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#### Abstract

This paper presents a novel class of third order nonlinear nonautonomous neutral differential equation with delay. The third order neutral differential equation is cut down to a system of first order, a suitable complete Lyapunov-Krasovskii's functional is constructed and used, to obtain standard conditions on the nonlinear functions to ensure stability and uniform asymptotic stability of the trivial solutions, the existence of a unique periodic solution, uniform boundedness and uniform ultimate boundedness of solutions when the forcing term is nonzero. The obtained results are new and include many prominent results on neutral and nonneutral delay differential equations in literature. Finally, the practicability and reliability of the theoretical results are demonstrated.


Key words: Third order, nonlinear neutral differential equation, uniform stability, uniform ultimate boundedness

## 1. Introduction

Qualitative behaviour of ordinary and partial differential equations such as stability, instability, decay and boundedness, oscillation, asymptotic behaviour, convergence, and the existence of unique periodic solutions with or without delay, have been impressively examined by prominent researchers. Distinct techniques such as the generalized Riccati substitution (Cesarano and Bazighifan [14, 15], Chatzarakis et al. [16], Fite [25]); classical Riccati transformation technique (Wei [44]); linearization technique (Došlá and Liška [23]); the comparison theorem (or principle) (Trench [41]); Banach fixed point theorem (Stokes [39]); coincidence degree theory in (Dahiya, and Akinyele [17], Das and Misra [18]); the general theory of semigroup as found in (Avrin [9], Okoya and Ayeni [35], Okoya [36, 37]); and Lyapunov's second method in (Ademola and Arawomo [2, 3], Ademola et al. [4, 5], Kirane [30], Remili and Beldjerd [33], Remili and Oudjedi [34], Tejumola and Tchegnani [40], Tunç [42, 43]) to mention but a few, have been utilized by these authors. Outstanding results on the qualitative behaviour of solutions to both ordinary and partial differential equations with and without delay are discussed in these expository research works.

Functional differential equations are general applicable differential equations which include the classical ordinary and partial differential equations, its applications are found in technical problems, mechanical system under the action of dissipative, gyroscopic forces, hydraulic engineering applications (Arino et al. [8], Halanay and Rǎsvan [26], Kolmanovskii and Myshkis [31]); physical applications to control problems, feedback control
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system, distribution of primes, the theory of growth in a species, examination of predator-prey models, electrical networks containing lossless transmission lines, variational problems (Arino et al. [8], Hale [27, 28], Hale and Lunel [29], Kolmanovskii and Myshkis [31]); study of materials which is viscous and elastic in nature, motion of a particle in a liquid and rigid body, relativistic dynamics and oscillation, study of nuclear rectors, distribute and neural networks (Kolmanovskii and Myshkis [31]); the circummutation of plants in sunflower (Hale and Lunel [29]); and model of fish population, decomposition theory, epidemic models, vaccinated state model (Arino et al. [8], Hale [28], Hale and Lunel [29]).

As a result of these untrammeled areas of applications in many subdivisions of human endeavour and things, authors in recent years, have developed various techniques to present background studies to system of functional differential equations (see Agarwal et al. [7], Arino et al. [8], Burton [11-13], Driver [24], Halanay and Rǎsvan [26], Hale [27, 28], Hale and Lunel [29] and Yoshizawa [45]). Therefore, the study of the asymptotic behaviour of solutions for nonlinear nonautonomous functional differential equations cannot be overemphasized. There are several estimable methods that have been developed by researchers to study the qualitative behaviour of solutions. Among these methods that provide concise and worthful information on the behaviour of solutions without solving the differential equation in question, is the direct method of Lyapunov. In this paper, we shall consider the nonlinear nonautonomous third order neutral differential equation with delay defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) \Phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime} } & +\varphi(t) f(x(t)) x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\psi(t) g\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
& +\mu(t) h\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)=p(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $Z(t)=x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) \Phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)$. Equation (1.1) is equivalent to system of first order differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}(t)=y(t), \quad y^{\prime}(t)=z(t) \\
& r(t) Z^{\prime}(t)=-r^{\prime}(t) Z(t)-\varphi(t) f(x(t)) z(t)-\psi(t) g(x(t), y(t))-\mu(t) h(x(t))+p(t)  \tag{1.2}\\
& +\int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[\psi(t)\left[g_{x}(x(s), y(s)) y(s)+g_{y}(x(s), y(s)) z(s)\right]+\mu(t) h^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s)\right] d s
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $p(t), q(t), r(t), \varphi(t), \psi(t), \mu(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), f(x(t)), h(x(t)) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), g(x(t), y(t)) \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, with $|q(t)| \leq q_{1}, q_{1}>0$ is a constant, and $q(0)=0=h(0)$. It is assumed that the derivatives $r^{\prime}(t), \psi^{\prime}(t), \varphi^{\prime}(t), \mu^{\prime}(t), \Phi^{\prime}(z), h^{\prime}(x(t)), g_{x}(x(t), y(t))$ and $g_{y}(x(t), y(t))$ exist and are continuous for all $t \geq t_{0}+\vartheta$ where $\vartheta=\max \left\{\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}\right\}$. Solution of equation (1.1) is defined as the continuous function $x:\left[t_{x}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that $x(t) \in C^{2}\left(\left[t_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ which satisfies (1.1) on $\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$ and $r(t) Z(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$. It is assumed end-to-end that every solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) is continuable to the right on some interval $\left[t_{x}, \infty\right)$.

Investigation on properties of solutions to functional differential equations with delay is dated back to Dahiya and Akinyele [17] where the oscillation theorems of $n t h$-order functional differential equations with forcing terms were developed. Later, boundedness, oscillatory and non oscillatory properties of neutral differential equations with delay emerged see (Baculíková and Džurina [10], Das and Misra [18], Das [19, 20], Dorociaková [22], Mihalíková and Kostiková [32] and the references cited therein). Furthermore, papers on stability, boundedness, and existence of a unique periodic solution for third order differential equations with delay abound (see Ademola and Arawomo [3], Ademola et al. [4], Tejumola and Tchegnani [40], Tunç [42, 43] when $\left[r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) \Phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}=x^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$, Remili and Beldjerd [33] for the case $\left[r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) \Phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}=$
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$\left[g_{1}(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime \prime}$, Remili and Oudjedi [34] when $\left[r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) \Phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}=\left[g_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]^{\prime}$, and the references cited therein to mention but a few).

