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#### Abstract

Let $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ be a 3-dimensional Lorentzian warped product manifold with the metric $\tilde{g}=d t^{2}+f^{2}(t)\left(d x^{2}-\right.$ $d y^{2}$ ), where $I$ is an open interval, $f$ is a strictly positive smooth function on $I$, and $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ is the Minkowski 2-plane. In this work, we give a classification of all space-like and time-like constant angle surfaces in $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with nonnull principal direction when the surface is time-like. In this classification, we obtain space-like and time-like surfaces with zero mean curvature, rotational surfaces, and surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature. Also, we have some results on constant angle surfaces of the anti-de Sitter space $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1)$.
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## 1. Introduction

The study of constant angle surfaces whose normals make a constant angle with a fixed direction in the ambient space is a classical subject in the differential geometry, and it is important to classify such surfaces that satisfy certain geometrical properties such as being umbilical, constant mean curvature or constant Gaussian curvature. In recent years, many articles have appeared on constant angle surfaces, and several classification results were given in different ambient spaces, see $[6-9,11,12,14-17,20,21,23]$. These surfaces have an important role in the physics of interfaces in liquid crystals and of layered fluids, as studied by Cermelli and Di Scala in [6].

The concept of constant angle surfaces was extended to a Minkowski space in $[12,13,15]$, and recently, space-like and time-like constant angle surfaces in the 3-dimensional Lorentzian Heisenberg group and Lorentzian Berger spheres were studied in [20, 21].

On the other hand, surfaces in warped product manifolds $I \times_{f} M$ have been investigated by several researchers, where $I$ is an open interval and $M$ is a surface, see $[1-5,9,18]$, and the references therein. In [9], Dillen et al. classified constant angle surfaces in the 3 -dimensional warped product $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}^{2}$, and in this family they determined flat surfaces, rotational surfaces and minimal surfaces. In [10], the author and Turgay obtained a classification of all space-like and time-like constant angle surfaces in $-I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}^{2}$.

In this work, we continue to study constant angle surfaces in 3-dimensional Lorentzian warped product manifolds. Let $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ be the 3 -dimensional Lorentzian warped product manifold with the metric $\tilde{g}=$ $d t^{2}+f^{2}(t)\left(d x^{2}-d y^{2}\right)$, where $I$ is an open interval, $f$ is a strictly positive smooth function on $I$, and $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ is

[^0]the Minkowski 2-plane. We classify all space-like and time-like constant angle surfaces in $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with nonnull principal direction. In this classification, we get space-like and time-like surfaces with zero mean curvature, rotational surfaces, and surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature. Furthermore, we yield some results on constant angle surfaces of the anti-de Sitter space $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1)$ with the metric $\tilde{g}=d t^{2}+e^{2 t}\left(d x^{2}-d y^{2}\right)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Basic formulae for the warped product manifold $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$

Let $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ be a 2-dimensional Minkowski space and $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an open interval equipped with the metric $d t^{2}$. Let $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ be the 3 -dimensional Lorentzian warped product manifold equipped with the Lorentzian metric $\tilde{g}=d t^{2}+f^{2}(t)\left(d x^{2}-d y^{2}\right)$, where $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a smooth function.

Let $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $\left(I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}, \tilde{g}\right)$, and $U, V, W$ the lifts of vector fields tangent to $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$. Then, from [19] we have the connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{U} V=D_{U} V-\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{f(t)} \tilde{g}(U, V) \partial_{t}  \tag{2.1a}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{U} \partial_{t}=\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{t}} U=\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{f(t)} U  \tag{2.1b}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{t}} \partial_{t}=0 \tag{2.1c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D$ is the connection of $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$. Then, the Riemannian curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{R}\left(U, \partial_{t}\right) V & =\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f(t)} \tilde{g}(U, V) \partial_{t}  \tag{2.2a}\\
\widetilde{R}\left(U, \partial_{t}\right) \partial_{t} & =-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f(t)} U  \tag{2.2~b}\\
\widetilde{R}(U, V) \partial_{t} & =0  \tag{2.2c}\\
\widetilde{R}(U, V) W & =-\frac{f^{\prime 2}(t)}{f^{2}(t)}(\tilde{g}(V, W) U-\tilde{g}(U, W) V \tag{2.2~d}
\end{align*}
$$

For the orthonormal basis $\left\{\partial_{t}, \partial_{x}, \partial_{y}\right\}$ of $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, we have the connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ together with (2.1c) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{x}=-f(t) f^{\prime}(t) \partial_{t}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{y}} \partial_{y}=f(t) f^{\prime}(t) \partial_{t}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{y}=\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{y}} \partial_{x}=0  \tag{2.5}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{t}=\widetilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{t}} \partial_{x}=\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{f(t)} \partial_{x} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus the curvature tensor of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{R}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) \partial_{x}=f(t) f^{\prime \prime}(t) \partial_{t}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \widetilde{R}\left(\partial_{y}, \partial_{t}\right) \partial_{y}=-f(t) f^{\prime \prime}(t) \partial_{t}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \widetilde{R}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) \partial_{t}=-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f(t)} \partial_{x}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \widetilde{R}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}\right) \partial_{x}=f^{\prime 2}(t) \partial_{y} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

So, the sectional curvatures of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
K\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}\right) & =-\frac{\tilde{g}\left(\widetilde{R}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}\right) \partial_{x}, \partial_{y}\right)}{\tilde{g}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{x}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\partial_{y}, \partial_{y}\right)-\left(\tilde{g}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}\right)\right)^{2}}=-\frac{f^{\prime 2}(t)}{f^{2}(t)}  \tag{2.11}\\
K\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) & =-\frac{\tilde{g}\left(\widetilde{R}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right) \partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right)}{\tilde{g}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{x}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right)-\left(\tilde{g}\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right)\right)^{2}}=-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f(t)}  \tag{2.12}\\
K\left(\partial_{y}, \partial_{t}\right) & =-\frac{\tilde{g}\left(\widetilde{R}\left(\partial_{y}, \partial_{t}\right) \partial_{y}, \partial_{t}\right)}{\tilde{g}\left(\partial_{y}, \partial_{y}\right) \tilde{g}\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right)-\left(\tilde{g}\left(\partial_{y}, \partial_{t}\right)\right)^{2}}=-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(t)}{f(t)} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the above sectional curvatures we can have the following proposition.
Proposition 2.1 The warped product manifold $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with the metric $\tilde{g}=d t^{2}+f^{2}(t)\left(d x^{2}-d y^{2}\right)$ has a constant sectional curvature if and only if, up to a rigid motion of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, the warping function is $f(t)=e^{a t}$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, when $a=1, I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with the warping function $f(t)=e^{t}$ is the anti-de Sitter space $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1)$, and when $a=0, I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ is the Minkowski $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{3}$.

Let $\varphi: M_{q} \longrightarrow I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ be an isometric immersion of a surface $M_{q}$ with index $q=0,1$, into the Lorentzian warped product manifold $\left(I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}, \tilde{g}\right)$. It is said that $\varphi$ is space-like (resp., time-like or light-like) if the induced metric $g$ via $\varphi$ is Riemannian (resp., Lorentzian or degenerate). It is equivalent to say that a normal vector $\xi$ to $M_{q}$ is time-like (resp., space-like or light-like). We take $q=0$ for a space-like immersion and $q=1$ for a time-like immersion.

