

A new comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-close-to-convex functions

Serap BULUT*

Faculty of Aviation and Space Sciences, Kocaeli University, Kocaeli, Turkey

Received: 05.02.2019

Accepted/Published Online: 26.03.2019

Final Version: 29.05.2019

Abstract: In a very recent work, Şeker and Sümer Eker [On subclasses of bi-close-to-convex functions related to the odd-starlike functions. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics* 2017; 6: 215-221] defined two subclasses of analytic bi-close-to-convex functions related to the odd-starlike functions in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} . The main purpose of this paper is to generalize and improve the results of Şeker and Sümer Eker (in the aforementioned study) defining a comprehensive subclass of bi-close-to-convex functions. Also, we investigate the Fekete-Szegö type coefficient bounds for functions belonging to this new class.

Key words: Analytic and univalent functions, bi-univalent functions, close-to-convex functions, starlike functions, subordination principle, Fekete-Szegö problem

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the family of analytic functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Also let $\mathcal{S} := \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f \text{ is univalent in } \mathbb{U}\}$.

The family of *starlike functions of order* β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) shall be denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ and is defined by the conditions that $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\Re \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It is well known that

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be *close-to-convex* if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}^*$ such that the inequality

$$\Re \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

holds. We will denote the class which consists of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that are close-to-convex by \mathcal{K} .

*Correspondence: serap.bulut@kocaeli.edu.tr

2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C45, 30C50; Secondary 30C80

We have well-known inclusion relations:

$$\mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

Gao and Zhou [2] introduced the subclass \mathcal{K}_s of close-to-convex analytic functions as follows:

Definition 1 [2] Let the function f be analytic in \mathbb{U} and normalized by the condition (1.1). We say that $f \in \mathcal{K}_s$ if there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$ such that

$$\Re \left(\frac{z^2 f'(z)}{-g(z)g(-z)} \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

In recent years, the subclasses of close-to-convex functions are studied by several authors (see, for example, [3, 5, 11, 13? –15]). Motivated by this works, Goyal and Singh [4] defined the general subclass of close-to-convex functions by using the principle of subordination (see [8]) as follows:

Definition 2 [4] For a function φ with positive real part, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\frac{z^2 f'(z) + (\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) z^3 f''(z) + \lambda\mu z^4 f'''(z)}{-g(z)g(-z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$.

Remark 1 (i) For $\mu = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), we get the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\lambda, A, B)$ studied by Wang and Chen [13].

(ii) For $\mu = \lambda = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+\beta z}{1-\alpha\beta z}$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1$), we get the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\alpha, \beta)$ studied by Wang et al. [15].

(iii) For $\mu = \lambda = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z}$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1$), we get the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\beta)$ studied by Kowalczyk and Leś-Bomba [5].

(iv) For $\mu = \lambda = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, we get the class \mathcal{K}_s defined in the Definition 1.

Theorem 1.1 [1] (Koebe One-Quarter Theorem) The range of every function of class \mathcal{S} contains the disk of radius $\{w : |w| < \frac{1}{4}\}$.

Thus, by Theorem 1.1, every function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has an inverse f^{-1} defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad \text{and} \quad f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

For the inverse function $F = f^{-1}$, we have:

$$F(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 3 [7] If both the function f and its inverse function f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{U} , then the function f is called bi-univalent. We will denote the class which consists of functions f that are bi-univalent by Σ .

In a recent paper, Şeker and Sümer Eker [12] defined new subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ given in Definitions 4 and 5 as follows:

Definition 4 (see [12]) A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^s(\alpha)$ if there exists a function

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2), \quad G(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n w^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$$

and the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \arg \left(\frac{-z^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(-z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{-w^2 F'(w)}{G(w)G(-w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1, w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where the function $F = f^{-1}$ is defined by (1.3).

Theorem 1.2 (see [12]) Let the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^s(\alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$), then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha(1+2\alpha)}{2+\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{\alpha(3\alpha+2)+1}{3}.$$

Definition 5 (see [12]) A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^s(\beta)$ if there exists a function

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2), \quad G(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n w^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$$

and the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \Re \left(\frac{-z^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(-z)} \right) > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$\Re \left(\frac{-w^2 F'(w)}{G(w)G(-w)} \right) > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1, w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where the function $F = f^{-1}$ is defined by (1.3).

