

Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Turk J Math (2020) 44: 108 – 117 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/mat-1907-34

Research Article

Weighted composition operators from the Bloch space to nth weighted-type spaces

Ebrahim ABBASI¹^(b), Songxiao LI^{2,*}^(b), Hamid VAEZI³^(b)

¹Department of Mathematics, Mahabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahabad, Iran ²Institute of Fundamental and Frontier Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan, P.R. China ³Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

Accepted/1 ubished Online. 02.11.2019	Received: 09.07.2019	•	Accepted/Published Online: 02.11.2019	•	Final Version: 20.01.2020
---------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---	---------------------------------------	---	----------------------------------

Abstract: In this work, we characterize the boundedness of weighted composition operators from the Bloch space and the little Bloch space to nth weighted-type spaces. Some estimates for the essential norm of these operators are also given. As a corollary, we obtain some characterizations for the compactness of weighted composition operators from the Bloch space and the little Bloch space to nth weighted-type spaces.

Key words: Weighted composition operator, Bloch space, essential norm, nth weighted-type space

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disc in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , $H(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} , and $H^{\infty} = H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ the space of bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D} with the norm $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$. The Bloch space, denoted by $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$, is the set of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$||f||_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

The Bloch space \mathcal{B} is a Banach space with the above norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. The little Bloch space \mathcal{B}_0 consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2) |f'(z)| = 0$. It is well known that \mathcal{B}_0 is the closure of polynomials in \mathcal{B} .

Let μ be a weight, which means that μ is a positive and continuous function on \mathbb{D} . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of all positive integers. The *n*th weighted-type space, denoted by $\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu} = \mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}(\mathbb{D})$, is the set of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |f^{(i)}(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |f^{(n)}(z)| < \infty.$$

It is easy to check that \mathcal{W}^n_{μ} is a Banach space with the above norm. We refer the interested reader to [16–18] for the space \mathcal{W}^n_{μ} . When n = 1, the space \mathcal{W}^1_{μ} is called the Bloch-type space. Let $\beta > 0$ and $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{\beta}$. The space \mathcal{W}^1_{μ} coincides with the Bloch-type space \mathcal{B}^{β} . In particular, \mathcal{B}^1 is the classical Bloch space \mathcal{B} .

^{*}Correspondence: jyulsx@163.com

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B33, 30H99

The space W^2_{μ} is also called the Zygmund-type space. For more information about Bloch-type spaces and Zygmund-type spaces, see [2, 7, 9, 21, 22].

Let $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, the set of all analytic self-maps of \mathbb{D} . The weighted composition operator, denoted by C^g_{φ} , induced by φ and g is defined as follows.

$$(C^g_{\varphi}f)(z) = g(z)f(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

When $g \equiv 1$, the operator C_{φ}^{g} is denoted by C_{φ} and called the composition operator. If $\varphi(z) = z$, then C_{φ}^{g} is called the multiplication operator and denoted by M_{g} . Interested readers can refer to [5] for the theory of composition operators and weighted composition operators.

For any $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, it is widely known that $C_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is bounded. The compactness of $C_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ was investigated in [12]. Wulan et al. [19] showed that $C_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is compact if and only if $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\varphi^k\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$. Zhao in [20] characterized the essential norm of $C_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ by using $\|\varphi^k\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. The operator $C_{\varphi}^g : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ was studied in [14, 15]. In [3], Colonna investigated $C_{\varphi}^g : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ by using $\|g\varphi^k\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. See [6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 23, 24] for more results of the operator $C_{\varphi}^g : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$.

Motivated by [10, 19], in this paper, we give some characterizations for the boundedness of $C_{\varphi}^{g}: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}$. Moreover, we give some estimates for the essential norm of $C_{\varphi}^{g}: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}$. By applying these estimates, some characterizations for the compactness of $C_{\varphi}^{g}: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}$ are obtained.

Throughout this paper, we will use the notation $A \leq B$ if there exists a constant C > 0 such that $A \leq CB$. In particular, if $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$, then we write $A \approx B$ and say that A and B are comparable.

2. Boundedness

To investigate the boundedness of $C^g_{\varphi} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}$, we need to state some lemmas. The next lemma was proved in [21].