The Gauss and Weingarten formulae of the immersion are, respectively, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \xi=-A X \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $X$ and $Y$ tangent to $M_{q}$, where $\xi$ is a local unit vector field normal to $M_{q}$ with the signature $\varepsilon_{\xi}=\tilde{g}(\xi, \xi), \nabla$ is the induced connection, $h$ is the second fundamental form, and $A$ is the shape operator of $M_{q}$. It is well known that $h$ and $A$ are related by $\tilde{g}(h(X, Y), \xi)=g(A X, Y)$, and $h(X, Y)=\varepsilon_{\xi} g(A X, Y) \xi$. Also, the mean curvature vector $H$ of $M_{q}$ in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \varepsilon_{i} g\left(A e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field on $M_{q}$ with the signatures $\varepsilon_{i}=g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right), i=1,2$.

### 2.2. Angles between vectors

Let $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ be a vector in $T_{(t, x, y)}\left(I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}\right)$. Then, $v$ is said to be space-like if $\tilde{g}(v, v)>0$ or $v=0$, light-like if $\tilde{g}(v, v)=0$ and $v \neq 0$, or time-like if $\tilde{g}(v, v)<0$. The norm of $v$ is defined by $\|v\|=\sqrt{\nu \tilde{g}(v, v)}$, where $\nu$ is the signature of $v$. The vector $v$ is said to be positive (resp., negative) if $v_{1}>0$ (resp., $v_{1}<0$ ).

The angle between two vectors in a Minkowski space was introduced in [22, 24].

Definition 2.2 Let $v$ and $w$ be positive (negative) time-like vectors in a Minkowski space $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{3}$. Then, the unique non negative number $\theta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}(v, w)=-\|v\|\|w\| \cosh \theta \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Lorentzian time-like angle.

Definition 2.3 Let $v$ be a space-like vector and $w$ a positive time-like vector in $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{3}$. Then, the unique non negative number $\theta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{g}(v, w)|=\|v\|\|w\| \sinh \theta \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Lorentzian time-like angle.
A space-like vector $v$ is Lorentzian orthogonal to a positive time-like vector $w$ if and only if the Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta$ is zero.

Definition 2.4 Let $v$ and $w$ be two space-like vectors in $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{3}$ that span a time-like vector subspace. Then, we have $|\tilde{g}(v, w)|>||v|\| \| w \|$, and the unique non negative number $\theta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{g}(v, w)|=\|v\|\|w\| \cosh \theta \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Lorentzian time-like angle.
Definition 2.5 Let $v$ and $w$ be two space-like vectors in $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{3}$ that span a space-like vector subspace. Then, we have $|\tilde{g}(v, w)| \leq\|v\|\|\mid w\|$, and the unique real number $\theta$ between 0 and $\pi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}(v, w)=\|v\|\|w\| \cos \theta \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Lorentzian space-like angle.

## 3. Constant Angle Surfaces in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$

Let $M_{q}$ be a surface in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ and $\xi=\xi_{1} \partial_{t}+\xi_{2} \partial_{x}+\xi_{3} \partial_{y}$ be a local unit vector field normal to $M_{q}$ with the signature $\varepsilon_{\xi}$. At each point $p \in M_{q}$, it can be chosen a unit normal vector $\xi(p)$ such that $\xi_{1}(p)>0$ when $q=0$, and $\xi_{1}(p) \geq 0$ when $q=1$.

Now, we assume that the first component of $\xi$ is nonnegative, i.e. $\xi_{1} \geq 0$. Then, we write $\partial_{t}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}=T+\Theta \xi \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $T$ is a nonnull time-like vector, where $\Theta=\varepsilon_{\xi} \tilde{g}\left(\partial_{t}, \xi\right)$ is called the angle function. We put $e_{1}=\frac{T}{\|T\|}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}=\tilde{g}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\mp 1$, where $\|T\|^{2}=\varepsilon_{1} \tilde{g}(T, T)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}\|T\|^{2}+\varepsilon_{\xi} \Theta^{2}=1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Theta=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\sinh \theta & \text { if } & \varepsilon_{\xi}=-1 \\
\cosh \theta & \text { if } & \varepsilon_{\xi}=1, \varepsilon_{1}=-1 \\
\cos \theta & \text { if } & \varepsilon_{\xi}=1, \varepsilon_{1}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\theta \geq 0$ because of (2.17)-(2.20).
A surface $M_{q}$ is said to be a constant angle surface if the Lorentzian angle $\theta$ is constant on $M_{q}$.
Let $R$ be the curvature tensor of $M_{q}$. By using (2.2), (2.14), (2.15) and (3.1) we obtain the Gauss and Codazzi equations, respectively, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & \varepsilon_{\xi}(g(A Y, Z) A X-g(A X, Z) A Y) \\
& -\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)^{2}(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y) \\
& -\left((\ln f)^{\prime \prime} \circ \varphi\right)(g(Z, T) g(Y, T) X-g(Z, T) g(X, T) Y)  \tag{3.3}\\
& +\left((\ln f)^{\prime \prime} \circ \varphi\right)(g(Y, T) g(X, Z)-g(X, T) g(Y, Z)) T
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} A\right) X=\varepsilon_{\xi} \Theta\left((\ln f)^{\prime \prime} \circ \varphi\right)(g(Y, T) X-g(X, T) Y) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y$ and $Z$ tangent to $M_{q}$.

Proposition 3.1 Let $X$ be a tangent vector to $M_{q}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} T=\Theta A X+\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)(X-g(X, T) T) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(\Theta)=-\varepsilon_{\xi} g(A T, X)-\Theta\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right) g(T, X) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof If $X$ is tangent to $M_{q}$, then $\tilde{g}\left(X, \partial_{t}\right)=g(X, T)$, and also we can write $X=X^{*}+g(X, T) \partial_{t}$, where $X^{*}$ is tangent to $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$. By using (2.1b), (2.1c) and (3.1) we get

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \partial_{t}=\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)(X-g(X, T) T)-\Theta\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right) g(X, T) \xi
$$

On the other hand, by using the Gauss and Weingarten formulae and (3.1) we have

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \partial_{t}=\nabla_{X} T-\Theta A X+\left(\varepsilon_{\xi} g(X, A T)+X(\Theta)\right) \xi
$$

Comparing the tangent and normal parts of the last two equations we acquire (3.5) and (3.6).
From (3.6) we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2 Let $M_{q}$ be a constant angle surface in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$. Then, the vector $T$ is a principal direction of the shape operator, and the corresponding eigenvalue is $\kappa=-\varepsilon_{\xi} \Theta\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)$.

Note that since the vector $\partial_{t}$ is space-like, in the case of time-like surfaces, the principal direction $T$ can be a null vector. In this work we will not consider this case.

From now on, we assume that $\Theta$ is constant. Let $e_{2}$ be a unit vector orthogonal to $e_{1}$ with signature $\varepsilon_{2}=g\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=\mp 1$. If $M_{q}$ is a space-like surface, then $e_{2}$ is also a principal direction, and thus there is a function $\lambda \in C^{\infty}(M)$ such that $A e_{2}=\lambda e_{2}$.

Proposition 3.3 Let $M_{q}$ be a constant angle surface in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with $\Theta \neq 0$ and a nonnull principal direction $T$ when $q=1$. Then, there is an orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ with $\varepsilon_{i}=g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)= \pm 1$ such that the shape operator $A$ is diagonalizable, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A e_{1}=-\varepsilon_{\xi}\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right) \Theta e_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad A e_{2}=\lambda e_{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda \in C^{\infty}\left(M_{q}\right)$, and the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla_{e_{1}} e_{1}=0, & \nabla_{e_{2}} e_{1}=\frac{1}{\|T\|}\left(\Theta \lambda+\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)\right) e_{2} \\
\nabla_{e_{1}} e_{2}=0, & \nabla_{e_{2}} e_{2}=-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \frac{1}{\|T\|}\left(\Theta \lambda+\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)\right) e_{1} \tag{3.8}
\end{array}
$$

Proof Let $M_{q}$ be a space-like constant angle surface in $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with $\Theta \neq 0$. From Proposition 3.2, $e_{1}=\frac{T}{\|T\|}$ is the unit principal direction of $A$. As $M_{q}$ is space-like, there is a unit space-like vector $e_{2}$ orthogonal to $e_{1}=\frac{T}{\|T\|}$, and $e_{2}$ is also a principal direction. Thus, there is a function $\lambda \in C^{\infty}(M)$ such that $A e_{2}=\lambda e_{2}$.