Theorem 1.3 (see [12]) Let the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^s(\beta)$ ($0 \leq \beta < 1$), then

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{3-2\beta}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{(1-\beta)(5-3\beta)+1}{3}.$$

Now we introduce the a new comprehensive subclass of \mathcal{A} which includes Definitions 4 and 5.

Definition 6 For $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and a function φ with positive real part, a function $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$ if there exist the functions

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2), \quad G(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n w^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$$

and the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{z^2 f'(z) + (\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) z^3 f''(z) + \lambda\mu z^4 f'''(z)}{-g(z)g(-z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\frac{w^2 F'(w) + (\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) w^3 F''(w) + \lambda\mu w^4 F'''(w)}{-G(w)G(-w)} \prec \varphi(w) \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{1.5}$$

where the function $F = f^{-1}$ is defined by (1.3).

Remark 2 (i) For $\mu = 0$, we have a new class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\lambda, \varphi)$ of bi-close-to-convex functions satisfying the conditions

$$\frac{z^2 f'(z) + \lambda z^3 f''(z)}{-g(z)g(-z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$\frac{w^2 F'(w) + \lambda w^3 F''(w)}{-G(w)G(-w)} \prec \varphi(w) \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}).$$

(ii) For $\mu = \lambda = 0$, we have a new class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\varphi)$ of bi-close-to-convex functions satisfying the conditions

$$\frac{z^2 f'(z)}{-g(z)g(-z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$\frac{w^2 F'(w)}{-G(w)G(-w)} \prec \varphi(w) \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}).$$

(iii) In addition to the conditions given in (ii), if we set

$$\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\alpha \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1)$$

or

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1),$$

then we have the classes $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}^s(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}^s(\beta)$ defined in Definitions 4 and 5, respectively.

In the light of the work of Şeker and Sümer Eker [12], we obtain initial coefficient estimates for functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1.1) belonging to the bi-close-to-convex function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$ introduced in Definition 6 above. We obtain the improvements of results of Şeker and Sümer Eker [12] given in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 as a result of our main theorem (Theorem 2.1). Also, we find Fekete-Szegö type coefficient bounds for the bi-close-to-convex functions $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$. The following lemmas will be required for proving our main results.

Lemma 1.4 [2] If $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$, then

$$\psi(z) = \frac{-g(z)g(-z)}{z} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{2n-1} z^{2n-1} \in \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{S}, \tag{1.6}$$

where the coefficients of the odd-starlike function ψ satisfy the condition

$$|B_{2n-1}| = \left| 2b_{2n-1} - 2b_2 b_{2n-2} + \dots + 2(-1)^n b_{n-1} b_{n+1} + (-1)^{n+1} b_n^2 \right| \leq 1 \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Lemma 1.5 [9] Let the function h given by

$$h(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

be holomorphic in \mathbb{U} and the function q given by

$$q(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

be convex in \mathbb{U} . If

$$h(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

then

$$|h_k| \leq |q_1| \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Lemma 1.6 [17] Let $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. If $|z_1| < R$ and $|z_2| < R$, then

$$|(k+l)z_1 + (k-l)z_2| \leq \begin{cases} 2R|k| & , \quad |k| \geq |l| \\ 2R|l| & \quad |k| \leq |l| \end{cases}.$$

2. Initial coefficient estimates

Throughout this paper, we assume that $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and φ be a function with positive real part.

Theorem 2.1 If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|\varphi'(0)|}{2(1 + \lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu)}, \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]}} \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof Firstly, we will re-arrange the relations in (1.4) and (1.5) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= \frac{z^2 f'(z) + (\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) z^3 f''(z) + \lambda\mu z^4 f'''(z)}{-g(z)g(-z)} \\ &= \frac{z f'(z) + (\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) z^2 f''(z) + \lambda\mu z^3 f'''(z)}{\frac{-g(z)g(-z)}{z}} \\ &= \frac{z f'(z) + (\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) z^2 f''(z) + \lambda\mu z^3 f'''(z)}{\psi(z)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(w) &= \frac{w^2 F'(w) + (\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) w^3 F''(w) + \lambda\mu w^4 F'''(w)}{-G(w)G(-w)} \\ &= \frac{w F'(w) + (\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) w^2 F''(w) + \lambda\mu w^3 F'''(w)}{\frac{-G(w)G(-w)}{w}} \\ &= \frac{w F'(w) + (\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) w^2 F''(w) + \lambda\mu w^3 F'''(w)}{\Omega(w)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\prec \varphi(w) \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{2.6}$$

respectively, where

$$\psi(z) := \frac{-g(z)g(-z)}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(w) := \frac{-G(w)G(-w)}{w}.$$

