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#### Abstract

We consider a second-order differential equation with rapidly growing intermediate coefficients. We obtain a solvability result in the cases that the diffusion coefficient of equation is unbounded or it tends to zero at the infinity. Under additional conditions, we prove the $L_{p}-$ maximal regularity estimate for the solution of this equation.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\rho(x)\left(\rho(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+r(x) y^{\prime}+s(x) y=F(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}=(-\infty,+\infty)$, all the coefficient functions are defined on $\mathbb{R}, \rho(x)$ is a positive and twice continiously differentiable function, $r(x)$ is a continiously differentiable function, and $s(x)$ is a continuous function and $F \in L_{p}:=L_{p}(\mathbb{R}), 1<p<+\infty$.

Let $C_{0}^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of twice continuously differentiable functions with compact support. We define the operator $\tilde{l}_{0}$ on $C_{0}^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})$ as $\tilde{l}_{0} y:=-\rho(x)\left(\rho(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+r(x) y^{\prime}+s(x) y$. We denote the closure of the operator $\tilde{l}_{0}$ by $\tilde{l}$ in the space $L_{p}$. By solution of the equation (1.1) we mean a function $y \in D(\tilde{l})$ such that $\tilde{l} y=F$.

In this work, we study questions of the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of (1.1) and conditions, which for a solution $y(x)$ of (1.1) the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho\left(\rho y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|r y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|s y\|_{p} \leq C\|F\|_{p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is the norm in $L_{p}$. If the estimate (1.2) holds, then we call that the solution $y(x)$ of (1.1) is maximally $L_{p}$-regular, and call (1.2) is an maximal $L_{p}$-regularity estimate.

In the applications of well-known projection methods (e.g., Fourier or Laplace transformations) to multidimensional differential equations and with coefficients depending on a single variable, we usually obtain ordinary differential equations. Therefore, the investigation of solvability questions for the one-dimensional equation (1.1) is important for the study of partial differential equations with unbounded coefficients. Since

[^0]equation (1.1) with $\rho(x) \geq \delta>0$ and its multidimensional generalizations are used in quantum mechanics and stochastic analysis, they have been studied intensively (see [2] and references therein). In [3, Ch.9, Theorem 2.4], [15, Ch.10.17], [12, Ch.7, Theorem 6], the authors considered the case that $s(x)$ is positive, and the growth at infinity of the absolute value of the intermediate coefficient $r(x)$ is limited by some power of $s(x)$. In $[6-8,10]$, it is assumed that the coefficient $r(x)$ is independent of the function $s(x)$, but $r(x)$ cannot grow faster than $|x| \ln |x| \quad(|x| \gg 1)$. The question arises whether there exists a unique solution of equation (1.1), if $|r(x)|$ grows more rapidly than $|x| \ln |x| \quad(|x| \gg 1)$ and cannot be controlled by the coefficient $s(x)$. It is also interesting to consider the case when the coefficient $\rho(x)$ in the leading term of the equation (1.1) tends to zero as $x \rightarrow+\infty$ or $x \rightarrow-\infty$.

If $\rho(x)=1$ and $|r(x)|$ does not depend on the coefficient $s(x)$ and grows rapidly, then equation (1.1) has unique solution and an estimate of the maximal regularity for the solution holds (see [14, Theorem 1.1]). If coefficient $s(x)$ does not have a lower bound and the growth of $|s(x)|$ depends on the growth of $|r(x)|$, then equation (1.1) is well-posed ([13, Lemma 2.5]. The above gives that rapid and independent growth of the absolute value of the intermediate coefficient $r(x)$ have close relationship with the well-posedness of equation (1.1).