Let $M_{q}$ be a time-like surface with a nonnull principal direction. Assume that the shape operator $A$ is not diagonalizable. Then, there is a unit vector $e_{2}$ orthogonal to $e_{1}$ with signature $\varepsilon_{2}=g\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=-\varepsilon_{1}$ such that $A e_{2}=\mu e_{1}+\lambda e_{2}$. From (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{e_{2}} e_{1}=\frac{1}{\|T\|}\left\{\Theta \mu e_{1}+\left(\Theta \lambda+\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)\right) e_{2}\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we compare (3.9) to $\nabla_{e_{2}} e_{1}=\varepsilon_{2} \omega_{12}\left(e_{2}\right) e_{2}$, we have $\mu=0$ which implies that $A$ is diagonalizable.
The Levi-Civita connection (3.8) is yielded from (3.5).
We consider an orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ with signatures $\varepsilon_{i}=g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right), i=1,2$, as above. From (3.8) it is seen that $\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]$ is proportional to $e_{2}$. Thus, we can choose coordinates $(u, v)$ on $M_{q}$ such that $\partial_{u}=e_{1}$ and $\partial_{v}=\beta e_{2}$, for some smooth function $\beta(u, v)$. Therefore, the metric $g$ on $M_{q}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\varepsilon_{1} d u^{2}+\varepsilon_{2} \beta^{2}(u, v) d v^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the Levi-Civita connection according to the metric $g$ is obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\partial_{u}} \partial_{u}=0, \nabla_{\partial_{u}} \partial_{v}=\nabla_{\partial_{v}} \partial_{u}=\frac{\beta_{u}}{\beta} \partial_{v}, \nabla_{\partial_{v}} \partial_{v}=-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \beta \beta_{u} \partial_{u}+\frac{\beta_{v}}{\beta} \partial_{v} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\beta$ holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{u}=\frac{\beta}{\|T\|}\left(\Theta \lambda+\left((\ln f)^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write

$$
\varphi(u, v)=(t(u, v), x(u, v), y(u, v))
$$
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then we get $t_{u}=\tilde{g}\left(\varphi_{u}, \partial_{t}\right)=\tilde{g}\left(e_{1}, \partial_{t}\right)=\tilde{g}(T /\|T\|, T+\Theta \xi)=\varepsilon_{1}\|T\|$ as $\|T\|^{2}=\varepsilon_{1} \tilde{g}(T, T)$ and $t_{v}=\tilde{g}\left(\varphi_{v}, \partial_{t}\right)=$ $\tilde{g}\left(\beta e_{2}, \partial_{t}\right)=\tilde{g}\left(\beta e_{2},+T+\Theta \xi\right)=0$. After a translation in $u$ coordinate and integration, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(u, v)=\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2) and (3.10) we yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\|T\|^{2}+f^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)\left(x_{u}^{2}-y_{u}^{2}\right) & =\varepsilon_{1}  \tag{3.14a}\\
x_{u} x_{v}-y_{u} y_{v} & =0  \tag{3.14b}\\
f^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)\left(x_{v}^{2}-y_{v}^{2}\right) & =\varepsilon_{2} \beta^{2} \tag{3.14c}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(u)=((\ln f) \circ \varphi)(u, v)=\ln f\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.1), (3.14) and (3.15), by a straightforward computation we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\varphi_{u}} \varphi_{u}=\varphi_{u u}+2 \sigma^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{u}-\sigma^{\prime}(u)\left(\frac{1}{\|T\|}+\varepsilon_{1}\|T\|\right) \partial_{t}  \tag{3.16a}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\varphi_{u}} \varphi_{v}=\varphi_{v u}+2 \sigma^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{v}  \tag{3.16b}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\varphi_{v}} \varphi_{v}=\varphi_{v v}-\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \frac{\sigma^{\prime}(u) \beta^{2}}{\|T\|} \partial_{t} \tag{3.16c}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, using (3.1), (3.7), (3.11), (3.15) and the Gauss' formula we can state these covariant derivatives as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\varphi_{u}} \varphi_{u}=\sigma^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{u}-\frac{\sigma^{\prime}(u)}{\|T\|} \partial_{t}  \tag{3.17a}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\varphi_{u}} \varphi_{v}=\frac{\beta_{u}}{\beta} \varphi_{v}  \tag{3.17b}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{\varphi_{v}} \varphi_{v}=-\varepsilon_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \beta \beta_{u}+\frac{\varepsilon_{\xi} \lambda \beta^{2}\|T\|}{\Theta}\right) \varphi_{u}+\frac{\beta_{v}}{\beta} \varphi_{v}+\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{\xi} \frac{\lambda \beta^{2}}{\Theta} \partial_{t} \tag{3.17c}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we are going to compare (3.16) and (3.17). From (3.16a) and (3.17a) we get $\varphi_{u u}+\sigma^{\prime}(u) \varphi_{u}-\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| \sigma^{\prime}(u) \partial_{t}=$ 0 . This equation holds for the $t$-component. For the $x$ - and $y$-components we obtain $x_{u u}+\sigma^{\prime}(u) x_{u}=0$ and $y_{u u}+\sigma^{\prime}(u) y_{u}=0$ from which we obtain $x_{u}(u, v)=e^{-\sigma(u)} c_{1}(v)$ and $y_{u}(u, v)=e^{-\sigma(u)} c_{2}(v)$ for some functions $c_{1}(v)$ and $c_{2}(v)$. From (3.2) and (3.14a) we get $c_{1}^{2}(v)-c_{2}^{2}(v)=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{\xi} \Theta^{2}$. We put $p_{1}(v)=c_{1}(v) / \Theta$ and $p_{2}(v)=c_{2}(v) / \Theta$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{u}(u, v)=\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\|, p_{1}(v) \Theta e^{-\sigma(u)}, p_{2}(v) \Theta e^{-\sigma(u)}\right), \quad p_{1}^{2}(v)-p_{2}^{2}(v)=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{\xi} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.16b) and (3.17b) we obtain that $\varphi_{v u}+\left(\sigma^{\prime}(u)-\frac{\beta_{u}}{\beta}\right) \varphi_{v}=0$. This equation holds also for the t-component. For the $x$ - and $y$-components we have

$$
x_{v u}+\left(\sigma^{\prime}(u)-\frac{\beta_{u}}{\beta}\right) x_{v}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad y_{v u}+\left(\sigma^{\prime}(u)-\frac{\beta_{u}}{\beta}\right) y_{v}=0
$$

from which we write

$$
x_{v}(u, v)=q_{1}(v) \beta(u, v) e^{-\sigma(u)} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{v}(u, v)=q_{2}(v) \beta(u, v) e^{-\sigma(u)}
$$

for some functions $q_{1}(v)$ and $q_{2}(v)$. From (3.14c) and (3.15) we get $q_{1}^{2}(v)-q_{2}^{2}(v)=\varepsilon_{2}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{v}(u, v)=\beta(u, v) e^{-\sigma(u)}\left(0, q_{1}(v), q_{2}(v)\right), \quad q_{1}^{2}(v)-q_{2}^{2}(v)=\varepsilon_{2} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition, i.e. $\varphi_{v u}=\varphi_{u v}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{\beta_{u}-\sigma^{\prime}(u) \beta}{\Theta}\right)\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, Equations (3.16c) and (3.17c) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{v v}+\varepsilon_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \beta \beta_{u}+\varepsilon_{\xi} \frac{\lambda \beta^{2}\|T\|}{\Theta}\right) \varphi_{u}-\frac{\beta_{v}}{\beta} \varphi_{v}-\varepsilon_{2} \beta^{2}\left(\frac{\sigma^{\prime}}{\|T\|}+\frac{\lambda}{\Theta}\right) \partial_{t}=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing (3.18) and (3.19) into (3.21), the $x$ - and $y$-components give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1}^{\prime}(v), q_{2}^{\prime}(v)\right)=-\varepsilon_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \Theta \beta_{u}+\varepsilon_{\xi} \lambda \beta\|T\|\right)\left(p_{1}(v), p_{2}(v)\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1. Space-like constant angle surfaces

Here we classify all space-like constant angle surfaces in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, and we give a corollary for space-like surfaces in the anti-de Sitter space $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1)$.

