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Abstract: We explore, by using formal analysis, the existence of mass conserving self-similar solutions for Smoluchowski's coagulation equation when kernel $K(x, y)=x^{\lambda} y^{\mu}+x^{\mu} y^{\lambda}$ with $0<\lambda+\mu<1$.
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## 1. Introduction

In the study of collision processes the following infinite set of nonlinear differential equations, which are also known as the discrete form of the coagulation equation, are considered.

$$
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=-y_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i, j} y_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i-j, j} y_{j} y_{i-j} \quad(i=1,2,3, \cdots)
$$

where $a_{i, j}=a_{j, i}>0$ and $t \geq 0$. Existence and uniqueness results with initial conditions

$$
y_{1}(0)=1, \quad y_{i}(0)=0 \quad(i=2,3,4, \cdots)
$$

for the case $a_{i, j} \leq i j$ were first proved long ago, with under certain reasonable convergence criteria, by McLeod in [1-3] where the explicit solution is valid only for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Furthermore, existence and uniqueness results related to different cases of the $a_{i, j}$ were also studied. Firstly, existence of solution in the case $a_{i, j} \leq c_{i} c_{j}$, where $c_{1}=1$ and $c_{i}>0$ for all $i$, was proved. Secondly, let $a_{i, j} \leq K i j$ be for all $i, j$ and some positive constant $K$, then it was shown that there is at least one solution of the above equations analytic sufficiently near $t=0$. Existence of the mass conserving solution was proved in [8] for coagulation kernels with at most linear growth at infinity. The Smoluchowski coagulation equation describing mean-field model [12] is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{t}(x, t) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x} K(x-y, y) f(x-y, t) f(y, t) d y \\
& -\int_{0}^{\infty} K(x, y) f(x, t) f(y, t) d y \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]with
$$
f(x, 0)=F(x) \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e., }
$$
where the variables $x>0$ and $t \geq 0$ denote the size of the particles and time, respectively. The number density of particles of size $x$ at time $t$ is denoted by $f(x, t)$. The coagulation kernel $K(x, y)$ represents the rate at which particles of size $x$ coalesce with particles of size $y$.

Existence and uniqueness of solutions to (1.1) when $K(x, y)=x y$ and fairly general $f(x, 0)$ were studied by McLeod in [4]. The following explicit solutions were also established. With the initial conditions

$$
F(x)=\frac{\alpha e^{-\alpha x}}{x} \quad \text { and } \quad F(x)=\frac{a e^{-b x} J_{1}(a x)}{x^{2} \sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}-b}},
$$

where $J_{1}$ is the Bessel function of order one, then (1.1) has the explicit solution

$$
f(x, t)=\frac{\alpha e^{-(t+\alpha) x} I_{1}(2 x \sqrt{t \alpha})}{x^{2} \sqrt{t}}
$$

on $0 \leq t \leq \alpha$, where $I_{1}$ is the Modified Bessel function, and

$$
f(x, t)=\frac{a e^{-(t+b) x} J_{1}(a x \sqrt{1-2 t / B})}{x^{2} \sqrt{B^{2}-2 t B}}
$$

on $0 \leq t \leq \frac{B}{2}$ where $B=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}-b}$ respectively. When kernel $K(x, y)=x y$ is bounded then existence of solutions to (1.1) was studied in [10].

The existence of self-similar solutions of (1.1) when kernel $K(x, y)=x^{\lambda} y^{\lambda}$ with $0<\lambda<1 / 2$ was studied analytically by employing topological shooting technique and for some other techniques, see [5]. For further information on topological shooting technique, see [6]. In particular, the existence of self-similar solutions with finite mass has been established for a huge class of kernels and some properties of those solutions have been investigated in $[14,15]$

Equation (1.1) arises in a number of problems in physics, meteorology, chemistry, engneering, biology, and astrophysics, see $[7,9,11,13]$ and references therein. In the case of the product kernel small particles interact mostly with the ones having a comparable size.