In contrast with $[13,14]$, in the current paper we consider the equation (1.1) with the coefficient $\rho(x)$ in the leading term. The study of (1.1) is not only of theoretical interest. It is known that an operator $l$ above arises as generator of the transition semigroup of a stochastic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process that determines a Brownian motion with a variable covariance matrix connected with $\rho(x)$. Studying (1.1) with the coefficient $\rho(x)$, we overcame new difficulties compared to [14], such as the choice and estimation of the linear functional in Theorem 3.1, as well as the construction of the operators $B_{\lambda}$ and $M_{\lambda}$, and the estimation of the norm $B_{\lambda}$ in Theorem 3.4. Furthermore, if $\rho(x)$ tends to zero at infinity, then we may consider the degeneracy case. For example, by Theorem 3.5, the following equation

$$
-\frac{1}{3+x^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3+x^{2}} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(17+3 x^{2}\right)^{10} y^{\prime}-x^{7} y=f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

is uniquely solvable in $L_{2}$. Under additional conditions on $\rho(x)$ and $r(x)$, we can obtain the maximal regularity estimate of the solution $y$ of the equation (1.1). We remark that the question of maximal regularity is an important tool in the theory of nonlinear PDEs (see, e.g., $[1,5]$ ).

## 2. One-weighted integral inequality

Let $g(x)$ and $h(x) \neq 0$ be given continuous functions, and $q=\frac{p}{p-1}$. We denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\alpha}_{g, h}(t):=\|g\|_{L_{p}(0, t)}\left\|h^{-1}\right\|_{L_{q}(t,+\infty)}(t>0), \\
\tilde{\beta}_{g, h}(\tau):=\|g\|_{L_{p}(\tau, 0)}\left\|h^{-1}\right\|_{L_{q}(-\infty, \tau)}(\tau<0), \\
\alpha_{g, h}:=\sup _{t>0} \tilde{\alpha}_{g, h}(t), \beta_{g, h}:=\sup _{\tau<0} \tilde{\beta}_{g, h}(\tau), \gamma_{g, h}:=\max \left(\alpha_{g, h}, \beta_{g, h}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2.1 If $g(x)$ and $h(x) \neq 0$ are continuous functions with $\gamma_{g, h}<+\infty$, then

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|g(x) y(x)|^{p} d x \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|h(x) y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x, \quad \forall y \in C_{0}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Moreover, if $C$ is the smallest constant for which this inequality holds, then

$$
\left(\min \left(\alpha_{g, h}, \beta_{g, h}\right)\right)^{p} \leq C \leq\left(p^{\frac{1}{p}} q^{\frac{1}{q}} \gamma_{g, h}\right)^{p}
$$

Proof Let $y \in C_{0}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})$. We define

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
y_{1}:=y \mathcal{X}_{[0,+\infty)}, & y_{2}:=y \mathcal{X}_{(-\infty, 0]}, & g_{1}:=g \mathcal{X}_{[0,+\infty)} \\
g_{2}:=g \mathcal{X}_{(-\infty, 0]}, & h_{1}:=h \mathcal{X}_{[0,+\infty)}, & h_{2}:=h \mathcal{X}_{(-\infty, 0]}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathcal{X}_{A}$ is the characteristic function of the set $A$. By [11, Theorem 2], we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|g_{1}(x) y_{1}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|h_{1}(x) y_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $C_{1}$ is the smallest constant for which this inequality holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{g_{1}, h_{1}}\right)^{p} \leq C_{1} \leq\left(p^{\frac{1}{p}} q^{\frac{1}{q}} \alpha_{g_{1}, h_{1}}\right)^{p} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t>0, \tau=-t$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}_{g_{2}(-x), h_{2}(-x)}(t)=\tilde{\beta}_{g_{2}(x), h_{2}(x)}(\tau) \leq \gamma_{g, h}<+\infty$. Applying (2.1), we obtain that

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|g_{2}(-x) y_{2}(-x)\right|^{p} d x \leq C_{2} \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left|h_{2}(-x) y_{2}^{\prime}(-x)\right|^{p} d x
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left|g_{2}(x) y_{2}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leq C_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{0}\left|h_{2}(x) y_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $C_{2}$ is the smallest constant for which this inequality holds, then (2.2) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta_{g_{2}, h_{2}}\right)^{p} \leq C_{2} \leq\left(p^{\frac{1}{p}} q^{\frac{1}{q}} \beta_{g_{2}, h_{2}}\right)^{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (2.1) and (2.3) together, we get

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|g(x) y(x)|^{p} d x \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|h(x) y^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x
$$

From (2.2) and (2.4), it follows that $\left(\min \left(\alpha_{g, h}, \beta_{g, h}\right)\right)^{p} \leq C \leq\left(p^{\frac{1}{p}} q^{\frac{1}{q}} \gamma_{g, h}\right)^{p}$.

