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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate a class of four-point boundary value problems of fractional $q$-difference equation with $p$-Laplacian operator which is the first time to be studied and is extended from a bending elastic beam equation. By Avery-Peterson theorem and the method of lower and upper solutions associated with monotone iterative technique, we obtain some sufficient conditions for the existence of multiple positive solutions. As applications, examples are presented to illustrate the main results.
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## 1. Introduction

The $q$-difference calculus or quantum calculus has been of great interest recently. It was initially developed by Jackson [13]. In regard to basic definitions and properties of $q$-difference calculus, the reader can confirm in the books [11] and [4]. It is well know that the time scale calculus includes $q$-difference calculus (or quantum calculus) as a special case (i.e. dynamic equations on time scales include related $q$-difference equations as a special case); see, e.g., the papers [6-8] for more details.

More recently, perhaps due to the explosion in research within the fractional calculus setting, new developments in the theory of fractional $q$-difference calculus were made. Compared with integer order $q$ calculus, fractional $q$-calculus is better and more accurate to describe physical phenomena. Therefore, the theory of fractional $q$-calculus has been widely used in the fields of mathematical physics, dynamical systems and quantum models and so on $[9,19,23]$. The fractional $q$-difference calculus had its origin in the works by Al-Salam [3] and Agarwal[1]. Many effective and interesting results can be found in [2, 9, 24] and references therein.

As a matter of fact, $p$-Laplace equations (equations with $p$-Laplacian like operators) arise in a variety of real world problems such as in the study of non-Newtonian fluid theory, porous medium problems, chemotaxis models, and so forth; see, e.g., the papers $[6-8,10,15-18,26]$ for more details. Fractional differential equations with $p$-Laplacian operators have been widely applied in many fields of science and engineering, such as viscoelastic mechanics, non-Newtonian mechanics, electrochemistry, fluid mechanics, combustion theory, materials science, etc. There are some papers dealing with the existence of solutions for fractional differential equations and fractional $q$-difference equation with $p$-Laplacian operator, see $[12,14,20,21,25]$. For example, very

[^0]recently, S. Li, Z. Zhang and W. Jiang studied the existence of at least triple positive solutions for four-point boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations with $p$-Laplacian operators by using the Avery-Peterson theorem [14].

In [12], Z. Han et al. investigated the following eigenvalue problem of fractional differential equation with generalized $p$-Laplacian.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{0^{+}}^{\beta}\left(\varphi\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} u(t)\right)\right)=\lambda f(u(t)), 0<t<1 \\
u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(1)=0, \varphi\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} u(0)\right)=\left(\varphi\left(D_{0^{+}}^{\alpha} u(0)\right)\right)^{\prime}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $2<\alpha \leq 3,1<\beta \leq 2$. By using the properties of Green function and Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed-point theorem in cones, several new existence results of positive solutions in terms of different eigenvalue intervals are obtained.

In [21], X. Li et al. studied the following eigenvalue problems of a class of nonlinear fractional $q$-difference equations with generalized $p$-Laplacian

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\gamma}\left(\varphi\left(D_{q}^{\alpha} u(t)\right)\right)+\lambda f(u(t))=0,0<t<1 \\
u(0)=D_{q} u(0)=0, D_{q} u(1)=\beta>0, D_{q}^{\alpha} u(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the second kind is homogeneous boundary conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\gamma}\left(\varphi\left(D_{q}^{\alpha} v(t)\right)\right)+\lambda f(v(t))=0,0<t<1 \\
v(0)=D_{q} v(0)=0, D_{q} v(1)=0, D_{q}^{\alpha} v(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using fixed point theorem in cones, some results for the existence of positive solutions are obtained.
In [25], Q. Yuan and W. Yang considered the fractional $q$-difference four-point boundary value problem with $p$-Laplacian operator

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(D_{q}^{\alpha} u(t)\right)\right)=f(t, u(t)), t \in(0,1) \\
u(0)=0, u(1)=a u(\xi), D_{q}^{\alpha} u(0)=0, D_{q}^{\alpha} u(1)=b D_{q}^{\alpha}(\eta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<\alpha \leq 2$, and $0<a, b, \xi, \eta<1$. By means of the upper and lower solutions method associated with the Schauder fixed point theorem, some existence results of at least one solution are obtained.

Motivated by the previously mentioned works, we will investigate the following four-point boundary value problems of fractional $q$-difference equation with $p$-Laplacian operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)\right)=g\left(x, u(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x)\right), 0<x<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(0)=D_{q} u(0)=0 \\
u(1)=c u(\lambda),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(1)=d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(\zeta) \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $0<q<1,1<\alpha, \beta \leq 2,0<\gamma \leq \alpha, 0<\lambda, \zeta<1$, and $c, d>0$. $D_{q}^{\beta}$ is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha}$ and ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma}$ are the Caputo fractional derivative. $\psi_{m}=\psi_{p}^{-1}, \psi_{p}$ is the $p$-Laplacian operator, $\psi_{p}(t)=|t|^{p-2} t, p>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{m}=1$ and $g \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.

The innovation of this paper are as follows:
( $i$ ) Compared with [25], we generalize the nonlinear term of the $q$-difference equation to the case with higher derivative which makes the boundary value problem we study more widely applicable. Especially, when $p=2, \alpha=\beta=\gamma=2$ and $c=d=0$, the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) models the deformations of an elastic beam whose two ends are simply supported in equilibrium state, and the ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x)$ in function $g$ is the bending moment term which represents bending effect.
(ii) Although the solvability of multipoint boundary value problems for fractional $q$-difference equation has been investigated by some authors, to the best of our knowledge, there are no papers that consider the multiple positive solutions for four-point boundary value problem of fractional $q$-difference equation with $p$ Laplacian operator. Inspired by works mentioned above, we aim to fill the gap. Adding the p-Laplacian operator makes this paper posses wider range of potential applications, for instance, compared with the image inpainting method based on total variation model, the image inpainting method based on $p$-Laplacian operator can effectively improve the image inpainting quality and significantly reduce the operation time. By using the technique of Avery and Peterson and the method of lower and upper solutions, we deduce some sufficient conditions for the existence of multiple positive solutions.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present necessary definitions, properties and lemmas. In Section 3, we apply the Avery-Peterson theorem to establish the existence criteria of at least triple positive solutions for (1.1)-(1.2) and give rigorous proof. In Section 4, we obtain some new sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions by the method of lower and upper solutions. At the end of this paper, we present two examples to illustrate the effectiveness of the main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present basic definitions, notations, and lemmas that will be used in this paper. Let $0<q<1$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha]_{q}:=\frac{1-q^{\alpha}}{1-q}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad[n]_{q}!=[n]_{q}[n-1]_{q} \cdots[1]_{q}, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the $q$-analogue of the power function $(a-b)_{q}^{(n)}$

$$
(a-b)_{q}^{(n)}:= \begin{cases}1, & n=0 \\ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(a^{k}-b q^{k}\right), & n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\end{cases}
$$