Theorem 3.4 An immersion $\varphi: M_{0} \longrightarrow I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ defines a space-like constant angle surface with a constant Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta \geq 0$ if and only if, up to rigid motions of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, one of the followings holds locally:
i) There exist local coordinates $(u, v)$ on $M_{0}$, with respect to which the immersion $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \cosh \theta, \tanh \theta \sinh v \int^{u \cosh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \cosh \mu d \mu\right.  \tag{3.23}\\
\left.\tanh \theta \cosh v \int^{u \cosh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \sinh \mu d \mu\right)
\end{array}
$$

for some smooth function $\alpha(v)$.
ii) $\varphi\left(M_{0}\right)$ is an open part of the space-like cylinder

$$
\begin{equation*}
y-\tanh \theta \int^{t} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}=0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a totally umbilical space-like surface with the principal curvature $\lambda=\sinh \theta f^{\prime}(u \cosh \theta) / f(u \cosh \theta)$.
Proof We first show that the surfaces given in the theorem are constant angle surfaces.

For the case (i), the vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{u} & =\left(\cosh \theta, \frac{\sinh \theta \sinh v}{f(u \cosh \theta)}, \frac{\sinh \theta \cosh v}{f(u \cosh \theta)}\right) \\
\varphi_{v} & =\left(\tanh \theta \int^{u \cosh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}+\alpha(v)\right)(0, \cosh v, \sinh v)
\end{aligned}
$$

form a basis for the tangent space of the surface.
Let $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ and $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)$ be two vectors in $T_{(t, x, y)}\left(I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}\right)$. Then, the vector defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \times_{f} w=\left(f^{2}(t)\left(v_{2} w_{3}-v_{3} w_{2}\right),\left(v_{3} w_{1}-v_{1} w_{3}\right),\left(v_{2} w_{1}-v_{1} w_{2}\right)\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is orthogonal to $v$ and $w$.
Hence, a unit normal vector to the surface is written as

$$
\xi=\frac{\varphi_{v} \times_{f} \varphi_{u}}{\left\|\varphi_{v} \times_{f} \varphi_{u}\right\|}=\left(\sinh \theta, \frac{\cosh \theta \sinh v}{f(u \cosh \theta)}, \frac{\cosh \theta \cosh v}{f(u \cosh \theta)}\right)
$$

with $\varepsilon_{\xi}=\tilde{g}(\xi, \xi)=-1$. Then, we get $\tilde{g}\left(\xi, \partial_{t}\right)=\sinh \theta \tilde{g}\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right)=\sinh \theta$ which is a constant.
For the case (ii), we can parameterize the surface as

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u, v, \tanh \theta \int^{u} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}\right)
$$

Then, the vector $\xi=\left(\sinh \theta, 0, \frac{\cosh \theta}{f(u)}\right)$ is a unit normal, and $\tilde{g}\left(\xi, \partial_{t}\right)=\sinh \theta$ which is a constant.
Conversely, let $\varphi: M_{0} \rightarrow I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ be a space-like constant angle surface with a constant Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta \in[0, \infty)$. Let $(u, v)$ be local coordinates on $M_{0}$ as in (3.10). Then, we have Equations (3.10)-(3.22) for $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{\xi}=-1$, also $\|T\|=\cosh \theta$ and $\Theta=\sinh \theta$.

If $\theta=0$, then the vector $\partial_{t}$ is tangent to $\varphi\left(M_{0}\right)$ everywhere. It implies that $\varphi\left(M_{0}\right)$ is an open part of a space-like cylinder with rulings in the direction of $\partial_{t}$, or equivalently that there exist local coordinates $(u, v)$ on $M_{0}$ such that $\varphi(u, v)=\left(u, \gamma_{1}(v), \gamma_{2}(v)\right)$ for some smooth functions $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$. If $\varphi$ parametrizes a plane, this is the case (ii) of the theorem with $\theta=0$. If $\varphi$ does not describe a plane, this is case (i) of the theorem with $\theta=0$.

Now we assume that $\theta>0$. Considering (3.20), we distinguish two cases depending if $p_{1}(v)$ and $p_{2}(v)$ are constant or not.

Case 1. $p_{1}(v)$ and $p_{2}(v)$ are constant. Then, from (3.20) we obtain that $\beta_{u}=\sigma^{\prime} \beta$ from which we get $\beta(u, v)=\psi(v) f(u \cosh \theta)$. After changing in $v$-coordinate we can suppose that $\psi(v)=1$ such that $\beta(u, v)=f(u \cosh \theta)$. Then, from (3.12) we have $\lambda=\sinh \theta f^{\prime}(u \cosh \theta) / f(u \cosh \theta)$. Using (3.7), it follows that $M_{0}$ is totally umbilical. Also, it is seen from (3.22) that $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are constant. Integrating (3.18) and using (3.19), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \cosh \theta, p_{1} \sinh \theta \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau+q_{1} v+a_{1}, p_{2} \sinh \theta \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau+q_{2} v+a_{2}\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ which can be taken zero after a translation in $x$ and $y$. From (3.14b), (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} q_{1}-p_{2} q_{2}=0, \quad p_{2}^{2}-p_{1}^{2}=1 \text { and } q_{1}^{2}-q_{2}^{2}=1 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering (3.27), after a rotation around the $t$-axis which is an isometry of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, we may assume that $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(0,1)$ and $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=(1,0)$. Hence, using (3.15) and after the substitution $\mu=\tau \cosh \theta$ in (3.26) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \cosh \theta, v, \tanh \theta \int^{u \cosh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to case (ii) of the theorem.
Case 2. $p_{1}(v)$ and $p_{2}(v)$ are not constant. Then, from (3.27) we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}(v), p_{2}(v)\right)=(\sinh v, \cosh v) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering (3.27), Equation (3.20) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{u}-\sigma^{\prime}(u) \beta= \pm \sinh \theta \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, without loss of generality, we can assume that the right hand side to be $\sinh \theta$. Integrating (3.30), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(u, v) e^{-\sigma(u)}-\sinh \theta \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau=\alpha(v) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $\alpha(v)$. Moreover, (3.20) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1}(v), q_{2}(v)\right)=(\cosh v, \sinh v) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (3.18) and (3.19) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{u}(u, v)=\left(\cosh \theta, e^{-\sigma(u)} \sinh \theta \sinh v, e^{-\sigma(u)} \sinh \theta \cosh v\right)  \tag{3.33}\\
& \varphi_{v}(u, v)=\beta(u, v) e^{-\sigma(u)}(0, \cosh v, \sinh v) \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3.33) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \cosh \theta, \sinh \theta \sinh v \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau+\gamma_{1}(v), \sinh \theta \cosh v \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau+\gamma_{2}(v)\right) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some smooth functions $\gamma_{1}(v)$ and $\gamma_{2}(v)$. Taking derivative of (3.35) with respect to $v$ and comparing it to (3.34), and also using (3.31), we obtain that

$$
\gamma_{1}(v)=\int^{v} \alpha(\tau) \cosh \tau d \tau, \quad \gamma_{2}(v)=\int^{v} \alpha(\tau) \sinh \tau d \tau
$$

Therefore, considering (3.15) and using the substitution $\mu=\tau \cosh \theta$, we have (3.23) from (3.35).
It follows from Proposition 2.1 that the warped product $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with the metric $\tilde{g}=d t^{2}+f^{2}(t)\left(d x^{2}-d y^{2}\right)$ is the anti-de Sitter space $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1)$ when $f(t)=e^{t}$. Hence, we can conclude the following result from Theorem 3.4 for space-like constant angle surfaces in $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1)$.

