

Existence and transportation inequalities for fractional stochastic differential equations

Abdelghani OUAHAB^{1,*}, Mustapha BELABBAS², Johnny HENDERSON³,
Fethi SOUNA⁴

¹Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Djillali Liabes, Sidi Bel-Abbès, Algeria

²Laboratory of Statistics & Stochastic Processes, University of Djillali Liabs, Sidi Bel-Abbès, Algeria

³Department of Mathematics, Baylor University Waco, Texas, USA

⁴Laboratory of Biomathematics, University of Djillali Liabes, Sidi Bel Abbès, Algeria

Received: 01.10.2021

Accepted/Published Online: 02.01.2022

Final Version: 11.03.2022

Abstract: In this work, we establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a fractional stochastic differential equation driven by countably many Brownian motions on bounded and unbounded intervals. Also, we study the continuous dependence of solutions on initial data. Finally, we establish the transportation quadratic cost inequality for some classes of fractional stochastic equations and continuous dependence of solutions with respect Wasserstein distance.

Key words: Fractional differential equations, fractional integral, fractional derivative, Mittag–Leffler functions, fixed point, stochastic equation, transportation inequality, Wasserstein distance, entropy

1. Introduction

The theory of stochastic differential equations has become an active area of investigation due to their applications in the fields such as chemistry, mechanics, electrical engineering, medical biology, economical systems, finance and several fields in engineering, etc. One can find detailed information in [19, 22–24, 29, 31, 33, 34] and references therein.

In recent years, the subject of differential and integral equations via different types of fractional derivatives has received much attention because of its applications in various areas of sciences. For more information on applications we refer the reader to [1, 14, 25, 28, 36, 42] and references therein.

The existence and uniqueness of solutions for some classes of stochastic differential equations with fractional order derivative by employing the fixed point theory have been discussed in [2, 13, 15, 17, 18, 35, 40] and the references therein.

Because the modeling of a great many problems in real situations is described by stochastic differential equations, rather than deterministic equations, it is of great importance to study fractional differential equations with stochastic effects. Consider the following stochastic fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\alpha X_t = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f_l(t, X_t) dW_t^l + g(t, X_t) dt, & t \in [0, \infty), \\ X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*Correspondence: agh_ouahab@yahoo.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A33, 34A08, 26D20, 60H10.

where $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $(f_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given functions and $(W_t^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an infinite sequence of independent standard Brownian motions defined on a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, with $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions (i.e. right continuous and \mathcal{F}_0 containing all \mathbb{P} -null sets). An \mathbb{R} -valued random variable is an \mathcal{F}_t -measurable function $X_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the collection of random variables,

$$S = \{X(t, \omega) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid t \in [0, \infty)\},$$

is called a stochastic process. Generally, we write X_t instead of $X(t, \omega)$.

Set

$$\begin{cases} f(\cdot, x) = (f_1(\cdot, x), f_2(\cdot, x), \dots), \\ \|f(\cdot, x)\| = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f_l^2(\cdot, x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $f(\cdot, x) \in \ell^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\ell^2 = \left\{ \varphi = (\varphi_l)_{l \geq 1} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad : \|\varphi(t)\|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_l(t)|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Some existence results of solutions for differential equations and inclusions with infinite Brownian or fractional Brownian motion were obtained in [5, 6, 12, 20, 30].

The remainder of this work is organized as follows. Some auxiliary results from stochastic analysis and fractional calculus are gathered together in Section 2. In Section 3, we present results on the existence and continuous dependence of solutions on initial data. We end the paper with a transportation inequality of some classes of fractional stochastic differential equations.

2. Introduction

The theory of stochastic differential equations has become an active area of investigation due to their applications in the fields such as chemistry, mechanics, electrical engineering, medical biology, economical systems, finance and several fields in engineering, etc. One can find detailed information in [19, 22–24, 29, 31, 33, 34] and references therein.

In recent years, the subject of differential and integral equations via different types of fractional derivatives has received much attention because of its applications in various areas of sciences. For more information on applications we refer the reader to [1, 14, 25, 28, 36, 42] and references therein.

The existence and uniqueness of solutions for some classes of stochastic differential equations with fractional order derivative by employing the fixed point theory have been discussed in [2, 13, 15, 17, 18, 35, 40] and the references therein.