Here h and p are two functions with positive real part defined by

$$h(z) := 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + \dots$$

and

$$p(w) := 1 + p_1 w + p_2 w^2 + \dots,$$

respectively. The relations (2.4) and (2.6) imply by Lemma 1.5 that for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$|h_k| \leq |\varphi'(0)| \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$|p_k| \leq |\varphi'(0)|. \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 1.4, we have following equations:

$$\psi(z) = \frac{-g(z)g(-z)}{z} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{2n-1} z^{2n-1} \in \mathcal{S}^* \quad \text{and} \quad |B_{2n-1}| \leq 1, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\Omega(w) = \frac{-G(w)G(-w)}{w} = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_{2n-1} w^{2n-1} \in \mathcal{S}^* \quad \text{and} \quad |C_{2n-1}| \leq 1. \tag{2.10}$$

Now, upon equating the coefficients in (2.3) and (2.5), we obtain

$$2(1 + \lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) a_2 = h_1 \tag{2.11}$$

$$3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu] a_3 - B_3 = h_2 \tag{2.12}$$

$$-2(1 + \lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) a_2 = p_1 \tag{2.13}$$

$$3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu] (2a_2^2 - a_3) - C_3 = p_2. \tag{2.14}$$

From (2.11) and (2.13), we get

$$h_1 = -p_1$$

and

$$8(1 + \lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu)^2 a_2^2 = h_1^2 + p_1^2. \tag{2.15}$$

We thus find (by (2.7) – (2.10)) that

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\varphi'(0)|}{2(1 + \lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu)}. \tag{2.16}$$

Furthermore, from the equalities (2.12) and (2.14), we find

$$6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu] a_2^2 - B_3 - C_3 = h_2 + p_2. \tag{2.17}$$

Consequently (by (2.7) – (2.10)), we have

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]}}. \tag{2.18}$$

Hence, we get the desired result on the coefficient a_2 as asserted in (2.1) from the inequalities (2.16) and (2.18).

Now, in order to obtain the bound on the coefficient a_3 , we subtract (2.14) from (2.12). We thus get

$$3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu] (2a_3 - 2a_2^2) - B_3 + C_3 = h_2 - p_2$$

or

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{h_2 - p_2 + B_3 - C_3}{6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]}. \tag{2.19}$$

Upon substituting the value of a_2^2 from (2.15) into (2.19), it follows that

$$a_3 = \frac{h_1^2 + p_1^2}{8(1 + \lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu)^2} + \frac{h_2 - p_2 + B_3 - C_3}{6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]}.$$

We thus find (by (2.7) – (2.10)) that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\varphi'(0)|^2}{4(1 + \lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu)^2} + \frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]}. \tag{2.20}$$

On the other hand, upon substituting the value of a_2^2 from (2.17) into (2.19), it follows that

$$a_3 = \frac{h_2 + p_2 + B_3 + C_3}{6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]} + \frac{h_2 - p_2 + B_3 - C_3}{6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]} = \frac{h_2 + B_3}{3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]}.$$

Consequently (by (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10)), we have

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]}. \tag{2.21}$$

Combining (2.20) and (2.21), we get the desired result on the coefficient a_3 as asserted in (2.2). □

Letting $\mu = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 2.2 *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\lambda, \varphi)$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|\varphi'(0)|}{2(1 + \lambda)}, \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3(1 + 2\lambda)}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3(1 + 2\lambda)}.$$

Letting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 2.2, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 2.3 *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\varphi)$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{|\varphi'(0)|}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3}.$$

Setting

$$\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\alpha \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1)$$

in Corollary 2.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.4 *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^s(\alpha)$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{1 + 2\alpha}{3}.$$

Remark 3 *Note that Corollary 2.4 is an improvement of the Theorem 1.2.*

Setting

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

in Corollary 2.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.5 *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^s(\beta)$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq 1 - \beta \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{3 - 2\beta}{3}.$$

Remark 4 *Note that Corollary 2.5 is an improvement of the Theorem 1.3.*

3. Fekete-Szegő problem

Theorem 3.1 *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$, then, for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]} \begin{cases} |1 - \delta| & , \quad \delta \in (-\infty, 0] \cup [2, \infty) \\ 1 & , \quad \delta \in [0, 2] \end{cases}.$$