If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the general form is given by

$$
(a-b)_{q}^{(\alpha)}:=a^{\alpha} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{a-b q^{i}}{a-b q^{\alpha+i}}\right], \quad a \neq 0
$$

Note that when $b=0,(a)_{q}^{(\alpha)}=a^{\alpha}$. For $0<q<1$, the $q$-gamma function is defined by

$$
\Gamma_{q}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{(1-q)_{q}^{(x-1)}}{(1-q)^{x-1}}, x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \cdots\} \\ {[x-1]_{q}!,} & x \in \mathbb{N}\end{cases}
$$

and satisfies $\Gamma_{q}(x+1)=[x]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(x)$. The $q$-derivative of the function $f$ is defined as

$$
D_{q} f(t):=\frac{f(t)-f(q t)}{(1-q) t}, t \neq 0, \quad\left(D_{q} f\right)(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(D_{q} f\right)(t)
$$

provided that $f$ is differentiable at 0 . And the $n$ order $q$-derivative $D_{q}^{n} f(t)$ is defined by

$$
D_{q}^{n} f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(t), n=0, \\
D_{q} D_{q}^{n-1} f(t), n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The following formulas will be used later, namely

$$
\begin{gather*}
(a(t-s))_{q}^{(\alpha)}=a^{\alpha}(t-s)_{q}^{(\alpha)}  \tag{2.2}\\
{ }_{t} D_{q}(t-s)_{q}^{(\alpha)}=[a]_{q}(t-s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}  \tag{2.3}\\
{ }_{s} D_{q}(t-s)_{q}^{(\alpha)}=-[a]_{q}(t-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where ${ }_{t} D_{q}$ or ${ }_{s} D_{q}$ denotes the derivative with respect to the variable $t$ or $s$ respectively.
Definition 2.1 [24] Let $0<q<1$, $f$ be an arbitrary function. The $q$-integral of the function $f$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d_{q} t=(1-q) x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k} f\left(q^{k} x\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the series of right side in (2.5) converges. In this case, $f$ is called $q$-integrable on $[0, x]$. Denote

$$
I_{q} f(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d_{q} t
$$

And the $q$-integral of $n$ order is defied by

$$
I_{q}^{0} f(x)=f(x) \text { and } I_{q}^{n} f(x)=I_{q}\left(I_{q}^{n-1} f\right)(x)
$$

Definition 2.2 [24] Let $0<q<1, f$ be an arbitrary function, $a$ and $b$ be two real numbers. Then we define

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d_{q} t=\int_{0}^{b} f(t) d_{q} t-\int_{0}^{a} f(t) d_{q} t
$$

Definition 2.3[24] Let $\alpha>0,0<q<1$. The fractional $q$-integral is defined by

$$
I_{q}^{\alpha} f(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} f(s) d_{q} s
$$

Definition 2.4 [22] The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha>0$ of a function $f:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
\left(D_{q}^{\alpha} f\right)(x)=\left(D_{q}^{N} I_{q}^{N-\alpha} f\right)(x)
$$

and $\left(D_{q}^{0} f\right)(x)=f(x)$, where $N$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to $\alpha$.

Definition 2.5 [22] The fractional $q$-derivative of the Caputo type of order $\alpha>0$ is defined by

$$
\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} f\right)(x)=\left(I_{q}^{N-\alpha} D_{q}^{N} f\right)(x)
$$

and $\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{0} f\right)(x)=f(x)$, where $N-1<\alpha<N, N \in \mathbb{N}$.
Lemma 2.6 [24] For $\alpha, \beta>0$, and $0<q<1, q$-integral and $q$-difference operators have the following properties:
(a) $I_{q}^{\alpha}\left[I_{q}^{\beta} f(x)\right]=I_{q}^{\beta}\left[I_{q}^{\alpha} f(x)\right]=I_{q}^{\alpha+\beta} f(x)$,
(b) $D_{q} I_{q} f(x)=f(x)$, and $I_{q} D_{q} f(x)=f(x)-f(0)$.
(c) $D_{q}^{\alpha} I_{q}^{\alpha} f(x)=f(x)$.

Lemma 2.7 [22] Let $0<q<1, \alpha \in(N-1, N], N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}^{\alpha} I_{q}^{\alpha} f(t)=f(t) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{q}^{\alpha} D_{q}^{\alpha} f(t)=f(t)+C_{1} t^{\alpha-1}+C_{2} t^{\alpha-2}+\cdots+C_{N} t^{\alpha-N} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$.
Lemma 2.8 [22] Let $\alpha \in(N-1, N], N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0<q<1$. Then the following is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} I_{q}^{\alpha} f(t)=f(t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{q}^{\alpha c} D_{q}^{\alpha} f(t)=f(t)+C_{1} t^{N-1}+C_{2} t^{N-2}+\cdots+C_{N} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$.

Lemma 2.9 Assume $1<\alpha \leq 2$. Then $D_{q}^{\alpha} x^{\alpha-1}=0$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof By virtue of Definitions 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{q}^{\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} & =D_{q}^{2} I_{q}^{2-\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} \\
& =D_{q}^{2}\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(2-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q t)_{q}^{(1-\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} d_{q} t\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(2-\alpha)} D_{q}^{2}\left[(1-q) x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k}\left(1-q^{k+1}\right)_{q}^{(1-\alpha)}\left(q^{k}\right)^{\alpha-1}\right] \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 2.10 [4] Let $g \in C_{r}[0, a]$, $a>0$, where $g \in C_{r}[0, a]$ is equivalent that there exists a constant $\gamma<1$ such that $x^{\gamma} g \in C[0, a]$. Then
(1) $I_{q}^{\alpha} g \in C_{r}[0, a]$.
(2) If we additionally assume that $\gamma \leq \alpha$, then $I_{q}^{\alpha} g \in C[0, a]$.

Definition 2.11 [4] Let $0<q<1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$. We define the space $C_{q}^{n}[0, a]$ to be the space of all continues functions with continuous $q$-derivatives up to order $n-1$ on the interval $[0, a]$.

Lemma 2.12 [4] Let $\alpha>0, n=\lceil\alpha\rceil$. If there exists $\gamma \leq \alpha-n+1$, such that $f \in C_{r}[0, a]$, $a>0$, then $I_{q}^{\alpha} f \in C_{q}^{n}[0, a]$.