Because the modeling of a great many problems in real situations is described by stochastic differential equations, rather than deterministic equations, it is of great importance to study fractional differential equations with stochastic effects. Consider the following stochastic fractional differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\alpha X_t = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f_l(t, X_t) dW_t^l + g(t, X_t) dt, & t \in [0, \infty), \\ X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $(f_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given functions and $(W_t^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an infinite sequence of independent standard Brownian motions defined on a complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$, with $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the usual conditions (i.e. right continuous and \mathcal{F}_0 containing all \mathbb{P} -null sets). An \mathbb{R} -valued random variable is an \mathcal{F}_t -measurable function $X_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the collection of random variables,

$$S = \{X(t, \omega) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid t \in [0, \infty)\},$$

is called a stochastic process. Generally, we write X_t instead of $X(t, \omega)$.

Set

$$\begin{cases} f(\cdot, x) = (f_1(\cdot, x), f_2(\cdot, x), \dots), \\ \|f(\cdot, x)\| = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f_l^2(\cdot, x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $f(\cdot, x) \in \ell^2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\ell^2 = \left\{ \varphi = (\varphi_l)_{l \geq 1} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad : \|\varphi(t)\|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_l(t)|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Some existence results of solutions for differential equations and inclusions with infinite Brownian or fractional Brownian motion were obtained in [5, 6, 12, 20, 30].

The remainder of this work is organized as follows. Some auxiliary results from stochastic analysis and fractional calculus are gathered together in Section 2. In Section 3, we present results on the existence and continuous dependence of solutions on initial data. We end the paper with a transportation inequality of some classes of fractional stochastic differential equations.

3. Preliminaries

For each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ denote the space of all \mathcal{F}_t -measurable, mean square integrable functions $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}\|X\|^2 < \infty, \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}).$$

We shall write $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ instead of $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$.

Definition 3.1 A $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted process $X : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a solution of equation (2.1) with initial condition $X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}$ if, for all $t \geq 0$, the following integral stochastic equation holds,

$$X_t = x + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f_l(s, X_s) dW_s^l + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, X_s) ds,$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha) := \int_0^{\infty} s^{\alpha-1} e^{-s} ds$ is the Gamma function.

Let $T > 0$. \mathbb{H}_2 stands for the Banach space of adapted processes X , equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}$ such that

$$\|X\|_{\mathbb{H}_2} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (E\|X_t\|^2)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

We define for all $\gamma > 0$ the weighted norm $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ by

$$\|X\|_\gamma := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}\|X_t\|^2}{E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma t^{2\alpha-1})}} \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathbb{H}_2,$$

where $E_{2\alpha-1}(\cdot)$ is the Mittag-Leffler function such that

$$E_{2\alpha-1}(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{\Gamma((2\alpha-1)k+1)} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For more details about the Mittag-Leffler functions, see [14]. Obviously, $(\mathbb{H}_2, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$ is a Banach space, since the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ are equivalent.

Lemma 3.2 *For all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $\gamma > 0$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_\alpha(\gamma s^\alpha) ds \leq E_\alpha(\gamma t^\alpha).$$

Proof Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. We consider first the linear problem

$${}^c D^\alpha y(t) = \gamma y(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{3.1}$$

From [14, Theorem 7.2 and Remark 7.1], the function $y(t) = E(\gamma t^\alpha)$ is a solution of (3.1), and for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$E(\gamma t^\alpha) = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_\alpha(\gamma s^\alpha) ds.$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

We recall Gronwall’s lemma for singular kernels, whose proof can be found in [41, Lemma 7.1.1].

Lemma 3.3 *Let $v : [0, b) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a real function and $w(\cdot)$ be a nonnegative, locally integrable function on $[0, b)$, (some $b \leq +\infty$) and $a(t)$ be a nonnegative, nondecreasing continuous function defined on $0 \leq t < b$, with $a(t) \leq M$ (constant), and suppose $v(t)$ is nonnegative and locally integrable on $0 \leq t < b$. Assume $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$v(t) \leq w(t) + a(t) \int_0^t \frac{v(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\gamma}} ds.$$

Then

$$v(t) \leq w(t) + \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a(s)\Gamma(\gamma))^n}{\Gamma(n\gamma)} (t-s)^{n\gamma-1} w(s) ds,$$

for every $t \in [0, b)$.

In the following, we state standing hypotheses holding for the coefficients f and g in our model of this paper.

(H₁) There exists $K > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| + |g(t, x) - g(t, y)| \leq K|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(H₂) $\|f(\cdot, 0)\|_\infty := \text{ess sup}_{s \in [0, \infty)} \|f(s, 0)\| < \infty$ and $\int_0^\infty |g(s, 0)|^2 ds < \infty$.

4. Main results

The following result is one of the elementary properties of square-integrable stochastic processes [29].

