

A blow-up result for nonlocal thin-film equation with positive initial energy

Mustafa POLAT* 

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Yeditepe University, İstanbul, Turkey

Received: 06.06.2018

Accepted/Published Online: 07.05.2019

Final Version: 29.05.2019

Abstract: In this note, we consider a thin-film equation including a diffusion term, a fourth order term and a nonlocal source term under the periodic boundary conditions. In particular, a finite time blow-up result is established for the case of positive initial energy provided that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{a^2} \leq \frac{2}{p-1},$$

where a is the length of the interval and $p > 1$ is the power of nonlinear force term. Also upper and lower blow-up times are estimated.

Key words: Nonlinear thin film equation, positive initial energy, blow up, periodic boundary condition, Non-local source term

1. Introduction

In this note we consider the following initial and periodic boundary value problem :

$$u_t - u_{xx} + u_{xxxx} = |u|^{p-1}u - \frac{1}{a} \int_0^a |u|^{p-1}u dx, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, t) = u(x + a, t), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } t > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $p > 1, u_0 \in \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)$, $\Omega = (0, a)$ and $\int_0^a u_0(x)dx = 0$ with $u_0 \not\equiv 0$. The novelty in the problem above is the existence of the diffusion term and the periodic boundary conditions which are natural boundary conditions for this type models [9].

The following general fourth-order reaction diffusion equation

$$u_t + A_1 \Delta u + A_2 \Delta^2 u + A_3 \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^2 \nabla u) + A_4 \Delta |\nabla u|^2 = g(x, t) + \eta(x, t), \quad (1.4)$$

arises in theories such as the thin film theory, lubrication theory, phase transitions etc. (see [12]). In (1.4), $u(x, t)$ and $A_1 \Delta u$ denote the height of a film in epitaxial growth and the diffusion due to evaporation condensation, respectively. The terms $A_2 \Delta^2 u$ and $A_3 \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^2 \nabla u)$ are the capilarity-driven surface diffusion and the hopping

*Correspondence: mpolat@yeditepe.edu.tr

of atoms, respectively. The term $A_4\Delta|\nabla u|^2$ describes motion of an atom to a neighbouring kink. The functions $g(x, t)$ and $\eta(x, t)$ represent the mean deposition flux, and some Gaussian noise, respectively. For a detailed description of this model we refer the readers to [9].

In [12], Qu and Zhou considered 1D form of the equation in (1.4) and derived a threshold result of global existence and nonexistence of solutions when $A_1 = A_3 = A_4 = 0$. In this work, the flux term is the nonlocal-source term

$$g(x, t) = |u|^{p-1}v - \frac{1}{a} \int_0^a |u|^{p-1}u$$

and boundary conditions are

$$u_x(0, t) = u_x(a, t) = 0, \quad u_{xxx}(0, t) = u_{xxx}(a, t) = 0.$$

In [7], using potential well theory, Zhou established a blow-up result for the same problem in [12] assuming that the initial energy is positive. Also, he derived an upper bound for the blow-up time. Existence of blow up solutions is a long standing topic in the study of nonlinear models of partial differential equations. Interested readers may refer to some or all of the references [1, 3–6, 8, 9, 13, 14]. In this work, using the potential well method the existence of finite time blow up solutions will be studied under the assumption $0 < J(u_0) < E_m$ and $0 < I(u_0)$ where

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u_{xx}\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$I(u) = \|u_x\|^2 + \|u_{xx}\|^2 - \|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}, \quad (1.6)$$

and E_m is the potential well depth given below in (1.8). The existence of blow-up solutions and lower bounds for their blow-up times will be estimated. By the zero average of initial function from (1.1), we obtain that $\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^a u \, dx = 0$.

Now we present some notations and mathematical tools which we shall need:

Let us denote the $L^2(\Omega)$ -inner product and the $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm by $(u, v) = \int_0^a u(x)v(x) \, dx$ by $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. Let $\dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in H_{per}^2(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} u \, dx = 0 \right\}$. The pair $(\dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)})$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product and the norm $(u, v)_{\dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)} = \int_0^a u_x v_x \, dx + \int_0^a u_{xx} v_{xx} \, dx$, $\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)}^2 := \|u_x\|^2 + \|u_{xx}\|^2$, respectively.