Lemma 2.1 For any $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| \approx \sum_{i=0}^n |f^{(i)}(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{n+1} |f^{(n+1)}(z)|.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([11]) Let $f \in \mathcal{B}$. Then,

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\log 2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

For any $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, set

$$f_{i,a}(z) = \left(\frac{1-|a|^2}{1-\overline{a}z}\right)^i, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(2.1)

It is clear that $f_{i,a} \in \mathcal{B}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. From Lemma 2.3 of [1], we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.3 For any $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, there exist functions $v_{i,a} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$v_{i,a}^{(k)}(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{a}^i}{(1-|a|^2)^i}, & k=i, \\ 0, & k\neq i. \end{cases}$$

109

ABBASI et al./Turk J Math

For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the set of all nonnegative integers, with $k \leq n$, the partial Bell polynomials are defined by

$$B_{n,k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \sum \frac{n!}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_{n-k+1}!} (\frac{x_1}{1!})^{j_1} (\frac{x_2}{2!})^{j_2} \dots (\frac{x_{n-k+1}}{(n-k+1)!})^{j_{n-k+1}},$$

where the sum taken over all $j_1, j_2, ..., j_{n-k+1}$ such that

$$j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_{n-k+1} = k$$
, $j_1 + 2j_2 + \dots + (n-k+1)j_{n-k+1} = n$

where $j_1, j_2, ..., j_{n-k+1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For more information about Bell polynomials, see [4, p 134].

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 4 in [17], so we omit the details.

Lemma 2.4 Let $f, h, g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(C_h^g f)^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n f^{(i)}(h(z)) \sum_{l=i}^n \binom{n}{l} g^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(h'(z), \dots, h^{(l-i+1)}(z))$$

Lemma 2.5 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. Then for any $a \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists a function $u_a \in \mathcal{B}_0$ such that

$$u_a(\varphi(a)) = \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(a)|^2} \text{ and } u'_a(\varphi(a)) = u''_a(\varphi(a)) = \dots = u_a^{(n)}(\varphi(a)) = 0$$

Proof If $\varphi(a) = 0$, then $u_a(z) = \log 2$. For any $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(a) \neq 0$, set

$$g_{a,k}(z) = (n+k)\log\frac{2}{1-\overline{\varphi(a)}z} - \frac{\left(\log\frac{2}{1-\varphi(a)z}\right)^{n+k}}{\left(\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}\right)^{n+k-1}}, \quad k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

Then $g_{a,k} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lim_{|z|\to 1} (1-|z|^2) |g'_{a,k}(z)| = 0$. So, $g_{a,k} \in \mathcal{B}_0$. Now we calculate $g_{a,k}^{(s)}(\varphi(a))$ for $s \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$. Set

$$g(z) = 1$$
, $h(z) = \log \frac{2}{1 - \overline{\varphi(a)}z}$ and $f(z) = (n+k)z - \frac{z^{n+k}}{\gamma^{n+k-1}}$

in Lemma 2.4, where $\gamma = \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(a)|^2}.$ We get

$$g_{a,k}^{(s)}(\varphi(a)) = (f(h))^{(s)}(\varphi(a))$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{s} f^{(i)}(h(\varphi(a)))B_{s,i}(h'(\varphi(a)), \dots, h^{(s-i+1)}(\varphi(a))).$ (2.2)

Also $f'(h(\varphi(a))) = g'_{a,k}(\varphi(a)) = 0$ and for $i \in \{2, ..., s\}$,

$$f^{(i)}(h(\varphi(a))) = -p_i^{n+k} \times \gamma^{1-i}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where $p_i^{n+k} = \frac{(n+k)!}{(n+k-i)!}$. After a calculation, we have

$$h^{(i)}(\varphi(a)) = \frac{(i-1)!\overline{\varphi(a)}^{i}}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^{2})^{i}} = (i-1)! (h'(\varphi(a)))^{i}.$$