Recently, Domoshnitsky et al. [21] used Azbelev $W$-transform to study of exponential stability for third order neutral differential equations with delay. In addition, Oudjedi et al. [38] gave sufficient conditions for every solution to converge to zero when $h(t)=0$, boundedness and square integrability for a class of third order neutral delay differential equations defined as

$$
[x(t)+\beta x(t-r)]^{\prime \prime \prime}+a(t) x^{\prime \prime}(t)+b(t) x^{\prime}(t)+c(t) f(x(t-r))=h(t)
$$

If $r(t) \equiv 1, q(t) \equiv 1, \quad f(x(t)) \equiv 1$, and $g\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right) \equiv x^{\prime}(t)$, then equation (1.1) reduces to that considered in [38]. In [1] Ademola et al., consider a more general third order neutral differential equation using a standard Lyapunov's functional to develop criteria guaranteeing uniform asymptotic stability when $p(t)=0$ and uniform ultimate boundedness of solutions of the equations

$$
[x(t)+\beta x(t-\tau)]^{\prime \prime \prime}+a(t) x^{\prime \prime}(t)+b(t) g\left(x^{\prime}(t-\tau)\right)+c(t) h(x(t-\tau))=p(t)
$$

where $\tau>0$ is a constant delay, $\beta$ is a constant satisfying $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, the functions $a(t), b(t), c(t), g(x), h(x)$ are continuous in their respective arguments on $\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}$ respectively with $\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$. Besides, it is supposed that the derivatives $g^{\prime}(x)$ and $h^{\prime}(x)$ exist and are continuous for all $x$ and $h(0)=0$. It is noted that when $r(t) \equiv 1, q(t) \equiv 1$ a positive constant, $\tau_{0}=\tau_{1} \equiv \tau$ and the function $p(t)$ in (1.1) and [1] have finite positive constants as bound, thus equation (1.1) includes the equation discussed in [1]. In 2021, Ademola [6] enumerates criteria for qualitative behaviour of solutions to a certain class of third order neutral functional differential equation

$$
\left[x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\beta x^{\prime \prime}(t-\tau(t))\right]^{\prime}+\varphi(t) x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t-\tau(t))\right)+\psi(t) g(x(t), x(t-\tau(t)))=p(\cdot)
$$

where $p(\cdot)=p\left(t, x(t), x(t-\tau(t)), x^{\prime}(t), x^{\prime}(t-\tau(t))\right),|\beta|<1, \tau(t) \leq \tau_{1}, \tau_{1}>0$ is a constant to be determined later, the derivative $\tau^{\prime}(t)$ exists such that $\tau^{\prime}(t) \leq \mu$ for some constant $\mu \in(0,1)$, the functions $\varphi, \psi, f$ and $g$ are continuous in their respective arguments. The derivatives $\varphi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}, f_{x^{*}}, g_{x}$ and $g_{x^{*}}$ exist and are continuous with $g\left(0, x^{*}\right)=0$ for all $x^{*}$. We note that the neutral differential equations studied in [6] when compared with equation (1.1) is the case $r(t) \equiv 1, q(t) \equiv 1, \Phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right) \equiv x^{\prime \prime}(t-\tau(t))$, the functions $p(t)$ and $p(\cdot)$ have finite constants as bound.

In this paper, a more general class of third order neutral differential equation with delay is discussed using Lyapunov's second method. In addition, the function $g \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is completely delayed which, according to our observation from relevant literature, has never occurred in the study of neutral differential equations with delay. This work is actuated by the works in $[1,6,38]$ and the references cited therein. The results of this paper are not only novel but include many salient existing results in the literature. Stability and boundedness results are presented in Sections 2 and 3 respectively while the last section presents examples to illustrate the theoretical results of Sections 2 and 3.

## 2. Stability results

This section states and proves results on the asymptotic stability and uniform asymptotic stability for equation (1.1) or its equivalent system (1.2) for $p(t)=0$, that is

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[r(t)\left(x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) \Phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime} } & +\varphi(t) f(x(t)) x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\psi(t) g\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& +\mu(t) h\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Our notations shall be $x=x(t), \Phi(z)=\Phi\left(z\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right), F(t)=f^{\prime}(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t), X_{t}=x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}$, and the subscript $t$ stands for delay. Equation (2.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}(t)=y(t), \quad y^{\prime}(t)=z(t) \\
& r(t) Z^{\prime}(t)=-r^{\prime}(t) Z(t)-\varphi(t) f(x(t)) z(t)-\psi(t) g(x(t), y(t))-\mu(t) h(x(t))  \tag{2.2}\\
& +\int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[\psi(t)\left[g_{x}(x(s), y(s)) y(s)+g_{y}(x(s), y(s)) z(s)\right]+\mu(t) h^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s)\right] d s
\end{align*}
$$

Next, a continuously differentiable functional employed in this paper is $V\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(t, X_{t}\right)=U\left(t, X_{t}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \beta(s) d s\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
U\left(t, X_{t}\right)= & a \mu(t) \int_{0}^{x} h(s) d s+\mu(t) r(t) y h(x)+\frac{1}{2} r(t)\left[\mu(t) y^{2}+r(t) Z^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} a \varphi(t) f(x) y^{2} \\
& +r(t)[\psi(t) y g(x, y)+a(x+y) Z]+\frac{1}{2} a \psi(t) x^{2}+\int_{-\tau_{1}}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t}\left[\lambda_{1} y^{2}(u)+\lambda_{2} z^{2}(u)\right] d u d s  \tag{2.4}\\
& +\int_{t-\tau_{0}}^{t} \lambda_{3} z^{2}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

$a$, and $\gamma$ are positive constants and the values of positive constants $\lambda_{i}(i=1,2,3)$, will be determined later in this section.

Remark 2.1 It is remarkable at this junction that the appearance of neutral and distinct delay terms in (2.1) added more difficulties to the construction of Lyapunov-Krasovskii's functional defined by (2.3). Hence equation (2.3) includes and extends the main tools used in [1-6, 30, 33, 34, 40, 42, 43] and the cited references of these papers.

Next, assumptions are presented as follows.
Assumption 2.2 In addition to the basic assumption on the functions defined above, let $b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{1}, h_{i}, L_{j}, q_{1}, r_{i}$, $\beta_{1}, \eta, \mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}, \varphi_{i}, \psi_{i},(i=0,1),(j=0,1,2)$ are positive constants such that for all $t \geq t_{0}$ :
(i) $\mu_{0} \leq \mu(t) \leq \mu_{1}, \varphi_{0} \leq \varphi(t) \leq \varphi_{1}, \psi_{0} \leq \psi(t) \leq \psi_{1}, r_{0} \leq r(t) \leq r_{1},-\sigma_{0} \leq \mu^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$, $-\sigma_{1} \leq \varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 ;$
(ii) $b_{0} \leq f(x) \leq b_{1},|\Phi(z)| \leq \eta|z|$ for all $z,|q(t)| \leq q_{1} ;$
(iii) $h_{0} \leq \frac{h(x)}{x} \leq h_{1}$ for all $x \neq 0, h^{\prime}(x) \leq d_{1}$ and $\left|h^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq L_{0}$ for all $x$;
(iv) $c_{0} \leq \frac{g(x, y)}{y} \leq c_{1}$ for all $x, y \neq 0, g_{x}(x, y) \leq 0,\left|g_{x}(x, y)\right| \leq L_{1},\left|g_{y}(x, y)\right| \leq L_{2}$ for all $x, y$;
(v) $\max \left\{d_{1} r_{1}, \frac{d_{1} r_{1} \mu_{1}}{c_{0} \mu_{0}}, \frac{h_{1} q_{1} r_{1} \eta}{h_{0} \mu_{0}}\right\}<a<\min \left\{b_{0} \varphi_{0}, b_{1} \varphi_{1}, c_{1} \psi_{1}, \frac{\psi_{0}}{4}, \frac{b_{0} \varphi_{0}}{4}\right\} ;$
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(vi) $\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(s)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(s)\right|+|F(s)|\right] d s<\beta_{1} ;$
(vii) $a h_{0} \mu_{0}>h_{1} q_{1} r_{1} \eta, a c_{0} \psi_{0}-d_{1} r_{1} \mu_{1}>A_{1},\left(b_{0} \varphi_{0}-a\right) r_{0}>A_{2}$, where

$$
A_{1}:=r_{1}\left[a+\mu_{1}+\psi_{1} L_{2}+q_{1} \eta\left(c_{1} \psi_{1}-a\right)\right] ; \text { and } A_{2}:=A_{1}+q_{1} r_{1} \eta\left[h_{1} \mu_{1}+c_{1} \psi_{1}+b_{1} \varphi_{1}-2 a\right] .
$$