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, the inclusion $\dot{H}_{per}^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ is continuous, so there exists an optimal embedding constant B such that:

$$\|u\|_{p+1} \leq B\|u_x\|. \quad (1.7)$$

In the rest of this text, we shall use B as the optimal embedding constant. Define the function

$$g(\alpha) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2} \right) \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} (B\alpha)^{p+1}.$$

It is obvious that $g(\alpha)$ has a critical point at

$$\alpha_1 = \left[\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} B^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}},$$

and attains its maximum value at this point as

$$E_m := \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left[\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2} \right]^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} B^{-\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}} = \left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \alpha_1^2, \quad (1.8)$$

because $g(\alpha)$ is increasing on $(0, \alpha_1)$ and is decreasing on (α_1, ∞) with $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} g(\alpha) = -\infty$.

The rest of this note is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to a local existence result and a regularity theorem. In Section 3 a blow-up result is established. In Section 4 a lower blow-up time is estimated.

2. Local Existence

Definition 2.1 A function $u(x, t)$ is called a weak solution of (1.1) if

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T, \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)) \text{ and } u_t \in L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega))$$

and satisfies

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega \left[u_t \phi + u_x \phi_x + u_{xx} \phi_{xx} - \left(|u|^{p-1} u - \int_\Omega |u|^{p-1} u \right) \phi \right] dx ds = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $\phi \in \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)$.

Now we give the following existence result for weak solutions and its proof:

Theorem 2.2 Assume that $p > 1$, $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)$, and $I(u_0) > 0$ then the problems (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique local solution $u(x, t)$ with $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega))$ and $u' \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$.

Proof Let $\{\omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the set of eigenfunctions of the problem

$$-u_{xx} = \lambda u, \quad u(x+a) = u(x).$$

The eigenvalues of this has the property $\lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$. The eigenfunctions are orthogonal in the spaces $\dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)$, $\dot{H}_{per}^1(\Omega)$, and $L^2(\Omega)$. We normalize the eigenfunctions in $L^2(\Omega)$: $(\omega_i, \omega_j) = \delta_{ij}$.

We proceed by constructing approximate solutions $u_m := \sum_{i=1}^m g_{im}(t) \omega_i(x)$

satisfying

$$(\dot{u}_m, \omega_j) + (u_{mxx}, \omega_{jxx}) + (u_{mx}, \omega_{jx}) = (f(u_m), \omega_j), \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$u_m(x, 0) = u_{0m}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m (u_0, \omega_i) \omega_i, \quad (2.3)$$

where $f(u_m) = |u_m|^{p-1}u_m - \frac{1}{a} \int_0^a |u_m|^{p-1}u_m dx$. The problem (2.2)–(2.3) is equivalent to the following initial value problem of a system of first order ordinary differential equations for $\{g_{jm}(t)\}_{j=1}^m$:

$$g'_{jm}(t) = (\lambda_j^2 + \lambda_j)g_{jm}(t) + f_{jm}(t), \quad g_{jm}(0) = (u_0, w_j). \tag{2.4}$$

For $p > 1$, the function $f_{jm}(t)$ is a continuously differentiable function of g_{jm} . Thus, the problem (2.4) has a unique local solution $g_{jm}(t)$ on $[0, T_1]$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Now we multiply (2.2) by $g'_{jm}(t)$ and sum from 1 to m

$$\|u'_m(t)\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|u_{mxx}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_{mx}\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} |u_m|_{p+1}^{p+1} \right] = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Integrating from 0 to t we obtain

$$\int_0^t \|u'_m(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + J(u_m) = J(u_{0m}). \tag{2.6}$$

By the convergence of $u_m(x, 0) \rightarrow u_0(x)$ in $\dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)$, we get $J(u_m(x, 0)) \rightarrow J(u_0) < d$. Then for sufficiently large m , we have

$$\int_0^t \|u'_m(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + J(u_m) < d$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_1$. By the assumption $I(u_0) > 0$, $I(u_m(t))$ is positive on some interval $[0, T_2]$. Let T be the minimum of T_1 and T_2 . By

$$J(u_m) = \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} (\|u_{mxx}\|^2 + \|m_{mx}\|^2) + \frac{1}{p+1} I(u_m),$$

for sufficiently large m and any $t \in [0, T]$, we obtain

$$\int_0^t \|u'_m(\tau)\|^2 d\tau + \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \|u_{mxx}\|^2 < d.$$