## 3. The solvability based on Avery-Peterson theorem

In this section, we shall establish an existence criterion of at least triple positive solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) by the Avery-Peterson theorem. In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 Let $0<q<1,1<\alpha \leq 2$, $d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}<1$ and $h \in C([0,1],[0,+\infty))$. Then a function $u(x) \in C_{q}^{2}[0,1]$ is a solution of the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)\right)=h(x), 0<x<1  \tag{3.1}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(0)=D_{q} u(0)=0 \\
u(1)=c u(\lambda),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(1)=d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(\zeta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

when and only when $u(x)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=a_{1}-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1} & =\frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d s-c \int_{0}^{\lambda}(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}  \tag{3.3}\\
k(x) & =\psi_{m}\left[-\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t+b_{1} x^{\beta-1}\right)\right], \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
b_{1}=\frac{d^{p-1} \int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t-\int_{0}^{1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\left(1-d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}
$$

Proof Assume $u(x)$ is a solution of (3.1). Applying the operator $I_{q}^{\beta}$ on both sides of (3.1), by Lemma 2.7 and Definition 2.3, for $x \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)=b_{1} x^{\beta-1}+b_{2} x^{\beta-2}+\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t .
$$

According to ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(0)=0$, we have $b_{2}=0$. By ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(1)=d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(\zeta)$, it is easy to see

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\frac{d^{p-1} \int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t-\int_{0}^{1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\left(1-d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)=b_{1} x^{\beta-1}+\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the above formula, we give the following definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)=\psi_{m}\left(b_{1} x^{\beta-1}+\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t\right):=-k(x) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking operator $I_{q}^{\alpha}$ on both sides of (3.7), from Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=-I_{q}^{\alpha} k(x)+a_{1}+a_{2} x=-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s+a_{1}+a_{2} x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.8), one has

$$
D_{q} u(x)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-1)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-2)} k(s) d_{q} s+a_{2} .
$$

By the boundary condition $D_{q} u(0)=0$, we can get $a_{2}=0$. It follows from (3.8) and the boundary condition $u(1)=c u(\lambda)$ that

$$
a_{1}=\frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s-c \int_{0}^{\lambda}(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s-c \int_{0}^{\lambda}(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $u(x)$ is a solution of (3.2)(i.e.(3.9)), then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x) & =-I_{q}^{\alpha} k(x)+a_{1} \\
& =I_{q}^{\alpha} \psi_{m}\left[b_{1} x^{\beta-1}+I_{q}^{\beta} h(x)\right]+a_{1} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From the continuity of function $h$ and Lemma 2.10, we have $\psi_{m}\left[b_{1} x^{\beta-1}+I_{q}^{\beta} h(x)\right] \in C[0,1]$. Then by Lemma 2.12, $I_{q}^{\alpha} \psi_{m}\left[b_{1} x^{\beta-1}+I_{q}^{\beta} h(x)\right] \in C_{q}^{2}[0,1]$. Hence $u(x) \in C_{q}^{2}[0,1]$. Taking operator ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha}$ on both sides of (3.10), by Lemma 2.8, we can obtain

$$
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)=\psi_{m}\left[b_{1} x^{\beta-1}+I_{q}^{\beta} h(x)\right],
$$

i.e.,

$$
\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)=b_{1} x^{\beta-1}+I_{q}^{\beta} h(x)
$$

Then taking operator $D_{q}^{\beta}$ on both sides of the above equality, by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.9, one has

$$
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)\right)=h(x) .
$$

In addition, we can easily prove that $u(x)$ satisfies the boundary value conditions in (3.1). This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2 If $1<\alpha \leq 2$, $d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}<1, h \in C([0,1],[0,+\infty))$ and the function $\hbar(x)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hbar(x)=a_{1}-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}$ and $k(s)$ are given by (3.3) and (3.4), then $\hbar(x) \geq 0$.
Proof Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& k(s)= \psi_{m}\left(-b_{1} s^{\beta-1}-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \int_{0}^{s}(s-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t\right) \\
&= \psi_{m}\left(\frac{-d^{p-1} s^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t+s^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\left(1-d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}-\frac{\int_{0}^{s}(s-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
&=\psi_{m}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{1} s^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}+\frac{d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{1} s^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\left(1-d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{d^{p-1} s^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\left(1-d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}-\frac{\int_{0}^{s}(s-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
& \geq \psi_{m}\left\{\frac{d^{p-1} s^{\beta-1}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \zeta^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t-\int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t\right]}{\left(1-d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}+\frac{\int_{s}^{1} s^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right\} \\
& \geq \psi_{m}\left(\frac{\int_{s}^{1} s^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \geq 0, \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

it follows from (3.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hbar(x)= & \frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s-c \int_{0}^{\lambda}(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s . \\
= & \frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s-\int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
& +\frac{c\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s-\int_{0}^{\lambda}(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s\right)}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\geq 0
$$

Therefore, $\hbar(x)$ is nonnegative. The proof is completed.
Lemma 3.3 Suppose that $h \in C([0,1],[0,+\infty))$ and $\hbar(x)$ is defined by (3.11). Then there exists a constant $\rho$ such that

$$
\max _{x \in[0,1]}|\hbar(x)| \leq\left.\rho \max _{x \in[0,1]}\right|^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} \hbar(x) \mid .
$$

Proof Case 1: $\gamma<\alpha$. From (3.11), Definition 2.3, Property 2.6 and Lemma 2.8, one has

$$
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} \hbar(x)=-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} I_{q}^{\alpha} k(x)=-I_{q}^{\alpha-\gamma} k(x)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} k(s) d_{q} s .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in[0,1]}\left|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} \hbar(x)\right| \geq\left|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} \hbar(1)\right|=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} k(s) d_{q} s \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $\hbar$ and (3.13), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{x \in[0,1]}|\hbar(x)| & \leq \frac{1}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s \\
& \leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} k(s) d_{q} s \\
& \leq\left.\rho \max _{x \in[0,1]}\right|^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} \hbar(x) \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho=\frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}$.
Case 2: $\gamma=\alpha$. By the definition of $\hbar$, Lemmas 2.8 and 3.2, we have

$$
\left|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} \hbar(x)\right|=\left|-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} I_{q}^{\alpha} k(x)\right|=k(x)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{x \in[0,1]}|\hbar(x)| & \leq \frac{1}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s \\
& \leq \frac{\left.\max _{x \in[0,1]}\right|^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} \hbar(x) \mid}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} d_{q} s \\
& =\frac{1}{[\alpha]_{q}(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \max _{x \in[0,1]}\left|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} \hbar(x)\right| \\
& \leq \rho \max _{x \in[0,1]}\left|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} \hbar(x)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.

Lemma $3.4[5]($ Avery-Peterson theorem) Let $P$ be a cone of a real Banach space B, $\mu$, $\nu$ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on $P, \omega$ be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on $P$, and $\varpi$ be $a$ nonnegative continuous functional on $P$. For $l, n_{1}, n_{2}, r>0$, define the following sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\mu, r)=\{x \in P \mid \mu(x)<r\} \\
& P\left(\mu, \omega, n_{1}, r\right)=\left\{x \in P \mid \omega(x) \geq n_{1}, \mu(x)<r\right\} \\
& P\left(\mu, \nu, \omega, n_{1}, n_{2}, r\right)=\left\{x \in P \mid \omega(x) \geq n_{1}, \nu(x) \leq n_{2}, \mu(x)<r\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
Q(\mu, \varpi, l, r)=\{x \in P \mid \varpi(x) \geq l, \mu(x)<r\} .
$$