Lemma 4.1 (*Itô Isometry for Elementary Processes*) Let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfy the usual conditions and be generated by $(W_t^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$. Given two sequences of measurable $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted processes X_i and Y_i , set

$$\begin{cases} M_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} X_i(s) dW_s^i, \\ N_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} Y_i(s) dW_s^i. \end{cases}$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|X_i\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2 < \infty$, then M is a continuous $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -martingale. The quadratic variation of M denoted by $[M]_t$ is

$$[M]_t = \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} |X(s)|^2 ds, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

where $|X(s)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i^2(s)$. And the cross variation of M and N , denoted by $[M, N]_t$, is

$$[M, N]_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} X_i(s) Y_i(s) ds, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Proof Let $n \geq 1$, we put

$$M_t^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} X_i(s) dW_s^i.$$

For all $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \|X_i(s)\|^2 ds &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \|X_i(s)\|^2 ds \\ &= \int_0^t J(s) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E} \|X_i(s)\|^2}{E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma s^{2\alpha-1})}} \right)^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J(s) = (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma s^{2\alpha-1}).$$

Then, by the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\gamma}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \|X_i(s)\|^2 ds \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_{\gamma}^2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma s^{2\alpha-1}) ds.$$

Then Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \|X_i(s)\|^2 ds \leq \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha-1)E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma T^{2\alpha-1})}{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_\gamma^2.$$

Choose and fix a positive constant γ such that

$$\gamma = \Gamma(2\alpha-1)E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma T^{2\alpha-1}).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \|X_i(s)\|^2 ds \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_\gamma^2.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_\gamma^2 < \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \|X_i(s)\|^2 ds < \infty.$$

Consequently, M^n is a continuous $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -martingale for all $n \geq 1$, and its quadratic variation is $[M^n]_t$ such that

$$[M^n]_t = \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^n (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} X_i^2(s) ds.$$

By the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality [10, 11], we have, for some positive real constant C ,

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} (M_s^n - M_s^m)^2 \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \sum_{i=n \wedge m+1}^{n \vee m} \mathbb{E} \|X_i(s)\|^2 ds.$$

By the definition of $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ and Lemma 4.1, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} (M_s^n - M_s^m)^2 \leq \frac{C\Gamma(2\alpha-1)E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma T^{2\alpha-1})}{\gamma} \sum_{i=n \wedge m+1}^{n \vee m} \|X_i\|_\gamma^2.$$

Choose and fix a positive constant γ such that

$$\gamma = C\Gamma(2\alpha-1)E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma T^{2\alpha-1}).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} (M_s^n - M_s^m)^2 \leq \sum_{i=n \wedge m+1}^{n \vee m} \|X_i\|_\gamma^2.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|X_i\|_\gamma^2 < \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} (M_s^n - M_s^m)^2 \leq \sum_{i=n \wedge m+1}^{n \vee m} \|X_i\|_\gamma^2 \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \longrightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$n \wedge m = \min(n, m), \quad n \vee m = \max(n, m).$$

So M^n is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm $(\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\cdot)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for any bounded time interval $[0, T]$. Denote its limit by M . Consequently, by the continuity of M^n , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(M_t^n / \mathcal{F}_s) - \mathbb{E}(M_t / \mathcal{F}_s))^2 = 0, \quad \text{for all } s < t,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(M_s^n - M_s)^2 = 0.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}(M_t^n / \mathcal{F}_s) = M_s^n$ for all $s < t$ and $n \geq 1$, by the two previous limits, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(M_t / \mathcal{F}_s) = M_s, \quad \text{for all } s < t.$$

Hence, M is a continuous $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ -martingale. Moreover, $[M^n]_t$ converges to $[M]_t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in probability, for all $t \geq 0$, i.e.

$$[M]_t = \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} |X(s)|^2 ds, \quad \text{where } |X(s)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i^2(s).$$

Similarly, the cross variation of M and N for all $t \geq 0$ is

$$[M, N]_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} X_i(s) Y_i(s) ds.$$

□

Now, we define the operator L on \mathbb{H}_2 by

$$(LX)(t) = x + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f_l(s, X_s) dW_s^l + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, X_s) ds.$$

Lemma 4.2 *The operator L is well-defined on $\mathbb{H}_2([0, T])$.*

Proof Let $X \in \mathbb{H}_2$, then for all $t \in [0, T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t)\|^2 &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x\|^2 + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f_l(s, X_s) dW_s^l \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, X_s) ds \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t)\|^2 &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x\|^2 + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} |f(s, X_s)|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, X_s) ds \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x\|^2 + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} |f(s, X_s)|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3t^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|g(s, X_s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

