{n^2 - 2n + 2}{2(n-1)}, & n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Also, we give the Fekete–Szegö inequalities for functions of these classes.

Theorem 2.6 Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2) and $F_n(A, B)$ is defined by (1.4). For $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, if $f \in \overline{S}_H^{*,\alpha}(A, B)$, then

$$|b_{3} - \mu b_{2}^{2}| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha^{2}}{3} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3|\mu|(1 - \alpha^{2})}{4} + (A - B)|2 - 3\mu b_{1}| \right\} + \frac{(A - B)\alpha}{2} \max\left\{ 1, |(1 - 2b_{1}\mu)(A - B) - B| \right\}$$
(2.6)

and

$$|b_{n+1} - b_n| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} + \alpha(A - B + 1), & n = 1, \\ \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)(5 + 4(A - B))}{6} + \frac{\alpha(A - B)(A - B + 3)}{2}, & n = 2, \\ \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)}{n+1} \left\{ \frac{2n + 1}{n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} kF_k(A, B) \right) + nF_n(A, B) \right\} + \\ \alpha(F_{n+1}(A, B) + F_n(A, B)), & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.7)$$

Especially, if $f\in \bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_{H}$, then

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \le \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{3} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3|\mu|(1 - \alpha^2)}{4} + |4 - 6\mu b_1| \right\} + \alpha \max\{1, |3 - 4b_1\mu|\}$$
(2.8)

and

$$|b_{n+1} - b_n| \le \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{6} (4n^2 - 2n + 1) + (2n + 1)\alpha \quad (n \ge 1).$$
(2.9)

Proof From the relation (2.4), we have

 $b_1 = c_0, \ 2b_2 = c_1 + 2a_2c_0, \ 3b_3 = c_2 + 2a_2c_1 + 3a_3c_0$

and

$$nb_n = \sum_{p=1}^n pa_p c_{n-p} \quad (a_1 = 1, n = 2, 3, \ldots).$$

By (1.3), we have

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \le \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{3} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3|\mu|(1 - \alpha^2)}{4} + |a_2||2 - 3\mu b_1| \right\} + \alpha \left| a_3 - \mu c_0 a_2^2 \right|$$

and

$$|b_{n+1} - b_n| \le \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)}{n+1} \left\{ \frac{2n+1}{n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k|a_k| \right) + n|a_n| \right\} + \alpha(|a_{n+1}| + |a_n|).$$

If $f \in \bar{S}_{H}^{*,\alpha}(A,B)$, then $h \in S^{*}(A,B)$. Applying Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.5, it is easy to obtain (2.6) and (2.7). Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Using the same methods as in Theorem 2.6, we can get the following results.

Theorem 2.7 Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2) and $F_n(A, B)$ is defined by (1.4). For $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, if $f \in \overline{K}^{\alpha}_H(A, B)$, then

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \le \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{3} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3|\mu|(1 - \alpha^2)}{4} + \frac{(A - B)}{2}|2 - 3\mu b_1| \right\} + \frac{\alpha(A - B)}{6} \max\left\{ 1, |(1 - \frac{3}{2}\mu b_1)(A - B) - B| \right\}$$

and

$$|b_{n+1} - b_n| \le \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2} + \alpha(\frac{A-B}{2} + 1), & n = 1, \\ \frac{(1-\alpha^2)(5+2(A-B))}{6} + \frac{\alpha(A-B)(A-B+4)}{6}, & n = 2, \\ \frac{(1-\alpha^2)}{n+1} \left\{ \frac{2n+1}{n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} F_k(A,B) \right) + F_n(A,B) \right\} + \\ \alpha \left(\frac{F_{n+1}(A,B)}{n+1} + \frac{F_n(A,B)}{n} \right), & n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Especially, if $f \in \overline{K}_{H}^{\alpha}$, then

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \le \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{3} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3|\mu|(1 - \alpha^2)}{4} + |2 - 3\mu b_1| \right\} + \frac{\alpha}{3} \max\{1, 3|1 - \mu b_1|\}$$

and

$$|b_{n+1} - b_n| \le \frac{(2n-1)(1-\alpha^2)}{2} + 2\alpha.$$

From Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7, it is easy to obtain the following results.

