


Minimal free resolutions of the tangent cones for Gorenstein monomial curves

Pınar METE* , Esra Emine ZENGİN

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Balıkesir University, Balıkesir, Turkey

Received: 05.03.2019

Accepted/Published Online: 25.09.2019

Final Version: 22.11.2019

Abstract: We study the minimal free resolution of the tangent cone of Gorenstein monomial curves in affine 4-space. We give the explicit minimal free resolution of the tangent cone of noncomplete intersection Gorenstein monomial curve whose tangent cone has five minimal generators and show that the possible Betti sequences are $(1, 5, 6, 2)$ and $(1, 5, 5, 1)$. Moreover, we compute the Hilbert function of the tangent cone of these families as a result.

Key words: Gorenstein monomial curves, tangent cones, minimal free resolutions

1. Introduction

The minimal free resolution is a central topic in commutative algebra and is a very useful tool for extracting information about modules. Many algebraic invariants of the module such as Hilbert function and Betti numbers can be deduced from its minimal free resolution. When the module is associated to a geometric object, these invariants give useful geometric information about it. Since it is possible to calculate the Hilbert function in terms of the graded Betti numbers, free resolutions play an important role in the theory of Hilbert series. Although the Hilbert function of a standard graded algebra over a field k is well known in the Cohen-Macaulay case, in general, very little is known in local algebra. The problem which is due to M.E. Rossi [10] asks whether the Hilbert function of a Gorenstein local ring of dimension one is nondecreasing. Recently, it has been shown that there are many families of monomial curves giving negative answer to this problem [9]. However, it is still open for Gorenstein local rings associated to monomial curves in affine d -space for $3 < d < 10$ and our main aim is to understand the Hilbert function when $d = 4$.

Let R be the polynomial ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ over an arbitrary field k . A monomial affine curve C has a parametrization

$$x_1 = t^{n_1}, x_2 = t^{n_2}, \dots, x_d = t^{n_d} \quad (1.1)$$

where n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d are positive integers with $\gcd(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) = 1$ and n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d is a minimal set of generators for the numerical semigroup

$$S = \langle n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d \rangle = \{n \mid n = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i n_i, a_i \text{'s are nonnegative integers}\}.$$

The semigroup ring $k[t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_d}]$ of S is isomorphic to the coordinate ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/I(C)$ and the coordinate ring $G = gr_m(k[[t^{n_1}, \dots, t^{n_d}]])$ of the tangent cone of a monomial curve C at the origin is isomorphic to the ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/I(C)_*$. Here, $I(C)_*$ is generated by the polynomials f_* , the homogeneous

*Correspondence: pinarm@balikesir.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13H10, 14H20; Secondary 13P10

summand of f of the least degree, for f in $I(C)$ where $I(C)$ is the defining ideal of C . A monomial curve given by the parametrization in (1.1) is called a Gorenstein monomial curve, if the associated local ring $k[[t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, \dots, t^{n_d}]]$ is Gorenstein. $k[[t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, \dots, t^{n_d}]]$ is Gorenstein if and only if the corresponding numerical semigroup $S = \langle n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d \rangle$ is symmetric [8].

Let $S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle$ be a 4-generated numerical semigroup. If S is symmetric and complete intersection, then the Betti sequence of the corresponding semigroup ring is $(1, 3, 3, 1)$, see [11]. Barucci, Fröberg and Şahin [3] described the minimal free resolution of the semigroup ring of S , when S is symmetric and not complete intersection and showed that the Betti sequence is $(1, 5, 5, 1)$. When S is 4-generated symmetric and noncomplete intersection semigroup, the minimal free resolution and the list of possible Betti sequences of $G = gr_m(k[[t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, t^{n_3}, t^{n_4}]])$ is still unknown [11]. For pseudosymmetric numerical semigroups, see [12, 13]. If S and its tangent cone have the same Betti sequence, then S is of homogeneous type. For a homogeneous type semigroup, the Betti sequence of its Cohen-Macaulay tangent cone can be obtained from a minimal free resolution of its semigroup ring. For details, see [7]. In this article, we study the minimal free resolution of the tangent cone of Gorenstein noncomplete intersection monomial curve C in affine 4-space when the minimal number of generators of its tangent cone is five. Since homogeneous type semigroups have Cohen-Macaulay tangent cones and the Cohen-Macaulayness of tangent cones of these families of curves was shown in [2], here we consider only 5-generated tangent cones. Based on the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud Theorem [5] and knowing the minimal generators of the defining ideal of the tangent cone in four cases [2], we give the minimal free resolution of the tangent cone explicitly. Then, we compute the Hilbert function of the tangent cone for these families as corollaries. All computations have been done using SINGULAR. *