Hence,

$$B_{s,i}(h'(\varphi(a)),\ldots,h^{(s-i+1)}(\varphi(a))) = \sum \frac{s!}{j_1!j_2!\ldots j_{s-i+1}} \left(\frac{h'(\varphi(a))}{1!}\right)^{j_1} \left(\frac{h''(\varphi(a))}{2!}\right)^{j_2} \ldots \left(\frac{h^{(s-i+1)}(\varphi(a))}{(s-i+1)!}\right)^{j_{s-i+1}}$$
$$= \sum \frac{s!}{j_1!\ldots j_{s-i+1}!1^{j_1}\ldots (s-i+1)^{j_{s-i+1}}} \left(h'(\varphi(a))\right)^{j_1+\ldots+(s-i+1)j_{s-i+1}}$$
$$= \underbrace{\sum \frac{s!}{j_1!j_2!\ldots j_{s-i+1}!1^{j_1}2^{j_2}\ldots (s-i+1)^{j_{s-i+1}}}_{b_i^s}}_{b_i^s} \times \frac{\overline{\varphi(a)}^s}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^2)^s}. \tag{2.4}$$

So, from (2.2)-(2.4), for any $s \in \{2, ..., n\}$, we get

$$g_{a,k}^{(s)}(\varphi(a)) = -\frac{\overline{\varphi(a)}^s}{(1-\mid\varphi(a)\mid^2)^s} \sum_{i=2}^s \gamma^{1-i} b_i^s p_i^{n+k}.$$

Now for any $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\varphi(a) \neq 0$ and coefficients $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$, we set

$$d_{a,c_1,c_2,...,c_n}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k g_{a,k}(z).$$

It is clear that $d_{a,c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_n} \in \mathcal{B}_0$. We consider the system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} d_{a,c_{1},c_{2},...,c_{n+1}}(\varphi(a)) &= & \gamma \sum_{k=1}^{n} (n+k-1)c_{k} = & \gamma \\ d'_{a,c_{1},c_{2},...,c_{n+1}}(\varphi(a)) &= & \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \times \underbrace{g'_{a,k}(\varphi(a))}_{0} = & 0 \\ d''_{a,c_{1},c_{2},...,c_{n+1}}(\varphi(a)) &= & -\frac{\overline{\varphi(a)}^{2}}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^{2})^{2}} \gamma^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{2}^{2} p_{2}^{n+k} c_{k} = & 0 \\ \dots &= & \dots &= & \dots \\ d^{(s)}_{a,c_{1},c_{2},...,c_{n+1}}(\varphi(a)) &= & -\frac{\overline{\varphi(a)}^{s}}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^{2})^{s}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{s} \gamma^{1-i} b_{i}^{s} p_{i}^{n+k} \right) c_{k} = & 0 \\ \dots &= & \dots &= & \dots \\ d^{(n)}_{a,c_{1},c_{2},...,c_{n+1}}(\varphi(a)) &= & -\frac{\overline{\varphi(a)}^{n}}{(1-|\varphi(a)|^{2})^{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \gamma^{1-i} b_{i}^{n} p_{i}^{n+k} \right) c_{k} = & 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5)$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [1], we see that the system (2.5) has a unique solution and the solution is independent of choice a and $\varphi(a)$. If $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$ is that solution, we get $u_a(z) = d_{a,c_1,c_2,...,c_n}(z)$, as desired.

Let $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D}), i, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $i \leq n$. For simplicity, we set

$$I_i^n(z) := \sum_{l=i}^n \binom{n}{l} g^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(\varphi'(z), \varphi''(z), ..., \varphi^{(l-i+1)}(z)).$$
(2.6)

Theorem 2.6 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, μ be a weight, $g \in \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) $C^g_{\varphi}: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}$ is bounded.
- (b) $C^g_{\varphi}: \mathcal{B}_0 \to \mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}$ is bounded.
- $(c) \ \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |g^{(n)}(z)| \log \frac{2}{1 |\varphi(z)|^2} < \infty \ and \ \sup_{j \ge 0} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} < \infty.$

(d)
$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |g^{(n)}(z)| \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} < \infty$$
 and for each $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$,
$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|C^g_{\varphi} f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} < \infty, \qquad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |I^n_i(z)| < \infty,$$

where $f_{i,a}$ are defined in (2.1).

(e)
$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |g^{(n)}(z)| \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2} < \infty$$
 and for each $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$,

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\frac{\mu(z)|I_i^n(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^i}<\infty.$$

Proof $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ It is obvious.