Hence, we obtain the following a priori estimates

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^t \|u'_m(\tau)\|^2 d\tau < d, & \text{for } t \in [0, T], \\ \sup_{[0, T]} \|u'_m(t)\|^2 < d, \\ \|u_{mxx}\|^2 < \left(\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}d\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } t \in [0, T], \\ \|u_m\|_{p+1}^p \leq B^p \|u_{mxx}\|^p < B^p \left(\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}d\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}, & \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{u_m\}$ has a subsequence, which is denoted by itself has the following convergence properties:

$$(*) \begin{cases} u'_m \xrightarrow{w} u', & \text{in } L^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \\ u'_m \xrightarrow{w^*} u', & \text{in } L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \\ u_m \xrightarrow{w^*} u, & \text{in } L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)), \\ u_m \xrightarrow{st} u, & \text{in } C([0, T]; \dot{H}_{per}^1(\Omega)), \\ |u_m|^{p-1}u_m \xrightarrow{w^*} |u|^{p-1}u, & \text{in } L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \end{cases}$$

Hence, the problem admits a unique local weak solution on $[0, T]$. □

Now we adapt the following regularity theorem for the smoothness of weak solutions from [2](Chapter 6.3, Theorem 4):

Theorem 2.3 Suppose $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is C^2 and $u \in \dot{H}_{per}^1(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the elliptic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -u_{xx} = f & \text{in } (0, a) \\ u(x) = u(x+a) \end{cases}$$

Then $u \in \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\|f\|^2 + \|u\|_{\dot{H}_{per}^1(\Omega)}^2)$, where C depends on Ω .

Theorem 2.4 Let $u_0 \in \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)$, $f \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega))$ be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.3). Then $u \in \dot{H}_{per}^4(\Omega)$.

Proof For a.e t we have the identity

$$(u', v) + (u_{xxxx}, v) - (u_{xx}, v) = (f, v) \quad \text{for each } v \in \dot{H}_{per}^2(\Omega).$$

We rewrite $(u_{xxxx}, v) = (h, v)$ for $h = f + u_{xx} - u'$ for a.e. t in $[0, T]$. By (*) $h \in L^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ and hence $u \in \dot{H}_{per}^4(\Omega)$ follows from the previous theorem. \square

3. main result

For the establishment of blow-up solution and an upper bound for the blow-up time we have the following result:

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $0 < J(u_0) < E_m$ and $\|u_{0x}\| > \alpha_1$, then the solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.1)-(1.3) blows up at a finite time

$$T_* \leq T_{max} = \frac{2(\|u_0\|^2 + \|u_{0x}\|^2)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}}{C(p+1)},$$

where $C = C_1/C_2$ with

$$C_1 = \frac{p-1}{p+1} [1 - (\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2})^{p+1}] \text{ and } C_2 = (2^{-\frac{p+1}{2}})(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}})$$

and T_{max} is the an upper bound for the blow up time.

First we introduce the following lemmata which are analogous to the ones in [7] and are necessary for the proof of this theorem.

Lemma 3.2 The potential energy functional $J(u)(t)$ given in (1.5) is nonincreasing in t because of $J'(u(t)) = -\|u_t\|^2 \leq 0$ and

$$J(u) = J(u_0) - \int_0^t \|u_s\|^2 ds.$$

This lemma is a corollary of Theorem 2.2. However, we shall include the proof of the following lemma because its use differs slightly in our case:

Lemma 3.3 *Assume that the axioms of Theorem 3.1 hold. Then there exists a positive constant $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ such that*

$$\|u_x(\cdot, t)\| \geq \alpha_2, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\|u_x(\cdot, t)\|_{p+1} \geq B\alpha_2, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof Let $\alpha = \|u_x\|$. Using the Wirtinger's inequality and Sobolev imbedding theorem we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u_x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u_{xx}\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2}\right)\|u_x\|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}(B\|u\|)_{p+1}^{p+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2}\right)\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{p+1}(B\alpha)^{p+1} \\ &=: g(\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Since $J(u_0) < E_m$, there exists $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1 > 0$ such that $J(u_0) = g(\alpha_2)$. Let $\alpha_0 = \|u_{0x}\| > \alpha_1$. By (3.3), we have $g(\alpha_0) \leq J(u_0) = g(\alpha_2)$. Since $\alpha_0, \alpha_2 \geq \alpha_1$, we obtain $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_2$. Hence, (3.1) is true for $t = 0$.

To prove that (3.1) is true for $t > 0$ we assume that (3.1) is not true for some t_0 . Using the continuity of $\|u_x(\cdot, t)\|$, which follows from (*), and $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ we may choose t_0 so that $\alpha_1 < \|u_x(\cdot, t_0)\| < \alpha_2$. Then from (3.3) it follows that

$$J(u_0) = g(\alpha_2) < g(\|u_x(\cdot, t_0)\|) \leq J(u)(t_0)$$

which contradicts the fact that $J(u)(t)$ is nonincreasing.