## 3. Main results

We consider the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\rho(x)\left(\rho(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+r(x) y^{\prime}=f(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in L_{p}$. Let $l_{0} y:=-\rho(x)\left(\rho(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+r(x) y^{\prime}$ for $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})$. We denote the closure of the operator $l_{0}$ by $l$ in the space $L_{p}$. By solution of equation (3.1) we mean a function $y \in D(l)$ such that $l y=f$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $1<p<+\infty$, and $\rho(x)>0$ be twice continuously differentiable function, and $r(x) \geq 1$ be continuously differentiable function. Suppose that

$$
\begin{gather*}
1 \leq \frac{r(x)}{\rho^{2}(x)} \leq C\left(\frac{r(x)}{\rho(x)}\right)^{p}  \tag{3.2}\\
\gamma_{1,\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{1 / p} \rho}<+\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x<\xi}\left\{\rho(x) \exp \left(-\int_{x}^{\xi} \frac{r(t)}{\rho^{2}(t)} d t\right)\right\}<+\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (3.1) has a unique solution $y$ for any $f \in L_{p}$ and the following estimate holds

$$
\left\|\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{1 / p} \rho y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|y\|_{p} \leq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

Proof Let $y \in D\left(l_{0}\right)$. Set $z(x):=y^{\prime}(x)$ and $L z:=l_{0} y=-\rho(x)(\rho(x) z)^{\prime}+r(x) z$. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma>-1$. Using integration by parts it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} z(x)\left[(\rho(x) z(x))^{2}\right]^{\gamma / 2} L z d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}} z(x)\left[(\rho(x) z(x))^{2}\right]^{\gamma / 2}\left[-\rho(x)(\rho(x) z(x))^{\prime}+r(x) z(x)\right] d x \\
=\int_{\mathbb{R}} r(x) \rho^{\gamma}(x)\left[z^{2}(x)\right]^{\frac{\gamma}{2}+1} d x \tag{3.5}
\end{array}
$$

Let $\gamma=p-2$. Then $\gamma+1=\frac{\gamma+2}{q}$, where $q=\frac{p}{p-1}$. Applying Hölder's inequality and (3.2), we obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} z(x)\left[(\rho(x) z)^{2}\right]^{\gamma / 2} L z d x \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|r^{-\frac{1}{q}}(x) \rho^{\frac{\gamma}{p}}(x) L z\right|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[r^{\frac{1}{q}}(x) \rho^{\frac{\gamma}{q}}(x)|z(x)|^{\frac{\gamma+2}{q}}\right]^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

i. e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} z(x)\left[(\rho z)^{2}\right]^{\gamma / 2} L z d x \leq\left\|\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \frac{1}{\rho} L z\right\|_{p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} r(x) \rho^{\gamma}(x)|z(x)|^{\gamma+2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho y^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \frac{1}{\rho} l y\right\|_{p} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.2),

$$
\frac{1}{\rho(x)}\left[\frac{r(x)}{\rho^{2}(x)}\right]^{-\frac{1}{q}}=\left(\frac{r(x)}{\rho^{2}(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\rho(x)}{r(x)} \leq C^{1 / p}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \frac{1}{\rho} l y\right\|_{p} \leq C^{1 / p}\|l y\|_{p} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.3) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{p} \leq C_{1}\left\|\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho y^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|y\|_{p} \leq C_{2}\|l y\|_{p} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we suppose that $y \in D(l)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset C_{0}^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\left\|y_{n}-y\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\|l y_{n}-l y\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow+\infty)$. Let $W_{p}^{1}(r, \rho)$ be the completion of the linear space

$$
\left\{y \in L_{p}:\|y\|_{W_{p}^{1}(r, \rho)}:=\left\|\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|y\|_{p}<+\infty\right\}
$$

with respect to the norm $\|y\|_{W_{p}^{1}(r, \rho)}$. Hence, from (3.10) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{W_{p}^{1}(r, \rho)} \leq C_{2}\left\|l y_{n}\right\|_{p}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.11) implies that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $W_{p}^{1}(r, \rho)$ is the Banach space, we deduce that $y(x) \in W_{p}^{1}(r, \rho)$ and $\left\|y_{n}-y\right\|_{W_{p}^{1}(r, \rho)} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow+\infty)$. Letting $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in (3.11), we get

$$
\|y\|_{W_{p}^{1}(r, \rho)} \leq C_{2}\|l y\|_{p}
$$

i.e. (3.10) holds for all $y \in D(l)$. Hence, the operator $l$ is invertible and $R(l)$ is closed.