Corollary 2.8 Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2) and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

(i) If $f \in \bar{S}_H^*$, then

$$|b_{n+1} - b_n| \le \begin{cases} 2n+1, & 1 \le n \le 2, \\ \frac{(4n^2 - 2n+1)^2 + 9(2n+1)^2}{6(4n^2 - 2n+1)}, & n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $f \in \overline{K}_H$, then

$$|b_{n+1} - b_n| \le \begin{cases} 2, & n = 1, \\ \frac{4n^2 - 4n + 5}{4n - 2}, & n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.9

- (i) The results of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 improve Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 in [9];
- (ii) Letting $A = 1 2\beta$ ($0 \le \beta < 1$) and B = -1 in Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.4, and Theorem 2.3, we can get Lemma 4, Theorem 5, and Corollary 6 in [32].

Further, we obtain the distortion estimates of the coanalytic part g and area estimates as follows. **Theorem 2.10** Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2), |z| = r, $0 \le r < 1$.

(i) If $f \in \overline{K}^{\alpha}_{H}(A, B)$, then

$$F_{\alpha,r}(A,B) \le |g'(z)| \le \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\alpha+r}{1+\alpha r}\right)(1+Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B}}, & B \ne 0, \\ \left(\frac{\alpha+r}{1+\alpha r}\right)e^{Ar}, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.10),

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and

$$F_{\alpha,r}(A,B) = \begin{cases} \frac{\max\{\alpha-r,0\}}{1-\alpha r} (1-Br)^{\frac{A-B}{B}}, & B \neq 0, \\ \frac{\max\{\alpha-r,0\}}{1-\alpha r} e^{-Ar}, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

(ii) If $f \in \bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_H(A,B)$, then

$$G_{\alpha,r}(A,B) \le |g'(z)| \le \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\alpha+r}{1+\alpha r}\right)(1+Ar)(1+Br)^{\frac{A-2B}{B}}, & B \ne 0, \\ \left(\frac{\alpha+r}{1+\alpha r}\right)(1+Ar)e^{Ar}, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.12),

where $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and

$$G_{\alpha,r}(A,B) = \begin{cases} \frac{\max\{\alpha-r,0\}}{1-\alpha r} (1-Ar)(1-Br)^{\frac{A-2B}{B}}, & B \neq 0, \\ \frac{\max\{\alpha-r,0\}}{1-\alpha r} (1-Ar)e^{-Ar}, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.13)

Proof Applying the relation $g' = \omega h'$, $|\omega(0)| = |g'(0)| = |b_1| = \alpha$, we know $\omega(z)$ satisfies the following (see [8]):

$$\left|\frac{\omega(z) - \omega(0)}{1 - \overline{\omega(0)}\omega(z)}\right| \le |z|.$$
(2.14)

Equivalently,

$$\left|\omega(z) - \frac{\omega(0)(1-r^2)}{1-|\omega(0)|^2 r^2}\right| \le \frac{r(1-|\omega(0)|^2)}{1-|\omega(0)|^2 r^2}.$$
(2.15)

From (2.15), we get

$$\frac{\max\{\alpha - r, 0\}}{1 - \alpha r} \le |\omega(z)| \le \frac{\alpha + r}{1 + \alpha r}, \ z \in \mathbb{U}.$$
(2.16)

Finally, applying (2.16) and (1.7), we obtain (2.10); also, using (2.16) and (1.9), we have (2.12). The proof is completed. \Box

Theorem 2.11 Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2), $F_{\alpha,r}(A, B)$ and $G_{\alpha,r}(A, B)$ are given by (2.11) and (2.13), respectively, and $|z| = r, 0 \le r < 1$.