Corollary 3.5 An immersion $\varphi: M_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1)$ defines a space-like constant angle surface with a constant Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta \geq 0$ if and only if, up to rigid motions of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, one of the followings holds locally:
i) There exist local coordinates $(u, v)$ on $M_{0}$, with respect to which the immersion $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(u, v)=(u \cosh \theta,-e^{-u \cosh \theta} \tanh \theta \sinh v+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \cosh \mu d \mu  \tag{3.36}\\
&\left.-e^{-u \cosh \theta} \tanh \theta \cosh v+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \sinh \mu d \mu\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for some smooth function $\alpha(v)$.
ii) $\varphi\left(M_{0}\right)$ is an open part of the space-like cylinder

$$
\begin{equation*}
y+e^{-t} \tanh \theta=0 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a totally umbilical space-like surface with the principal curvature $\lambda=\sinh \theta$.

### 3.2. Time-like constant angle surfaces

Here we classify all time-like constant angle surfaces in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, and we give a corollary for time-like surfaces in the anti-de Sitter space $\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1)$.

Theorem 3.6 An immersion $\varphi: M_{1} \longrightarrow I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ defines a time-like constant angle surface with a constant Lorentzian angle $\theta$ and a nonnull principal direction if and only if, up to rigid motions of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, one of the followings holds locally:
i) There exist local coordinates $(u, v)$ on $M_{1}$, with respect to which the immersion $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \sin \theta, \cot \theta \cosh v \int^{u \sin \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \sinh \mu d \mu\right.  \tag{3.38}\\
\left.\cot \theta \sinh v \int^{u \sin \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \cosh \mu d \mu\right)
\end{array}
$$

for some smooth function $\alpha(v)$, where the Lorentzian space-like angle $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2) \cup(\pi / 2, \pi)$.
ii) There exist local coordinates $(u, v)$ on $M_{1}$, with respect to which the immersion $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u, v)=(-u \sinh \theta & -\operatorname{coth} \theta \sinh v \int^{-u \sinh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \cosh \mu d \mu  \tag{3.39}\\
& \left.-\operatorname{coth} \theta \cosh v \int^{-u \sinh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \sinh \mu d \mu\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for some smooth function $\alpha(v)$, where the Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta>0$.
iii) $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is an open part of the time-like cylinder

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-\cot \theta \int^{t} \frac{d \tau}{f(\tau)}=0 \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Lorentzian space-like angle $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2) \cup(\pi / 2, \pi)$. This is a totally umbilical surface with the principal curvature $\lambda=-\cos \theta f^{\prime}(u \sin \theta) / f(u \sin \theta)$.
iv) $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is an open part of the time-like cylinder

$$
\begin{equation*}
y+\operatorname{coth} \theta \int^{t} \frac{d \tau}{f(\tau)}=0 \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta>0$. This is a totally umbilical time-like surface with the principal curvature $\lambda=-\cosh \theta f^{\prime}(-u \sinh \theta) / f(-u \sinh \theta)$.
v) $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is an open part of the time-like surface $t=t_{0}$, for some real number $t_{0}$ and $\theta=0$.

Proof As in the proof of Theorem 3.4, it can be shown that the surfaces defined by (3.38), (3.39), (3.40) and (3.41) are constant angle surfaces.

Conversely, let $\varphi: M_{1} \rightarrow I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ be a time-like constant angle surface with a constant Lorentzian angle $\theta$ and the nonnull principal direction $T$. As the normal vector $\xi$ and the vector field $\partial_{t}$ are space-like, the vector $T$, i.e. $e_{1}$ is space-like or time-like.

Case 1. $T$ is a space-like vector. Let $(u, v)$ be local coordinates on $M_{1}$ as in (3.10). Then, we have Equations (3.10)-(3.22) for $\varepsilon_{1}=-\varepsilon_{2}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{\xi}=1$, also $\|T\|=\sin \theta$ and $\Theta=\cos \theta$ for $0 \leq \theta<\pi$.

If $\theta=0$, then $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is a surface of type (v) given in the theorem. If $\theta=\pi / 2$, then the vector $\partial_{t}$ is tangent to $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ everywhere. This means that $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is an open part of a time-like cylinder with rulings in the direction of $\partial_{t}$, or equivalently that there exist local coordinates $(u, v)$ on $M_{1}$ such that $\varphi(u, v)=\left(u, \gamma_{1}(v), \gamma_{2}(v)\right)$ for some smooth functions $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$. If $\varphi$ parameterizes a time-like plane, this is the case (iii) of the theorem with $\theta=\pi / 2$. If $\varphi$ does not describe a plane, this is case (i) of the theorem with $\theta=\pi / 2$.

Now we assume that $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2) \cup(\pi / 2, \pi)$. Considering (3.20), we distinguish two cases depending if $p_{1}(v)$ and $p_{2}(v)$ are constant or not.

Subcase 1.1. $p_{1}(v)$ and $p_{2}(v)$ are constant. Then, from (3.20) we obtain that $\beta_{u}=\sigma^{\prime} \beta$ from which we get $\beta(u, v)=\psi(v) f(u \sin \theta)$. After changing in $v$-coordinate we can suppose that $\psi(v)=1$ such that $\beta(u, v)=f(u \sin \theta)$. Then, from (3.12) we have $\lambda=-\cos \theta f^{\prime}(u \sin \theta) / f(u \sin \theta)$. Using (3.7), it follows that $M_{1}$ is totally umbilical. Also, it is seen from (3.22) that $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are constants. Integrating (3.18) and using (3.19) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \sin \theta, p_{1} \cos \theta \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau+q_{1} v+a_{1}, p_{2} \cos \theta \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau+q_{2} v+a_{2}\right) \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ which can be taken zero after a translation in $x$ and $y$. From (3.14b), (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} q_{1}-p_{2} q_{2}=0, \quad p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}=1 \text { and } q_{2}^{2}-q_{1}^{2}=1 \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering (3.43), after a rotation around the $t$-axis which is an isometry of $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, we may assume that $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=(1,0)$ and $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=(0,1)$. Hence, using (3.15) and after the substitution $\mu=\tau \cosh \theta$ in (3.42) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \sin \theta, \cot \theta \int^{u \sin \theta} \frac{d \tau}{f(\tau)}, v\right) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to the case (iii) of the theorem.