Suppose that the functionals $\mu, \nu, \omega, \varpi$ satisfy $\varpi(\varepsilon x) \leq \varepsilon \varpi(x), 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, such that for some $R, r>0$,

$$
\omega(x) \leq \varpi(x),\|x\| \leq R \mu(x)
$$

for all $x \in \overline{P(\mu, r)}$. Assume also that $T: \overline{P(\mu, r)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\mu, r)}$ is completely continuous and there exist $l, n_{1}, n_{2}>0$ with $l<m$ such that
$\left(S_{1}\right)\left\{x \in P\left(\mu, \nu, \omega, n_{1}, n_{2}, r\right) \mid \omega(x)>n_{1}\right\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\omega(T x)>n_{1}$ for $x \in P\left(\mu, \nu, \omega, n_{1}, n_{2}, r\right)$;
( $S_{2}$ ) $\omega(T x)>n_{1}$ for $x \in P\left(\mu, \omega, n_{1}, r\right)$ and $\nu(T x)>n_{2}$;
$\left(S_{3}\right) 0 \notin Q(\mu, \varpi, l, r)$ and $\varpi(T x)<l$ for $x \in Q(\mu, \varpi, l, r)$ with $\varpi(x)=l$.
Then $T$ has at least three fixed points $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in \overline{P(\mu, r)}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu\left(x_{i}\right) \leq r, i=1,2,3 \\
m<w\left(x_{1}\right) ; \\
l<\varpi\left(x_{2}\right), \omega\left(x_{2}\right)<n_{1} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\varpi\left(x_{3}\right)<l .
$$

Next, we shall consider the existence of multiple positive solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.2). For convenience, some denotations and hypotheses are presented as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\left[\frac{(1-c)_{q}^{(\beta)}-(1-\lambda)_{q}^{(\beta)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)}\right]^{m-1} \\
& N_{1}=\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma+1)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1} \\
& N_{2}=\frac{[\beta m+2]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}{c^{\beta m+2}(1-\lambda)(1-c)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} M} \\
& N_{3}=(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha+1)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(C_{1}\right) g(x, y, z) \leq\left(r N_{1}\right)^{p-1},(x, y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0, \rho r] \times[-r, r] \\
& \left(C_{2}\right) g(x, y, z)>\left(n_{1} N_{2}\right)^{p-1}, \quad(x, y, z) \in[0,1] \times\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right] \times[-r, r] \\
& \left(C_{3}\right) g(x, y, z)<\left(l N_{3}\right)^{p-1},(x, y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0, l] \times[-r, r]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the Banach space $B=\left\{u \mid u \in C[0,1],{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x) \in C[0,1]\right\}$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\max \left\{\max _{x \in[0,1]}|u(x)|,\left.\max _{x \in[0,1]}\right|^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x) \mid\right\}
$$

and define the cone $P$ by

$$
P=\left\{u \in B\left|u(x) \geq 0, \max _{x \in[0,1]}\right| u(x)\left|\leq \rho \max _{x \in[0,1]}\right|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x) \mid, x \in[0,1]\right\}
$$

where $\rho=\frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}$.

Theorem 3.5 Let $g \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R},[0,+\infty))$ and the operator $T: P \rightarrow B$ be defined as

$$
T u(x)=a_{1}-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}=\frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d s-c \int_{0}^{\lambda}(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
k(s)=\psi_{m}\left[-\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \int_{0}^{s}(s-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} g\left(t, u(t),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(t)\right) d_{q} t+b_{1} s^{\beta-1}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
b_{1}=\frac{d^{p-1} \int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} g\left(t, u(t),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(t)\right) d_{q} t-\int_{0}^{1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} g\left(t, u(t),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(t)\right) d_{q} t}{\left(1-d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)},
$$

where $d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}<1$. Then $T: P \rightarrow P$ and is completely continuous.
Proof Obviously, in view of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain $T u \geq 0$ and $\max _{x \in[0,1]}|T u(x)| \leq \rho \max _{x \in[0,1]}\left|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} T u(x)\right|$ for all $u \in P$. Hence $T(P) \subset P$.

Now assume $\Omega$ is a bounded subset in $P$, which is to say that there exists a positive constant $\eta$ such that $\|u\| \leq \eta$ for all $u \in \Omega$. Let

$$
L=\sup _{t \in[0,1], u \in \Omega}\left|g\left(t, u(t),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(t)\right)\right| .
$$

Then for all $u \in \Omega$, by the definition of $k(s)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(s) & \leq \psi_{m}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{1} s^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} g\left(t, u(t),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(t)\right) d_{q} t}{\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
& \leq \psi_{m}\left(\frac{L s^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} d_{q} t}{\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
& \leq \psi_{m}\left(\frac{L}{\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)}\right) \\
& =\frac{L^{m-1}}{\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T u(x)| & =a_{1}-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
& \leq \frac{L^{m-1} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} \\
& \leq \frac{L^{m-1}}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha+1)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} T u(x)\right| & =\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} k(s) d_{q} s \\
& \leq \frac{L^{m-1} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} d_{q} s}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} \\
& \leq \frac{L^{m-1}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma+1)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $T(\Omega)$ is uniformly bounded.
On the other hand, taking any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[0,1]$ with $x_{1}<x_{2}$, for all $u \in \Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T u\left(x_{1}\right)-T u\left(x_{2}\right)\right| & =\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left|\int_{0}^{x_{2}}\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s-\int_{0}^{x_{1}}\left(x_{1}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left|\int_{0}^{x_{1}}\left[\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}-\left(x_{1}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}\right] k(s) d_{q} s+\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}}\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}\left|\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}-\left(x_{1}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}\right] k(s) d_{q} s+\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} k(s) d_{q} s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{L^{m-1}\left|\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}-\left(x_{1}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}\right] d_{q} s+\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\right|}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the function $(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}$ is continuous with respect to $x$ and $s$ on $[0,1] \times[0,1]$, it is uniformly continuous on $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. Hence for any $s \in[0,1]$, as $x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2}$, we can get

$$
\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}-\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} \rightrightarrows 0
$$

It follows that as $x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2}$, the right-hand side of the above inequality (3.14) tends to zero. And

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} T u\left(x_{1}\right)-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} T u\left(x_{2}\right)\right|= & \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)}\left|\int_{0}^{x_{2}}\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} k(s) d_{q} s-\int_{0}^{x_{1}}\left(x_{1}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} k(s) d_{q} s\right| \\
\leq & \left.\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)} \right\rvert\, \int_{0}^{x_{1}}\left[\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)}-\left(x_{1}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)}\right] k(s) d_{q} s \\
& +\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}}\left(x_{2}-q s\right)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} k(s) d_{q} s \mid  \tag{3.15}\\
\leq & \frac{L^{m-1}\left[x_{2}^{\alpha-\gamma}-x_{1}^{\alpha-\gamma}\right]}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we can get that the right-hand side of the above inequality (3.15) tends to zero with $x_{1} \rightarrow x_{2}$.
Therefore, $T(\Omega)$ is equicontinuous on $[0,1]$. We conclude that $T: P \rightarrow P$ is relatively compact on basis of Arzela-Ascoli theorem, which completes the proof.