(i) If $f \in \overline{K}^{\alpha}_{H}(A, B)$, then

$$\int_{0}^{r} F_{\alpha,t}(A,B)dt \le |g(z)| \le \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{(\alpha+t)}{(1+\alpha t)} (1+Bt)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} dt, & B \ne 0, \\ \int_{0}^{r} \frac{(\alpha+t)}{1+\alpha t} e^{At} dt, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.17)

(ii) If $f \in \bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_H(A,B)$, then

$$\int_{0}^{r} G_{\alpha,t}(A,B)dt \le |g(z)| \le \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{(\alpha+t)}{(1+\alpha t)} (1+At)(1+Bt)^{\frac{A-2B}{B}} dt, & B \ne 0, \\ \int_{0}^{r} \frac{(\alpha+t)}{1+\alpha t} (1+At) e^{At} dt, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.18)

Proof By (2.10), integrating along a radial line $\xi = te^{i\theta}$, the right-hand side of (2.17) is obtained immediately:

$$|g(z)| \le \begin{cases} \int_0^r (\frac{\alpha+t}{1+\alpha t})(1+Bt)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} dt, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^r (\frac{\alpha+t}{1+\alpha t}) e^{At} dt, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.19)

In order to prove the left-hand side of (2.17), we note first that g is univalent. Let $\Gamma = g(\{z : |z| = r\})$ and let $\xi_1 \in \Gamma$ be the nearest point to the origin. By a rotation we may assume that $\xi_1 > 0$. Let γ be the line segment $0 \le \xi \le \xi_1$ and suppose that $z_1 = g^{-1}(\xi_1)$ and $L = g^{-1}(\gamma)$. With ς as the variable of integration on L, we have that $d\xi = g'(\varsigma)d\varsigma$ on L. Hence,

$$\xi_{1} = \int_{0}^{\xi_{1}} d\xi = \int_{0}^{z_{1}} g'(\varsigma) d\xi = \int_{0}^{z_{1}} |g'(\varsigma)| |d\xi| \ge \int_{0}^{r} |g'(te^{i\theta})| dt$$
$$\ge \int_{0}^{r} F_{\alpha,r}(A,B) dr = \begin{cases} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\max\{\alpha-t,0\}}{1-\alpha t} (1-Bt)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} dt, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\max\{\alpha-t,0\}}{1-\alpha t} e^{-At} dt, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.20)

By (2.12), using the same method in proof of (2.17), it is easy to prove (2.18) and so we complete the proof of Theorem 2.11. $\hfill \Box$

Theorem 2.12 Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2), $\mathfrak{A} = \iint_{\mathbb{U}} J_f(z) dx dy$, $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{U}$. (i) If $f \in \overline{K}^{\alpha}_H(A, B)$, then

$$\mathfrak{A} \geq \begin{cases} 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} (1-Br)^{\frac{2(A-B)}{B}} \frac{(1-\alpha^{2})(1-r^{2})}{(1+\alpha r)^{2}} r dr, & B \neq 0, \\ 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2Ar} \frac{(1-\alpha^{2})(1-r^{2})}{(1+\alpha r)^{2}} r dr, & B = 0, \end{cases}$$
$$\mathfrak{A} \leq \begin{cases} 2\pi \left(\int_{0}^{a} (1+Br)^{\frac{2(A-B)}{B}} \frac{(1-\alpha^{2})(1-r^{2})}{(1-\alpha r)^{2}} r dr + \int_{a}^{1} (1+Br)^{\frac{2(A-B)}{B}} r dr \right), & B \neq 0, \\ 2\pi \left(\int_{0}^{a} e^{2Ar} \frac{(1-\alpha^{2})(1-r^{2})}{(1-\alpha r)^{2}} r dr + \int_{a}^{1} e^{2Ar} r dr \right), & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $f \in \bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_H(A,B)$, then

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{A} &\geq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} (1-Ar)^{2} (1-Br)^{\frac{2(A-2B)}{B}} \frac{(1-\alpha^{2})(1-r^{2})}{(1+\alpha r)^{2}} r dr, & B \neq 0, \\ 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} (1-Ar)^{2} e^{-2Ar} \frac{(1-\alpha^{2})(1-r^{2})}{(1+\alpha r)^{2}} r dr, & B = 0, \end{array} \right. \\ \mathfrak{A} &\leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2\pi \left(\int_{0}^{a} \frac{(1+Ar)^{2}(1-\alpha^{2})(1-r^{2})}{(1+Br)^{\frac{2(2B-A)}{B}}} r dr + \int_{a}^{1} \frac{(1+Ar)^{2}}{(1+Br)^{\frac{2(2B-A)}{B}}} r dr \right), & B \neq 0, \\ 2\pi \left(\int_{0}^{a} (1+Ar)^{2} e^{2Ar} \frac{(1-\alpha^{2})(1-r^{2})}{(1-\alpha r)^{2}} r dr + \int_{a}^{1} \frac{(1+Ar)^{2}}{(1+Br)^{\frac{2(2B-A)}{B}}} r dr \right), & B \neq 0, \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Proof We can give the Jacobian of $f = h + \overline{g}$ in the form