Subcase 1.2. $p_{1}(v)$ and $p_{2}(v)$ are not constant. Then, from (3.18) we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1}(v), p_{2}(v)\right)=(\cosh v, \sinh v) \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering (3.19), Equation (3.20) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{u}-\sigma^{\prime}(u) \beta= \pm \cos \theta \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, without loss of generality, we can assume that the right hand side to be $\cos \theta$. Integrating (3.46) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(u, v) e^{-\sigma(u)}-\cos \theta \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau=\alpha(v) \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $\alpha(v)$. Moreover, (3.20) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q_{1}(v), q_{2}(v)\right)=(\sinh v, \cosh v) \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (3.18) and (3.19) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{u}(u, v)=\left(\sin \theta, e^{-\sigma(u)} \cos \theta \cosh v, e^{-\sigma(u)} \cos \theta \sinh v\right)  \tag{3.49}\\
& \varphi_{v}(u, v)=\beta(u, v) e^{-\sigma(u)}(0, \sinh v, \cosh v) \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (3.49) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \sin \theta, \cos \theta \cosh v \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau+\gamma_{1}(v), \cos \theta \sinh v \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau+\gamma_{2}(v)\right) \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some smooth functions $\gamma_{1}(v)$ and $\gamma_{2}(v)$. Taking derivative of (3.51) with respect to $v$ and comparing it to (3.50), and also using (3.47) we obtain that

$$
\gamma_{1}(v)=\int^{v} \alpha(\tau) \sinh \tau d \tau, \quad \gamma_{2}(v)=\int^{v} \alpha(\tau) \cosh \tau d \tau
$$

Therefore, considering (3.15) and using the substitution $\mu=\tau \sin \theta$, we have (3.38) from (3.51).
Case 2. $T$ is a time-like vector. Let $(u, v)$ be local coordinates on $M_{1}$ as in (3.10). Then, we have Equations (3.10)-(3.22) for $-\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{\xi}=1$, also $\|T\|=\sinh \theta$ and $\Theta=\cosh \theta$ for $\theta \geq 0$. If $\theta=0$, then $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is a surface of type (v) given in the theorem.

Since the rest of proof is similar to subcases 1.1 and 1.2 , we omit the proof of (iii) and (iv).

Corollary 3.7 An immersion $\varphi: M_{1} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1), \tilde{g}=d t^{2}+e^{2 t}\left(d x^{2}-d y^{2}\right)\right)$ defines a time-like constant angle surface with a constant Lorentzian angle $\theta$ and a nonnull principal direction if and only if, up to rigid motions of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, one of the followings holds locally:
i) There exist local coordinates $(u, v)$ on $M_{1}$, with respect to which the immersion $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \sin \theta,-e^{-u \sin \theta} \cot \theta \cosh v+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \sinh \mu d \mu\right.  \tag{3.52}\\
\left.-e^{-u \sin \theta} \cot \theta \sinh v+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \cosh \mu d \mu\right)
\end{array}
$$

for some smooth function $\alpha(v)$, where the Lorentzian space-like angle $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2) \cup(\pi / 2, \pi)$.
ii) There exist local coordinates $(u, v)$ on $M_{1}$, with respect to which the immersion $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(-u \sinh \theta, e^{u \sinh \theta} \operatorname{coth} \theta \cosh v+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \sinh \mu d \mu\right.  \tag{3.53}\\
\left.e^{u \sinh \theta} \operatorname{coth} \theta \sinh v+\int^{v} \alpha(\mu) \cosh \mu d \mu\right)
\end{array}
$$

for some smooth function $\alpha(v)$, where the Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta>0$.
iii) $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is an open part of the time-like cylinder

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+e^{-t} \cot \theta=0, \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Lorentzian space-like angle $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2) \cup(\pi / 2, \pi)$. This is a totally umbilical surface with the principal curvature $\lambda=-\cos \theta$.
iv) $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is an open part of the time-like cylinder

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-e^{-t} \operatorname{coth} \theta=0 \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta>0$. This is a totally umbilical surface with the principal curvature $\lambda=-\cosh \theta$.
v) $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)$ is an open part of the surface $t=t_{0}$, for some real number $t_{0}$ and $\theta=0$.

Note that in the case (i) of Theorem 3.4, and (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.6, the function $\lambda$ is given from (3.12) and (3.22) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{\|T\|}{\beta}-\varepsilon_{\xi} \Theta \frac{f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)}{f\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)} \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Constant angle rotational surfaces in $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$

In this section, we study constant angle rotational surfaces in the Lorentzian warped product manifold $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with the Lorentzian metric $\tilde{g}=d t^{2}+f^{2}(t)\left(d x^{2}-d y^{2}\right)$.

The rotation about the $t$-axis given by

$$
R_{v}: I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2} \longrightarrow I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}:(t, x, y) \longrightarrow(t, x \cosh v+y \sinh v, x \sinh v+y \cosh v)
$$

is a one-parameter group of isometries which left the t-axis pointwise invariant. Let $\gamma=\gamma(u), u \in J$, be a smooth curve in $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ defined on an open interval $J$. Then, a rotational surface about the $t$-axis in $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with a profile curve $\gamma$ is defined by $\varphi(u, v)=R_{v}(\gamma(u))$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the profile curve lies in a nondegenerate plane of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$. Thus, we consider two cases.

Case 1. Profile curve $\gamma$ lies in a space-like plane. Let $\gamma$ be a smooth curve defined an open interval $J$ in the totally geodesic plane of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ containing $t$ - and $x$-axes. Assume that $\gamma(u)=(a(u), b(u), 0)$ is an arc length parametrized curve, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{a^{\prime}}^{2}(u)+f^{2}(a(u)) b^{\prime 2}(u)=1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the profile curve is space-like. Note that $a: J \rightarrow I$ and $f$ is defined on $I$. Then, the rotational surface about the $t$-axis in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=(a(u), b(u) \cosh v, b(u) \sinh v) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A unit normal vector field on the surface is obtained as

$$
\xi=\frac{\varphi_{u} \times_{f} \varphi_{v}}{\left\|\varphi_{u} \times_{f} \varphi_{v}\right\|}=\left(b^{\prime}(u) f(a(u)),-\frac{a^{\prime}(u)}{f(a(u))} \cosh v,-\frac{a^{\prime}(u)}{f(a(u))} \sinh v\right)
$$

with $\varepsilon_{\xi}=1$, that is, the rotational surface is time-like. So, the plane spanned by $\left\{e_{1}, \xi\right\}$ is space-like and $\varepsilon_{2}=-1$. Considering $\xi$, we have $\tilde{g}\left(\xi, \partial_{t}\right)=b^{\prime}(u) f(a(u))$. Also, from (3.1) we have $b^{\prime}(u) f(a(u))=\varepsilon_{\xi} \Theta=\Theta$ as $\varepsilon_{\xi}=1$. Thus, the time-like rotational surface (4.2) is a constant angle surface if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\prime}(u) f(a(u))=\cos \theta \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.1), we have $a(u)=u \sin \theta+c$ for some real constant $c$. After a change of the arc length parameter $u$ of $\gamma$, we may assume that $a(u)=u \sin \theta$ without loss of generality. Then, it follows from (4.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(u)=\cos \theta \int^{u} \frac{d \tau}{f(\tau \sin \theta)}=\cot \theta \int^{u \sin \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta \in(0, \pi / 2) \cup(\pi / 2, \pi)$.
If $\theta=0$, we have $a(u)=a_{0} \in I$ and $b(u)=\left(1 / f\left(a_{0}\right)\right) u+b_{1}$, where $a_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ are constant. Thus, the time-like rotational surface is planar.

If $\theta=\pi / 2$, we have $a(u)=u$ and $b(u)=b_{0}>0$ without loss of generality, where $b_{0}$ is a constant. Then, the time-like rotational constant angle surface is a rotational cylinder which is a special case of (i) of Theorem 3.6 when $\alpha(v)=\alpha_{0}$ is a constant.

Therefore, the time-like rotational constant angle surface with a space-like profile curve in $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ defined by (4.2) is determined. This time-like rotational constant angle surface is a special case of Theorem 3.6 when $\alpha(v)=0$ or when $\theta=\pi / 2$ and $\alpha(v)=\alpha_{0}$.