Theorem 3.6 Assume $g \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R},[0,+\infty))$, $d^{p-1} \zeta^{\beta-1}<1,0<c<\lambda<1$ and there exist constants $0<l<n_{1}<n_{2}<r$ such that $\frac{n_{1}}{1-\lambda}<n_{2}$. Under the assumptions of $\left(C_{1}\right) \sim\left(C_{3}\right)$, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least three positive solutions.

Proof By Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.1, we know that $T: P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous and problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a solution $u=u(x)$ if and only if $u$ satisfies the operator equation $u=T u$.

Now Let

$$
\omega(u)=\min _{x \in[0, \lambda]}|u(x)|, \mu(u)=\left.\max _{x \in[0,1]}\right|^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x)\left|, \nu(u)=\varpi(u)=\max _{x \in[0,1]}\right| u(x) \mid .
$$

Evidently, $\omega(u) \leq \varpi(u)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $\|u\| \leq R \mu(u), R=\max \{\rho, 1\}$.
For $u \in \overline{P(\mu, r)}$, by $\left(C_{1}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(s) & \leq \psi_{m}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{1} s^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} g\left(t, u(t),^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(t)\right) d_{q} t}{\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
& \leq \psi_{m}\left(\frac{\left(r N_{1}\right)^{p-1} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} d_{q} t}{\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{r N_{1}}{\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(T u) & =\left.\max _{x \in[0,1]}\right|^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} T u(x) \mid \\
& =\max _{x \in[0,1]}\left|-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} k(s) d_{q} s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)} \\
& \leq \frac{r N_{1} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-\gamma-1)} d_{q} s}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} \\
& =\frac{r N_{1}}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma+1)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}}=r
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $T: \overline{P(\mu, r)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\mu, r)}$.
Next, we prove that condition $\left(S_{1}\right) \sim\left(S_{3}\right)$ in Lemma 3.4 are true for operator $T$. Firstly, for constants function $u(x)=\frac{n_{1}}{1-\lambda} \in P\left(\mu, \nu, \omega, n_{1}, n_{2}, r\right)$. Since it is easy to see $\mu(u)=0 \leq r, \nu(u)=\frac{n_{1}}{1-\lambda}<n_{2}$, and $\omega(u)=\frac{n_{1}}{1-\lambda}>n_{1},\left\{u \in P\left(\mu, \nu, \omega, n_{1}, n_{2}, r\right): \omega(u)>n_{1}\right\} \neq \emptyset$.
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If $u \in P\left(\mu, \nu, \omega, n_{1}, n_{2}, r\right)$, with the help of (3.12) and $\left(C_{2}\right)$, for any $s \in[0, c]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(s) & \geq \psi_{m}\left(\frac{\int_{s}^{1} s^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} g\left(t, u(t),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(t)\right) d_{q} t}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
& \geq \psi_{m}\left(\frac{\int_{s}^{\lambda} s^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} g\left(t, u(t),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(t)\right) d_{q} t}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
& >\psi_{m}\left(\frac{\left(n_{1} N_{2}\right)^{p-1} s^{\beta-1} \int_{s}^{\lambda}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} d_{q} t}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
& =\frac{s^{\beta m-\beta-m+1}\left[(1-s)_{q}^{(\beta)}-(1-\lambda)_{q}^{(\beta)}\right]^{m-1}}{\left[\Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} n_{1} N_{2} \\
& \geq \frac{s^{\beta m-\beta-m+1}\left[(1-c)_{q}^{(\beta)}-(1-\lambda)_{q}^{(\beta)}\right]^{m-1}}{\left[\Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} n_{1} N_{2} \\
& \geq M n_{1} N_{2} s^{\beta m+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega(T u) & =\min _{x \in[0, \lambda]}|T u(x)|=|T u(\lambda)| \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s-c \int_{0}^{\lambda}(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}-\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\lambda}(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s . \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s-\int_{0}^{\lambda}(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{\lambda}\left[(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}-(\lambda-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}\right] k(s) d_{q} s+\int_{\lambda}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
& \geq \frac{\int_{0}^{\lambda}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}(1-\lambda) k(s) d_{q} s+\int_{\lambda}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}  \tag{3.16}\\
& >\frac{\int_{0}^{\lambda}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}(1-\lambda) k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
& >\frac{\int_{0}^{c}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}(1-\lambda) k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
& \geq \frac{(1-\lambda) M n_{1} N_{2}}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{c} s^{\beta m+1}(1-c)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} d_{q} s \\
& \geq \frac{(1-\lambda)(1-c)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} M n_{1} N_{2} c^{\beta m+2}}{[\beta m+2]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}=n_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the condition $\left(S_{1}\right)$ is satisfied.
Secondly, if $u \in P\left(\mu, \omega, n_{1}, r\right)$ and $\nu(T u)>n_{2}$, since

$$
\nu(T x)=\max _{x \in[0,1]}|T u(x)| \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)},
$$

from (3.16), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(T u) & =\min _{x \in[0, \lambda]}|T u(x)|=|T u(\lambda)| \\
& \geq \frac{\int_{0}^{\lambda}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}(1-\lambda) k(s) d_{q} s+\int_{\lambda}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
& \geq \frac{(1-\lambda) \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
& \geq(1-\lambda) \nu(T u) \\
& >(1-\lambda) n_{2}>n_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the condition $\left(S_{2}\right)$ is satisfied.
Finally, if $u \in Q(\mu, \varpi, l, r)$ and $\varpi(u)=l$, since for all $s \in[0,1]$, by the definition of $k(s)$ and assumption $\left(C_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(s) & \leq \psi_{m}\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{1} s^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} g\left(t, u(t),^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(t)\right) d_{q} t}{\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right) \\
& <\psi_{m}\left[\frac{s^{\beta-1}\left(l N_{3}\right)^{p-1} \int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} d_{q} s}{\left(1-\zeta^{p-1} d^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta)}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{l N_{3}}{\left[\left(1-\zeta^{p-1} d^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by the definition of operator $T$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varpi(T u) & =\max _{x \in[0,1]}|T u(x)| \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} k(s) d_{q} s}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \\
& <\frac{l N_{3}}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha+1)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{p-1} d^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}}=l .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $0 \notin Q(\mu, \varpi, l, r)$ obviously. So the condition $\left(S_{3}\right)$ also holds. According to the Avery-Peterson theorem, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least three positive solution. The proof is completed.

## 4. The method of lower and upper solutions

In this section, we shall give a new existence result of multiple positive solutions for (1.1)-(1.2), applying the method of lower and upper solutions based on the monotone iterative technique. In order to prove our main results, we need the following vital lemmas and definition.