In this paper, we also analyze mass conserving self-similar solutions to the coagulation equation (1.1) when kernel $K(x, y)=x^{\lambda} y^{\mu}+x^{\mu} y^{\lambda}$ with $0<\lambda+\mu<1$ and introduce clasical analysis different from the previously published papers.

## 2. Main results

We are interested in a solution of the form

$$
f(x, t)=\frac{1}{s^{2}(t)} h\left(\frac{x}{s(t)}\right) .
$$

Then from (1.1) we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 s^{-3} s^{\prime} h\left(\frac{x}{s}\right)-x s^{-4} s^{\prime} h^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{s^{4}} \int_{0}^{x} y^{\mu}(x-y)^{\lambda} h\left(\frac{x-y}{s}\right) h\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) d y-\frac{x^{\lambda}}{s^{4}} h\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\mu} h\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) d y \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{s^{4}} \int_{0}^{x} y^{\lambda}(x-y)^{\mu} h\left(\frac{x-y}{s}\right) h\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) d y-\frac{x^{\mu}}{s^{4}} h\left(\frac{x}{s}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\lambda} h\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\frac{y}{s}=Y, \frac{x}{s}=X$, and we get, after multiplying both sides by $s^{4}$, and using symmetry in the first and the third integrals on the right-hand side,

$$
\begin{align*}
& s s^{\prime}\left\{-2 h(X)-X h^{\prime}(X)\right\} \\
& =s^{\lambda+\mu+1}\left\{\int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{\mu}(X-Y)^{\lambda} h(X-Y) h(Y) d Y-X^{\lambda} h(X) \int_{0}^{\infty} Y^{\mu} h(Y) d Y\right.  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{\lambda}(X-Y)^{\mu} h(X-Y) h(Y) d Y-X^{\mu} h(X) \int_{0}^{\infty} Y^{\lambda} h(Y) d Y\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the equation cannot involve $t$, we see that

$$
s(t) s^{\prime}(t)=\text { Const } \times s^{\lambda+\mu+1}(t), \quad s^{1-\lambda-\mu}(t)=A t+B
$$

for arbitrary constants $A$ and $B$. However, the (1.1) is translation invariant in $t$. Therefore, we may without loss of generality take $B=0$. Furthermore, the choice of $A$ may be subsumed into the definition of $h(x)$. Therefore, we may take

$$
s(t)=(1-\lambda-\mu) t^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda-\mu}}
$$

Equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 h(X)-X h^{\prime}(X) \\
& =\int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{\mu}(X-Y)^{\lambda} h(X-Y) h(Y) d Y-X^{\lambda} h(X) \int_{0}^{\infty} Y^{\mu} h(Y) d Y  \tag{2.2}\\
& +\int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{\lambda}(X-Y)^{\mu} h(X-Y) h(Y) d Y-X^{\mu} h(X) \int_{0}^{\infty} Y^{\lambda} h(Y) d Y
\end{align*}
$$

Our belief (which we have, of course, to verify ) is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(X) \sim h_{0} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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as $X \rightarrow 0$, for some constant $h_{0}$. Since this would cause the integrals on the right-hand side of (2.2) to diverge, we must rewrite (2.2) in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 h(X)-X h^{\prime}(X) \\
& =\int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{\mu} h(Y)\left((X-Y)^{\lambda} h(X-Y)-X^{\lambda} h(X)\right) d Y \\
& -X^{\lambda} h(X) \int_{X / 2}^{\infty} Y^{\mu} h(Y) d Y \\
& +\int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{\lambda} h(Y)\left((X-Y)^{\mu} h(X-Y)-X^{\mu} h(X)\right) d Y \\
& -X^{\mu} h(X) \int_{X / 2}^{\infty} Y^{\lambda} h(Y) d Y
\end{aligned}
$$