2. Bresinsky’s theorem

In [4], Bresinsky gives the explicit description of the defining ideal of a noncomplete intersection Gorenstein monomial curve with embedding dimension four by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Let C be a monomial curve having the parametrization*

$$x_1 = t^{n_1}, x_2 = t^{n_2}, x_3 = t^{n_3}, x_4 = t^{n_4},$$

where S is a numerical semigroup minimally generated by n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 . S is symmetric and C is a noncomplete intersection monomial curve if and only if $I(C)$ is generated by the set

$$G = \{f_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, f_2 = x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}, f_3 = x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_2^{\alpha_{32}}, \\ f_4 = x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{43}}, f_5 = x_3^{\alpha_{43}} x_1^{\alpha_{21}} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}\}$$

where the polynomials f_i ’s are unique up to isomorphism with $0 < \alpha_{ij} < \alpha_j$ with $\alpha_i n_i \in \langle n_1, \dots, \hat{n}_i, \dots, n_4 \rangle$ such that α_i ’s are minimal for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, where \hat{n}_i denotes that $n_i \notin \langle n_1, \dots, \hat{n}_i, \dots, n_4 \rangle$.

In Theorem 2.1, there is no restriction on the order of n_1, \dots, n_4 and the set G is valid for only a permutation of these numbers. If we assume that $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4$, then we have to revise the set G with respect to the correct permutation of the variables x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 . Thus, there are six isomorphic possible permutations which can be considered within three cases:

*SINGULAR 2.0. A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations. Available at <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.

1. $f_1 = (1, (3, 4))$
 - (a) $f_2 = (2, (1, 4)), f_3 = (3, (1, 2)), f_4 = (4, (2, 3)), f_5 = ((1, 3), (2, 4))$
 - (b) $f_2 = (2, (1, 3)), f_3 = (3, (2, 4)), f_4 = (4, (1, 2)), f_5 = ((1, 4), (2, 3))$
2. $f_1 = (1, (2, 3))$
 - (a) $f_2 = (2, (3, 4)), f_3 = (3, (1, 4)), f_4 = (4, (1, 2)), f_5 = ((2, 4), (1, 3))$
 - (b) $f_2 = (2, (1, 4)), f_3 = (3, (2, 4)), f_4 = (4, (1, 3)), f_5 = ((1, 3), (4, 2))$
3. $f_1 = (1, (2, 4))$
 - (a) $f_2 = (2, (1, 3)), f_3 = (3, (1, 4)), f_4 = (4, (2, 3)), f_5 = ((1, 2), (3, 4))$
 - (b) $f_2 = (2, (3, 4)), f_3 = (3, (1, 2)), f_4 = (4, (1, 3)), f_5 = ((2, 3), (1, 4))$

Here, the notations $f_i = (i, (j, k))$ and $f_5 = ((i, j), (k, l))$ denote the generators $f_i = x_i^{\alpha_i} - x_j^{\alpha_{ij}} x_k^{\alpha_{ik}}$ and $f_5 = x_i^{\alpha_{ki}} x_j^{\alpha_{lj}} - x_k^{\alpha_{jk}} x_l^{\alpha_{il}}$. Thus, if we have the extra condition $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4$, then the generator set of its defining ideal is exactly given by one of these six permutations.