Lemma 4.1 For any given function $h \in C[0,1]$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, u(x) \in C_{q}^{2}[0,1]$ is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)\right)=h(x), 0<x<1  \tag{4.1}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(0)=D_{q} u(0)=0 \\
u(1)=a,{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(1)=b,
\end{array}\right.
$$

if and only if $u(x)$ satisfies the following integral equation

$$
u(x)=a-\int_{0}^{1} G(x, q t) \psi_{m}\left(\psi_{p}(b) t^{\beta-1}-\int_{0}^{1} H(t, q s) h(s) d_{q} s\right) d_{q} t
$$

where

$$
G(x, q t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \begin{cases}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}-(x-q t)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}, & 0 \leq q t \leq x \leq 1  \tag{4.2}\\ (1-q t)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)}, & 0 \leq x \leq q t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
H(x, q t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta)} \begin{cases}x^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)}-(x-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)}, & 0 \leq q t \leq x \leq 1  \tag{4.3}\\ x^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)}, & 0 \leq x \leq q t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof Let $v(x):=\varphi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)$. We can decompose (4.1) into the following coupled boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta} v(x)=h(x), x \in(0,1)  \tag{4.4}\\
v(0)=0, v(1)=\psi_{p}(b)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)=\psi_{m}(v(x)), x \in(0,1)  \tag{4.5}\\
D_{q} u(0)=0, u(1)=a
\end{array}\right.
$$

Taking operator $I_{q}^{\beta}$ on both sides of (4.4), by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$
v(x)=C_{1} x^{\beta-1}+C_{0} x^{\beta-2}+I_{q}^{\beta} h(x)
$$

where $C_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2$. By boundary value condition $v(0)=0$, one has $C_{0}=0$. It follows from $v(1)=\psi_{p}(b)$ that $C_{1}=\psi_{p}(b)-I_{q}^{\beta} h(1)$. Then (4.4) has a unique solution

$$
\begin{align*}
v(x) & =\frac{1}{\left.\Gamma_{q} \beta\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{x}(x-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t-\int_{0}^{1} x^{\beta-1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(t) d_{q} t\right)+\psi_{p}(b) x^{\beta-1}  \tag{4.6}\\
& =\varphi_{p}(b) x^{\beta-1}-\int_{0}^{1} H(x, q t) h(t) d_{q} t .
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to (4.6), the boundary value problem (4.5) has a unique solution, which is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x) & =a+\frac{1}{\left.\Gamma_{q} \alpha\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{x}(x-q t)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} \psi_{m}(v(t)) d_{q} t-\int_{0}^{1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} \psi_{m}(v(t)) d_{q} t\right) \\
& =a-\int_{0}^{1} G(x, q t) \psi_{m}(v(t)) d_{q} t  \tag{4.7}\\
& =a-\int_{0}^{1} G(x, q t) \psi_{m}\left(\varphi_{p}(b) t^{\beta-1}-\int_{0}^{1} H(t, q s) h(s) d_{q} s\right) d_{q} t
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, assume $u(x)$ satisfies (4.1) (i.e.(4.7)). From (4.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=a+I_{q}^{\alpha} \psi_{m}(v(x))-\int_{0}^{1}(1-q t)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} \psi_{m}(v(t)) d_{q} t \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $v(t)$ is continuous on $[0,1]$ by the continuity of functions $h(t)$ and $H(t, q s)$. Hence by Lemma 2.12, we get $I_{q}^{\alpha} \psi_{m}(v(x)) \in C^{2}[0,1]$, i.e. $u(x) \in C^{2}[0,1]$. Applying the operator ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha}$ on both sides of (4.8), by Lemma 2.8 we can derive

$$
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)={ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} I_{q}^{\alpha} \psi_{m}(v(x))=\psi_{m}\left(\psi_{p}(b) x^{\beta-1}-\int_{0}^{1} H(x, q t) h(t) d_{q} t\right)
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right) & =\psi_{p}(b) x^{\beta-1}-\int_{0}^{1} H(x, q t) h(t) d_{q} t  \tag{4.9}\\
& =\left[\psi_{p}(b)-\int_{0}^{1}(1-q s)_{q}^{(\beta-1)} h(s) d_{q} s\right] x^{\beta-1}+I_{q}^{\beta} h(x)
\end{align*}
$$

Taking operator $D_{q}^{\beta}$ on both sides of (4.9), by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.9, one has

$$
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)\right)=D_{q}^{\beta} I_{q}^{\beta} h(x)=h(x) .
$$

In addition, it is easy to prove that $u(x)$ satisfies the boundary value conditions in (4.1) which completes the proof.

Remark 4.2 Let $g \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},[0,+\infty))$. From Lemma 4.1, we conclude that if $u(x) \in C^{2}[0,1]$ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if $u(x)$ satisfies the following integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=c u(\lambda)-\int_{0}^{1} G(x, q t) \psi_{m}\left(\psi_{p}\left(d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(\zeta) t^{\beta-1}-\int_{0}^{1} H(t, q s) g\left(s, u(s),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(s)\right) d_{q} s\right)\right) d_{q} t \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.3 [4] Assume the functions $G(x, q t)$ and $H(x, q t)$ are defined by (4.2) and (4.3), respectively. Then $G(x, q t)$ and $H(x, q t)$ satisfy the following conditions:
(1) $G(x, q t)$ and $H(x, q t)$ are continuous;
(2) $G(x, q t) \geq 0$ and $H(x, q t) \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq x, t \leq 1$.

Definition 4.4 We say that a function $u(x)$ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if $u(x) \in C[0,1]$ satisfies the problem (1.1)-(1.2) almost everywhere on $[0,1]$.

Definition 4.5 Assume $u(x) \in A C^{2}[0,1]$. We say that $u(x)$ is a lower solution of (1.1)-(1.2), if $u(x)$ satisfies the following inequality

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)\right) \leq g\left(x, u(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x)\right), \text { a.e. } 0<x<1  \tag{4.11}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(0)=D_{q} u(0)=0 \\
u(1) \leq c u(\lambda),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(1) \geq d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(\zeta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Assume $u(x) \in A C^{2}[0,1]$. We say that $u(x)$ is an upper solution of (1.1)-(1.2), if $u(x)$ satisfies the following inequality

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right)\right) \geq g\left(x, u(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x)\right), \text { a.e. } 0<x<1,  \tag{4.12}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(0)=D_{q} u(0)=0 \\
u(1) \geq c u(\lambda),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(1) \leq d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(\zeta) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Define $X=\left\{u: u \in C[0,1],{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x) \in C[0,1], D_{q} u(0)=0\right\}$, with the norm $\|u\|=\max _{x \in[0,1]}|u(x)|+$ $\max _{x \in[0,1]}\left|{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u(x)\right|$. Then $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. Define a normal cone $P$ by

$$
P=\left\{u: u \in X, u(x) \geq 0,{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x) \leq 0, x \in[0,1]\right\} .
$$

We define $u \preceq v$ if and only if $v-u \in P$, for $u, v \in X$.
For our purpose, let us present the following assumption:
(H) $g \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times(-\infty, 0],[0,+\infty))$, and $g\left(x, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) \leq g\left(x, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$, for $0 \leq y_{1}<y_{2}, z_{2}<z_{1} \leq 0$, for any $x \in[0,1]$.