Next we prove that $R(l)=L_{p}$. We denote the adjoint operator of $l$ by $l^{*}$. Let $R(l) \neq L_{p}$. Since $R(l)=N\left(l^{*}\right)^{\perp}=\left\{w(x) \in L_{p}:\left\langle w, y^{*}\right\rangle=0 \forall y^{*} \in N\left(l^{*}\right)\right\}$, there exists a nonzero element $v(x) \in L_{p} \backslash R(l)$ such that $l^{*} v=\rho(x)(\rho(x) v)^{\prime}+r(x) v=0[16$, p. 205, Theorem $]$. Assume that $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Since the last equation is shift invariant, we can choose $x_{0}=\xi$. It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x)(\rho(x) v)^{\prime}+r(x) v=C \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $v(x) \in C_{l o c}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})$.
Let $C \neq 0$. Since equation (3.12) is linear, without loss of generality, we can assume that $C=-1$ and $v\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. Then

$$
\left(\rho(x) v(x) \exp \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{r(t)}{\rho^{2}(t)} d t\right)^{\prime}<0
$$

Therefore,

$$
\rho(x) \exp \left(-\int_{x}^{x_{0}} \frac{r(t)}{\rho^{2}(t)} d t\right) v(x)>\rho\left(x_{0}\right) v\left(x_{0}\right), \quad x<x_{0} .
$$

By (3.4), there exists $K>0$ such that

$$
v(x)>\rho\left(x_{0}\right) v\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{1}{K}, \quad x<x_{0}
$$

It follows that $v(x) \notin L_{p}$.
If $C=0$, then

$$
\rho(x) v(x) \exp \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{r(t)}{\rho^{2}(t)} d t=C_{1} .
$$

It is clear that $C_{1} \neq 0$. Thus, for $x<x_{0}$,

$$
|v(x)|=\frac{\left|C_{1}\right|}{\rho(x)} \exp \left(-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{r(t)}{\rho^{2}(t)} d t\right)>\frac{\left|C_{1}\right|}{K}
$$

Hence, for $x<x_{0}$ the inequality $|v(x)| \geq C_{4}>0$ holds, consequently $v \notin L_{p}$. This is contradiction, so we obtain that $R(l)=L_{p}$.

Example 3.2 Let $r(x)=\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and $\rho(x)=\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}$ in $(3.1), k, n>0$.
We check the conditions of Theorem 3.1. $\left(\frac{r(x)}{\rho^{2}(x)}\right)^{1 / p} \rho(x)=\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{m / 2}$ where $m=\frac{n-2 k}{p}+k$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\alpha}_{1,\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{1 / p}{ }_{\rho}}(t)=\tilde{\beta}_{1,\left(\frac{r}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{1 / p}{ }_{\rho}}(-t) & =t^{1 / p}\left(\int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{m q}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq t^{1 / p}\left(\frac{1}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{\frac{m q}{2}-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon\right)-\frac{1}{2 p}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

 inequality is equivalent to $m \geq 1+\frac{2 \epsilon}{q}$. Hence, if $n>2 k+p-k p$, then (3.3) holds. Also, if $n \geq 2 k$, then (3.2) and (3.4) hold.
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Therefore, if $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2 k$, or $0 \leq k<1$ and $n>2 k+p(1-k)$, then the equation

$$
-\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} y^{\prime}=f_{0}(x)
$$

for any $f_{0} \in L_{p}$ has a unique solution $y(x)$ and the following estimate holds

$$
\left\|\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2 k}{2 p}+\frac{k}{2}} y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|y\|_{p} \leq C\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}
$$