 $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ For any $w \in \mathbb{D}$, let u_w be the function defined in Lemma 2.5. Then $L = \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} ||u_w||_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty$. Using Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we get

$$\begin{split} \mu(w)|g^{(n)}(w)|\log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(w)|^2} &= \mu(w)|g^{(n)}(w)||u_w(\varphi(w))|\\ &= \mu(w)|(C^g_{\varphi}u_w)^{(n)}(\varphi(w))| \le \|C^g_{\varphi}u_w\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \le L\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Thus, $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}\mu(z)|g^{(n)}(z)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} < \infty$. Since the sequence $\{z^j\}_0^\infty$ is bounded in \mathcal{B}_0 (see [11]), we get $\sup_{j\geq 0} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_\mu} < \infty$ by the boundedness of $C^g_{\varphi}: \mathcal{B}_0 \to \mathcal{W}^n_\mu$.

- $(c) \Rightarrow (d)$ It follows from Theorem 3.1 in [1].
- $(d) \Rightarrow (e)$ It also follows from Theorem 3.1 in [1].

 $(e) \Rightarrow (a)$ For any $f \in \mathcal{B}$, from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4, we get

$$\mu(z)|(C_{\varphi}^{g}f)^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\log 2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)|g^{(n)}(z)| \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}} + C\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)|I_{i}^{n}(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2})^{i}}$$

and for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$,

$$|(C_{\varphi}^{g}f)^{(j)}(0)| \leq \frac{1}{\log 2}|g(0)| ||f||_{\mathcal{B}} \log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(0)|^{2}} + C\frac{||f||_{\mathcal{B}}}{\mu(0)} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{\mu(0)|I_{i}^{j}(0)|}{(1-|\varphi(0)|^{2})^{i}}.$$

Thus, $C^g_{\varphi}: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}$ is bounded by the assumed condition. The proof is complete.

3. Essential norm

In this section we give some estimates for $\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}$, the essential norm of $C^g_{\varphi}:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}$. Recall that

$$\|C_{\varphi}^{g}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}} = \inf\{\|C_{\varphi}^{g} - K\|_{\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}} : K \text{ is compact}\}.$$

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $\{a_i\}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} such that $|\varphi(a_i)| \to 1$ as $i \to \infty$. Then there exists a bounded sequence $\{h_i\}$ in \mathcal{B}_0 such that, $\{h_i\}$ converge to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} and

$$h_i(\varphi(a_i)) = \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(a_i)|^2}, \ h'_i(\varphi(a_i)) = h''_i(\varphi(a_i)) = \dots = h_i^{(n)}(\varphi(a_i)) = 0$$

Proof The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5. Hence, we omit the details.

Theorem 3.2 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, μ be a weight, $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ such that $C_{\varphi}^{g} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}$ is bounded. Then

$$\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \approx \max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n \approx \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n \approx \|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}_0\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \approx \max\Big\{\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}, A_0\Big\},$$

where

$$A_0 = B_0 = \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \to 1} \mu(z) |g^{(n)}(z)| \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2},$$

$$A_{i} = \limsup_{|a| \to 1} \|C_{\varphi}^{g} f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}}, \quad B_{i} = \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \to 1} \frac{\mu(z)|I_{i}^{n}(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^{2})^{i}}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

and $f_{i,a}$ are defined in (2.1).

Proof First we show that

$$\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}_0\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \succeq A_0 = B_0. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\{z_i\}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} such that $|\varphi(z_i)| \to 1$ as $i \to \infty$. We assume that for any $i, \varphi(z_i) \neq 0$. Let $\{h_i\}$ be the sequence defined in Lemma 4.1. Then for any compact operator $K : \mathcal{B}_0 \to \mathcal{W}^n_\mu$, $\lim_{i\to\infty} \|Kh_i\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_\mu} = 0$. Thus,

$$\|(C^g_{\varphi}-K)h_i\|_{\mathcal{B}_0\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}\geq \limsup_{i\to\infty}\|C^g_{\varphi}h_i\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}-\limsup_{i\to\infty}\|Kh_i\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}\geq \limsup_{i\to\infty}\mu(z_i)|g^{(n)}(z_i)|\log\frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z_i)|^2},$$

which implies the desired result.