From (H_1) and (H_2) , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t)\|^2 &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x\|^2 + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \times \\ &\quad 2(|f(s, X_s) - f(s, 0)|^2 + |f(s, 0)|^2)ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3t^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t 2(\|g(s, X_s) - g(s, 0)\|^2 + \|g(s, 0)\|^2)ds \\ &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x\|^2 + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2}2(K^2\|X_s\|^2 + |f(\cdot, 0)|_\infty^2)ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3t^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t 2(K^2\|X_s\|^2 + \|g(s, 0)\|^2)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t)\|^2 &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x\|^2 + \frac{6t^{2\alpha-1}|f(\cdot, 0)|_\infty^2}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} + \frac{6t^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)}\int_0^t \|g(s, 0)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{6K^2t^{2\alpha}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)}\|X\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2 + \frac{6K^2t^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)}\|X\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t)\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x\|^2 + \frac{6T^{2\alpha-1}|f(\cdot, 0)|_\infty^2}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \\ &\quad + \frac{6T^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)}\int_0^T \|g(s, 0)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{6K^2T^{2\alpha}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} + \frac{6K^2T^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \right) \|X\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|LX\|_{\mathbb{H}_2} < \infty$. Hence, the map L is well-defined. □

Theorem 4.3 Assume that (H_1) and (H_2) hold. Then problem (2.1) has a unique global solution on $[0, \infty)$.

Proof We show that, for every $T > 0$, the operator L is a contractive map with respect to some Bielecki-type norm on \mathbb{H}_2 which will be defined later. Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{H}_2$ and $t \in [0, T]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t) - (LY)(t)\|^2 &= \mathbb{E}\left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (f_l(s, X_s) - f_l(s, Y_s)) dW_s^l \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (g(s, X_s) - g(s, Y_s)) ds \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (f_l(s, X_s) - f_l(s, Y_s)) dW_s^l \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (g(s, X_s) - g(s, Y_s)) ds \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t) - (LY)(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (f_l(s, X_s) - f_l(s, Y_s))^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{2t}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E} \|g(s, X_s) - g(s, Y_s)\|^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E} |f(s, X_s) - f(s, Y_s)|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{2t}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E} \|g(s, X_s) - g(s, Y_s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

From (H_1) , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t) - (LY)(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{2K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}\|X_s - Y_s\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{2tK^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}\|X_s - Y_s\|^2 ds \\ &= \frac{2K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} (t+1) \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}\|X_s - Y_s\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t) - (LY)(t)\|^2}{E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma t^{2\alpha-1})} &\leq \frac{2(t+1)K^2}{E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma t^{2\alpha-1})\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma s^{2\alpha-1}) \times \\ &\quad \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}\|X_s - Y_s\|^2}{E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma s^{2\alpha-1})}} \right)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_\gamma$ for the Bielecki-type norm on \mathbb{H}_2 , then the definition of $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ and the Lemma 4.1 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbb{E}\|(LX)(t) - (LY)(t)\|^2}{E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma t^{2\alpha-1})} &\leq \frac{2(t+1)K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \|X - Y\|_\gamma^2 \left(\frac{\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma s^{2\alpha-1}) ds}{E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma t^{2\alpha-1})} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2(t+1)K^2\Gamma(2\alpha-1)}{\gamma\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \|X - Y\|_\gamma^2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\|L(X) - L(Y)\|_\gamma \leq \lambda \|X - Y\|_\gamma, \quad \text{where } \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2(T+1)K^2\Gamma(2\alpha-1)}{\gamma\Gamma^2(\alpha)}}.$$

Choose and fix a positive constant γ such that

$$\gamma > \frac{3(T+1)K^2\Gamma(2\alpha-1)}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)}.$$

Then $\lambda < 1$, and therefore, L is a contraction mapping. According to the Banach fixed point theorem, the unique fixed point of this map is the unique solution on \mathbb{H}_2 of problem (2.1). \square

We are now in the position to prove the continuous dependence of solutions on the initial data on bounded intervals for the problem (2.1).

Theorem 4.4 *Assume that (H_1) holds. Then for any bounded time interval $[0, T]$ the solution of problem (2.1) depends continuously on x , i.e.*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \eta} \|X^x - X^\eta\|_{\mathbb{H}_2} = 0.$$

Proof Fix $T > 0$ and $x, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let X_t^x and X_t^η be two solutions of problem (2.1), i.e.