Example 3.3 Consider the following differential equation in $L_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\rho_{0}(x)\left(\rho_{0}(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(4 x^{2}+3\right)^{2} y^{\prime}=f(x) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}, f \in L_{2}$, and

$$
\rho_{0}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{2 x^{2}-x+1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)(1-x)}, & -\infty<x<0 \\ 1+x^{2}, & 0 \leq x<+\infty\end{cases}
$$

The function $\rho_{0}(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable, since $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0-} \rho_{0}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} \rho_{0}(x)=\rho_{0}(0)=1, \lim _{x \rightarrow 0-} \rho_{0}^{\prime}(x)=$ $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} \rho_{0}^{\prime}(x)=\rho_{0}^{\prime}(0)=0$, and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0-} \rho_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} \rho_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\rho_{0}^{\prime \prime}(0)=2$.

If $r_{0}(x):=\left(4 x^{2}+3\right)^{2}$, then in the case $p=2, \rho_{0}(x)$ and $r_{0}(x)$ satisfy (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4). Indeed, we have that

$$
\frac{r_{0}(x)}{\rho_{0}^{2}(x)}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{\left(4 x^{2}+3\right)\left(1+x^{2}\right)(1-x)}{2 x^{2}-x+1}\right)^{2}, & -\infty<x<0 \\ \left(\frac{4 x^{2}+3}{1+x^{2}}\right)^{2}, & 0 \leq x<+\infty\end{cases}
$$

Since $\left(\frac{r_{0}(x)}{\rho_{0}^{2}(x)}\right)^{\prime}<0$ for $x<0$ and $\left(\frac{r_{0}(x)}{\rho_{0}^{2}(x)}\right)^{\prime}>0$ for $x>0$, we obtain that $\frac{r_{0}(x)}{\rho_{0}^{2}(x)} \geq \frac{r_{0}(0)}{\rho_{0}^{2}(0)}(0)=9$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
On the other hand, since $p=2$ and $r_{0}(x) \geq 3$, we deduce that (3.2) holds.
Notice that $\left(\frac{r_{0}(x)}{\rho_{0}^{2}(x)}\right)^{1 / 2} \rho_{0}(x)=4 x^{2}+3$ is even function. From

$$
\beta_{1,4 x^{2}+3}(t) \leq \sup _{t>0}\left(\frac{t}{1+t^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{2}+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}<+\infty
$$

we obtain that (3.3) holds. For any $\xi<0$, one easily checks that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{x<\xi} \frac{2 x^{2}-x+1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)(1-x)} \exp \left(-\int_{x}^{\xi}\left(\frac{\left(4 t^{2}+3\right)\left(1+t^{2}\right)(1-t)}{2 t^{2}-t+1}\right)^{2} d t\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{3}{2} \sup _{x<\xi} \exp \left(-\int_{x}^{\xi} d t\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{3}{2} \sup _{x<\xi} e^{-\xi+x}=\frac{3}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., (3.4) holds.