Theorem 4.6 Suppose the assumption $(H)$ holds and (1.1)-(1.2) has a nonnegative lower solution $u_{0} \in P$ and a nonnegative upper solution $v_{0} \in P$ such that $u_{0} \preceq v_{0}$. Then (1.1)-(1.2) has the maximal lower solution $u^{*}$ and the minimal upper solution $v^{*}$ on $\left[u_{0}, v_{0}\right] \subset P$, both $u^{*}$ and $v^{*}$ are positive solutions of (1.1)-(1.2). Furthermore

$$
0 \leq u_{0}(x) \leq u^{*}(x) \leq v^{*}(x) \leq v_{0}(x) .
$$

Proof In the following, our proof process will be divided into three steps.
Step1. We will obtain the lower solution sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and the upper solution sequence $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$.
For $u_{0} \in P$, we consider the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(x)\right)\right)=g\left(x, u_{0}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{0}(x)\right), 0<x<1,  \tag{4.13}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(0)=D_{q} u_{1}(0)=0 \\
u_{1}(1)=c u_{0}(\lambda),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(1)=d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(\zeta) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 4.1, (4.13) has an unique solution $u_{1}(x)$. Since $u_{0}$ is a lower solution of (4.1), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(x)\right)\right) \leq g\left(x, u_{0}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{0}(x)\right), 0<x<1,  \tag{4.14}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(0)=D_{q} u_{0}(0)=0, \\
u_{0}(1) \leq c u(\lambda),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(1) \geq d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(\zeta) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from (4.13) minus (4.14) that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(x)\right)-\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(x)\right)\right) \geq 0,0<x<1,  \tag{4.15}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(0)-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(0)=D_{q} u_{1}(0)-D_{q} u_{0}(0)=0 \\
u_{1}(1)-u_{0}(1) \geq 0,{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(1)-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(1) \leq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, let $\omega(x):=\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(x)\right)-\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(x)\right)$. It is clear that $\omega(0)=0$ by the boundary value condition ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(0)-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(0)=0$. And from ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(1)-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(1) \leq 0$, we can get $\omega(1) \leq 0$.

Next, let $\varpi(x):=D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(x)\right)-\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(x)\right)\right)$ and $\psi_{p}(b)=\omega(1)$. Then we can obtain the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta} \omega(x)=\varpi(x) \geq 0,0<x<1 \\
\omega(0)=0, \omega(1)=\psi_{p}(b) \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By (4.4) and Lemma 4.3, one has

$$
\omega(x)=\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(x)\right)-\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(x)\right)=\psi_{p}(b)-\int_{0}^{1} H(x, q t) h(t) d_{q} t \leq 0
$$

Hence by the monotonicity of $p$-Laplacian operator $\varphi_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha}\left(u_{1}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right)={ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(x)-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(x) \leq 0, x \in[0,1] . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta(x):={ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha}\left(u_{1}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right)$. Then we obtain the following boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha}\left(u_{1}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right)=\delta(x) \leq 0,0<x<1 \\
D_{q} u_{1}(0)-D_{q} u_{0}(0)=0, u_{1}(1)-u_{0}(1):=a \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similar to (4.5) and Lemma 4.3, we can get

$$
u_{1}(x)-u_{0}(x)=a-\int_{0}^{1} G(x, q t) \delta(t) d_{q} t \geq 0
$$

Besides, from (4.16), we obtain

$$
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma}\left(u_{1}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right)=I_{q}^{\alpha-\gamma c} D_{q}^{\alpha}\left(u_{1}(x)-u_{0}(x)\right) \leq 0, x \in[0,1] .
$$

To sum up, we can get that $u_{0} \preceq u_{1}$.
From (4.13) and $(H)$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(x)\right)\right)=g\left(x, u_{0}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{0}(x)\right) \leq g\left(x, u_{1}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{1}(x)\right), 0<x<1 \\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(0)=D_{q} u_{1}(0)=0 \\
u_{1}(1)=c u_{0}(\lambda) \leq c u_{1}(\lambda),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(1)=d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{0}(\zeta) \geq d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{1}(\zeta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is obvious that $u_{1}(x)$ is a lower solution of (1.1)-(1.2) by the Definition 4.5.
Starting from the initial function $u_{0}(x)$, by the following iterative scheme

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k}(x)\right)\right)=g\left(x, u_{k-1}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{k-1}(x)\right), 0<x<1  \tag{4.17}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k}(0)=D_{q} u_{k}(0)=0 \\
u_{1}(1)=c u_{k-1}(\lambda),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k}(1)=d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k-1}(\zeta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

we obtain a sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $u=u_{k}(x)$ are lower solutions of (1.1), and satisfy $u_{k-1} \preceq u_{k}$, that is to say that $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is monotonically increasing.

Similar to the above inference procedure, starting from the given upper solution $v_{0} \in P$, by the following iterative scheme

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v_{k}(x)\right)\right)=g\left(x, v_{k-1}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} v_{k-1}(x)\right), 0<x<1  \tag{4.18}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v_{k}(0)=D_{q} v_{k}(0)=0 \\
v_{1}(1)=c v_{k-1}(\lambda),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v_{k}(1)=d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v_{k-1}(\zeta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can obtain the sequence $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ which are lower solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) and satisfy $v_{k-1} \succeq v_{k}$, namely, $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ is monotonically decreasing.

Step2. We will prove that $u_{k} \preceq v_{k}$ by mathematical induction. Suppose $u_{k-1} \preceq v_{k-1}, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $u_{k-1}(x) \leq v_{k-1}(x)$ and ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{k-1}(x) \geq{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} v_{k-1}(x)$. Hence from $(H)$, one has

$$
g\left(x, u_{k-1}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{k-1}(x)\right) \leq g\left(x, v_{k-1}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} v_{k-1}(x)\right) .
$$

By (4.18) and (4.17), it yields that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\beta}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v_{k}(x)\right)-\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k}(x)\right)\right)=g\left(x, v_{k-1}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} v_{k-1}(x)\right)-g\left(x, u_{k-1}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{k-1}(x)\right) \geq 0 \\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v_{k}(0)-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k}(0)=D_{q} v_{k}(0)-D_{q} u_{k}(0)=0 \\
v_{k}(1)-u_{k}(1) \geq 0,{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v_{k}(1)-{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k}(1) \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similar to (4.15), we can get $u_{k} \preceq v_{k}$. Hence

$$
u_{0} \preceq u_{1} \preceq \cdots \preceq u_{k} \preceq \cdots \preceq \cdots \preceq v_{k} \preceq \cdots \preceq v_{1} \preceq v_{0} .
$$

Since $P$ is a normal cone on $X$, the $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ are uniformly bounded. And it is easy to see that $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ are equicontinuous by the continuity of functions $H, G, \varphi_{p}, \varphi_{m}$ and $g$. Thus, the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ are relatively compact. Then there exist $u^{*}$ and $v^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}=u^{*}, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k}={ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u^{*} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{k}=v^{*}, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v_{k}={ }^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v^{*} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further since ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{k}(x)=I_{q}^{\alpha-\gamma c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k}(x)$ and ${ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} v_{k}(x)=I_{q}^{\alpha-\gamma c} D_{q}^{\alpha} v_{k}(x)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{k}={ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u^{*} \text { and } \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} v_{k}={ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} v^{*}, \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is to say that $u^{*}$ is the maximum lower solution, $v^{*}$ is the minimum upper solution of (1.1)-(1.2) in $\left[u_{0}, v_{0}\right] \subset P$ satisfying $u^{*} \preceq v^{*}$.