We now set

$$
h(X)=X^{-1-\lambda-\mu} H(X)
$$

and the equation for $H(X)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& -X^{-\lambda-\mu} H^{\prime}(X)-(1-\lambda-\mu) X^{-1-\lambda-\mu} H(X) \\
& =\int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\lambda} H(Y)\left((X-Y)^{-1-\mu}[H(X-Y)-H(X)]\right. \\
& \left.+H(X)\left[(X-Y)^{-1-\mu}-X^{-1-\mu}\right]\right) d Y-X^{-1-\mu} H(X) \int_{X / 2}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\lambda} H(Y) d Y \\
& +\int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\mu} H(Y)\left((X-Y)^{-1-\lambda}[H(X-Y)-H(X)]\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left.+H(X)\left[(X-Y)^{-1-\lambda}-X^{-1-\lambda}\right]\right) d Y \\
& -X^{-1-\lambda} H(X) \int_{X / 2}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\mu} H(Y) d Y \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{1}$ is the first two terms and $I_{2}$ is the last two terms.
We can now work out, at least formally, what the value of $h_{0}$ must be in (2.3). We first work out $I_{1}$ for $H(x) \rightarrow h_{0}$ as $X \rightarrow 0$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \sim h_{0} \int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left((X-Y)^{-1-\mu}[H(X-Y)-H(X)]\right. \\
& \left.+H(X)\left[(X-Y)^{-1-\mu}-X^{-1-\mu}\right]\right) d Y-h_{0} X^{-1-\mu} \int_{X / 2}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\lambda} H(Y) d Y
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
H(X-Y)-H(X) \asymp Y H^{\prime}(X) \quad \text { and } \quad H^{\prime}(X)=o\left(X^{-1}\right)
$$

as $X \rightarrow 0$, since $H(0)$ exists. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1} \sim h_{0} \int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\lambda}(X-Y)^{-1-\mu}[H(X-Y)-H(X)] d Y \\
&+h_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left[(X-Y)^{-1-\mu}-X^{-1-\mu}\right] d Y \\
&-h_{0} X^{-1-\mu} \int_{X / 2}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\lambda} H(Y) d Y, \\
& I_{1} \sim o\left(X^{-1} \int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{-\lambda}(X-Y)^{-1-\mu} d Y\right) \\
&+ h_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left[(X-Y)^{-1-\mu}-X^{-1-\mu}\right] d Y-\frac{2^{\lambda} h_{0}^{2} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu}}{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By setting $Y=X u$, we see that the first term in the last line $o\left(X^{-1-\lambda-\mu}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \sim h_{0}^{2} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu} \int_{0}^{1 / 2} u^{-1-\lambda}\left[(1-u)^{-1-\mu}-1\right] d u-\frac{2^{\lambda} h_{0}^{2} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu}}{\lambda} \\
& =h_{0}^{2} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu} A(\lambda, \mu)-\frac{2^{\lambda} h_{0}^{2} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu}}{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

say. Here

$$
A(\lambda, \mu)=\int_{0}^{1 / 2} u^{-1-\lambda}\left[(1-u)^{-1-\mu}-1\right] d u
$$

can be expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions. Similarly, calculating $I_{2}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \sim h_{0}^{2} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu} \int_{0}^{1 / 2} u^{-1-\mu}\left[(1-u)^{-1-\lambda}-1\right] d u-\frac{2^{\mu} h_{0}^{2} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu}}{\mu} \\
& =h_{0}^{2} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu} A(\mu, \lambda)-\frac{2^{\mu} h_{0}^{2} X^{-1-\lambda-\mu}}{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into (2.4) and letting $X \rightarrow 0$, we see that

$$
-(1-\lambda-\mu) h_{0}=h_{0}^{2}\left(A(\lambda, \mu)-\frac{2^{\lambda}}{\lambda}+A(\mu, \lambda)-\frac{2^{\mu}}{\mu}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}(\lambda, \mu)=\frac{\lambda \mu(1-\lambda-\mu)}{\mu\left(2^{\lambda}-\lambda A(\lambda, \mu)\right)+\lambda\left(2^{\mu}-\mu A(\mu, \lambda)\right)} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, for this to make sense, we need