Therefore, the equation (3.13) is uniquely solvable and for its solution $y(x),\left\|\left(4 x^{2}+3\right)^{2} y^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\|y\|_{2} \leq$ $C\|f\|_{2}$ holds.
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Theorem 3.4 Let $1<p<+\infty, \rho(x)>0$ be twice continuously differentiable function, and $r(x) \geq 1$ be continuously differentiable function. Suppose that (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4) hold. If $\theta r^{\frac{1}{p-2}}(x) \leq \rho(x)<+\infty$ for $p>2$ and $\theta>0$, and there exist $C>1$ and $C_{1}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1} \leq \frac{\rho(x)}{\rho(\nu)} \leq C, \quad C_{1}^{-1} \leq \frac{r(x)}{r(\nu)} \leq C_{1}, x, \nu \in \mathbb{R}:|x-\nu| \leq 1 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for the solution $y(x)$ of the equation (3.1) the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|-\rho\left(\rho y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|r y^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq C_{0}\|f\|_{p} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $\lambda \geq 0$. First we consider the differential operator $l_{\lambda, 0} y=-\rho(x)\left(\rho(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+[r(x)+\lambda] y^{\prime}$ with $D\left(l_{\lambda, 0}\right)=C_{0}^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $z=y^{\prime}$ and $l_{\lambda, 0} y=L_{\lambda, 0} z=-\rho(x)(\rho(x) z)^{\prime}+[r(x)+\lambda] z$. We denote the closure in $L_{p}$ of the operator $L_{\lambda, 0}$ by $L_{\lambda}$. By Theorem $3.1 L_{\lambda}$ is invertible, and its inverse $L_{\lambda}^{-1}$ is defined on whole $L_{p}$. The following inequality holds for $z \in D\left(L_{\lambda}\right)$ (see (3.7)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\frac{r+\lambda}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho z\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|\left(\frac{r+\lambda}{\rho^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \frac{1}{\rho} L_{\lambda} z\right\|_{p} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (3.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|_{p} \leq C_{1}\left\|L_{\lambda} z\right\|_{p} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta_{j}:=(j-1, j+1)(j \in \mathbb{Z})$, and $\left\{\varphi_{j}(x)\right\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be a sequence in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Delta_{j}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \varphi_{j}(x) \leq 1(j \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi_{j}^{2}(x)=1 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $z_{j}(x)=\varphi_{j}(x) z(x)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p, \Delta_{j}}=\|\cdot\|_{L_{p}\left(\Delta_{j}\right)}$, where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (3.16) and (3.14), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|L_{\lambda} z_{j}\right\|_{p, \Delta_{j}} \geq \inf _{x \in \Delta_{j}}\left[\left(\frac{r(x)+\lambda}{\rho^{2}(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rho(x)\right] \\
& \sup _{x \in \Delta_{j}}\left[\left(\frac{r(x)+\lambda}{\rho^{2}(x)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \frac{1}{\rho(x)}\right] \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}\left\|z_{j}\right\|_{p, \Delta_{j}} .
$$

For any $f \in L_{p}$, we define

$$
B_{\lambda} f:=-\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{j}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f, \quad M_{\lambda} f:=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi_{j}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f
$$

It is clear that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the above two series have at most two nonzero terms. Hence, $B_{\lambda}$ and $M_{\lambda}$ are well-defined.

We consider the operator $L_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$. Using the equality $L_{\lambda}(g z)=g(x) L_{\lambda} z-\rho^{2}(x) g^{\prime}(x) z$ and the properties (3.18) of $\varphi_{j}(x)(j \in \mathbb{Z})$, we get

$$
L_{\lambda} M_{\lambda} f=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} L_{\lambda}\left(\varphi_{j} L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f\right)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\varphi_{j}(x) L_{\lambda} L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f-\rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{j}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f\right)=\left(E+B_{\lambda}\right) f
$$

where $E$ is the identity map on $L_{p}$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}=E+B_{\lambda} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B_{\lambda} f\right\|_{p}^{p}= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{j}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f\right|^{p} d x \\
\leq & \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{j}}\left|\rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{j}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f\right|^{p} d x \\
\leq & \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{k}}\left|\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{j}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f\right|^{p} d x \\
= & \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{k}} \mid \rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{k-1}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{k-1} f \\
& \quad+\rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{k}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{k} f+\left.\rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{k+1}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{k+1} f\right|^{p} d x \\
\leq & C_{3} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{k}}\left|\rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{k}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{k} f\right|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.19), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{2} \varphi_{k}^{\prime} L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{k} f\right\|_{p, \Delta_{k}} \leq \frac{C_{4} \sup _{x \in \Delta_{k}} \rho^{2}(x)}{\inf _{x \in \Delta_{k}}(r(x)+\lambda)}\left\|\varphi_{k} f\right\|_{p, \Delta_{k}} \leq \frac{C_{5}}{1+\lambda}\left\|\varphi_{k} f\right\|_{p, \Delta_{k}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By properties of the function $\varphi_{k}(x)(k \in \mathbb{Z})$, it follows that

$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{k}}\left|\rho^{2}(x) \varphi_{k}^{\prime}(x) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{k} f\right|^{p} d x \leq \frac{C_{6}}{1+\lambda}\|f\|_{p}^{p}
$$