From Lemma 3.2 it follows that $J(u_0) \geq J(u)$. When this is combined with (3.3) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u_x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u_{xx}\|^2 - J(u_0) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2}\right)\alpha_2^2 - J(u_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2}\right)\alpha_2^2 - g(\alpha_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{p+1}(B\alpha_2)^{p+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Hence, (3.2) follows. □

Lemma 3.4 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.4 we have*

$$\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \geq \left[(p+1) \left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{2a^2} - \frac{J(u_0)}{\alpha_1^2} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} > 1 + \frac{\pi^2}{a^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof Let $\beta = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} > 1$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_0) &= g(\alpha_2) = g(\alpha_1\beta) = (\alpha_1\beta)^2 \left[\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2} - \frac{1}{p+1} B^{p+1} (\beta\alpha_1)^{p-1} \right] \\ &= (\alpha_1\beta)^2 \left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{2a^2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \beta^{p-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Dividing both sides the previous equality by $(\alpha_1\beta)^2$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{2a^2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \beta^{p-1} \right) = \frac{J(u_0)^2}{(\beta\alpha_1)^2} < \frac{J(u_0)}{\alpha_1^2}.$$

By this inequality, we have

$$(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left[\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{2a^2} - \frac{J(u_0)}{\alpha_1^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq \beta = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}.$$

Since $J(u_0) < E_m = \left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \alpha_1^2$,

$$\frac{J(u_0)}{\alpha_1^2} \leq \frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{2a^2} \frac{p-1}{p+1}.$$

So

$$(p+1) \left[\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{2a^2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p+1} \right) = \frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2}.$$

□

Lemma 3.5 Let $H(u) = E_m - J(u)$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 the functions $H(u)$ enjoys the property

$$0 < H(u_0) \leq H(u) \leq \frac{1}{p+1} \|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}, \quad (3.7)$$

provided that $\frac{\pi^2}{a^2} \leq \frac{2}{p-1}$.

Proof Since $J(u)$ is nonincreasing in t , $H(u)(t)$ is nondecreasing in t . By the assumption $J(u_0) < E_m$, we have

$$0 < E_m - J(u_0) = H(u_0) \leq H(u). \quad (3.8)$$

Now, for $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ and by the help of (3.1), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(u) &= E_m - \frac{1}{2}\|u_x\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u_{xx}\|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
 &\leq E_m - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2}\right)\|u_x\|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
 &\leq E_m - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
 &= \left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2}\right)\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}\alpha_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
 &= \left(\left(\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2}\right)\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\alpha_1^2 + \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Since $\frac{\pi^2}{a^2} \leq \frac{2}{p-1}$, the inequality (3.7) follows. \square

Now we can prove our main result:

Proof Define $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a u^2 dx$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi'(t) &= -\|u_x\|^2 - \|u_{xx}\|^2 + \|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
 &= -2J(u) - \frac{2}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} + \|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} \\
 &= 2H(u) - 2E_m + \frac{p-1}{p+1}\|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Now, using

$$E_m := \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left[\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2} \right]^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} B^{-\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}}$$

and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2E_m &= \frac{p-1}{p+1} \left[\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2} \right]^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} B^{-2\frac{p+1}{p-1}} = \frac{p-1}{p+1} \left[\frac{a^2 + \pi^2}{a^2} \right]^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} (BB^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}})^{p+1} \\
 &= \frac{p-1}{p+1} (B\alpha_1)^{p+1} = \frac{p-1}{p+1} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right)^{p+1} (B\alpha_2)^{p+1} \\
 &\leq \frac{p-1}{p+1} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right)^{p+1} \|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\phi'(t) \geq C_1 \|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1} + 2H(u), \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{p-1}{p+1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right)^{p+1} \right],$$

is a positive number. On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t) \geq C_2 \|u\|_{p+1}^{p+1}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $C_2 = (2^{-\frac{p+1}{2}})(a^{\frac{p-1}{2}})$. Combining (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\phi'(t) \geq C \phi^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(t),$$

where $C = C_1/C_2$, and

$$\phi(t) \geq \left(\phi^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}(0) - \frac{p-1}{2} C t \right)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}, \quad (3.14)$$

with $\phi(0) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|^2$. Let

$$T_{max} := \frac{2^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}{C(p-1)} \|u_0\|^{-(p-1)}. \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, $\phi(t)$ blows up at some finite time $T_* \leq T_{max}$. By (3.15) and (3.5), we easily estimate T_* as

$$T_* \leq T_{max} = \frac{2^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \|u_0\|^{-(p-1)}}{C(p-1)} = \frac{a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \|u_0\|^{-(p-1)} (p+1)}{(p-1)^2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \right)^{p+1} \right)}. \quad (3.16)$$