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\lambda}-\lambda A(\lambda, \mu)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad 2^{\mu}-\mu A(\mu, \lambda)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad 0<\lambda+\mu<1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda=\mu=0$, this is clearly true, since from its definition $A(\lambda, \mu)($ similarly $A(\mu, \lambda))$ is bounded as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, by using the binomial series

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(\lambda, \mu) & =\int_{0}^{1 / 2} u^{-1-\lambda}\left[(1-u)^{-1-\mu}-1\right] d u \\
& =\int_{0}^{1 / 2} u^{-1-\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{u^{n}(\mu+1)(\mu+2) \ldots(\mu+n)}{n!}\right) d u \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-\lambda} \frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+2) \ldots(\mu+n)}{(n-\lambda) n!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
A(\mu, \lambda)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-\mu} \frac{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2) \ldots(\lambda+n)}{(n-\mu) n!}
$$

Therefore, the above series converge for any $\lambda$ and $\mu$. From this, it is clear that

$$
\frac{A(\lambda, \mu)}{2^{\lambda}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} \frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+2) \ldots(\mu+n)}{(n-\lambda) n!}
$$

and

$$
\frac{A(\mu, \lambda)}{2^{\mu}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} \frac{(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2) \ldots(\lambda+n)}{(n-\mu) n!}
$$

are increasing function of $\lambda$ and $\mu$. We also remark below that (2.6) is true (with equality) for $\lambda=\mu=1 / 2$.

Remark 2.1 If $\lambda=\mu$, then one obtains

$$
h_{0}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda(1-2 \lambda)}{2\left(2^{\lambda}-\lambda A(\lambda, \lambda)\right)} .
$$

With suitable scaling the above remark becomes $h_{0}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda(1-2 \lambda)}{2^{\lambda}-\lambda A(\lambda, \lambda)}$.

Remark 2.2 If $\lambda=\mu$ and $K(x, y)=(x y)^{\lambda}$ with $0<2 \lambda<1$, by applying the same argument as above, then one obtains

$$
h_{0}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda(1-2 \lambda)}{2^{\lambda}-\lambda A(\lambda, \lambda)}
$$

the same as in [5].

Remark 2.3 If $\lambda=\mu=0$, then one obtains $A(0,0)=\ln 2$.

Remark 2.4 If $\lambda=\mu=\frac{1}{2}$, then one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) & =\int_{0}^{1 / 2} u^{-3 / 2}\left[(1-u)^{-3 / 2}-1\right] d u \\
& =\left.\frac{2(2 \sqrt{1-x}+1) \sqrt{x}}{-x+\sqrt{1-x}+1}\right|_{0} ^{1 / 2}=2^{3 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We now need to investigate the desired behavior as $X \rightarrow \infty$. We are looking for exponential behavior. Therefore, on the left-hand side of (2.4), the dominant term should be $-X^{-\lambda-\mu} H^{\prime}(X)$. The last term on the right-hand side will be a higher-order exponential, and so negligible. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -X^{-\lambda-\mu} H^{\prime}(X) \\
& \sim \int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\lambda} H(Y)\left((X-Y)^{-1-\mu}[H(X-Y)-H(X)]\right. \\
& \left.+H(X)\left[(X-Y)^{-1-\mu}-X^{-1-\mu}\right]\right) d Y \\
& +\int_{0}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\mu} H(Y)\left((X-Y)^{-1-\lambda}[H(X-Y)-H(X)]\right. \\
& \left.+H(X)\left[(X-Y)^{-1-\lambda}-X^{-1-\lambda}\right]\right) d Y
\end{aligned}
$$