$$J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2 = |h'(z)|^2 (1 - |\omega(z)|^2),$$
(2.21)

where $\omega(z)$ is the dilatation of f(z).

If $f \in \overline{K}^{\alpha}_{H}(A, B)$, applying (1.7) and (2.16) to (2.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A} &= \iint_{\mathbb{U}} J_{f}(z) dx dy = \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{0}^{1} J_{f}(re^{i\theta}) r dr \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{0}^{1} |h'(re^{i\theta})|^{2} (1 - |\omega(re^{i\theta})|^{2}) r dr \\ &\geq \begin{cases} 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} (1 - Br)^{\frac{2(A-B)}{B}} \frac{(1 - \alpha^{2})(1 - r^{2})}{(1 + \alpha r)^{2}} r dr, & B \neq 0, \\ 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2Ar} \frac{(1 - \alpha^{2})(1 - r^{2})}{(1 + \alpha r)^{2}} r dr, & B = 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{A} &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{0}^{1} |h'(re^{i\theta})|^{2} (1 - |\omega(re^{i\theta})|^{2}) r dr \\ &\leq \begin{cases} 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} (1 + Br)^{\frac{2(A-B)}{B}} \left(1 - \frac{(\max\{(\alpha - r), 0\})^{2}}{(1 - \alpha r)^{2}}\right) r dr, & B \neq 0, \\ 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} e^{2Ar} \left(1 - \frac{(\max\{(\alpha - r), 0\})^{2}}{(1 - \alpha r)^{2}}\right) r dr, & B = 0, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2\pi \left(\int_{0}^{a} (1 + Br)^{\frac{2(A-B)}{B}} \frac{(1 - \alpha^{2})(1 - r^{2})}{(1 - \alpha r)^{2}} r dr + \int_{a}^{1} (1 + Br)^{\frac{2(A-B)}{B}} r dr\right), & B \neq 0, \\ 2\pi \left(\int_{0}^{a} e^{2Ar} \frac{(1 - \alpha^{2})(1 - r^{2})}{(1 - \alpha r)^{2}} r dr + \int_{a}^{1} e^{2Ar} r dr\right), & B = 0. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of (i). Applying (1.9) and (2.16) to (2.21), the proof of (ii) is similar to the above and so is omitted. \Box

Finally, we can deduce the growth estimate of f.

Theorem 2.13 Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2), $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

(i) If $f \in \overline{K}^{\alpha}_{H}(A, B)$, then

$$|f(z)| \ge \begin{cases} \int_0^r \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} (1-B\xi)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} d\xi, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^r \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} e^{-A\xi} d\xi, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.22)

$$|f(z)| \le \begin{cases} \int_0^r \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} (1+B\xi)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} d\xi, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^r \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} e^{A\xi} d\xi, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.23)

(ii) If $f \in \overline{S}_{H}^{*,\alpha}(A,B)$, then

$$|f(z)| \ge \begin{cases} \int_0^r \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} (1-A\xi)(1-B\xi)^{\frac{A-2B}{B}} d\xi, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^r \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} (1-A\xi)e^{-A\xi} d\xi, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.24)

$$|f(z)| \le \begin{cases} \int_0^r \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} (1+A\xi)(1+B\xi)^{\frac{A-2B}{B}} d\xi, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^r \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} (1+A\xi) e^{A\xi} d\xi, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.25)