$$X_t^x = x + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f_l(s, X_s^x) dW_s^l + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, X_s^x) ds,$$

$$X_t^\eta = \eta + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f_l(s, X_s^\eta) dW_s^l + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, X_s^\eta) ds.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t^x - X_t^\eta\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\left\|x - \eta + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (f_l(s, X_s^x) - f_l(s, X_s^\eta)) dW_s^l + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (g(s, X_s^x) - g(s, X_s^\eta)) ds\right\|^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|X_t^x - X_t^\eta\|^2 &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x - \eta\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (f_l(s, X_s^x) - f_l(s, X_s^\eta)) dW_s^l\right\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (g(s, X_s^x) - g(s, X_s^\eta)) ds\right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1 and Hölder's inequality, from (H_1) , we get

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t^x - X_t^\eta\|^2 \leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x - \eta\|^2 + \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} (t+1) \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}\|X_s^x - X_s^\eta\|^2 ds.$$

By the definition of $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$, we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\|X_t^x - X_t^\eta\|^2}{E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma t^{2\alpha-1})} \leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x - \eta\|^2 + \frac{3(t+1)K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \|X^x - X^\eta\|_\gamma^2 \left(\frac{\int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma s^{2\alpha-1}) ds}{E_{2\alpha-1}(\gamma t^{2\alpha-1})} \right).$$

Therefore, using Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\|X^x - X^\eta\|_\gamma^2 \leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x - \eta\|^2 + \frac{3(T+1)K^2\Gamma(2\alpha-1)}{\gamma\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \|X^x - X^\eta\|_\gamma^2.$$

Since $\gamma > \frac{3(T+1)K^2\Gamma(2\alpha-1)}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)}$, we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{3(T+1)K^2\Gamma(2\alpha-1)}{\gamma\Gamma^2(\alpha)}\right) \|X^x - X^\eta\|_\gamma^2 \leq 3\mathbb{E}\|x - \eta\|^2.$$

We conclude

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \eta} \|X^x - X^\eta\|_{\mathbb{H}_2} = 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

5. Talagrand transportation inequalities

Let (X, d) be a metric space and \mathcal{A} be the Borel sets on X . We denote by $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ the space of probability measure. For every $p \in [1, \infty)$ we define the Wasserstein distance $W_p : \mathcal{P}_p(X) \times \mathcal{P}_p(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$W_p(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \left(\int_{X \times X} d(x, y)^p d\pi(x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

, where $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ is the set of all probability measures on the product space $X \times X$ with marginals μ and ν .

Definition 5.1 Let μ and ν two measures on (X, \mathcal{A}) . A measure ν is called absolutely continuous with respect μ , if we have

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, \mu(A) = 0 \implies \nu(A) = 0.$$

We denote this by $\nu \ll \mu$.

For investigation of the Monge–Kantorovich optimal transportation problem, this distance plays an important role in the minimal cost to transport distribution μ into ν at the cost rate (cost function) d . Proprieties and some applications of the Wasserstein distance can be found in the important contribution by Ambrosio et al. [3] and Villani [39].

The relative entropy of the probability measure ν with respect to μ is defined by

$$H(\mu|\nu) = \begin{cases} \int_X \ln \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\nu, & \nu \ll \mu, \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.2 Given probability measure μ , if there exists $C > 0$ such that for every probability measure ν ,

$$W_2(\mu, \nu) \leq \sqrt{CH(\mu|\nu)},$$

then we say μ satisfies the transportation and entropy inequality.

In this section we study the transportation inequality of the the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\alpha X_t &= f(t, X_t)dW_t + g(t, X_t)dt, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ X_0 &= x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $f, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions.

In 1996, Talagrand [38] estimated the transportation distance (or Wasserstein distance) with a quadratic cost of the standard Gaussian measure by the entropy functional. Transportation-cost inequalities have been recently deeply studied, because of their connection between the concentrations of measure phenomenon, or for deviation inequalities for Markov processes [21, 26]. The Talagrand inequality was generalized by Otto and Villani [32].

By means of Girsanov’s formula, Djellout et al. [16] obtained a direct proof of Talagrand’s transportation inequality for the law of a diffusion process. This idea was used for stochastic differential equations [4, 7–9, 27].

5.1. Transportation inequality

Now, we will establish the transport inequality for the solution of (5.1).