Thus, $\left\|B_{\lambda}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that $\left\|B_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$. Hence, from (3.20) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\lambda}^{-1}=M_{\lambda}\left(E+B_{\lambda}\right)^{-1},\left\|\left(E+B_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq 2, \lambda \geq \lambda_{0} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Now, we prove the estimate (3.15). According to (3.19) and (3.22), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(r+\lambda) z\|_{p}^{p} & =\left\|(r+\lambda) L_{\lambda}^{-1} f\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq 2\left\|(r+\lambda) M_{\lambda} f\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq C_{7} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left\|(r+\lambda) L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f\right\|_{p, \Delta_{j}}^{p} \\
& \leq C_{7} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sup _{x \in \Delta_{j}}(r(x)+\lambda)^{p}\left\|L_{\lambda}^{-1} \varphi_{j} f\right\|_{p, \Delta_{j}}^{p} \\
& \leq C_{7} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sup _{x \in \Delta_{j}}(r(x)+\lambda)^{p} \frac{1}{\inf _{x \in \Delta_{j}}(r(x)+\lambda)^{p}}\left\|\varphi_{j} f\right\|_{p, \Delta_{j}}^{p} \\
& \leq C_{8} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left\|\varphi_{j} f\right\|_{p, \Delta_{j}}^{p} \\
& \leq C_{9}\|f\|_{p}^{p}, \quad \forall z \in D\left(L_{\lambda}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|-\rho(\rho z)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|(r+\lambda) z\|_{p} \leq C_{10}\|f\|_{p}
$$

Taking $z(x)=y^{\prime}(x)$, by estimate (3.17), we obtain (3.15).
For the equation (1.1), we have the following result.

Theorem 3.5 Let $1<p<\infty$, and functions $\rho(x)$ and $r(x)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.4. If $s(x)$ is a continuous function such that $\gamma_{s, r}<+\infty$, then for any $F \in L_{p}$, the equation (1.1) has a unique solution $y(x)$, which satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|-\rho\left(\rho y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|r y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|s y\|_{p} \leq C\|F\|_{p} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $\gamma_{s, r}$ and $p$.

## Proof

For $a>0$, we denote

$$
\tilde{y}(t)=y(a t), \quad \tilde{\rho}(t)=\rho(a t), \quad \tilde{r}(t)=r(a t), \quad \tilde{s}(t)=s(a t), \quad \tilde{f}(t)=a^{-1} F(a t)
$$

The change of variable $x \mapsto a t$ changes the equation (1.1) to the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\tilde{\rho}(t)\left(\tilde{\rho}(t) \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\tilde{r}(t) \tilde{y}^{\prime}+a^{-1} \tilde{s}(t) \tilde{y}=\tilde{f}(t) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the closure in $L_{p}$ of the differential operator $-\tilde{\rho}(t)\left(\tilde{\rho}(t) \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\tilde{r}(t) \tilde{y}$ defined on $\tilde{y} \in C_{0}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ by $l_{a}$. It is easy to show that $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ and $\tilde{r}(t)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.4. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|-\tilde{\rho}\left(\tilde{\rho} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\tilde{r} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq C_{l_{a}}\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p}, \quad \tilde{y} \in D\left(l_{a}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a^{-1} \tilde{s} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq a^{-1} p^{\frac{1}{p}} q^{\frac{1}{q}} \gamma_{s, r}\left\|\tilde{r} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq a^{-1} p^{\frac{1}{p}} q^{\frac{1}{q}} \gamma_{s, r} C_{l_{a}}\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $a=\frac{1}{2} p^{\frac{1}{p}} q^{\frac{1}{q}} \gamma_{s, r} C_{l_{a}}$. By [9, Ch. 4, Theorem 1.16], we get that the operator $l_{a}+a^{-1} \tilde{s}(x) E$ is bounded invertible. Using (3.24) and (3.25), we obtain that

$$
\left\|-\tilde{\rho}\left(\tilde{\rho} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\tilde{r} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|a^{-1} \tilde{s} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq\left(C_{l_{a}}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} .
$$

It follows from (3.26) that

$$
\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|\left(l_{a}+a^{-1} \tilde{s} E\right) \tilde{y}\right\|_{p}+\left\|a^{-1} \tilde{s} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|\left(l_{a}+a^{-1} \tilde{s} E\right) \tilde{y}\right\|_{p}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} .
$$