Next, two stability theorems designed for this section are stated:

Theorem 2.3 If assumptions (i) to (vii) hold, then the trivial solution of system (2.2) is asymptotically stable provided the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}<\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\frac{a h_{0} \mu_{0}-h_{1} q_{1} r_{1} \eta}{A_{3}}, \frac{a c_{0} \psi_{0}-d_{1} r_{1} \mu_{1}-A_{1}}{A_{4}}, \frac{\left(b_{0} \varphi_{0}-a\right) r_{0}-A_{2}}{A_{5}}\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{3}:=a\left[L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right] ; \quad A_{4}:=\left[2 a+r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)+a \psi_{1} L_{2}\right]\left(L_{0} \mu_{1}+L_{1} \psi_{1}\right) ; \text { and } \\
& A_{5}:=r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\left[L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right]+\left(2 a+r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\right) \psi_{1} L_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.4 If in addition to assumptions (i) to (vii), there exist positive constants $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ such that

$$
D_{1}>D_{0}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+|F(t)|\right]
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$ then the trivial solution of system (2.2) is uniformly asymptotically stable if the inequality (2.5) holds.

Remark 2.5 Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 are extensions to all stability results discussed in [1-4, 6, 21, 33, 34, 38] and the references cited therein.

In the sequel, proofs of two lemmas that are crucial to the results are stated.

Lemma 2.6 Under the conditions of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 there exist positive constants $D_{2}, D_{3}, D_{4}, D_{5}$ and $D_{6}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{2}\left(x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+Z^{2}(t)\right) \leq U\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq D_{3}\left(x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+Z^{2}(t)\right) \\
& +D_{4} \int_{-\tau_{1}}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t}\left[y^{2}(u)+z^{2}(u)\right] d u d s+D_{5} \int_{t-\tau_{0}}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y, Z$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(t, X_{t}\right) \geq D_{6}\left(x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+Z^{2}(t)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $Z$.

Proof Let $\left(X_{t}\right)$ be any solution of system (2.2). Now since $h(0)=0,(2.4)$ can be redefined as

$$
\begin{align*}
U\left(t, X_{t}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \mu(t) r(t)(h(x)+y)^{2}+\mu(t) \int_{0}^{x}\left[a-r(t) h^{\prime}(s)\right] h(s) d s+\frac{1}{8}[2 a x+r(t) Z]^{2} \\
& +\frac{a}{2}\left[(\varphi(t) f(x)-4 a) y^{2}+(\psi(t)-4 a) x^{2}\right]+r(t) \psi(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{y} y^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(r(t) Z)^{2}  \tag{2.8}\\
& +\frac{1}{8}[2 a y+r(t) Z]^{2}+\int_{-\tau_{1}}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t}\left[\lambda_{1} y^{2}(u)+\lambda_{2} z^{2}(u)\right] d u d s+\int_{t-\tau_{0}}^{t} \lambda_{3} z^{2}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Since the integrals in the last two terms of (2.8) are nonnegative, applying assumptions (i) and (iii) we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \mu(t) r(t)(h(x)+y)^{2}+ & \frac{1}{8}\left[[2 a x+r(t) Z]^{2}+[2 a y+r(t) Z]^{2}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} r_{0} \mu_{0}\left(h_{0} x+y\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{8}\left[\left(2 a x+r_{0} Z\right)^{2}+\left(2 a y+r_{0} Z\right)^{2}\right] \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $Z$. With this estimate and assumption (v), there exists a positive constant $\delta_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(t, X_{t}\right) \geq \delta_{0}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+Z^{2}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y, Z$ where

$$
\delta_{0}:=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{a\left(\psi_{0}-4 a\right)+h_{0} \mu_{0}\left(a-d_{1} r_{1}\right), a\left(b_{0} \varphi_{0}-4 a\right)+2 c_{0} r_{0} \psi_{0}, \frac{1}{2} r_{0}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Moreover, since

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \beta(s) d s<\beta_{1}
$$

there exists a positive constant $\delta_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(t, X_{t}\right) \geq \delta_{1}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+Z^{2}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y, Z$ where

$$
\delta_{1}:=\delta_{0} \exp \left(-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\gamma}\right)
$$

Furthermore, from the assumptions (i) to (iv), and the fact that $2\left|x_{1} x_{2}\right| \leq x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist positive constants $\delta_{i},(i=2,3,4)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq \delta_{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+Z^{2}\right)+\delta_{3} \int_{-\tau_{1}}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t}\left[y^{2}(u)+z^{2}(u)\right] d u d s+\delta_{4} \int_{t-\tau_{0}}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $Z$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{2}:=\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{\mu_{1} h_{1}\left(a+r_{1}\right)+a\left(r_{1}+\psi_{1}\right), r_{1} \mu_{1}\left(1+h_{1}\right)+a b_{1} \varphi_{1}+2 c_{1} r_{1} \psi_{1}+a r_{1}, r_{1}\left(r_{1}+2 a\right)\right\} \\
& \delta_{3}:=\max \left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}, \text { and } \delta_{4}:=\lambda_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$
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From estimates (2.9) and (2.11) inequality (2.6) of Lemma 2.6 holds with $D_{2} \equiv \delta_{0}, D_{3} \equiv \delta_{2}, D_{4} \equiv \delta_{3}$ and $D_{5} \equiv \delta_{4}$. Also, from estimate (2.10) inequality (2.7) of Lemma 2.6 holds with $D_{6} \equiv \delta_{1}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7 If assumptions (i) to (vii) and inequality (2.5) hold, then there exist positive constants $D_{7}, D_{8}$, and $D_{9}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq D_{7}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+|F(t)|\right]\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-D_{8}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y, z$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq-D_{9}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $z$.
Proof Let $\left(X_{t}\right)$ be any solution of the system (2.2) and since $h(0)=0$, the derivative of the functional $V\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ along the trajectory of (2.2) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)=\left[U_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)-\frac{1}{\gamma} \beta(t) U\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right] \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \beta(s) d s\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{(2,2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{6} W_{j}+\lambda_{1} \tau_{1} y^{2}+\left(\tau_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) z^{2}-\lambda_{3} z^{2}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)-\int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[\lambda_{1} y^{2}(\alpha)+\lambda_{2} z^{2}(\alpha)\right] d \alpha,  \tag{2.15}\\
W_{1}:= & \mu^{\prime}(t)\left[\int_{0}^{x}\left[a-r(t) h^{\prime}(s)\right] h(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} r(t)(h(x)+y)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} r^{\prime}(t)\left[\mu(t)\left(y^{2}+2 \frac{h(x)}{x} x y\right)\right. \\
& \left.+r(t) y^{2}+2 \psi(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{y} y^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2} a \varphi(t) F(t) y^{2} ; \\
W_{2}:= & -\frac{1}{2}\left[a \mu(t) \frac{h(x)}{x} x^{2}+\left[a \psi(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{y}-r(t) \mu(t) h^{\prime}(x)\right] y^{2}+r(t)(\varphi(t) f(x)-a) z^{2}\right] \\
W_{3}:= & -W_{31}-W_{32} ; \\
W_{31}:= & {\left[\frac{1}{4} a \mu(t) \frac{h(x)}{x} x^{2}+a \psi(t)\left[\frac{g(x, y)}{y}-1\right] x y+\frac{1}{2}\left[a \psi(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{y}-r(t) \mu(t) h^{\prime}(x)\right] y^{2}\right] } \\
W_{32}:= & {\left[\frac{1}{4} a \mu(t) \frac{h(x)}{x} x^{2}+a \varphi(t) f(x) x z+\frac{1}{2} r(t)(\varphi(t) f(x)-a) z^{2}\right] ; } \\
W_{4}:= & \psi^{\prime}(t)\left[r(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{y} y^{2}+\frac{1}{2} a x^{2}\right]+\left[\frac{1}{2} a \varphi^{\prime}(t) f(x)+r(t) \psi(t) g_{x}(x, y)\right] y^{2} ; \\
W_{5}:= & -q(t) r(t)\left[\mu(t) \frac{h(x)}{x} x+\left(\psi(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{y}-a\right) y+(\varphi(t) f(x)-a) z\right] \Phi(z) \\
& +r(t)\left[a \mu(t)+\psi(t) g_{y}(x, y)\right] y z ; \text { and } \\
W_{6}:= & {[a(x+y)+r(t)(z+q(t) \Phi(z))]\left[\psi(t) \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[g_{x}(x(s), y(s)) y(s)+g_{y}(x(s), y(s)) z(s)\right] d s\right.} \\
& \left.+\mu(t) \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t} h^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The results of applying assumptions (i), (iii) and (iv) are