Case 2. Profile curve $\gamma$ lies in a time-like plane. Let $\gamma$ be a smooth curve defined on an open interval $J$ in the totally geodesic plane of $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ containing $t$ - and $y$-axes. Assume that $\gamma(u)=(a(u), 0, b(u))$ is an arc length parametrized curve, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{a^{\prime}}^{2}(u)-f^{2}(a(u)) b^{\prime 2}(u)=\varepsilon_{1} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $a: J \rightarrow I$ and $f$ is defined on $I$.
Then, the rotational surface with this profile curve about the $t$-axis in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=(a(u), b(u) \sinh v, b(u) \cosh v) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A unit vector field normal to the surface is obtained as

$$
\xi=\frac{\varphi_{u} \times_{f} \varphi_{v}}{\left\|\varphi_{u} \times_{f} \varphi_{v}\right\|}=\left(-b^{\prime}(u) f(a(u)),-\frac{a^{\prime}(u)}{f(a(u))} \sinh v,-\frac{a^{\prime}(u)}{f(a(u))} \cosh v\right)
$$

with $\varepsilon_{\xi}=-\varepsilon_{1}$ from which we have $\tilde{g}\left(\xi, \partial_{t}\right)=-b^{\prime}(u) f(a(u))$. Considering (3.1) we have $b^{\prime}(u) f(a(u))=-\varepsilon_{\xi} \Theta=$ $\varepsilon_{1} \Theta$. Thus, the rotational surface (4.6) is a constant angle surface if and only if

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
b^{\prime}(u) f(a(u))=\sinh \theta & \text { if } \quad \varepsilon_{1}=1 \\
b^{\prime}(u) f(a(u))=-\cosh \theta & \text { if } \quad \varepsilon_{1}=-1 \tag{4.7}
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand, using (3.1), (4.5), and $a^{\prime}(u)=\tilde{g}\left(\varphi_{u}, \partial_{t}\right)=\tilde{g}\left(\varphi_{u}, T\right)=\varepsilon_{1}\|T\|$ we have $a(u)=u \cosh \theta+c$ for $\varepsilon_{1}=1$ or $a(u)=-u \sinh \theta+c$ for $\varepsilon_{1}=-1$, and for some real constant $c$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $c=0$. Then, it follows from (4.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& b(u)=\sinh \theta \int^{u} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu \cosh \theta)}=\tanh \theta \int^{u \cosh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)} \quad \text { if } \quad \varepsilon_{1}=1  \tag{4.8}\\
& b(u)=\cosh \theta \int^{u} \frac{d \mu}{f(-\mu \sinh \theta)}=-\operatorname{coth} \theta \int^{-u \sinh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)} \quad \text { if } \quad \varepsilon_{1}=-1
\end{align*}
$$

for $\theta>0$.
If $\varepsilon_{1}=1$ and $\theta=0$, we have $a(u)=u$ and $b(u)=b_{0}>0$ without loss of generality, where $b_{0}$ is a constant. Then, the space-like rotational constant angle surface is a rotational cylinder which is a special case of (i) of Theorem 3.4 when $\alpha(v)=\alpha_{0}$ is a constant.

If $\varepsilon_{1}=-1$ and $\theta=0$ we have $a(u)=a_{0} \in I$ and $b(u)=-\left(1 / f\left(a_{0}\right)\right) u+b_{1}$, where $a_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ are constant. Thus, the time-like rotational surface is planar.

Therefore, the space-like and time-like rotational constant angle surfaces of $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with profile curves lying in time-like planes defined by (4.6) are determined.

For $\varepsilon=1$ the space-like rotational constant angle surface defined by (4.6) is a spacial case (i) of Theorem 3.4 when $\alpha(v)=\alpha_{0}$ is a constant; for $\varepsilon=-1$ the time-like rotational constant angle surface defined by (4.6) is a special case (ii) of Theorem 3.6 when $\alpha(v)=0$.

So, considering Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.6 and the above results, we obtain the followings:
Theorem 4.1 A space-like rotational surface $\varphi$ in $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ defined by (4.6) is a constant angle surface with a constant Lorentzian angle $\theta \geq 0$ if and only if it is congruent to the space-like surface given by

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \cosh \theta,\left(b_{0}+\tanh \theta \int^{u \cosh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}\right) \sinh v,\left(b_{0}+\tanh \theta \int^{u \cosh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}\right) \cosh v\right)
$$

Corollary 4.2 A space-like rotational surface $\varphi$ defined by (4.2) in the anti-de Sitter space $\left(\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1), \tilde{g}=\right.$ $d t^{2}+e^{2 t}\left(d x^{2}-d y^{2}\right)$ ) is a space-like constant angle surface with a constant Lorentzian angle $\theta \geq 0$ if and only if it is congruent to the space-like surface given by

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \cosh \theta,\left(b_{0}-e^{-u \cosh u} \tanh \theta\right) \sinh v,\left(b_{0}-e^{-u \cosh u} \tanh \theta\right) \cosh v\right)
$$

where $b_{0}$ is a constant.
Theorem 4.3 Nonplanar time-like rotational surfaces in $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ defined by (4.2) and (4.6) are constant angle surfaces with a constant Lorentzian angle $\theta$ if and only if they are, respectively, congruent to the following surfaces:
i) The time-like surface given by

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \sin \theta,\left(b_{0}+\cot \theta \int^{u \sin \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}\right) \cosh v,\left(b_{0}+\cot \theta \int^{u \sin \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}\right) \sinh v\right)
$$

where $b_{0}$ is a constant and the Lorentzian space-like angle $\theta \in(0, \pi)$.
ii) The time-like surface given by

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(-u \sinh \theta,-\operatorname{coth} \theta \sinh v \int^{-u \sinh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)},-\operatorname{coth} \theta \cosh v \int^{-u \sinh \theta} \frac{d \mu}{f(\mu)}\right)
$$

where the Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta>0$.
Corollary 4.4 Nonplanar time-like rotational surfaces $\varphi$ in the anti-de Sitter space $\left(\mathbb{H}_{1}^{3}(-1), \tilde{g}=d t^{2}+e^{2 t}\left(d x^{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.d y^{2}\right)$ ) defined by (4.2) and (4.6) are space-like constant angle surfaces with a constant Lorentzian angle $\theta$ if and only if they are, respectively, congruent to the following surfaces:
(i) The time-like surface given by

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \sin \theta,\left(b_{0}-\cot \theta e^{-u \sin \theta}\right) \cosh v,\left(b_{0}-\cot \theta e^{-u \sin \theta}\right) \sinh v\right)
$$

where $b_{0}$ is a constant and the Lorentzian space-like angle $\theta \in(0, \pi)$.
(ii) The time-like surface given by

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(-u \sinh \theta, \operatorname{coth} \theta \sinh v e^{u \sinh \theta}, \operatorname{coth} \theta \cosh v e^{u \sinh \theta}\right)
$$

where the Lorentzian time-like angle $\theta>0$.