From Theorem 4.2 in [1], we have

$$\|C_{\varphi}^{g}\|_{e,H^{\infty} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}} \succeq \max\{A_{i}\}_{i=1}^{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|C_{\varphi}^{g}\|_{e,H^{\infty} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}} \succeq \max\{B_{i}\}_{i=1}^{n}.$$

So,

$$\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \succeq \max\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \succeq \max\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n.$$

From the last inequality and (3.1) we obtain

$$\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \succeq \max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \succeq \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n.$$
(3.2)

Next, we show that

$$\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \preceq \max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \preceq \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n.$$

For $r \in [0,1)$, let $K_r f(z) = f_r(z) = f(rz)$. Then $K_r : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ is compact with $||K_r|| \leq 1$. It is obvious that f_r uniformly converge to f on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $r \to 1$. Let $\{r_j\} \subset (0,1)$ such that $r_j \to 1$ as $j \to \infty$. Then for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $C^g_{\varphi} K_{r_j} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}$ is compact. Thus,

$$\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \le \limsup_{j\to\infty} \|C^g_{\varphi} - C^g_{\varphi}K_{r_j}\|.$$
(3.3)

113

Hence, we only need to show that

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \|C_{\varphi}^g - C_{\varphi}^g K_{r_j}\|_{\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^n} \preceq \min\{\max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n, \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n\}$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $||f||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1$,

$$\begin{split} \| (C_{\varphi}^{g} - C_{\varphi}^{g} K_{r_{j}}) f \|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}} &= \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \bigg| \sum_{k=0}^{t} (f - f_{r_{j}})^{(k)} (\varphi(0)) I_{k}^{t}(0) \bigg| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) \bigg| \sum_{k=0}^{n} (f - f_{r_{j}})^{(k)} (\varphi(z)) I_{k}^{n}(z) \bigg| \\ &\leq \underbrace{\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \bigg| \sum_{k=0}^{t} (f - f_{r_{j}})^{(k)} (\varphi(0)) I_{k}^{t}(0) \bigg|}_{H_{1}} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r_{N}} \mu(z) \bigg| \sum_{k=0}^{n} (f - f_{r_{j}})^{(k)} (\varphi(z)) I_{k}^{n}(z) \bigg|}_{H_{2}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_{N}} \mu(z) \bigg| \sum_{k=0}^{n} (f - f_{r_{j}})^{(k)} (\varphi(z)) I_{k}^{n}(z) \bigg|}_{H_{3}}, \end{split}$$
(3.4)

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_j \geq \frac{2}{3}$ for all $j \geq N$. Since for any $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $(f - f_{r_j})^{(s)}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $j \to \infty$, by using Theorem 2.6 (d), we obtain

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} H_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \to \infty} H_2 = 0.$$
(3.5)

In addition,

$$H_{3} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_{N}} \mu(z) | f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) | | I_{k}^{n}(z) |}_{M_{k}} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_{N}} \mu(z) | r_{j}^{k} f^{(k)}(r_{j}\varphi(z)) | | I_{k}^{n}(z) |}_{N_{k}} \right].$$
(3.6)

First we estimate M_0 and N_0 . From Lemma 2.2,

$$M_{0} = \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_{N}} \mu(z) |f(\varphi(z))| |g^{(n)}(z)| \le \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_{N}} \mu(z) |g^{(n)}(z)| \frac{1}{\log 2} ||f||_{\mathcal{B}} \log \frac{2}{1 - |\varphi(z)|^{2}} \le A_{0} = B_{0}.$$
(3.7)

Similarly,

$$N_0 \preceq A_0 = B_0. \tag{3.8}$$

For $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, by (2.6) and Lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4,

$$M_{k} = \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_{N}} \mu(z) \frac{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^{2})^{k} |f^{(k)}(\varphi(z))|}{|\varphi(z)|^{k}} \frac{|\varphi(z)|^{k} |I_{k}^{n}(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^{2})^{k}}$$
$$\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_{N}} \|C_{\varphi}^{g} v_{k,\varphi(z)}\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} |c_{j}^{k}| \sup_{|a| > r_{N}} \|C_{\varphi}^{g} f_{j,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}}.$$
(3.9)