$$
\int_{0}^{x}\left[a-r(t) h^{\prime}(s)\right] h(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} r(t)\left(\frac{h(x)}{x} x+y\right)^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} r_{0}\left(h_{0} x+y\right)^{2}+\left(a-d_{1} r_{1}\right) h_{0} x^{2} \geq 0
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$. Since $\mu^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, it follows that

$$
\mu^{\prime}(t)\left[\int_{0}^{x}\left[a-r(t) h^{\prime}(s)\right] h(s) d s+\frac{1}{2} r(t)\left(\frac{h(x)}{x} x+y\right)^{2}\right] \leq 0
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$, so with the last inequality and assumptions (i), (iii) and (iv) there exist positive constants $\delta_{5}$ and $\delta_{6}$ such that

$$
W_{1} \leq \delta_{5}\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\delta_{6}|F(t)| y^{2}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$, where

$$
\delta_{5}:=\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{h_{1} \mu_{1}, h_{1} \mu_{1}+r_{1}+2 c_{1} \psi_{1}\right\} \text { and } \delta_{6}:=\frac{1}{2} a \varphi_{1} .
$$

Employing assumptions (i) to (iv), result in

$$
W_{2} \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left[a h_{0} \mu_{0} x^{2}+\left[a c_{0} \psi_{0}-d_{1} r_{1} \mu_{1}\right] y^{2}+\left[\left(b_{0} \varphi_{0}-a\right) r_{0}\right] z^{2}\right]
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $z$.
Furthermore, $W_{31}=W_{31}(x, y)$ and $W_{32}=W_{32}(x, z)$ are symmetric quadratic functions which satisfy a $2 \times 2$ Hessian matrix. The discriminant $b^{2}<a c$ yields inequalities

$$
4\left[a \psi(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{y}-1\right]^{2}<2 a \mu(t) \frac{h(x)}{x}\left[a \psi(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{y}-r(t) \mu(t) h^{\prime}(x)\right]
$$

and

$$
4[a \varphi(t) f(x)]^{2}<2 a r(t) \mu(t) \frac{h(x)}{x}(\varphi(t) f(x)-a)
$$

respectively. With these inequalities it is not difficult to see that $W_{31} \geq 0, W_{32} \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $z$, so that

$$
W_{3} \leq 0
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $z$.
Moreover, since $\varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $g_{x}(x, y) \leq 0$ for all $x$ and $y$, it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{2} a \varphi^{\prime}(t) f(x)+r(t) \psi(t) g_{x}(x, y) \leq 0
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$, so there exists a positive constant $\delta_{7}$ such that

$$
W_{4} \leq \delta_{7}\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)
$$
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for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$, where $\delta_{7}:=\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{a, 2 c_{1} r_{1}\right\}$. Next, apply assumptions (i) to (iv) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{5} \leq & \frac{1}{2} r_{1}\left[h_{1} q_{1} \mu_{1} \eta x^{2}+\left(a+\mu_{1}+\psi_{1} L_{2}+q_{1} \eta\left(c_{1} \psi_{1}-a\right)\right) y^{2}+\left(a+\mu_{1}+\psi_{1} L_{2}+q_{1} \eta\left(b_{1} \varphi_{1}-a\right)\right) z^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+q_{1} \eta\left[h_{1} \mu_{1}+\left(c_{1} \psi_{1}-a\right)+\left(b_{1} \varphi_{1}-a\right)\right] z^{2}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $z$.
Finally, on employing assumptions (i) to (iv), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{6} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right] \tau_{1}\left[a\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+r_{1} z^{2}+q_{1} r_{1} \eta z^{2}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[2 a+r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\right] \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[\left(L_{0} \mu_{1}+L_{1} \psi_{1}\right) y^{2}(s)+\psi_{1} L_{2} z^{2}(s)\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $z$.
We now turn our attention to estimates $W_{j},(j=1,2,3,4,5)$ in equation (2.15) and on further simplification, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq \delta_{8}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+|F(t)|\right]\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\{a h_{0} \mu_{0}-h_{1} q_{1} r_{1}-a\left[L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right] \tau_{1}\right\} x^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\{a c_{0} \psi_{0}-d_{1} r_{1} \mu_{1}-r_{1}\left[a+\mu_{1}+\psi_{1} L_{2}+q_{1} \eta\left(c_{1} \psi_{1}-a\right)\right]-\left[a\left(L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right)+2 \lambda_{1}\right] \tau_{1}\right\} y^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(b_{0} \varphi_{0}-a\right) r_{0}-r_{1}\left[a+\mu_{1}+\psi_{1} L_{2}+q_{1} \eta\left(c_{1} \psi_{1}-a\right)\right]-2 \lambda_{3}-\left[r_{1}\left(L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right)+2 \lambda_{2}\right] \tau_{1}\right\} z^{2}  \tag{2.16}\\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\{2 \lambda_{3}-q_{1} r_{1} \eta\left[h_{1} \mu_{1}+c_{1} \psi_{1}+b_{1} \varphi_{1}-2 a+\left[L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right] \tau_{1}\right]\right\} z^{2}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\{2 \lambda_{1}-\left[2 a+r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\right]\left[L_{0} \mu_{1}+L_{1} \psi_{1}\right]\right\} \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t} y^{2}(\alpha) d \alpha \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\{2 \lambda_{2}-\psi_{1} L_{2}\left[2 a+r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\right]\right\} \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t} z^{2}(\alpha) d \alpha
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{8}:=\max \left\{\delta_{5}, \delta_{6}, \delta_{7}\right\}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}:=\frac{1}{2}\left[2 a+r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\right]\left[L_{0} \mu_{1}+L_{1} \psi_{1}\right] ; \quad \lambda_{2}:=\frac{1}{2}\left[2 a+r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\right] \psi_{1} L_{2} ; \text { and } \\
& \lambda_{3}:=\frac{1}{2} q_{1} r_{1} \eta\left[h_{1} \mu_{1}+c_{1} \psi_{1}+b_{1} \varphi_{1}-2 a+\left[L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right] \tau_{1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