In [2], Arslan and Mete observed that the generator set of each of these curves turned out to be a standard basis with respect to the negative degree reverse lexicographical ordering in the following cases:

- In Case 1(a) with the restriction $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{24}$,
- In Case 1(b) with the restriction $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{23}$, $\alpha_3 \leq \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{34}$.
- In Case 2(b) with the restriction $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{24}$, $\alpha_3 \leq \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{34}$.
- In Case 3(a) with the restriction $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{23}$, $\alpha_3 \leq \alpha_{31} + \alpha_{34}$.

And in all above cases, the minimal number of generators of the tangent cone of a Gorenstein noncomplete intersection monomial curve is five. One can also see [1].

3. Minimal free resolutions

In this section, we give the minimal free resolution of the tangent cone of Gorenstein noncomplete intersection monomial curve C in embedding dimension four when the minimal number of generators of the tangent cone of C is five.

Case 1(a) : Let

$$f_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, f_2 = x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}, f_3 = x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_2^{\alpha_{32}}, f_4 = x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{43}}$$

and

$$f_5 = x_3^{\alpha_{43}} x_1^{\alpha_{21}} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}$$

where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{31}$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{42}$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{43}$, $\alpha_4 = \alpha_{14} + \alpha_{24}$. The condition $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4$ implies $\alpha_1 > \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{14}$, $\alpha_4 < \alpha_{42} + \alpha_{43}$ and $\alpha_3 < \alpha_{31} + \alpha_{32}$. Since the extra condition $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{24}$ and using Lemma 5.5.1 in [6], the defining ideal $I(C)_*$ of the tangent cone is generated by the following sets:

- Case 1(a1) : $I(C)_* = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}})$
- Case 1(a2) : $I(C)_* = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_4^{\alpha_{24}}, x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}})$

Theorem 3.1 *In Case 1(a1) and Case 1(a2), the sequence of R-modules*

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^6 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

is a minimal free resolution for the tangent cone of C, where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3^{\alpha_3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \\ -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & -x_2^{\alpha_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & -x_2^{\alpha_{42}} \end{pmatrix}, \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_2} & 0 \\ -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 \\ 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} \\ -x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \\ 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$\varphi_1 = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 & x_3^{\alpha_3} \\ 0 & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_2} + x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 & 0 & x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{43}} \\ -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & -x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{43}} \\ 0 & x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \\ 0 & x_3^{\alpha_3} \\ x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively.

Proof Case 1(a1) : It is easy to show that $\varphi_1\varphi_2 = \varphi_2\varphi_3 = 0$ proving that the sequence above is a complex. To prove the exactness, we use Buchsbaum–Eisenbud criterion [5]. Therefore, first we need to check that

$$rank(\varphi_1) + rank(\varphi_2) = 1 + 4 = 5 \text{ and } rank(\varphi_2) + rank(\varphi_3) = 4 + 2 = 6.$$

Clearly, $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$. Since every 5×5 minors of φ_2 is zero, by McCoy’s Theorem $rank(\varphi_2) \leq 4$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 1st and the 3rd columns, and the 2nd row, we have $-x_2^{2\alpha_2 + \alpha_{32}}$ and similarly, deleting the 3rd row, and the 5th and the 6th columns, we obtain $x_3^{2\alpha_3 + \alpha_{13}}$ as 4×4 –minors of φ_2 . Thus, $rank(\varphi_2) = 4$. These two determinants are relatively prime, so $I(\varphi_2)$ contains a regular sequence of length 2. Among the 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $x_2^{\alpha_2 + \alpha_{32}}$, $x_3^{\alpha_3 + \alpha_{13}}$ and $x_4^{\alpha_4}$ and this is a regular sequence, since $\{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ is a regular sequence. Thus, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3.