If $Y$ is bounded, say $Y \leq Y_{0}, Y_{0}$ large but fixed independent of $X$, then the contribution to the right-hand side is of order

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{Y_{0}} Y^{-1-\lambda} H(Y)\left((X-Y)^{-1-\mu}[H(X-Y)-H(X)]\right. \\
& \left.+H(X)\left[(X-Y)^{-1-\mu}-X^{-1-\mu}\right]\right) d Y \\
& +\int_{0}^{Y_{0}} Y^{-1-\mu} H(Y)\left((X-Y)^{-1-\lambda}[H(X-Y)-H(X)]\right. \\
& \left.+H(X)\left[(X-Y)^{-1-\lambda}-X^{-1-\lambda}\right]\right) d Y \\
& =O\left(X^{-2-\mu} H(X)\right)+O\left(X^{-1-\mu} H^{\prime}(X)\right) \\
& +O\left(X^{-2-\lambda} H(X)\right)+O\left(X^{-1-\lambda} H^{\prime}(X)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of smaller order than the right-hand side (for large $X$ ). Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -X^{-\lambda-\mu} H^{\prime}(X) \\
& \sim \int_{Y_{0}}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\lambda} H(Y)(X-Y)^{1-\mu} H(X-Y) d Y \\
& +\int_{Y_{0}}^{X / 2} Y^{-1-\mu} H(Y)(X-Y)^{1-\lambda} H(X-Y) d Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set

$$
H(X)=X \Phi(X)
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -X^{1-\lambda-\mu} \Phi^{\prime}(X) \\
\sim & \int_{Y_{0}}^{X / 2} Y^{-\lambda}(X-Y)^{-\mu} \Phi(Y) \Phi(X-Y) d Y \\
+ & \int_{Y_{0}}^{X / 2} Y^{-\mu}(X-Y)^{-\lambda} \Phi(Y) \Phi(X-Y) d Y \\
\sim & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{X} Y^{-\lambda}(X-Y)^{-\mu} \Phi(Y) \Phi(X-Y) d Y \\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{X} Y^{-\mu}(X-Y)^{-\lambda} \Phi(Y) \Phi(X-Y) d Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is readily verified that an exact solution of this

$$
\Phi(X)=c e^{-X}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
c(\lambda, \mu) & =\frac{2}{\int_{0}^{1} s^{-\lambda}(1-s)^{-\mu} d s+\int_{0}^{1} s^{-\mu}(1-s)^{-\lambda} d s} \\
& =\frac{\Gamma(2-\lambda-\mu)}{\Gamma(1-\lambda) \Gamma(1-\mu)} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for Gamma and Beta integrals, see [16]. This is, of course, not the only solution. We can also have

$$
\Phi(X)=a c(\lambda, \mu) e^{-a X}, \quad \text { for any } \quad a
$$

That is,

$$
H(X)=c(\lambda, \mu)(a X) e^{-a X}, \quad \text { for any } \quad a>0
$$

We can now formally formulate the following existence theorem.
Theorem 2.5 There exists a solution to (2.4) which is $C^{1}(0, \infty)$, and has the properties that
(i) $H(X) \rightarrow h_{0}(\lambda, \mu)$ as $X \rightarrow 0$, where $h_{0}(\lambda, \mu)$ is given by (2.5).
(ii) $H(X) \sim c(\lambda, \mu)(a X) e^{-a X}$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$, for some $a>0$, where $c(\lambda, \mu)$ is given by (2.7).