On utilising $\lambda_{i}, \quad(i=1,2,3)$ in the estimate (2.16) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq \delta_{8}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+|F(t)|\right]\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\{a h_{0} \mu_{0}-h_{1} q_{1} r_{1} \eta-A_{3} \tau_{1}\right\} x^{2} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2}\left\{a c_{0} \psi_{0}-d_{1} r_{1} \mu_{1}-A_{1}-A_{4} \tau_{1}\right\} y^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(b_{0} \varphi_{0}-a\right) r_{0}-A_{2}-A_{5} \tau_{1}\right\} z^{2} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $z$. In view of assumption (vii), there exists a positive constant $\delta_{9}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq \delta_{8}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+|F(t)|\right]\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\delta_{9}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y, z$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{9}:= & \max \left\{a h_{0} \mu_{0}-A_{1}-\frac{1}{2} a\left[L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right] \tau_{1},\right. \\
& \left(a c_{0} \psi_{0}-d_{1} r_{1} \mu_{1}\right)-A_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left[2 a+\left[a+r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\right]\left(L_{0} \mu_{1}+L_{1} \psi_{1}\right)+a \psi_{1} L_{2}\right] \tau_{1}, \\
& \left.\left(b_{0} \varphi_{0}-a\right) r_{0}-A_{3}-\frac{1}{2}\left[r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\left(L_{0} \mu_{1}+\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right) \psi_{1}\right)+\left(2 a+r_{1}\left(1+q_{1} \eta\right)\right) \psi_{1} L_{2}\right] \tau_{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using assumption (vi), estimates (2.9), (2.18) in equation (2.14) with $\delta_{8}=\frac{\delta_{0}}{\gamma}$, results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq-\delta_{10}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y, z$, where $\delta_{10}:=\delta_{9} \exp \left(-\beta_{1} / \gamma\right)$. Estimates (2.18) and (2.19) satisfy inequalities (2.12) and (2.13) of Lemma 2.7 respectively with $D_{7} \equiv \delta_{8}, D_{8} \equiv \delta_{9}$, and $D_{9} \equiv \delta_{10}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7.

## Proof of Theorem 2.3.

Let $\left(X_{t}\right)$ be any solution of system (2.2). Estimates (2.10) and (2.19) established that the trivial solution of system (2.2) is asymptotically stable. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

## Proof of Theorem 2.4.

Let $\left(X_{t}\right)$ be any solution of system (2.2). If $\delta_{9}>\delta_{8}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+|F(t)|\right]$, then there exists a positive constant $\delta_{11}$ such that the inequality $(2.18)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq-\delta_{11}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y, z$, where $\delta_{11}:=\delta_{9}-\delta_{8}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+|F(t)|\right]$. In view of inequalities (2.9), (2.11) and (2.20), the trivial solution of system (2.2) is uniformly asymptotically stable. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.4.

## 3. Boundedness and existence theorems

In this section the boundedness and existence of a unique periodic solution of system (1.2) is discussed. Let $\left(X_{t}\right)$ be a solution of (1.2), the following results emerge.

Theorem 3.1 If in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, $|p(t)| \leq P_{1}, 0<P_{1}<\infty$, then there exists a positive constant $D_{10}=D_{10}\left(\delta_{1}, x\left(t_{0}\right), y\left(t_{0}\right), Z\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ such that the solution of (1.2) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)| \leq D_{10},|y(t)| \leq D_{10},|Z(t)| \leq D_{10} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$ provided the inequality (2.5) holds.

Proof Let $\left(X_{t}\right)$ be a solution of (1.2), the derivative of $U\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ with respect to the independent variable $t$ along the trajectory of (1.2) is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{(1.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)=U_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)+[a(x+y)+q(t) Z] p(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using inequality (2.18) and the fact that $|p(t)| \leq P_{1}$, equation (3.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{(1.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq \delta_{8}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+|F(t)|\right]\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\delta_{9}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+\delta_{12}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+Z^{2}+3\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y, z$, where $\delta_{12}:=P_{1} \max \left\{a, r_{1}\right\}$. Employing estimate (3.3) and the fact that $\delta_{9}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0, x, y$ and $z$, equation (2.14) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(1.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq \delta_{13}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+Z^{2}\right)+\delta_{14} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y, z$, where $\delta_{13}:=\delta_{12} \exp \left(-\delta_{0}^{-1} \beta_{1} \delta_{8}\right)$ and $\delta_{14}:=3 \delta_{12} \exp \left(-\delta_{0}^{-1} \beta_{1} \delta_{8}\right)$. Engaging estimate (2.10) in (3.4), results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(1.2)}^{\prime}(t) \leq \delta_{15} V+\delta_{14} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{15}:=\delta_{1}^{-1} \delta_{13}$. Solving the differential inequality (3.5) using integrating factor $\exp \left(-\delta_{15} t\right)$, to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t) \leq V\left(t_{0}\right) e^{\delta_{15}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}+\delta_{14} \delta_{15}^{-1}\left(e^{\delta_{15}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}-1\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeat estimate (2.10) and the fact that $t \geq t_{0}$, there exists a positive constant $\delta_{16}=\delta_{16}\left(\delta_{1} V\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$, such that

$$
|x(t)| \leq \delta_{16},|y(t)| \leq \delta_{16},|Z(t)| \leq \delta_{16}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
$$

This established estimate (3.1) with $D_{10} \equiv \delta_{16}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2 In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, suppose that $q(0)=0$, then the solution $\left(X_{t}\right)$ of (1.2) is uniformly bounded and uniformly ultimately bounded if the inequality (2.5) holds.

Proof Let $\left(X_{t}\right)$ be any solution of (1.2). In view of estimate (2.9) and assumptions of Theorem 3.2, it follows that $U\left(t, X_{t}\right)=0$ if and only if $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=0$, and $U\left(t, X_{t}\right)>0$ if and only if $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2} \neq 0$, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(t, X_{t}\right) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2} \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, the derivative of the functional $U\left(t, X_{t}\right)$, defined by (2.4), along the trajectory of the system (1.2) is defined in equation (3.2) i.e.

$$
U_{(1.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)=U_{(2.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)+[a(x+y)+q(t) Z] p(t)
$$

Estimate (2.20) results in

$$
U_{(1.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq-\delta_{11}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+\delta_{17}[|x|+|y|+|Z|]
$$

where $\delta_{17}:=P_{1} \max \left\{a, q_{1}\left(1+q_{1}\right)\right\}$. Recall $(|x|+|y|+|Z|)^{2} \leq 3\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)$ so there exist positive constants $\delta_{18}$ and $\delta_{19}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{(1.2)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \leq-\delta_{18}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x, y$, and $z$, provided that $\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq \delta_{19}$ where $\delta_{18}:=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{11}$ and $\delta_{19}:=2 \sqrt{3} \delta_{11}^{-1} \delta_{17}$. Hence, from estimates $(2.9),(2.11),(3.7)$, and (3.8) the solution $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is uniformly bounded and uniformly ultimately bounded. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3 Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold true, then there exists a periodic solution of system (1.2) of period say $\omega$, provided the inequality (2.5) holds true.