Case 1(a2) : Similar to the first case, it is clear that $\varphi_1\varphi_2 = \varphi_2\varphi_3 = 0$. $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$ are trivial. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 3rd row, and the 4th and the 5th columns, we have $x_3^{2\alpha_3 + \alpha_{13}}$ and similarly, deleting the 2nd and the 6th columns, and the 4th row, we obtain $x_4^{2\alpha_4}$ and these determinants are relatively prime. 2-minors of φ_3 are

$$-x_3^{\alpha_{43}}f_2, x_2^{\alpha_{32}}f_2, x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_3^{\alpha_3}, -x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_3}, -x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, -x_4^{\alpha_{24}}f_2, -x_4^{\alpha_{24}}x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, -x_3^{\alpha_{13}}f_2, -x_3^{\alpha_3 + \alpha_{13}}, -x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}.$$

Among these 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $\{x_2^{\alpha_{32}}f_2, -x_3^{\alpha_3+\alpha_{13}}, x_4^{\alpha_4}\}$. Since x_2 is a nonzero divisor modulo $\{x_3, x_4\}$, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3. □

Case 1(b) : Let

$$f_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, f_2 = x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}}, f_3 = x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}}, f_4 = x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_1^{\alpha_{41}}x_2^{\alpha_{42}}$$

and

$$f_5 = x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{13}} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}}$$

Here, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{41}$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{42}$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{23}$, $\alpha_4 = \alpha_{14} + \alpha_{34}$. The condition $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4$ implies $\alpha_1 > \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{14}$, and $\alpha_4 < \alpha_{41} + \alpha_{42}$. The extra condition $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{23}$ and $\alpha_3 \leq \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{34}$ again using Lemma 5.5.1 in [6] imply that the defining ideal $I(C)_*$ of the tangent cone is generated by the following sets:

- *Case 1(b1)* : $I(C)_* = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{13}})$
- *Case 1(b2)* : $I(C)_* = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}}, x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{13}})$
- *Case 1(b3)* : $I(C)_* = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{13}})$
- *Case 1(b4)* : $I(C)_* = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}}, x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}} - x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{13}})$

Theorem 3.2 *In Case 1(b1), the minimal free resolution for the tangent cone of C is*

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^6 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{13}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & 0 \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \\ 0 & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & 0 & 0 \\ x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & x_2^{\alpha_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_2} & 0 \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 \\ 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ x_4^{\alpha_4} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

in Case 1(b2), the minimal free resolution for the tangent cone of C is

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^6 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

$$\varphi_1 = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{13}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & 0 & 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_4} & 0 & 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & -x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & 0 \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 \\ x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \\ -x_4^{\alpha_4} & 0 \\ x_2^{\alpha_{32}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \end{pmatrix},$$

in Case 1(b3),

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & 0 \\ 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & 0 & 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \\ x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & -x_2^{\alpha_{32}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_2} \\ x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ x_4^{\alpha_4} \end{pmatrix},$$

and in Case 1(b4), then the minimal free resolution of the tangent cone of C is

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & -x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & -x_1^{\alpha_{21}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & -x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \\ x_4^{\alpha_4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof Case 1(b1) : $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$. As in the Case1(a), in matrix φ_2 , deleting the 2nd and the 5th columns, and the 3rd row, we have $x_3^{2\alpha_3}$ and similarly, deleting the 2nd row, and the 1st and the 3rd columns, we obtain $-x_2^{2\alpha_2+\alpha_{42}}$ as 4×4 - minors of φ_2 . These two determinants are relatively prime, so $I(\varphi_2)$ contains a regular sequence of length 2. Among the 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $x_2^{\alpha_2+\alpha_{42}}$, $-x_3^{\alpha_3}$ and $x_4^{\alpha_4+\alpha_{14}}$ and these three determinants constitute a regular sequence. Thus, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3.

Case 1(b2) : It is clear that $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 3rd row, and the 2nd and the 6th columns, we have $-x_3^{2\alpha_3}$ and deleting the 4th and the 6th columns, and the 4th row, we obtain $-x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{2\alpha_4}$ and these determinants are relatively prime. Among the 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $-x_3^{\alpha_3}$, $-x_4^{\alpha_4+\alpha_{14}}$ and $x_2^{\alpha_{42}} f_2$. Since x_2 is a nonzero divisor modulo $\{x_3, x_4\}$, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3.