Remark 2.6 If $\lambda=\mu$, then from (2.7) one obtains

$$
c(\lambda)=\frac{\Gamma(2-2 \lambda)}{\Gamma^{2}(1-\lambda)}
$$

Remark 2.7 If $\lambda=\mu$, then Theorem 2.5 case (ii) is obtained as in [5].
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## 3. Further investigation of the solution as $X \rightarrow 0$

We aim to find a formula to determine $r$ in the following expansion. Set

$$
H(X)=h_{0}+a X^{r}+b X^{r+1}+\cdots
$$

Then from (2.4), considering just the first two terms, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -a r X^{r-1-\lambda-\mu}-(1-\lambda-\mu) X^{-1-\lambda-\mu}\left(h_{0}+a X^{r}\right) \\
& +X^{-1-\mu}\left(h_{0}+a X^{r}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{2} X}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left\{h_{0}+\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right)\right\} d Y \\
& +X^{-1-\lambda}\left(h_{0}+a X^{r}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{2} X}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\mu}\left\{h_{0}+\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right)\right\} d Y \\
& =\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} X} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left(h_{0}+a Y^{r}\right)\left[\left((X-Y)^{-1-\mu}-X^{-1-\mu}\right) h_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+a\left((X-Y)^{r-1-\mu}-X^{r-1-\mu}\right)\right] d Y \\
& +\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} X} Y^{-1-\mu}\left(h_{0}+a Y^{r}\right)\left[\left((X-Y)^{-1-\lambda}-X^{-1-\lambda}\right) h_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+a\left((X-Y)^{r-1-\lambda}-X^{r-1-\lambda}\right)\right] d Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, multiplying by $X^{1+\lambda+\mu-r}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -a r+(\lambda+\mu-1) h_{0} X^{-r}+a(\lambda+\mu-1) \\
& +X^{\lambda-r}\left(h_{0}+a X^{r}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{X}{2}\right)^{-\lambda} h_{0}+\int_{\frac{1}{2} X}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d Y\right\} \\
& +X^{\mu-r}\left(h_{0}+a X^{r}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{X}{2}\right)^{-\mu} h_{0}+\int_{\frac{1}{2} X}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\mu}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d Y\right\} \\
& =X^{-r} h_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\lambda}\left((1-u)^{-1-\mu}-1\right) d u \\
& +a h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{r-1-\lambda}\left((1-u)^{-1-\mu}-1\right) d u  \tag{3.1}\\
& +a h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\lambda}\left((1-u)^{r-1-\mu}-1\right) d u \\
& +X^{-r} h_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\mu}\left((1-u)^{-1-\lambda}-1\right) d u \\
& +a h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{r-1-\mu}\left((1-u)^{-1-\lambda}-1\right) d u \\
& +a h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\mu}\left((1-u)^{r-1-\lambda}-1\right) d u+O\left(X^{r}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$
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We now have six cases in (3.1) to consider depending on whether $r>\lambda, r=\lambda, r<\lambda, r>\mu, r=\mu$ and $r<\mu$. If we write

$$
K_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d Y \quad \text { and } \quad K_{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\mu}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d Y
$$

then the integral certainly exists if $H(Y)$ is ever bounded as $Y \rightarrow \infty$, since $H(Y)-h_{0}=O\left(Y^{r}\right)$. Thus, we have, using the value of $h_{0}$ from (2.5) to cancel out the highest terms,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -a r+a(\lambda+\mu-1)+\frac{2^{\lambda}}{\lambda} a h_{0}+\frac{2^{\mu}}{\mu} a h_{0} \\
& h_{0} X^{\lambda-r}\left(K_{1}-\int_{0}^{\frac{X}{2}} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d Y\right) \\
& +a X^{\lambda}\left(K_{1}-\int_{0}^{\frac{X}{2}} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d Y\right) \\
& +h_{0} X^{\mu-r}\left(K_{2}-\int_{0}^{\frac{X}{2}} Y^{-1-\mu}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d Y\right) \\
& +a X^{\mu}\left(K_{2}-\int_{0}^{\frac{X}{2}} Y^{-1-\mu}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d Y\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& =a h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{r-1-\lambda}\left((1-u)^{-1-\mu}-1\right) d u \\
& +a h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\lambda}\left((1-u)^{r-1-\mu}-1\right) d u \\
& +a h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{r-1-\mu}\left((1-u)^{-1-\lambda}-1\right) d u \\
& +a h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\mu}\left((1-u)^{r-1-\lambda}-1\right) d u+O\left(X^{r}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If $r>\lambda$ and $r>\mu$ in (3.2), then the dominant term are now $X^{\lambda-r} h_{0} K_{1}$ and $X^{\lambda-r} h_{0} K_{2}$, so we must have $K_{1}=K_{2}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} X} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d y \sim a \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} X} Y^{-1-\lambda} Y^{r} d Y=a \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} X\right)^{r-\lambda}}{r-\lambda} \\
& \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} X} Y^{-1-\mu}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d y \sim a \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} X} Y^{-1-\mu} Y^{r} d Y=a \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} X\right)^{r-\mu}}{r-\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$
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and so