Proof For any point $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{U}$, let $\mathbb{U}_r = \mathbb{U}(0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{U} : |z| < r\}$ and denote

$$d = \min_{z \in \mathbb{U}_r} |f(\mathbb{U}_r)|, \tag{2.26}$$

and then $\mathbb{U}(0,d) \subseteq f(\mathbb{U}_r) \subseteq f(\mathbb{U})$. Hence, there exists $z_r \in \partial \mathbb{U}_r$ such that $d = |f(z_r)|$. Let $L(t) = tf(z_r)$, $t \in [0,1]$; then $\ell(t) = f^{-1}(L(t))$, $t \in [0,1]$ is a well-defined Jordan arc. Since $f = h + \overline{g} \in \overline{K}^{\alpha}_H(A, B)$, then using Lemma 1.6, (1.7), and (2.16), we obtain

$$\begin{split} d &= |f(z_r)| = \int_L |d\omega| = \int_\ell |df| = \int_\ell |h'(\eta)d\eta + \overline{g'(\eta)}d\bar{\eta}| \\ &\geq \int_\ell |h'(\eta)|(1 - |\omega(\eta)|)|d\eta| \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \int_\ell \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|\eta|)}{1+\alpha|\eta|}(1 - B|\eta|)^{\frac{A-B}{B}}|d\eta|, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_\ell \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|\eta|)e^{-A|\eta|}}{1+\alpha|\eta|}|d\eta|, & B = 0. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|\ell(t)|)}{1+\alpha|\ell(t)|}(1 - B|\ell(t)|)^{\frac{A-B}{B}}dt, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|\ell(t)|)e^{-A|\ell(t)|}}{1+\alpha|\ell(t)|}dt, & B = 0. \end{cases} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \int_0^r \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi}(1 - B\xi)^{\frac{A-B}{B}}d\xi, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^r \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi}e^{-A\xi}d\xi, & B = 0. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

To prove (2.23), we simply use the inequality

$$|f(z)| = |h(z) + \overline{g}(z)| \le |h(z)| + |g(z)|.$$

By (1.7) and (2.16), with simple calculation, we have (2.23). Using the same methods, we can complete the proofs of (2.24) and (2.25). \Box

Using (2.22) and (2.24), we have:

Corollary 2.14 Let $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.2), $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

(i) If $f \in \overline{K}^{\alpha}_{H}(A, B)$, then $\mathbb{U}(0, R_1) \subset f(\mathbb{U})$, where

$$R_1 = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} (1-B\xi)^{\frac{A-B}{B}} d\xi, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} e^{-A\xi} d\xi, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $f \in \bar{S}^{*,\alpha}_H(A,B)$, then $\mathbb{U}(0,R_2) \subset f(\mathbb{U})$, where

$$R_2 = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} (1-A\xi)(1-B\xi)^{\frac{A-2B}{B}} d\xi, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\xi)}{1+\alpha\xi} (1-A\xi)e^{-A\xi} d\xi, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.15

- (i) If A = 1 − 2β (0 ≤ β < 1) and B = −1, then Theorem 2.10, Theorem 2.11, Theorem 2.12, and Corollary 2.14 respectively coincide with Theorem 7, Corollary 8; Theorem 9, Corollary 10; Theorem 11, Corollary 13; Theorem 14, Corollary 15; and Theorem 16, Corollary 17 in [32];
- (ii) If A = 1 and B = -1, (2.18) in Theorem 2.11 improves (2.7) of Theorem 2.4 in [14];
- (iii) If A = 1 and B = -1, then Theorem 2.10, Theorem 2.12, Theorem 2.11, and Theorem 2.13 respectively coincide with Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.7, and Theorem 2.9 in [9].

References

- Ahuja OP. Planar harmonic convolution operators generated by hypergeometric functions. Integr Transf Spec F 2007; 18: 165-177.
- [2] Ali RM, Stephen BA, Subramanian KG. Subclasses of harmonic mappings defined by convolution. Appl Math Lett 2010; 23: 1243-1247.
- [3] Clunie J, Sheil-Small T. Harmonic univalent functions. Ann Acad Sci Fenn Ser A I Math 1984; 39: 3-25.
- [4] Duren PL. Univalent Functions. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [5] Duren PL. Harmonic Mappings in the Plane. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [6] El-Ashwah RM, Hassan AH. Fekete-Szegö inequalities for certain subclass of analytic functions defined by using Sălăgean operator. Miskolc Mathematical Notes 2017; 17: 827-836.