Case 1(b3) : Clearly, $rank(\varphi_1) = rank(\varphi_3) = 1$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 2nd row and the 3rd column, we have $x_2^{2\alpha_2}$ and deleting the 4th row and the 5th column, we get $x_4^{2\alpha_4}$. Since these determinants are powers of different variables, they constitute a regular sequence of length 2.

Case 1(b4) : As in the above case, $rank(\varphi_1) = rank(\varphi_3) = 1$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting 2nd row and 1st column, we have f_2^2 and deleting 4th row and 5th column, we obtain $x_4^{2\alpha_4}$. These two determinants are relatively prime, they constitute a regular sequence. $I(\varphi_2)$ contains a regular sequence of length 2. \square

Case 2(b) : Let

$$f_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}}, f_2 = x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}, f_3 = x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}}, f_4 = x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_1^{\alpha_{41}} x_3^{\alpha_{43}}$$

and

$$f_5 = x_1^{\alpha_{41}} x_2^{\alpha_{32}} - x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}.$$

Here, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{41}$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{32}$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{43}$, $\alpha_4 = \alpha_{24} + \alpha_{34}$. The condition $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4$ implies $\alpha_1 > \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}$ and $\alpha_4 < \alpha_{41} + \alpha_{43}$. Since the extra condition $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{24}$, $\alpha_3 \leq \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{34}$ and Lemma 5.5.1 in [6], the defining ideal $I(C)_*$ of the tangent cone is generated by the following sets:

- *Case 2(b1)* : $I(C)_* = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}})$
- *Case 2(b2)* : $I(C)_* = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}}, x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}, x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}})$
- *Case 2(b3)* : $I(C)_* = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}})$
- *Case 2(b4)* : $I(C)_* = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}}, x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}, x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}})$

Theorem 3.3 *In Case 2(b1), the minimal free resolution for the tangent cone of C is*

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^6 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_4} \\ 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 & x_2^{\alpha_2} \\ -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & 0 \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_4} \\ 0 & x_2^{\alpha_2} \\ x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 \\ 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \end{pmatrix},$$

in Case 2(b2), the minimal free resolution for the tangent cone of C is

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^6 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

$$\varphi_1 = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_4} \\ 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 & x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \\ -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & -x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & 0 \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_4} \\ 0 & x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 \\ 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \end{pmatrix},$$

in Case 2(b3), the minimal free resolution of the tangent cone of C is

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & 0 & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & -x_3^{\alpha_{43}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & 0 & 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{12}} \\ 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & 0 & 0 \\ x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_2} \\ x_4^{\alpha_4} \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \end{pmatrix},$$

lastly, in Case 2(b4), the minimal free resolution for the tangent cone of C is

$$0 \rightarrow R^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} & x_2^{\alpha_{32}} & x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & -x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \\ 0 & 0 & -x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} & -x_2^{\alpha_{32}} \\ -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & -x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_3^{\alpha_{43}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_2^{\alpha_{32}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ x_4^{\alpha_4} \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{13}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \\ -x_2^{\alpha_2} + x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_4^{\alpha_{24}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_3^{\alpha_{13}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof Case 2(b1) : $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$. Since every 5×5 minors of φ_2 is zero, $rank(\varphi_2) \leq 4$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 1st and the 6th columns, and the 3rd row, we have $x_3^{2\alpha_3}$ and deleting the 2nd row, and the 4th and the 5th columns, we get $x_2^{2\alpha_2 + \alpha_{12}}$ as 4×4 -minors of φ_2 . These two determinants are relatively prime, so $I(\varphi_2)$ contains a regular sequence of length 2. Among the 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $-x_2^{\alpha_2 + \alpha_{12}}$, $x_3^{\alpha_3}$ and $x_4^{\alpha_4 + \alpha_{24}}$. Since these are powers of different variables, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3.