Theorem 5.3 Assume that the conditions (H_1) and that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$|f(t, x)| \leq M, \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R},$$

hold, and let \mathbb{P}_x be a law of the proesses $X_t(x, \cdot)$ solution of the problem (5.1). Then

$$W_2(\mathbb{P}_x, \mathbb{Q}) \leq \sqrt{2CH(\mathbb{P}|\mathbb{Q})}.$$

Proof Let $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(C([0, T], \mathbb{R}))$ such that $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}_x$. Consider

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}_x}(X.(x, \cdot))\mathbb{P}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} H(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}|\mathbb{P}) &= \int_{\Omega} \ln \left(\frac{d\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right) d\widehat{\mathbb{Q}} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \ln \left(\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}_x}(X.(x, \cdot)) \right) \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}_x}(X.(x, \cdot)) d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_{C([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \ln \left(\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \right) \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}_x} d\mathbb{P}_x \\ &= \int_{C([0, T], \mathbb{R})} \ln \left(\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}_x} \right) d\mathbb{Q} \\ &= H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}_x). \end{aligned}$$

As in [16], there exists $\widehat{f} \in L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ with $\int_0^T |\widehat{f}(s)|^2 ds < \infty$, \mathbb{P} -almost surely, such that

$$H(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}|\mathbb{P}) = H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}_x) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}} \left(\int_0^T |\widehat{f}(s)|^2 ds \right).$$

By the Girsanov theorem, the following process \widehat{W}_t defined by

$$\widehat{W}_t = W_t - \int_0^t \widehat{f}(s) ds$$

is a Brownian motion with respect the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \widehat{\mathbb{Q}})$. We consider the following problem for the fractional stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D^\alpha Y_t &= f(t, Y_t) d\widehat{W}_t + g(t, Y_t) dt, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ Y_0 &= x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

From Theorem 4.3, there exists a unique solution $Y \in \mathbb{H}_2([0, T])$ such that

$$Y_t = x + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, Y_s) d\widehat{W}_s + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, Y_s) ds.$$

Under $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$, the law of $(Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is exactly \mathbb{P}_x . Hence (X, Y) , under $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$, is a coupling of $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}_x)$. This implies that

$$W_2(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}_x)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}} \|X - Y\|_\infty^2.$$

Let $t \in [0, T]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (f(s, X_s) - f(s, Y_s)) dW_s \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, Y_s) \widehat{f}(s) ds \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (g(s, X_s) - g(s, Y_s)) ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (f(s, X_s) - f(s, Y_s)) dW_s \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, Y_s) \widehat{f}(s) ds \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (g(s, X_s) - g(s, Y_s)) ds \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 &\leq \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, Y_s) \widehat{f}(s) ds \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (g(s, X_s) - g(s, Y_s)) ds \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 &\leq \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, Y_s) \widehat{f}(s) ds \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} ds \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3K^2 M^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} ds \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} ds \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 &\leq \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3K^2 M^2}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} t^{2\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} ds \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 &\leq \frac{3K^2 M^2 T^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{3K^2 T^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = C_1 + C_2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds, \quad t \in [0, b].$$

Then

$$\mathcal{V}'(t) = C_2 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2, \quad \mathcal{V}(0) = C_1$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 \leq \mathcal{V}(t) + C_3 \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds,$$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{3K^2 M^2 T^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}^2, \quad C_2 = \frac{3K^2 T^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)}, \quad C_3 = \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)}, \quad \beta = 2\alpha - 1.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 &\leq \mathcal{V}(t) + \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(C_3 \Gamma(\beta))^n}{\Gamma(n\beta)} (t-s)^{n\beta-1} \mathcal{V}(s) ds \\ &\leq \mathcal{V}(t) + \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(C_3 \Gamma(\beta))^n}{\Gamma(n\beta)} (t-s)^{n\beta-1} \mathcal{V}(t) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 \leq \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(C_3 \Gamma(\beta) T^\beta)^n}{\Gamma(n\beta + 1)} \right] \mathcal{V}(t).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 \leq C_1 E(C_3 \Gamma(\beta) T^\alpha) + C_2 E(C_3 \Gamma(\beta) T^\alpha) \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds.$$

By Gronwall's Lemma

$$\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 \leq C_1 E(C_3 \Gamma(\beta) T^\alpha) e^{t C_2 E(C_3 \Gamma(\beta) T^\alpha)} \quad t \in [0, T].$$

This means that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}}|X_t - Y_t|^2 \leq \frac{C}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}} \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2}^2 \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where

$$C = \frac{6K^2 M^2 T^{2\alpha-1} e^{T C_2 E(C_3 \Gamma(\beta) T^\alpha)}}{(2\alpha - 1) \Gamma^2(\alpha)} E(C_3 \Gamma(\beta) T^\alpha).$$

Thus it follows,

$$W_2^2(\mathbb{P}_x, \mathbb{Q}) \leq CH(\mathbb{P}_x | \mathbb{Q}).$$

The proof of this lemma is complete. □

Now, we give the continuity dependance result via the Wasserstein distance.