□

4. A lower blow-up time

In this section by adapting a result of Phillipin[10] we will obtain a lower blow-up time estimate. Our goal is to show the existence of a time interval $(0, T_0)$ in which $\|u\|_{H_{per}^2(\Omega)}^2$ remains bounded. Here is our result:

Theorem 4.1 *Let $u(x, t)$ be a solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3). Assume that the constant $p > 1$. Then*

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^a (u_{xx})^2 dx,$$

remains bounded for $t \in (0, T_{min})$ such that

$$T_{min} = \frac{1}{\phi^{p-1}(0)(p-1)\gamma}, \quad (4.1)$$

where γ is the best optimal constant of the Kondrachov inequality.

In the proof of this theorem we will use $u_{xxx}, u_{xxxx} \in^2(0, a)$ due to Theorem 2.4.

Proof Differentiating $\phi(t)$, we obtain

$$\phi'(t) = 2 \int_0^a u_{xx} u_{xxt} dx = \int_0^a u_t u_{xxxx} dx.$$

Plugging $u_t = u_{xx} - u_{xxxx} + |u|^{p-1}u - \frac{1}{a} \int_0^a |u|^{p-1}u dx$ into above equality and using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\phi'(t) = -\|u_{xxx}\|^2 - \|u_{xxxx}\|^2 + \int_0^a u|u|^{p-1}u_{xxxx} dx. \quad (4.2)$$

Applying the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality to the last term above, we obtain

$$\int_0^a u|u|^{p-1}u_{xxxx} dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^a |u|^{2p} dx + \int_0^a (u_{xx})^2 dx. \quad (4.3)$$

Thus, we have

$$\phi'(t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^a |u|^{2p} dx.$$

Thanks to Kondrachov inequality $\int_0^a |u|^{2p} dx \leq \gamma \|u_{xx}\|^{2p}$, for $p > 1$. Thus,

$$\phi'(t) \leq \gamma(\phi(t))^p, \quad p > 1.$$

Solving the previous inequality we obtain:

$$\phi^{1-p}(t) \geq \phi^{1-p}(0) - (p-1)\gamma t. \quad (4.4)$$

Hence, (4.1) follows from (4.4). \square

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the reviewer for helpful comments that served to improve the paper.

References

- [1] Cao Y, Liu CH. Global existence and non-extinction of solutions to a fourth-order parabolic equation. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2016; 61: 20-25.
- [2] Evans LC. *Partial Differential Equations*. Providence, RI; USA: American Mathematical Society, 1998.
- [3] Gao W, Han Y. Blow-up of non-local semilinear parabolic equation with positive initial energy. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2011; 24 (5): 784-788.
- [4] Hu B. *Blow-up Theories for Semilinear Parabolic Equations*. Berlin Heidelberg; Germany: Springer, 2011.
- [5] Khelghati A, Baghaei K. Blow-up phenomena for a non-local semilinear parabolic equation with positive initial energy. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 2015; 70: 896-902.
- [6] Jazar M, Kiwan R. Blow-up non-local semilinear parabolic equation with Neumann boundary conditions. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Analysis* 2008; 25: 215-218.
- [7] Jun Zhou, Blow-up for a thin-film equation with positive energy. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2017; 446: 1133-113

- [8] Levine HA. Some nonexistence and instability theorems for solutions of formally parabolic equations of the form $Pu_t = Au + F(u)$. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 1973; 51: 371-386.
- [9] Ortiz M, Repetto EA, Si H. A continuum model of kinetic roughening and coarsening in thin films. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 1999; 47: 697-730.
- [10] Philippin GA. Blow-up phenomena for a class of fourth- order parabolic problems. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Societ* 2015; 143 (6): 2507-2513.
- [11] Qu C, Zhou W, Zheng S. Blow-up versus extinction in a non-local p-Laplacian equation with Neumann boundary conditions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2014; 412 (1): 326-333.
- [12] Qu C, Zhou W. Blow-up and extinction for a thin-film equation with the initial boundary value conditions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2016; 426: 796-809.
- [13] Samarski AA. *Blow-up in Quasilinear Parabolic Equations*. Berlin, Germany: Walter de Gruyter, 1995.
- [14] Vitillaro E. Global existence theorems for a class of equations with dissipation. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 1999; 149 (2): 155-182.