Case 2(b2) : $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 3rd row, and the 1st and the 6th columns, we have $x_3^{2\alpha_3}$ and deleting the 2nd and the 3rd columns, and the 4th row, we obtain $-x_4^{2\alpha_4 + \alpha_{24}}$ and these determinants are relatively prime. Among the 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $-x_2^{\alpha_{12}} f_2$, $x_3^{\alpha_3}$ and $x_4^{\alpha_4 + \alpha_{24}}$. Since x_2 is a nonzero divisor modulo $\{x_3, x_4\}$, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3.

Case 2(b3) : Clearly, $rank(\varphi_1) = rank(\varphi_3) = 1$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 3rd column and the 2nd row, we have $-x_2^{2\alpha_2}$ and deleting the 4th row and the 4th column, we obtain $x_4^{2\alpha_4}$ and these are relatively prime.

Case 2(b4) : As in the above case, $rank(\varphi_1) = rank(\varphi_3) = 1$. In the matrix φ_2 , deleting the 1st row and the 5th column, we have $-x_2^{2\alpha_{12}} x_3^{2\alpha_{13}}$ and deleting the 4th row and the 2nd column, we obtain $-x_4^{2\alpha_4}$ and they are relatively prime. □

Case 3(a) : In this case,

$$f_1 = x_1^{\alpha_1} - x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, f_2 = x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_3^{\alpha_{23}}, f_3 = x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}}, f_4 = x_4^{\alpha_4} - x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{43}}$$

and

$$f_5 = x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_2^{\alpha_{42}} - x_3^{\alpha_{23}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}$$

Here, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{31}$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{42}$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{43}$, $\alpha_4 = \alpha_{14} + \alpha_{34}$. The condition $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4$ gives $\alpha_1 > \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{14}$ and $\alpha_4 < \alpha_{42} + \alpha_{43}$. The extra conditions $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{23}$, $\alpha_3 \leq \alpha_{31} + \alpha_{34}$ and Lemma 5.5.1 in [6] imply that the defining ideal $I(C)_*$ of the tangent cone is generated by the following sets:

- Case 3(a1) : $I(C)_* = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_3^{\alpha_{23}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}})$
- Case 3(a2) : $I(C)_* = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}}x_3^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}}, x_3^{\alpha_3}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_3^{\alpha_{23}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}})$
- Case 3(a3) : $I(C)_* = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2}, x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_3^{\alpha_{23}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}})$
- Case 3(a4) : $I(C)_* = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}, x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}}, x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}}, x_4^{\alpha_4}, x_3^{\alpha_{23}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}})$

Theorem 3.4 In Case 3(a1), then the minimal free resolution for the tangent cone of C is

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^6 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_3^{\alpha_{23}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_3} & 0 & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 \\ x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & x_2^{\alpha_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_2} & 0 \\ -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 \\ -x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_3} & 0 \\ 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{12}} \end{pmatrix},$$

in Case 3(a2), the minimal free resolution for the tangent cone of C is

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^6 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

$$\varphi_1 = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_3^{\alpha_{23}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_3} & 0 & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 \\ x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & -x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}}x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & 0 \\ -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 \\ -x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_3} & 0 \\ 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{12}} \end{pmatrix},$$

in Case 3(a3), the minimal free resolution of the tangent cone of C is

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^6 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_3^{\alpha_{23}}x_4^{\alpha_{14}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & 0 \\ 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_3} + x_1^{\alpha_{31}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & 0 & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 \\ x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & x_2^{\alpha_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1^{\alpha_{31}} & 0 & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & 0 & 0 & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{\alpha_2} & 0 \\ -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 \\ -x_2^{\alpha_{42}}x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ x_1^{\alpha_{31}}x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}}x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & 0 \\ 0 & x_2^{\alpha_{12}} \end{pmatrix},$$