Taking the above limit as $N \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} M_k \preceq \sum_{i=1}^n \limsup_{\substack{|a| \to 1 \\ A_i}} \|C_{\varphi}^g f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \preceq \max\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \to \infty} M_k \preceq B_k.$$
(3.10)

Similarly,

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} N_k \preceq \max\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \to \infty} N_k \preceq B_k.$$
(3.11)

Thus, from (3.4)-(3.8), (3.10), and (3.11), we obtain

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \|C_{\varphi}^g - C_{\varphi}^g K_{r_j}\|_{\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^n} \preceq \min\left\{\max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n, \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n\right\}$$

Hence, from (3.3),

$$\|C_{\varphi}^g\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^n} \preceq \min\bigg\{\max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n, \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n\bigg\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|C_{\varphi}^g\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^n} \approx \max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n \approx \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n.$$

Finally, we show that

$$\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \approx \|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}_0\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \approx \max\Big\{\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}, A_0\Big\}.$$
(3.12)

Let j be any positive integer and $h_j(z) = z^j$. Then $h_j \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $||h_j||_{\mathcal{B}} \approx 1$ and $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 weakly (see [11]). Hence, for any compact operator K from \mathcal{B}_0 into \mathcal{W}^n_{μ} , we have $\lim_{j\to\infty} ||Kh_j||_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} = 0$. Thus,

$$\|C_{\varphi}^g - K\|_{\mathcal{B}_0 \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^n} \succeq \limsup_{j \to \infty} \|(C_{\varphi}^g - K)h_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^n} \ge \limsup_{j \to \infty} \|C_{\varphi}^g h_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^n} - \limsup_{j \to \infty} \|Kh_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^n} = \limsup_{j \to \infty} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^n}.$$

Hence, $\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}_0\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \succeq \limsup_{j\to\infty} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}$, which together with (3.1) imply

$$\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}_0\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \succeq \max\Big\{\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}, A_0\Big\}.$$
(3.13)

From the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [1], we have that $\max\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \leq \limsup_{j \to \infty} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}$; hence,

$$\max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n \preceq \max\bigg\{\limsup_{j \to \infty} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}, A_0\bigg\}.$$

Since $\|C_{\varphi}^{g}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}} \approx \max\{A_{i}\}_{i=0}^{n}$, we obtain

$$\|C^g_{\varphi}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} \leq \max\Big\{\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}}, A_0\Big\}.$$
(3.14)

Since $\|C_{\varphi}^{g}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}_{0}\to\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}} \leq \|C_{\varphi}^{g}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}}$, from (3.13) and (3.14), we get (3.12). The proof is complete.

It is well known that $\|C_{\varphi}^{g}\|_{e,\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}}=0$ if and only if $C_{\varphi}^{g}:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}$ is a compact operator. Hence, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.3 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, μ be a weight, $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ such that $C_{\varphi}^{g} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{n}$ is bounded. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) $C^g_{\varphi}: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}$ is compact.
- (b) $C^g_{\varphi}: \mathcal{B}_0 \to \mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}$ is compact.
- (c) $\limsup_{j\to\infty} \|g\varphi^j\|_{\mathcal{W}^n_{\mu}} = 0$ and $\limsup_{|\varphi(z)|\to 1} \mu(z)|g^{(n)}(z)|\log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} = 0.$
- (d) For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\limsup_{|a| \to 1} \|C^{g}_{\varphi} f_{i,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}^{n}_{\mu}} = 0$ and $\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \to 1} \mu(z) |g^{(n)}(z)| \log \frac{2}{1 |\varphi(z)|^{2}} = 0$.
- (e) For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \to 1} \frac{\mu(z)|I_i^n(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^i} = 0$ and $\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \to 1} \mu(z)|g^{(n)}(z)|\log \frac{2}{1-|\varphi(z)|^2} = 0$.

Remark 3.4 Putting n = 1 and $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{\beta}$ in Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 3.3, we get some characterizations for the boundedness and compactness of $C_{\varphi}^g : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}^{\beta}$ (see Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 in [15]). Moreover, we obtain some estimates for the essential norm of $C_{\varphi}^g : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}^{\beta}$ (see [8, 10, 11]).