Proof Let $\left(X_{t}\right)$ be any solution of (1.2), since the solution $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is uniformly bounded and uniformly ultimately bounded by Theorem 3.2, it follows that a periodic solution exists of period say $\omega$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Remark 3.4 Our results in Theorems 3.1, 3.2i and 3.3 are generalization of boundedness and existence results considered in $[1-6,10,15-19,21,23,32-34,38,40,42-44]$ and the references cited in these papers.

## 4. Examples and discussion

This section presents examples on the theoretical results obtained in Sections 2 and 3.
Example 4.1 Consider the following third order neutral differential equation with delay defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{2 t+21}{10+t}\left(x^{\prime \prime}+(100+\cos t)^{-1} \Phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}+\left(\frac{221+60 t}{11+3 t}\right)\left(\frac{101+20 x^{2}}{10+2 x^{2}}\right) x^{\prime \prime}} \\
& +\left(\frac{281+56 t}{10+2 t}\right)\left[\frac{51 x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+5 x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) A\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)}{10+A\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)}\right]  \tag{4.1}\\
& +\left(\frac{9+2 t^{2}}{4+t^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{31 x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+9 x^{3}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}{10+3 x^{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
A\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right):=\exp \left(\frac{1+x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+x^{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}{5+x^{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}\right)
$$

Equation (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y, \quad y^{\prime}=z \\
& \left(\frac{21+2 t}{10+t}\right) Z^{\prime}=-\frac{Z}{(10+t)^{2}}-\left(\frac{221+60 t}{11+3 t}\right)\left(\frac{101+20 x^{2}}{10+2 x^{2}}\right) z-\left(\frac{9+2 t^{2}}{4+t^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{31 x+9 x^{3}}{10+3 x^{2}}\right] \\
& -\left(\frac{281+56 t}{10+2 t}\right)\left[\frac{51 y+5 y A(x, y)}{10+A(x, y)}\right]+\left(\frac{9+2 t^{2}}{4+t^{2}}\right) \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[3+\frac{10-3 x^{2}(s)}{\left(10+3 x^{2}(s)\right)^{2}}\right] y(s) d s  \tag{4.2}\\
& -\left(\frac{281+56 t}{10+2 t}\right) \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[\frac{y^{2}(s) A(x(s), y(s))}{[10+A(x(s), y(s))]^{2}\left(5+y^{2}(s)\right)}\right] y(s) d s \\
& +\left(\frac{281+56 t}{10+2 t}\right) \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[5+\frac{1}{10+A(x(s), y(s))}-\frac{y(s) A(x(s), y(s)) B(x(s), y(s))}{[10+A(x(s), y(s))]^{2}}\right] z(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$
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where

$$
B(x, y):=\frac{x+2 y}{5+y^{2}}-\frac{2 y\left(1+x y+y^{2}\right)}{\left(5+y^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

The following relations emanated from systems (2.2) and (4.2):
(i) The function

$$
r(t):=\frac{21+2 t}{10+t}=2+\frac{1}{10+t}
$$

Since $0<\frac{1}{10+t} \leq 0.1$ for all $t \geq 0$, it follows that $2=r_{0} \leq r(t) \leq r_{1}=2.1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|=\frac{1}{(10+t)^{2}} \leq 0.1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$;
(ii) The function

$$
\varphi(t):=\frac{221+60 t}{11+3 t}=20+\frac{1}{11+3 t}
$$

the maximum value of $\frac{1}{11+3 t}$ when $t=0$ is 0.09 , so that $20=\varphi_{0} \leq \varphi(t) \leq \varphi_{1}=20.09$ for all $t \geq 0$. Also

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{-3}{(11+3 t)^{2}} \leq 0
$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{\prime}(t)=0$ it follows that $-0.27=-\sigma_{1} \leq \varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$;
(iii) The function

$$
f(x):=\frac{101+20 x^{2}}{10+2 x^{2}}=10+\frac{1}{10+2 x^{2}}
$$

It can be shown that $b_{0}=10 \leq f(x) \leq b_{1}=10.1$ for all $x$. In addition,

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{-4 x}{\left(10+2 x^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

The function $F(t)=f^{\prime}(x) x^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(x) y$ assuming, in this case, that $|y|<1$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(t)|=\left|f^{\prime}(x) y\right| \leq \frac{4\left(1+x^{2}\right)}{\left(10+2 x^{2}\right)^{2}} \leq 0.04 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$;
(iv) The function

$$
\psi(t):=\frac{281+56 t}{10+2 t}=28+\frac{1}{10+2 t}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{10+2 t} \leq 0.1$ for all $t \geq 0$, then it is logical to have $28=\psi_{0} \leq \psi(t) \leq \psi_{1}=28.1$, for all $t \geq 0$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|=\frac{2}{(10+2 t)^{2}} \leq 0.02 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$;
(v) The function

$$
g(x, y):=\frac{51 y+5 y A(x, y)}{10+A(x, y)}=5 y+\frac{y}{10+A(x, y)}
$$

since $\frac{1}{10+A(x, y)} \leq 0.089$ for all $x, y$ then it is reasonable to conclude $5=c_{0} \leq \frac{g(x, y)}{y} \leq c_{1}=5.089$ for all $x$ and $y \neq 0$. The facts can be traced in Figure 1 for all $x, y \in[-4,4]$. These bounds on the function $\frac{g(x, y)}{y}$ are also true as $x, y \rightarrow \infty$.


Figure 1. The behaviour of function $\frac{g(x, y)}{y}$ for $x, y \in[-4,4]$
Next, the derivative of the function $g(x, y)$ with respect to $x$ is given by

$$
g_{x}(x, y)=-\frac{y^{2} A(x, y)}{\left(5+y^{2}\right)(10+A(x, y))^{2}} \leq 0
$$

for all $x$ and $y$. See Figure 2 pictorially confirms this inequality. Moreover,

$$
\left|g_{x}(x, y)\right|=\frac{y^{2} A(x, y)}{\left(5+y^{2}\right)(10+A(x, y))^{2}} \leq L_{1}=0.25
$$

for all $x, y$. This inequality is depicted in Figure 3 for all $x, y \in[-1000,1000]$. What is more, the derivative of the function $g(x, y)$ with respect to $y$ is

$$
g_{y}(x, y)=5+\frac{1}{10+A(x, y)}-\frac{y A(x, y) B(x, y)}{(10+A(x, y))^{2}}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left|g_{y}(x, y)\right|\right)=5.1=\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left|g_{y}(x, y)\right|\right)
$$
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it follows that

$$
\left|g_{y}(x, y)\right| \leq L_{2}=5.1
$$

for all $x, y$. This estimate is confirmed in Figure 4 for $x, y \in[-40,40]$. The bound $L_{2}=5.1$ holds true for smaller or larger value of $x$ and $y$.


Figure 2. The function $g_{x}(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in[-4,4]$.