Theorem 5.4 *Assume that the condition (H_1) holds. Then, for every pair of solutions X_t, Y_t to (5.1), with respective laws $\mu_t, \nu_t \in P_2(\mathbb{H}_2([0, T]))$, such that the initial data $X_0, Y_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$, we have*

$$W_2(\mu_t, \nu_t) \leq C(t) W_2(\mu_0, \nu_0),$$

where μ_0, ν_0 are laws of X_0, Y_0 , respectively, and $C \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$.

Proof From [39], it is clear that we can rewrite W_2 in the following form,

$$W_2(\mu_t, \nu_t) = \inf \{ [E \|X_t - Y_t\|_\infty^2]^2 : \text{law}(X_t) = \mu_t, \text{law}(Y_t) = \nu_t \}.$$

Since X_t, Y_t are solutions of (5.1), then

$$X_t = X_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, X_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, X_s) ds$$

and

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, Y_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s, Y_s) ds.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|X_t - Y_t|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left| X_0 - Y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (f(s, X_s) - f(s, Y_s)) dW_s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (g(s, X_s) - g(s, Y_s)) ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq 3\mathbb{E}|X_0 - Y_0|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (f(s, X_s) - f(s, Y_s)) dW_s \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (g(s, X_s) - g(s, Y_s)) ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq 3\mathbb{E}|X_0 - Y_0|^2 + \frac{3K^2}{\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\alpha-2} \mathbb{E}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3K^2 t^{2\alpha-1}}{(2\alpha-1)\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}|X_s - Y_s|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument of Theorem 4.4, we can prove that there exist $M_1, M_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}|X_t - Y_t|^2 \leq M_1 e^{M_2 t} \mathbb{E}|X_0 - Y_0|^2, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Since $\text{law}(X_t) = \mu_t$ and $\text{law}(Y_t) = \nu_t$, then

$$W_2^2(\mu_t, \nu_t) \leq \mathbb{E}|X_t - Y_t|^2.$$

By taking the infimum over X_0 and Y_0 , we obtain

$$W_2^2(\mu_t, \nu_t)^2 \leq M_1 e^{M_2 t} W_2^2(\mu_0, \nu_0), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

□

Acknowledgment

The research of A. Ouahab has been partially supported by the General Direction of Scientific Research and Technological Development (DGRSDT), Algeria. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and pertinent comments; their constructive suggestions substantially improved the quality of the work.

References

- [1] Abbas S, Benchohra M, Graef JR, Henderson J. Implicit Fractional Differential and Integral Equations. Existence and Stability. De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications 26. Berlin: De Gruyter, 2018.
- [2] Ouaddaha A, Henderson J, Nieto JJ, Ouahab A. A fractional Bihari inequality and some applications to fractional differential equations and stochastic equations, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics 2021; 18 (6): Paper No. 242, 44 pp. doi: 10.1007/s00009-021-01917-z

- [3] Ambrosio L, Gigli N, Savaré G. Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures. Lectures in Mathematics, ETH Zürich. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2005.
- [4] Bao J, Wang FY, Yuan C. Transportation cost inequalities for neutral functional stochastic equations. *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen* 2013; 32: 457-475. doi: 10.1007/s10114-020-9031-z
- [5] Blouhi T, Caraballo T, Ouahab A. Existence and stability results for semilinear systems of impulsive stochastic differential equations with fractional Brownian motion. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 2016; 34: 792-834. doi: 10.1080/07362994.2016.1180994
- [6] Blouhi T, Caraballo T, Ouahab A. Topological method for coupled systems of impulsive stochastic semilinear differential inclusions with fractional Brownian motion. *Fixed Point Theory* 2019; 20: 71-106. doi: 10.24193/fpt-ro.2019.1.05
- [7] Bobkov S, Götze F. Exponential integrability and transportation cost related to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 1999; 163: 1-28. doi: 10.1006/jfan.1998.3326
- [8] Boufoussi B, Hajji S. Neutral stochastic functional differential equations driven by a fractional Brownian motion in a Hilbert space. *Statistics & Probability Letters* 2012; 82: 1549-1558. doi: 10.1016/j.spl.2012.04.013
- [9] Boufoussi B, Hajji S. Transportation inequalities for neutral stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter lesser than 1/2, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* 2017; 14: 1-16. doi: 10.1007/s00009-017-0992-9
- [10] Burkholder DL. Martingale transforms, *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics* 1966; 37: 1494-1504. doi: 10.1214/aoms/1177699141
- [11] Burkholder DL, Gundy RF. Extrapolation and interpolation of quasi-linear operators on martingales, *Acta Mathematica* 1970; 124: 249-304. doi: 10.1007/bf02394573
- [12] Cao G, He K. On a type of stochastic differential equations driven by countably many Brownian motions. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 2003; 203: 262-285. doi: 10.1016/s0022-1236(03)00066-1
- [13] Cui J, Yan L. Existence result for fractional neutral stochastic integro-differential equations with infinite delay. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 2011; 44 (33): 335201 16 pp. doi: 10.1088/1751-8113/44/33/335201
- [14] Diethelm D. *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*. Springer, Braunschweig, Germany, 2004.
- [15] Ding XL, Cao-Labora D, Nieto JJ. A new generalized Gronwall inequality with a double singularity and its applications to fractional stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 2019; 37 (6): 1042-1056. doi: 10.1088/1751-8113/44/33/335201
- [16] Djellout H, Guillin A, Wu L. Transportation cost-information inequalities and applications to random dynamical systems and diffusions. *The Annals of Probability* 2004; 32: 2702-2732. doi: 10.2307/3481645
- [17] Doan TS, Huong PT, Kloeden PE, Tuan HT. Asymptotic separation between solutions of Caputo fractional stochastic differential equations. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 2018; 36: 654-664. doi: 10.1080/07362994.2018.1440243
- [18] Doan TS, Huong PT, Kloeden PE, Vu AM. Euler-Maruyama scheme for Caputo stochastic fractional differential equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 2020; 380: 112989. 15pp, doi: 10.1016/j.cam.2020.112989
- [19] Graef JR, Henderson J, Ouahab A. Fractional differential inclusions in the Almgren sense. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* 2015; 18: 673-686. doi: 10.1515/fca-2015-0041
- [20] Guilan C, Kai H. On a type of stochastic differential equations driven by countably many Brownian motions. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 2003; 203: 262-285. doi: 10.1016/s0022-1236(03)00066-1
- [21] Guillin A, Léonard C, Wu LM, Yao N. Transportation information inequalities for Markov processes. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 2009; 144: 669-696. doi: 10.1007/s00440-008-0159-5.