$$
\begin{align*}
& -r+(\lambda+\mu-1)+\frac{2^{\lambda}}{\lambda} h_{0}+\frac{2^{\mu}}{\mu} h_{0}+h_{0} \frac{2^{\lambda-r}}{r-\lambda}+h_{0} \frac{2^{\mu-r}}{r-\mu} \\
& =h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{r-1-\lambda}\left((1-u)^{-1-\mu}-1\right) d u \\
& +h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\lambda}\left((1-u)^{r-1-\mu}-1\right) d u  \tag{3.3}\\
& +h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{r-1-\mu}\left((1-u)^{-1-\lambda}-1\right) d u \\
& +h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\mu}\left((1-u)^{r-1-\lambda}-1\right) d u+O\left(X^{r}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This should determine $r$.
If $r=\lambda$ and $r=\mu$, then this case is impossible since it produces a term $\log X$ in (3.2) which cannot be cancelled.

If $r<\lambda$ and $r<\mu$, then the dominant term are now from (3.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} X} Y^{-1-\lambda}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d y \sim a \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} X} Y^{-1-\lambda} Y^{r} d Y=-a \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} X\right)^{r-\lambda}}{r-\lambda} \\
& \int_{\frac{1}{2} X}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\mu}\left(H(Y)-h_{0}\right) d y \sim a \int_{\frac{1}{2} X}^{\infty} Y^{-1-\mu} Y^{r} d Y=-a \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} X\right)^{r-\mu}}{r-\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that (3.2) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& -r+(\lambda+\mu-1)+\frac{2^{\lambda-r}}{\lambda-r} h_{0}+\frac{2^{\lambda}}{\lambda} h_{0}+\frac{2^{\mu-r}}{\mu-r} h_{0}+\frac{2^{\mu}}{\mu} h_{0} \\
& =h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{r-1-\lambda}\left((1-u)^{-1-\mu}-1\right) d u \\
& +h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\lambda}\left((1-u)^{r-1-\mu}-1\right) d u  \tag{3.4}\\
& +h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{r-1-\mu}\left((1-u)^{-1-\lambda}-1\right) d u \\
& +h_{0} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} u^{-1-\mu}\left((1-u)^{r-1-\lambda}-1\right) d u
\end{align*}
$$

which is once again (3.3). This is the either case $r$ determined from (3.4).

Remark 3.1 If $\lambda=\mu$ and $K(x, y)=(x y)^{\lambda}$ with $0<2 \lambda<1$, then it was established in [5] that

$$
r(\lambda) \sim \frac{\lambda}{2} \pm \sqrt{\lambda} i \quad \text { as } \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0
$$

## 4. Conclusion

Kernel $K(x, y)=x^{\lambda} y^{\mu}+x^{\mu} y^{\lambda}$ we study is more general than the following kernels. $K(x, y)=1, K(x, y)=x+y$, $K(x, y)=x^{\lambda}+y^{\lambda}, K(x, y)=x y$ and $K(x, y)=(x y)^{\lambda}$ with $0<2 \lambda<1$. For importance of these kernels and their various applications see $[7-9,11-15]$ and references therein.
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