lastly, in Case 3(a4), then the minimal free resolution of the tangent cone is

$$0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} R^6 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} R^5 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R^1 \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = (x_2^{\alpha_{12}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \quad x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \quad x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \quad x_4^{\alpha_4} \quad x_3^{\alpha_{23}} x_4^{\alpha_{14}}),$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_3^{\alpha_3} - x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_2} + x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ 0 & 0 & -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & -x_1^{\alpha_{31}} & -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & 0 \\ -x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & -x_1^{\alpha_{21}} & 0 & x_3^{\alpha_{43}} & x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} & x_2^{\alpha_{42}} x_3^{\alpha_{43}} \\ 0 & -x_3^{\alpha_3} + x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_4^{\alpha_{34}} \\ -x_3^{\alpha_{23}} & -x_1^{\alpha_{31}} x_2^{\alpha_{42}} \\ 0 & x_2^{\alpha_2} - x_1^{\alpha_{21}} x_3^{\alpha_{23}} \\ x_2^{\alpha_{12}} & x_1^{\alpha_{14}} \\ 0 & -x_4^{\alpha_{14}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof *Case 3(a1)* : Clearly, $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 4th and the 5th columns, and the 3rd row, we have $-x_3^{2\alpha_3 + \alpha_{23}}$ and similarly, deleting the 2nd and the 3rd columns, and the 4th row, we obtain $x_4^{2\alpha_4}$ as 4×4 -minors of φ_2 . These two determinants are relatively prime, so $I(\varphi_2)$ contains a regular sequence of length 2. Among the 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $x_2^{\alpha_2 + \alpha_{12}}$, $x_3^{\alpha_3 + \alpha_{23}}$ and $-x_4^{\alpha_4}$. Since they are powers of different variables, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3.

Case 3(a2) : $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 3rd row, and the 4th and the 5th columns, we have $-x_3^{2\alpha_3 + \alpha_{23}}$ and deleting the 2nd and the 3rd columns, and the 4th row, we obtain $-x_4^{2\alpha_4}$ and these determinants are relatively prime. Among the 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $x_2^{\alpha_{12}} f_2$, $x_3^{\alpha_3 + \alpha_{23}}$ and $-x_4^{\alpha_4}$. Since x_2 is a nonzero divisor modulo $\{x_3, x_4\}$, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3.

Case 3(a3) : $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$. In matrix φ_2 , deleting the 1st and the 6th columns, and the 2nd row, we have $x_2^{2\alpha_2 + \alpha_{12}}$ and similarly, deleting the 2nd and the 3rd columns, and the 4th row, we obtain $-x_4^{2\alpha_4}$ and these determinants are relatively prime. Among the 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $x_2^{\alpha_2 + \alpha_{12}}$, $x_3^{\alpha_{23}} f_3$ and $-x_4^{\alpha_4}$ and x_3 is a nonzero divisor modulo $\{x_2, x_4\}$. Thus, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3.

Case 3(a4) : As in the above cases, $rank(\varphi_1) = 1$ and $rank(\varphi_3) = 2$. In the matrix φ_2 , deleting the 1st row, and the 5th and the 6th columns, we obtain $-x_2^{2\alpha_{12}} x_4^{2\alpha_{14}}$ and deleting the 3rd row, and the 2nd and the 3rd columns, we have $x_3^{\alpha_{23}} f_3^2$ and they are relatively prime. Among the 2-minors of φ_3 , we have $-x_2^{\alpha_{12}} f_2$, $-x_3^{\alpha_{23}} f_3$ and $-x_4^{\alpha_4}$ and x_4 is a nonzero divisor modulo $\{x_2^{\alpha_{12}} f_2, x_3^{\alpha_{23}} f_3\}$. Thus, $I(\varphi_3)$ contains a regular sequence of length 3. □

4. Hilbert function

In [2], Arslan and Mete showed that the Hilbert function is nondecreasing for local Gorenstein rings with embedding dimension four associated to noncomplete intersection monomial curve C in all above cases. In this section, we compute the Hilbert function of the tangent cone of C , if C is a Gorenstein noncomplete intersection monomial curve in \mathbb{A}^4 as in Case 1(a). For the other aforementioned cases, one can get similar results.

Theorem 3.1 implies that the tangent cone of C has the following graded minimal free resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow F_3 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} F_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

where $F_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^5 R(-b_i)$, $F_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^6 R(-c_i)$ and $F_3 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 R(-d_i)$. Here, the numbers b_i are called the 1st Betti degrees, c_i are called 2nd Betti degrees and d_i are called 3rd Betti degrees.