Proof By using the equality (2.19) in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \delta a_2^2 &= a_2^2 + \frac{h_2 - p_2 + B_3 - C_3}{6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]} - \delta a_2^2 \\ &= (1 - \delta) a_2^2 + \frac{h_2 - p_2 + B_3 - C_3}{6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]}. \end{aligned}$$

Upon substituting the value of a_2^2 from (2.17) into the above equality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \delta a_2^2 &= (1 - \delta) \frac{h_2 + p_2 + B_3 + C_3}{6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]} + \frac{h_2 - p_2 + B_3 - C_3}{6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]} \\ &= \frac{1}{6[1 + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 6\lambda\mu]} [(2 - \delta)(h_2 + B_3) - \delta(p_2 + C_3)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 1.6, we get desired estimate. □

Letting $\mu = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 3.2 *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\lambda, \varphi)$, then, for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3(1 + 2\lambda)} \begin{cases} |1 - \delta| & , \quad \delta \in (-\infty, 0] \cup [2, \infty) \\ 1 & , \quad \delta \in [0, 2] \end{cases}.$$

Letting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 3.2, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 3.3 *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma_s}(\varphi)$, then, for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \frac{1 + |\varphi'(0)|}{3} \begin{cases} |1 - \delta| & , \quad \delta \in (-\infty, 0] \cup [2, \infty) \\ 1 & , \quad \delta \in [0, 2] \end{cases}.$$

Setting

$$\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1)$$

in Corollary 3.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.4 *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^s(\alpha)$, then, for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \frac{1+2\alpha}{3} \begin{cases} |1-\delta| & , \delta \in (-\infty, 0] \cup [2, \infty) \\ 1 & , \delta \in [0, 2] \end{cases} .$$

Setting

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

in Corollary 3.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.5 *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^s(\beta)$, then, for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \frac{3-2\beta}{3} \begin{cases} |1-\delta| & , \delta \in (-\infty, 0] \cup [2, \infty) \\ 1 & , \delta \in [0, 2] \end{cases} .$$

References

- [1] Duren PL. Univalent Functions. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 259. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1983.
- [2] Gao CY, Zhou SQ. On a class of analytic functions related to the starlike functions. Kyungpook Mathematical Journal 2005; 45: 123-130.
- [3] Goswami P, Bulut S, Bulboaca T. Certain properties of a new subclass of close-to-convex functions. Arabian Journal of Mathematics 2012; 1: 309-317.
- [4] Goyal SP, Singh O. Certain subclasses of close-to-convex functions. Vietnam Journal of Mathematics 2014; 42: 53-62.
- [5] Kowalczyk J, Leś-Bomba E. On a subclass of close-to-convex functions. Applied Mathematics Letters 2010; 23: 1147-1151.
- [6] Lewandowski Z, Miller SS, Złotkiewicz E. Generating functions for some classes of univalent functions. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 1976; 56: 111-117.
- [7] Lewin M. On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 1967; 18: 63-68.
- [8] Miller SS, Mocanu PT. Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker Inc., 2000.
- [9] Rogosinski W. On the coefficients of subordinate functions. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society (Ser. 2) 1943; 48: 48-82.
- [10] Srivastava HM, Mishra AK, Gochhayat P. Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. Applied Mathematics Letters 2010; 23: 1188-1192.
- [11] Şeker B. On certain new subclass of close-to-convex functions. Applied Mathematics and Computation 2011; 218: 1041-1045.
- [12] Şeker B, Sümer Eker S. On subclasses of bi-close-to-convex functions related to the odd-starlike functions. Palestine Journal of Mathematics 2017; 6: 215-221.
- [13] Wang ZG, Chen DZ. On a subclass of close-to-convex functions. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 2009; 38: 95-101.

- [14] Wang ZG, Gao CY, Yuan SM. On certain new subclass of close-to-convex functions. *Matematički Vesnik* 2006; 58: 171-177.
- [15] Wang ZG, Gao CY, Yuan SM. On certain subclass of close-to-convex functions. *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyháziensis (N.S.)* 2006; 22: 171-177.
- [16] Xu QH, Srivastva HM, Li Z. On certain subclass of analytic and close-to-convex functions. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2011; 24: 396-401.
- [17] Zaprawa P. Estimates of initial coefficients for bi-univalent functions. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 2014; Art. ID 357480, 6 pp.