Acknowledgments

The corresponding author was funded by The Science and Technology Development Fund, Macau SAR (File no. 186/2017/A3) and NNSF of China (No.11720101003).

References

- [1] Abbasi E, Vaezi H, Li S. Essential norm of weighted composition operators from H^{∞} to *n*th weighted type spaces. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics 2019; 16 (5): 133. doi: 10.1007/s00009-019-1409-8
- [2] Choe B, Koo H, Smith W. Composition operators on small spaces. Integral Equations and Operator Theory 2006; 56: 357-380. doi: 10.1007/s00020-006-1420-x
- [3] Colonna F. New criteria for boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators mapping into the Bloch space. Central European Journal of Mathematics 2013; 11: 55-73. doi: 10.2478/s11533-012-0097-4
- [4] Comtet L. Advanced Combinatorics. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: D. Reidel, 1974.
- [5] Cowen CC, MacCluer BD. Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Boca Raton, CA, USA: CRC Press 1995.
- [6] Hu Q, Li S, Wulan H. New essential norm estimates of weighted composition operators from H^{∞} into the Bloch space. Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations 2017; 62: 600-615. doi: 10.1080/17476933.2016.1234462
- [7] Hu Q, Zhu X. A new characterization of differences of generalized weighted composition operators from the Bloch space into weighted-type spaces. Mathematical Inequalities and Applications 2018; 21 (1): 63-76. doi: 10.7153/mia-2018-21-05
- [8] Hyvärinen O, Lindström M. Estimates of essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 2012; 393: 38-44. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.03.059
- [9] Li S, Stević S. Weighted differentiation composition operators from the logarithmic Bloch space to the weighted-type space. Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Ovidius Constanta 2016; 24 (3): 223-240. doi: 10.1515/auom-2016-0056
- [10] Liu X, Li S. Norm and essential norm of a weighted composition operator on the Bloch space. Integral Equations and Operator Theory 2017; 87: 309-325. doi: 10.1007/s00020-017-2349-y
- [11] Maccluer BD, Zhao R. Essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 2003; 33: 1437-1458. doi:10.1216/rmjm/1181075473

- [12] Madigan K, Matheson A. Compact composition operators on the Bloch space. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 1995; 347: 2679-2687. doi: 10.2307/2154848
- [13] Manhas J, Zhao R. New estimates of essential norms of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 2012; 389: 32-47. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.11.039
- [14] Ohno S, Zhao R. Weighted composition operators on the Bloch space. Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society 2011; 63: 177-185. doi: 10.1017/S0004972700019250
- [15] Ohno S, Storethoff K, Zhao, R. Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 2003; 33: 191-215. doi:10.1216/rmjm/1181069993
- [16] Stević S. Composition operators from the weighted Bergman space to the nth weighted spaces on the unit disc. Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society 2009; 2009: 11p. doi:10.1155/2009/742019
- [17] Stević S. Weighted differentiation composition operators from H^{∞} and Bloch spaces to *n*th weighted-type spaces on the unit disk. Applied Mathematics and Computation 2010; 216: 3634-3641. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2010.05.014
- [18] Stević S. Essential norm of some extensions of the generalized composition operators between k-th weighted-type spaces. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2017; 2017: 220. doi: 10.1186/s13660-017-1493-x
- [19] Wulan H, Zheng D, Zhu K. Compact composition operators on BMOA and the Bloch space. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 2009; 137: 3861-3868. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-09-09961-4
- [20] Zhao R. Essential norms of composition operators between Bloch type spaces. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 2010; 138: 2537-2546. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-10-10285-8
- [21] Zhu K. Bloch type spaces of analytic functions. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 1993; 23: 1143-1177. doi:10.1216/rmjm/1181072549
- [22] Zhu K. Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 226: Springer, 2005.
- [23] Zhu X. Generalized weighted composition operators on Bloch-type spaces. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2015; 2015: 59-68. doi: 10.1186/s13660-015-0580-0
- [24] Zhu X. Essential norm of generalized weighted composition operators on Bloch-type spaces. Applied Mathematics and Computation 2016; 274: 133-142. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2015.10.061