LI et al./Turk J Math

- [7] Fekete M, Szegö G. Eine Bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte Funktionen. J London Math Soc 1933; 8: 85-89 (in German).
- [8] Goluzin GM. Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable. Providence, RI, USA: American Mathematical Society, 1969.
- [9] Hotta I, Michalski A. Locally one-to-one harmonic functions with starlike analytic part. arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.1826, 2014.
- [10] Jahangiri JM. Coefficient bounds and univalence criteria for harmonic functions with negative coefficients. Ann Univ Marie Curie-Sklodowska Sect A 1998; 52: 57-66.
- [11] Jahangiri JM. Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk. J Math Anal Appl 1999; 235: 470-477.
- [12] Janowski W. Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. Ann Pol Math 1973; 28: 297-326.
- [13] Kahramaner Y, Polatoğlu Y, Aydoğan M. Harmonic mappings related to Janowski starlike functions. J Computat Appl Math 2014; 270: 564-570.
- [14] Kanas S, Klimek-Smet D. Coefficient estimates and Bloch's constant in some classes of harmonic mappings. B Malays Math Sci So 2016; 39: 741-750.
- [15] Klimek D, Michalski A. Univalent anti-analytic perturbations of the identity in the unit disc. Sci Bull Chelm 2006; 1: 67-76.
- [16] Klimek D, Michalski A. Univalent anti-analytic perturbations of convex analytic mappings in the unit disc. Ann Univ Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect A 2007; 61: 39-49.
- [17] Koepf W. On the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions. P Am Math Soc 1987; 101: 89-95.
- [18] Kohr G, Graham I. Geometric Function Theory in One and Higher Dimensions. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker, 2003.
- [19] Lewy H. On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings. B Am Math Soc 1936; 42: 689-692.
- [20] Ma W, Minda D. A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. In: Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, 1994, pp. 157-169.
- [21] Nagpal S, Ravichandran V. A comprehensive class of harmonic functions defined by convolution and its connection with integral transforms and hypergeometric functions. Stud Univ Babes Bolyai-Math 2014; 59: 41-55.
- [22] Öztürk M, Yalçin S, Yamankaradeniz M. Convex subclass of harmonic starlike functions. Appl Math Comput 2004; 154: 449-459.
- [23] Polatoğlu Y, Bolcal M, Sen A. Two-point distortion theorems for certain families of analytic functions in the unit disc. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 2003; 2003: 4183-4193.
- [24] Robertson MIS. On the theory of univalent functions. Ann Math 1936; 37: 374-408.
- [25] Sakar FM, Aydoğan M. Subclass of m-quasiconformal harmonic functions in association with Janowski starlike functions. Appl Math Comput 2018; 319: 461-468.
- [26] Sakar FM, Aytaş S, Güney HÖ. On The Fekete-Szegö problem for generalized class $M_{\alpha,\gamma}(\beta)$ defined by differential operator. Süleyman Demirel University Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences 2016; 20: 456-459.
- [27] Sakar FM, Güney HÖ. Subordination results for certain subclasses by using integral operator defined in the space of analytic functions. Honam Math J 2018; 40: 315-323.
- [28] Silverman H. Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients. J Math Anal Appl 1998; 220: 283-289.
- [29] Silverman H, Silvia EM. Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions. New Zeal J Math 1999; 28: 275-284.
- [30] Sun Y, Jiang YP, Rasila A. On a subclass of close-to-convex harmonic mappings. Complex Var Elliptic 2016; 61: 1627-1643.
- [31] Wang ZG, Liu ZH, Rasila A, Sun Y. On a problem of Bharanedhar and Ponnusamy involving planar harmonic mappings. Rocky Mt J Math 2018; 48: 1345-1358.
- [32] Zhu M, Huang X. The distortion theorems for harmonic mappings with analytic parts convex or starlike functions of order β . Journal of Mathematics 2015; 2015: 460191.