Step 3. We will prove that $u^{*}$ and $v^{*}$ are the solutions of (1.1).
It follows from (4.17) and Lemma 4.1 that
$u_{k}(x)=c u_{k-1}(\lambda)-\int_{0}^{1} G(x, q t) \varphi_{q}\left(\psi_{p}\left(d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u_{k-1}(\zeta)\right) t^{\beta-1}-\int_{0}^{1} H(t, q s) g\left(x, u_{k-1}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u_{k-1}(x)\right) d_{q} s\right) d_{q} t$,

Let $k \rightarrow+\infty$. By (4.19), (4.21) and the continuity of $\varphi_{p}, g, G, H$, one has

$$
u^{*}(x)=c u_{k-1}(\lambda)-\int_{0}^{1} G(x, q t) \varphi_{q}\left(\psi_{p}\left(d^{c} D_{q}^{\alpha} u^{*}(\zeta)\right) t^{\beta-1}-\int_{0}^{1} H(t, q s) g\left(x, u^{*}(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u^{*}(x)\right) d_{q} s\right) d_{q} t
$$

which implies that $u^{*}$ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2).
In the same way, we can also prove that $v^{*}$ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2). Besides,

$$
0 \leq u_{0}(x) \leq u^{*}(x) \leq v^{*}(x) \leq v_{0}(x)
$$

The proof is completed.

## 5. Examples

Example 5.1 For equation (1.1), let $q=\frac{1}{2}, \alpha=\beta=\frac{3}{2}, \gamma=\frac{1}{2}, \lambda=\frac{2}{3}, \zeta=\frac{1}{4}, c=\frac{1}{2}, d=1, p=m=2$ and

$$
g(x, y, z)= \begin{cases}\frac{x}{20}+\frac{1}{100} \sin z, & 0 \leq x \leq 1,0 \leq y \leq 1  \tag{5.1}\\ \frac{x}{20}+3200(y-1)+\frac{1}{100} \sin z, & 0 \leq x \leq 1,1 \leq y \leq 2 \\ \frac{x}{20}+3200+20(y-2)+\frac{1}{100} \sin z, & 0 \leq x \leq 1,2 \leq y \leq 7 \\ \frac{x}{20}+3300+\frac{1}{100} \sin z, & 0 \leq x \leq 1, y>7\end{cases}
$$

By simple computation, we obtain $\rho=\frac{\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma)}{(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)} \approx 1.94544$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=\left[\frac{(1-c)_{q}^{(\beta)}-(1-\lambda)_{q}^{(\beta)}}{\Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)}\right]^{m-1} \approx 0.10447, \\
& N_{1}=\Gamma_{q}(\alpha-\gamma+1)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}=0.7710336 \\
& N_{2}=\frac{[\beta m+2]_{q} \Gamma_{q}(\alpha)}{c^{\beta m+2}(1-\lambda)(1-c)_{q}^{(\alpha-1)} M} \approx 1294.25065, \\
& N_{3}=(1-c) \Gamma_{q}(\alpha+1)\left[\left(1-\zeta^{\beta-1} d^{p-1}\right) \Gamma_{q}(\beta+1)\right]^{m-1}=0.59449 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, if we take $l=1, n_{1}=2, n_{2}=7$ and $r=5000$, then $g(x, y, z)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x, y, z) \leq\left(r N_{1}\right)^{p-1}=3855.168,(x, y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0, \rho r] \times[-5000,5000] \\
& g(x, y, z)>\left(n_{1} N_{2}\right)^{p-1} \approx 2588.50130,(x, y, z) \in[0,1] \times\left[n_{1}, n_{2}\right] \times[-5000,5000] \\
& g(x, y, z)<\left(l N_{3}\right)^{p-1}=0.59449,(x, y, z) \in[0,1] \times[0, l] \times[-5000,5000]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then all conditions of Theorem 3.6 are satisfied. Thus, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least three fixed point $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x)$ and $u_{3}(x)$.

Example 5.2 Consider the following four-point boundary value problem of fractional $q$-difference equation with p-Laplacian operator

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} u(x)\right)\right)=g\left(x, u(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} u(x)\right), 0<x<1  \tag{5.2}\\
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} u(0)=D_{q} u(0)=0 \\
u(1)=\frac{1}{5} u\left(\frac{1}{3}\right),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} u(1)=2^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} u\left(\frac{16}{25}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $q=\frac{1}{2}$ and $p=2$. Then $\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} u(x)\right)={ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} u(x)$. Assume that $g(x, y, z)=2-e^{-y}-e^{z}$, which satisfies the assumption $(H)$. We can easily check that $u_{0}=u_{0}(x) \equiv 0$ is a lower solution of (5.2). Let $v_{0}(x)=1+x^{3}$. It is easy to see

$$
D_{q}\left(1+x^{3}\right)=\frac{1-q^{3}}{1-q} x=\frac{7}{4} x^{2}, D_{q}^{2}\left(1+x^{3}\right)=D_{q}\left(\frac{7}{4} x^{2}\right)=\frac{21}{8} x, D_{q}^{3}\left(1+x^{3}\right)=D_{q}\left(\frac{21}{8} x\right)=\frac{21}{8}
$$

then by the definitions of fractional $q$-integral and Caputo type $q$-derivative,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} v_{0}(x)={ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(1+x^{3}\right) & =I_{q}^{0.5} D_{q}^{2}\left(1+x^{3}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(0.5)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-q t)_{q}^{(-0.5)} \frac{21}{8} t d_{q} t \\
& =\frac{21}{8} \frac{(1-q)}{\Gamma_{q}(0.5)} x^{1.5} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{2 k}\left(1-q^{k+1}\right)_{q}^{(-0.5)} \\
D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} v_{0}(x)\right)\right)= & D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} v_{0}(x)\right)=D_{q}^{2} I_{q} D_{q}^{2} v_{0}(x)=\frac{21}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\psi_{p}\left({ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} v_{0}(x)\right)\right) \geq g\left(x, u(x),{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\gamma} u(x)\right)$. In addition, by simple calculation, we can get

$$
{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} v_{0}(0)=D_{q} v_{0}(0)=0, v_{0}(1) \geq \frac{1}{5} v_{0}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right), \text { and }{ }^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} v_{0}(1) \leq 2^{c} D_{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} v_{0}\left(\frac{16}{25}\right) .
$$

Hence $v_{0}(x)$ is an upper solution of (5.2). According to Theorem 4.6, (5.2) has the maximal lower solution $u^{*}$ and the minimal upper solution $v^{*}$. And both $u^{*}$ and $v^{*}$ are solutions of (5.2).
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