## 5. Constant angle surfaces in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with constant Gaussian curvature

We consider surfaces in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ of type (ii) of Theorem 3.4 and of types (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 3.6 to give examples of space-like and time-like constant angle surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature. Using the Gauss equation (3.3) and (3.7) we get the Gaussian curvature as

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(\varepsilon_{\xi} \Theta^{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{t}\|T\| u\right)}{f\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)}\right)^{2}-\varepsilon_{2}\|T\|^{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)}{f\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)}-\left(\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)}{f\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =-\varepsilon_{2}\|T\|^{2} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)}{f\left(\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have the followings:

1) The space-like constant angle surface (ii) of Theorem 3.4 has constant Gaussian curvature $K$ if and only if $f(t)=a \cosh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-K}}{\cosh \theta} t+b\right)$ when $K<0$, or $f(t)=a \cos \left(\frac{\sqrt{K}}{\cosh \theta} t+b\right)$ when $K>0$, or $f(t)=a t+b$ when $K=0$.
2) The time-like constant angle surface (iii) of Theorem 3.6 has constant Gaussian curvature $K$ if and only if $f(t)=a \cos \left(\frac{\sqrt{-K}}{\sin \theta} t+b\right)$ when $K<0$, or $f(t)=a \cosh \left(\frac{\sqrt{K}}{\sin \theta} t+b\right)$ when $K>0$, or $f(t)=a t+b$ when $K=0$.
3) The time-like constant angle surface (iv) of Theorem 3.6 has constant Gaussian curvature $K$ if and only if $f(t)=a \cosh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-K}}{\sinh \theta} t+b\right)$ when $K<0$, or $f(t)=a \cos \left(\frac{\sqrt{K}}{\sinh \theta} t+b\right)$ when $K>0$, or $f(t)=a t+b$ when $K=0$, where $a \neq 0, b$ are constants.

## 6. Constant angle surfaces in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with zero mean curvature

In this section we classify constant angle surfaces in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ with zero mean curvature. Let $\varphi$ be a time-like constant angle immersion of type (v) in Theorem 3.6. Then, $\partial_{t}$ is a normal to the surface $\varphi\left(M_{1}\right)=\left\{t_{0}\right\} \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, and it follows from (2.1b) that the surface $\varphi\left(M_{0}\right)$ is totally umbilical and the shape operator $A=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)}{f\left(t_{0}\right)} I_{1}$, where $I_{1}$ is the identity transformation on $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$. Thus, such a surface has zero mean curvature if and only if $f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, that is, it is also totally geodesic.

Now, let the space-like constant angle surface be of type (ii) of Theorem 3.4. Then, it is maximal only if it is totally geodesic. Since the principal curvatures are of the form $\lambda=\sinh \theta f^{\prime}(u \cosh \theta) / f(u \cosh \theta)$, we have either $\theta=0$, i.e. the surface is a warped product of the interval $I$ and a space-like straight line in $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$, or $f^{\prime}=0$, that is, the ambient space is a direct product, and $M_{0}$ is a space-like plane in $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{3}$.

Similarly, we assume that the time-like constant angle surface is of type (iii) or (iv) of Theorem 3.6. Then, it has zero mean curvature only if it is totally geodesic. When we consider the principal curvatures of the surface we have $f^{\prime}=0$, i.e. the ambient space is a direct product, and $M_{1}$ is a time-like plane in $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{3}$.

Finally, we assume that the space-like constant angle surface is of type (i) of the Theorem 3.4, or the time-like constant angle surface is of type (i) or (ii) of the Theorem 3.6. Then, from (3.7) and (3.56) it follows that $H=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \Theta \beta(u, v) \sigma^{\prime}(u)=-\varepsilon_{2}\|T\|^{2} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\beta$ depends only on $u$. From (3.30) and (3.46), we can write $\beta_{u}-\sigma^{\prime}(u) \beta=\Theta$. Using this and differentiating (6.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \Theta^{2}}{\|T\|^{2}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (6.2) and using (3.15) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=b\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\|T\|} t+c\right)^{m} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\frac{\|T\|^{2}}{\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{2} \Theta^{2}}$, and $b \neq 0$ and $c$ are constants. Without loss of generality we can assume that $c=0$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\varepsilon_{1}\|T\| u} \frac{d \tau}{f(\tau)}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}\|T\|}{b(1-m) u^{m-1}} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.56) and (6.1) we obtain that $\beta(u)=-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{\|T\|^{2} u}{2 m \Theta^{2}}$ and $\lambda(u)=\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{\xi} \frac{m \Theta}{\|T\| u}$. Also, considering $\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{\xi}=-1$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(u)=\frac{m}{u}$, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(u, v) e^{-\sigma(u)}-\Theta \int^{u} e^{-\sigma(\tau)} d \tau=0 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have $\alpha(v)=0$ in (3.31) and (3.47).
Case 1. $M_{q}$ is space-like in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$. Then, we have $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{\xi}=-1$, and hence $\Theta=\sinh \theta$ and $\|T\|=\cosh \theta$. Therefore, from (3.23) and (6.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \cosh \theta, \frac{\sinh \theta \sinh v}{b(1-m) u^{m-1}}, \frac{\sinh \theta \cosh v}{b(1-m) u^{m-1}}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\frac{\cosh ^{2} \theta}{1-\sinh ^{2} \theta}$ and $u>0$ when $\theta \in(0, \ln (1+\sqrt{2}))$, and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ when $\theta>\ln (1+\sqrt{2})$.
Case 2. $M_{q}$ is time-like in $I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$. Then, we have two cases as $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{\xi}=1, \varepsilon_{2}=-1$, or $\varepsilon_{1}=-1$, $\varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon_{\xi}=1$.

Subcase 2.1. $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{\xi}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=-1$. Then, $\Theta=\cos \theta$ and $\|T\|=\sin \theta$. Therefore, from (3.38) and (6.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \sin \theta, \frac{u^{1-m} \cos \theta \cosh v}{b(1-m)}, \frac{u^{1-m} \cos \theta \sinh v}{b(1-m)}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{1+\cos ^{2} \theta} \in(0,1)$.
Subcase 2.2. $\varepsilon_{1}=-1$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon_{\xi}=-1$. Then, $\Theta=\cosh \theta$ and $\|T\|=\sinh \theta$. Therefore, from (3.39) and (6.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u, v)=\left(-u \sinh \theta, \frac{u^{1-m} \cosh \theta \sinh v}{b(1-m)}, \frac{u^{1-m} \cosh \theta \cosh v}{b(1-m)}\right) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=-\frac{\sinh ^{2} \theta}{1+\cosh ^{2} \theta} \in(-1,0)$.
All these surfaces are rotational.
Finally, we state the followings by considering Theorems 3.4 and 3.6.
Theorem 6.1 Let $\varphi: M_{0} \longrightarrow I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ be a space-like immersion, and assume that it is not totally geodesic. Then, $\varphi$ is constant angle and maximal if and only if the warping function is $f(t)=b t^{m}$ and $\varphi$ is a space-like rotational immersion given by

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \cosh \theta, \frac{\sinh \theta \sinh v}{b(1-m) u^{m-1}}, \frac{\sinh \theta \cosh v}{b(1-m) u^{m-1}}\right)
$$

where $m=\frac{\cosh ^{2} \theta}{1-\sinh ^{2} \theta}$ and $u>0$ when $\theta \in(0, \ln (1+\sqrt{2}))$, and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ when $\theta>\ln (1+\sqrt{2})$.
Theorem 6.2 Let $\varphi: M_{0} \longrightarrow I \times_{f} \mathbb{E}_{1}^{2}$ be a space-like immersion, and assume that it is not totally geodesic. Then, $\varphi$ is constant angle and has zero mean curvature if and only if the warping function $f(t)=b t^{m}$ and $\varphi$ is one of the following time-like rotational immersions:
i)

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(u \sin \theta, \frac{u^{1-m} \cos \theta \cosh v}{b(1-m)}, \frac{u^{1-m} \cos \theta \sinh v}{b(1-m)}\right)
$$

where $m=\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta}{1+\cos ^{2} \theta} \in(0,1)$;
ii)

$$
\varphi(u, v)=\left(-u \sinh \theta, \frac{u^{1-m} \cosh \theta \sinh v}{b(1-m)}, \frac{u^{1-m} \cosh \theta \cosh v}{b(1-m)}\right)
$$

where $m=-\frac{\sinh ^{2} \theta}{1+\cosh ^{2} \theta} \in(-1,0)$.
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