Figure 3. The behaviour of $\left|g_{x}(x, y)\right|$ for all $x, y \in$ [-1000, 1000]


Figure 4. The behaviour of $\left|g_{y}(x, y)\right|$ for $x, y \in[-40,40]$.
(vi) The function

$$
\mu(t):=\frac{9+2 t^{2}}{4+t^{2}}=2+\frac{1}{4+t^{2}}
$$

since $\frac{1}{4+t^{2}} \leq 0.25$ for all $t \geq 0$, it follows that $2=\mu_{0} \leq \mu(t) \leq \mu_{1}=2.25$. Also, the derivative of $\mu$ with respect to $t$ is defined as

$$
\mu^{\prime}(t)=\frac{-2 t}{\left(4+t^{2}\right)^{2}} \leq 0
$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu^{\prime}(t)=0$, then the maximum value of $\mu^{\prime}(t)$ for $t>0$ is attained when $t=1$, thus $-0.08=-\sigma_{0} \leq \mu^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.
(vii) The function

$$
h(x):=\frac{31 x+9 x^{3}}{10+3 x^{2}}=3 x+\frac{x}{10+3 x^{2}} .
$$

Clearly $h(0)=0$ and $\frac{h(x)}{x}=3+\frac{1}{10+3 x^{2}}$, since $\frac{1}{10+3 x^{2}} \leq 0.1$ for all $x$, it follows that $3=h_{0} \leq \frac{h(x)}{x} \leq$ $h_{1}=3.1$ for all $x \neq 0$. Also

$$
h^{\prime}(x)=3+\frac{1}{10+3 x^{2}}-\frac{6 x^{2}}{\left(10+3 x^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Since $\frac{6 x^{2}}{\left(10+3 x^{2}\right)^{2}} \geq 0$ for all $x$ then $h^{\prime}(x) \leq d_{1}=3.1$ for all $x$, and $\left|h^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq L_{0}=3.1$ for all $x$.
(viii) The function

$$
\Phi(z):=\frac{z}{10+e^{2 z}}
$$

Noting that $\frac{1}{10+e^{2 z}} \leq 0.1$ for all $z$, it follows that $|\Phi(z)| \leq \eta|z|$ so that $\eta=0.1$.
(ix) Function

$$
q(t):=\frac{1}{100+\cos t}
$$

It is not difficult to show that $|q(t)| \leq q_{1}=0.01$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Remark 4.2 The following assumptions hold for the trivial solution of (4.2) to be asymptotically stable:
(i) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}2=\mu_{0} \leq \mu(t) \leq \mu_{1}=2.25,20=\varphi_{0} \leq \varphi(t) \leq \varphi_{1}=20.09,28=\psi_{0} \leq \psi(t) \leq \psi_{1}=28.1, \\ 2=r_{0} \leq r(t) \leq r_{1}=2.1,-0.08=-\sigma_{0} \leq \mu^{\prime}(t) \leq 0,-0.27=-\sigma_{1} \leq \varphi^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \text { for all } t \geq 0\end{array}\right.$
(ii) $10=b_{0} \leq f(x) \leq b_{1}=10.1,|\Phi(z)| \leq \eta|z|, \eta=0.1,|q(t)| \leq q_{1}=0.01$;
(iii) $h(0)=0,3=h_{0} \leq \frac{h(x)}{x} \leq h_{1}=3.1$ for $x \neq 0, h^{\prime}(x) \leq d_{1}=3.1,\left|h^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq L_{0}=3.1$;
(iv) $5=c_{0} \leq \frac{g(x, y)}{y} \leq c_{1}=5.089, g_{x}(x, y) \leq 0,\left|g_{x}(x, y)\right| \leq L_{1}=0.25,\left|g_{y}(x, y)\right| \leq L_{2}=5.1$;
(v) $\max \{6.51,1.46,0.0005\}<a<\min \{200.202,143,7,50\} \Rightarrow 6.51<a<7$ and $a=6.51001$ is chosen;
(vi) Estimates (4.3), (4.4), and (4.5) produce $\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(s)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(s)\right|+|F(s)|\right] d s \leq \beta_{1}=0.07$;
(vii) $a h_{0} \mu_{0}-h_{1} q_{1} r_{1} \eta=39.057>0, a c_{0} \psi_{0}-d_{1} r_{1} \mu_{1}-A_{1}=571.219>0,\left(b_{0} \varphi_{0}-a\right) r_{0}-A_{2}=60.732>0$;
(viii) estimate (2.5) becomes

$$
\tau_{1}<\frac{1}{2} \min \{0.039,0.077,0.024\}=0.012
$$

Let $\tau_{1}=0.01199$
The assumptions of Theorem 2.3 hold, thus by Theorem 2.3 the trivial solution of (4.2) is asymptotically stable.

Remark 4.3 The assumption that $\delta_{9}-\delta_{8}\left[\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+|F(t)|\right]=515.699>0$ hold true, then the trivial solution of (4.2) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

Example 4.4 Consider the following third order neutral differential equation with delay defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{2 t+21}{10+t}\left(x^{\prime \prime}+(100+\cos t)^{-1} \Phi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\tau_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]^{\prime}+\left(\frac{221+60 t}{11+3 t}\right)\left(\frac{101+20 x^{2}}{10+2 x^{2}}\right) x^{\prime \prime}} \\
& +\left(\frac{281+56 t}{10+2 t}\right)\left[\frac{51 x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+5 x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) A\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)}{10+A\left(x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right), x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)}\right]  \tag{4.6}\\
& +\left(\frac{9+2 t^{2}}{4+t^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{31 x^{\prime}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+9 x^{3}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}{10+3 x^{2}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}\right]=5+\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 t
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.6) as system of first order

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y, \quad y^{\prime}=z \\
& \left(\frac{21+2 t}{10+t}\right) Z^{\prime}=-\frac{Z}{(10+t)^{2}}-\left(\frac{221+60 t}{11+3 t}\right)\left(\frac{101+20 x^{2}}{10+2 x^{2}}\right) z-\left(\frac{9+2 t^{2}}{4+t^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{31 x+9 x^{3}}{10+3 x^{2}}\right] \\
& -\left(\frac{281+56 t}{10+2 t}\right)\left[\frac{51 y+5 y A(x, y)}{10+A(x, y)}\right]+\left(\frac{9+2 t^{2}}{4+t^{2}}\right) \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[3+\frac{10-3 x^{2}(s)}{\left(10+3 x^{2}(s)\right)^{2}}\right] y(s) d s  \tag{4.7}\\
& -\left(\frac{281+56 t}{10+2 t}\right) \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[\frac{y^{2}(s) A(x(s), y(s))}{[10+A(x(s), y(s))]^{2}\left(5+y^{2}(s)\right)}\right] y(s) d s+5+\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 t \\
& +\left(\frac{281+56 t}{10+2 t}\right) \int_{t-\tau_{1}}^{t}\left[5+\frac{1}{10+A(x(s), y(s))}-\frac{y(s) A(x(s), y(s)) B(x(s), y(s))}{[10+A(x(s), y(s))]^{2}}\right] z(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Next, comparing equations (1.2) and (4.7) the function below results

$$
p(t):=5+\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 t
$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 t \leq 0.5$ for all $t \geq 0$, then $|p(t)| \leq 5.5$ for all $t \geq 0$, the following result is produced.

Remark 4.5 In addition to assumptions (i) to (viii) of Remark 4.2, suppose
(ix) $|p(t)| \leq P_{1}=5.5<\infty$ for all $t \geq 0$,
then the conclusions of Theorems 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 follow immediately for equation (4.7).