The above two inequalities imply that

$$
\left\|-\tilde{\rho}\left(\tilde{\rho} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\tilde{r} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|a^{-1} \tilde{s} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq C\|\tilde{f}\|_{p} .
$$

Making the change of variable $t \mapsto a^{-1} x$, we get the desired estimate (3.23).
Example 3.6 We consider the following equation in $L_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{x^{2}+5}{\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{x^{2}+5}{\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{2}} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{2} y^{\prime}-5 x y=f(x) . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r_{1}(x)=\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{2}, \rho_{1}(x)=\frac{x^{2}+5}{\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{2}}$ and $s_{1}(x)=-5 x$.

1) $\frac{r_{1}(x)}{\rho_{1}^{2}(x)}=\frac{\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{6}}{\left(x^{2}+5\right)^{2}} \geq 1, r_{1}(x) \geq 1$ and $p=2$. Hence, (3.2) holds.
2) Since $r^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)=x^{2}+3$ is even function, and

$$
\sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{1, r_{1}}(\tau)=\sup _{t>0} \alpha_{1, r_{1}}(t) \leq \sup _{t>0}\left(\frac{t}{3+t^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{2}+3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}<+\infty,
$$

(3.3) holds.
3) We have that

$$
\sup _{x<\xi} \rho_{1}(x) \exp \left(-\int_{x}^{\xi} \frac{r_{1}(x)}{\rho_{1}^{2}(x)} d t\right)=\sup _{x<\xi} \frac{x^{2}+5}{x^{2}+3} \exp \left(-\int_{x}^{\xi} \frac{\left(t^{2}+3\right)^{6}}{\left(t^{2}+5\right)^{2}} d t\right) \leq 2 \sup _{x<\xi} \exp \left(-\int_{x}^{\xi} d t\right)=2 .
$$

Therefore, (3.4) holds.
4) If $|x-\nu|<1$, then

$$
\frac{r_{1}(x)}{r_{1}(\nu)}=\left[\frac{x^{2}+3}{\nu^{2}+3}\right]^{2} \leq \sup \left(\frac{(\nu+1)^{2}+3}{\nu^{2}+3}\right)^{2} \leq 3 .
$$

Consequently, $\frac{r_{1}(\nu)}{r_{1}(x)} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore, since

$$
\frac{\rho_{1}(x)}{\rho_{1}(\nu)}=\frac{\left[1+\frac{2}{x^{2}+3}\right] \frac{2}{x^{2}+3}}{\left[1+\frac{2}{\nu^{2}+3}\right] \frac{2}{\nu^{2}+3}},
$$

we have that

$$
\frac{\nu^{2}+3}{2\left(x^{2}+3\right)} \leq \frac{\rho_{1}(x)}{\rho_{1}(\nu)} \leq \frac{2\left(\nu^{2}+3\right)}{x^{2}+3}
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{1}{6} \leq \frac{\rho_{1}(x)}{\rho_{1}(\nu)} \leq 6
$$

Thus, (3.14) holds.
5) It is clear that $\rho_{1}(x)$ is a bounded function. We calculate $\gamma_{s_{1}, r}$. Notice that $\|-5 x\|_{L_{2}(0, t)}=$ $\|-5 x\|_{L_{2}(-t, 0)}$ for $t>0$, and $\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{2}$ is even function. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\tau<0} \tilde{\beta}_{s_{1}, r_{1}}(\tau) & =\sup _{t>0} \tilde{\alpha}_{s_{1}, r_{1}}(t)=\sup _{t>0}\left(\int_{0}^{t}(-5 x)^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 5 \sup _{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{d x}{\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq 5 \sup _{t>0} \frac{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 5 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\gamma_{s_{1}, r_{1}} \leq 5 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$.

Thus, the coefficients of equation (3.27) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.5. Hence, for each $f \in L_{2}$ there exists a unique solution $y$ of equation (3.27), which satisfies the following:

$$
\left\|-\frac{x^{2}+5^{2}}{x^{2}+3}\left(\frac{\left(x^{2}+5\right.}{\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{2}} y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\left(x^{2}+3\right)^{2} y^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\|5 x y\|_{2} \leq C\|f\|_{2}
$$
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