- [22] Han X, Kloeden PE. Random Ordinary Differential Equations and Their Numerical Solution. Springer, 2017.
- [23] Karatzas I, Shreve SE. Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [24] Karoui N El, Peng S, Quenez MC. Backward Stochastic Differential in Finance, Lab. Probab. Univ. Paris VI 260 1994.
- [25] Kilbas AA, Srivastava HM, Trujillo JJ. Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204, Elsevier Science B. V. Amsterdam, 2006.
- [26] Ledoux M. The Concentration of Measure Phenomenon. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 89. American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 2001.
- [27] Li Z, Luo J. Transportation inequalities for stochastic delay evolution equations driven by fractional Brownian motion. *Frontiers of Mathematics in China* 2011; 10: 303-321. doi: 10.1007/s00440-008-0159-5
- [28] Malinowska AB, Torres DFM. Introduction to the Fractional Calculus of Variations. Imperial College Press, London, 2012.
- [29] Mao X. Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1997.
- [30] Mekki S, Blouhi T, Nieto JJ, Ouahab A. Some existence results for systems of impulsive stochastic differential equations. *Annales Mathematicae Silesianae* 2021; 35: 260-281. doi: 10.2478/amsil-2020-0028
- [31] Øksendal B. Stochastic Differential Equations: An Introduction with Applications (Fourth Edition) Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [32] Otto F, Villani C. Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 2000; 173: 361-400. doi: 10.1006/jfan.1999.3557.
- [33] Pardoux E, Rascanu A. Stochastic Differential Equations, Backward SDEs, Partial Differential Equations, Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability, 69. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [34] Da Prato G, Zabczyk J. Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [35] Sakhivel R, Revathi P, Ren Y. Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional stochastic differential equations. *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications* 2013; 81: 70-86. doi: 10.1016/j.na.2012.10.009.
- [36] Samko SG, Kilbas AA, Marichev OI. Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- [37] Sausseureau B. Transportation inequalities for stochastic differential equations driven by a fractional Brownian motion. *Bernoulli* 2012; 18: 1-23. doi: 10.3150/10-BEJ324
- [38] Talagrand M. Transportation cost for Gaussian and other product measures, *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 1996, 6: 587-600. doi: 10.1007/bf02249265.
- [39] Villani C. Optimal Transport: Old and New. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences] 338. Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [40] Yang H, Kloeden PE, Wu F. Weak solution of stochastic differential equations with fractional diffusion coefficient. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 2018; 36 (4): 613-621. doi: 10.1080/07362994.2018.1434005
- [41] Ye HP, Gao JM, Ding YS. A generalized Gronwall inequality and its application to a fractional differential equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2007; 328: 1075-1081. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.05.061
- [42] Zhou Y, Wang J, Zhang L. Basic Theory of Fractional Differential Equations. Second edition. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2017.