## 5. Conclusion

This paper discussed stability, uniform stability, the existence of a unique periodic solution, boundedness and uniform ultimate boundedness of solutions to a novel class of third order nonlinear nonautonomous neutral functional differential equations with delay. The direct method of Lyapunov is adopted, by constructing a complete Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional to obtain stability and boundedness results. The behaviour of solutions of equation (1.1), if the constant delays are replaced with variable delay and the function $f$ is defined in more general space, is still unresolved.

## ADEMOLA et al./Turk J Math

## References

[1] Ademola AT, Mahmoud AM, Arawomo PO. On the behaviour of solutions for a class of third order neutral delay differential equations. Analele Universitǎţii Oradea Fascicola Matematica 2019; 26 (2): 85-103.
[2] Ademola AT, Arawomo PO. On the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of certain differential equations of the third order. Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics 2014; 33 (1): 111-132. doi.org/10.4067/S0716-09172014000100008
[3] Ademola AT, Arawomo PO. Uniform stability and boundedness of solutions of nonlinear delay differential equations of third order. Mathematical Journal of Okayama University 2013; 55: 157-166.
[4] Ademola AT, Arawomo PO, Ogunlaran MO, Oyekan EA. Uniform stability, boundedness and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of some third order nonlinear delay differential equations. Differential Equations and Control Processes 2013; (4): 43-66.
[5] Ademola AT, Ogundiran MO, Arawomo PO, Adesina OA. Boundedness results for a certain third order nonlinear differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation 2010; 216: 3044-3049. doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2010.04.022
[6] Ademola AT, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions to certain nonlinear third order neutral functional differential equation. Heliyon 2021; 7: 1-8. doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06615
[7] Agarwal RP, Berezansky L, Braverman E, Domoshnitsky A. Neutral differential equations, Springerlink, 2012.
[8] Arino O, Hbid ML, Ait Dads E. Delay differential equations and application. NATO Science Series II: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry, 205, 2002.
[9] Avrin JD. Qualitative theory of the Cauchy problem for a one-step reaction model on bounded domains. SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis 1991; 22: 379-391.
[10] Baculíková B, Džurina J. On the asymptotic behavior of a class of third order nonlinear neutral differential equations. Central European Journal of Mathematics 2010; 8 (6): 1091-1103.
[11] Burton TA. Stability and periodic solutions of ordinary and functional differential equations. Mathematics in Science and Engineering 178, Academic Press. Inc., Orlando, FL, 1985.
[12] Burton TA. Volterra integral and differential equations. Mathematics in Science and Engineering 167, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
[13] Burton TA. Volterra integral and differential equations, 2nd edition. Mathematics in Science and Engineering 2nd Edition 202, Amsterdam-Boston-Heidelberg London-New York-Oxford-P.
[14] Cesarano C, Bazighifan O. Oscillation of fourth-order functional differential equations with distributed delay. Axioms 2019; 8(2): 61. doi:10.3390/axioms8020061
[15] Cesarano C, Bazighifan O. Qualitative behavior of solutions of second order differential equations. Symmetry 2019; 11(6): 777. doi.org/10.3390/sym11060777
[16] Chatzarakis GE, Dzurina J, Jadlovska I. New oscillation criteria for second-order half-linear advanced differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation 2019; 347: 404-416.
[17] Dahiya RS, Akinyele O. Oscillation theorems of Nth-order functional differential equations with forcing terms. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 1985; 109: 325-332.
[18] Das P, Misra N. A necessary and sufficient condition for the solutions of a functional differential equation to be oscillatory or tend to zero. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 1997; 204: 78-87.
[19] Das P. Necessary and sufficient condition for oscillation of neutral equations with periodic coefficients. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 1994; 44 (2): 281-291.
[20] Das P. Oscillation in odd-order neutral delay differential equations. Proceeding of the Indian Academy of Science 1995; 105 (2): 219-225.

## ADEMOLA et al./Turk J Math

[21] Domoshnitsky A, Volinsky I, Levi S, Shemesh S. Stability of third order neutral delay differential equations. AIP Conference Proceedings 2019; 2159(1): 020002. doi:10.1063/1.5127464
[22] Dorociaková B. Some nonoscillatory properties of third order differential equation of neutral type. Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications 2007; 38: 71-76.
[23] Došlá Z, Liška P. Comparison theorems for third-order neutral differential equations. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations 2016; 38: 1-13.
[24] Driver RD. Ordinary and delay differential equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 20, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1977.
[25] Fite W. Concerning the zeros of the solutions of certain differential equations. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 1918; 19: 341-352.
[26] Halanay A, Rǎsvan V. Applications of Liapunov methods in stability, ISBN 978-94-010-4697-8, 1993.
[27] Hale JK. Functional differential equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 3, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1971.
[28] Hale J. Theory of functional differential equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
[29] Hale JK, Lunel SMV. Introduction to functional differential equations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 99, SpringerVerlag, New York, 1993.
[30] Kirane M. Global bounds and asymptotic for a system of reaction-diffusion equations. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 1989; 138: 328-342.
[31] Kolmanovskii V, Myshkis A. Introduction to the theory and applications of functional differential equations, (Mathematics and Its Applications volume 463) 1999 Kluwer Academic Publishers.
[32] Mihalíková B, Kostiková E. Boundedness and oscillation of third order neutral differential equation. Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications 2009; 43: 137-144.
[33] Remili M, Beldjerd D. Stability and ultimate boundedness of solutions of some third order differential equations. Journal of the Association of Arab Universities for Basic and Applied Sciences 2017; 23: 90-95.
[34] Remili M, Oudjedi LD. Stability and boundedness of the solutions of nonautonomous third order differential equations with delay. Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica 2014; 53 (2): 139-147.
[35] Okoya SS, Ayeni RO. On the decay and boundedness results for reactions with chain branching and chain breaking kinetics. Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society 1994; 13: 33-35.
[36] Okoya SS. Boundedness for a system of reaction-diffusion equations. MATHEMATIKA 1994; 41: 293-300.
[37] Okoya SS. On the qualitative theory of a reaction-diffusion system on bounded domains. Indian Journal of the Pure Applied Mathematics 1997; 28 (2): 257-265.
[38] Oudjedi LD, Lekhmissi B, Remili M. Asymptotic properties of solutions to third order neutral differential equations with delay. Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics 2019; 38 (1): 111-127.
[39] Stokes AP. The applications of a fixed point theorem to a variety of nonlinear stability problems. In contributions to the theory of nonlinear oscillations, Volume V. Annals Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1960.
[40] Tejumola HO, Tchegnani B. Stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solutions of some third order and fourth-order nonlinear delay differential equations. Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society 2000; 19: 9-19.
[41] Trench W. Canonical forms and principal systems for general disconiugat equations. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 1973; 189: 319-327.
[42] Tunç C. On the stability and boundedness of solutions to third order nonlinear differential equations with retarded argument. Nonlinear Dynamics 2009; 57 (1-2): 97-106.

## ADEMOLA et al./Turk J Math

[43] Tunç C. Some stability and boundedness conditions for nonautonomous differential equations with deviating arguments. Electronic Journal Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations 2010; 1: 1-12.
[44] Wei JJ. Oscillation of second order delay differential equation. Annals of Differential Equations 1988; 4: 473-478.
[45] Yoshizawa T. Stability Theory by Liapunov's Second Method. The Mathematical Society of Japan, 1966.


[^0]:    *Correspondence: atademola@oauife.edu.ng
    2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K05, 34K12, 34K13, 34K20, 34K40