Corollary 4.1 *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, Betti degrees of the minimal graded free resolution of the tangent cone of C is given by*

$$0 \longrightarrow F_3 \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} F_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R \rightarrow R/I(C)_* \rightarrow 0$$

where $B_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5\}$, $B_2 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6\}$, $B_3 = \{d_1, d_2\}$ and

$$b_1 = \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{14}, \quad b_2 = \alpha_2, \quad b_3 = \alpha_3, \quad b_4 = \alpha_4, \quad b_5 = \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{14},$$

$$c_1 = \alpha_3 + \alpha_{14}, \quad c_2 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \quad c_3 = \alpha_4 + \alpha_{13}, \quad c_4 = \alpha_{32} + \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{14}, \quad c_5 = \alpha_{32} + \alpha_4, \quad c_6 = \alpha_{14} + \alpha_2$$

$$d_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_{14}, \quad d_2 = \alpha_4 + \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{32}.$$

The following corollary stems from the well-known fact that

$$H_G(i) = H_R(i) - H_{F_1}(i) + H_{F_2}(i) - H_{F_3}(i).$$

Corollary 4.2 *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1., the Hilbert function of the tangent cone of C is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} H_G(i) = & \binom{i+3}{3} - \binom{i-b_1+3}{3} - \binom{i-b_2+3}{3} - \binom{i-b_3+3}{3} \\ & - \binom{i-b_4+3}{3} - \binom{i-b_5+3}{3} + \binom{i-c_1+3}{3} \\ & + \binom{i-c_2+3}{3} + \binom{i-c_3+3}{3} + \binom{i-c_4+3}{3} \\ & + \binom{i-c_5+3}{3} + \binom{i-c_6+3}{3} - \binom{i-d_1+3}{3} \\ & - \binom{i-d_2+3}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

for $i \geq 0$.

Acknowledgment

Authors acknowledge partial financial support from Balıkesir University under the project number BAP 2017/108.

References

- [1] Arslan F, Katsabekis A, Nalbandiyan M. On the Cohen-Macaulayness of tangent cones of monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^4(K)$. Turkish Journal of Mathematics 2019; 43: 1425-1446.

- [2] Arslan F, Mete P. Hilbert functions of Gorenstein monomial curves. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 2007; 135: 1993-2002.
- [3] Barucci V, Fröberg R, Şahin, M. On free resolutions of some semigroup rings. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 2014; 218 (6): 1107-1116.
- [4] Bresinsky H. Symmetric semigroups of integers generated by four elements. *Manuscripta Mathematica* 1975; 17: 205-219.
- [5] Buchsbaum D, Eisenbud D. What makes a complex exact? *Journal of Algebra* 1973; 323: 259-268.
- [6] Greuel GM, Pfister G. *A Singular Introduction to Commutative Algebra*: Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [7] Herzog J, Rossi ME, Valla, G. On the depth of the symmetric algebra. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 1986; 296 (2): 577-606.
- [8] Kunz E. The value-semigroup of a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 1970; 25: 748-751.
- [9] Oneto A, Strazzanti F, Tamone G. One-dimensional Gorenstein local rings with decreasing Hilbert function. *Journal of Algebra* 2017; 489: 92-114.
- [10] Rossi ME. Hilbert functions of Cohen-Macaulay local rings. *Commutative Algebra and its Connections to Geometry. Contemporary Mathematics AMS* 2011; 555: 173-200.
- [11] Stamate D. Betti numbers for numerical semigroup rings. *Multigraded Algebra and Applications. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics* 2018; 238: 133-157.
- [12] Şahin M. Şahin N. On pseudo symmetric monomial curves. *Communications in Algebra* 2018; 46 (6): 2561-2573.
- [13] Şahin M. Şahin N. Betti numbers for certain Cohen-Macaulay tangent cones. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* 2019; 99 (1): 68-77.