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#### Abstract

We show how to compute the explicit expansion of the plethysm $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ of the power symmetric function $p_{2}$ and the Schur function $s_{\lambda}$, where $\lambda$ has either two rows or two columns, via the well known Littlewood-Richardson coefficients which occur in the decomposition of $s_{\lambda}^{2}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Schur functions are symmetric polynomials introduced by Schur [15] as characters for irreducible polynomial representations of the general linear group of invertible matrices and form a basis for the ring of symmetric functions. Given two Schur functions $s_{\mu}(x)$ and $s_{\lambda}(x)$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is an infinite sequence of variables, $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are partitions of weight $m$ and $n$, respectively, the plethysm $s_{\mu}\left[s_{\lambda}(x)\right]$ is the symmetric function obtained by substituting the monomials of $s_{\lambda}(x)$ by the variables of $s_{\mu}(x)$. Littlewood [10] introduced this operation in the context of the representations of the general linear group and showed that for any partition $\mu$ of $m$,

$$
s_{\mu}\left[s_{\lambda}(x)\right]=\sum_{\gamma \vdash m n} g_{\mu, \lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}(x)
$$

where the sum runs over all partitions $\gamma$ of $m n$ and $g_{\mu, \lambda}^{\gamma}$ are nonnegative integers.
The problem of computing the coefficients $g_{\mu, \lambda}^{\gamma}$ is one of the fundamental open problems in the theory of symmetric functions and has proved to be very difficult. Essentially there are explicit formulas for $g_{\mu, \lambda}^{\gamma}$ in a few special cases.
We say that the plethysm $s_{\mu}\left[s_{\lambda}(x)\right]$ is multiplicity-free if every coefficient in the resulting Schur function expansion is $0,+1$.
A well known example of multiplicity-free plethysm was given by Littlewood in [11], where he proved the following remarkably simple formulas:

$$
s_{(2)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]=\sum_{\substack{\gamma=(k, l) \vdash 2 n \\ k, l \\ \text { even }}} s_{\gamma}
$$
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$$
s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]=\sum_{\substack{\gamma=(k, l)-2 n \\ k, l \\ l o d d}} s_{\gamma} .
$$

Later on, Carbonara, Remmel and Yang (see [2, 3]) generalized Littlewood's formulas by replacing the Schur function of a one row shape $(n)$ by a Schur function of an arbitrary hook shape $s_{\left(1^{a}, b\right)}$ and derived explicit formulas for the Schur function expansion of the multiplicity-free plethysms $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\left(1^{a}, b\right)}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\left(1^{a}, b\right)}\right]$. Other examples of multiplicity-free plethysms are $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ where $s_{\lambda}$ is the Schur function indexed by a rectangular partition. In fact, in case $\lambda=\left(n^{k}\right)$ is a rectangle, surprisingly simple formulas for the Schur function expansion of the plethysms $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ were shown in [5]. Also explicit formulas for the expansion of the plethysms $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$, where $\lambda$ has either two rows or two columns, have been derived in [5] and subsequently reformulated in [8]. In 2020 Bessenrodt, Bowman and Paget [1] have classified all multiplicity-free plethysms of Schur functions. In particular they have proved that $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ are multiplicity-free if and only if $\lambda$ is the partition $\left(a^{b}\right),\left(a^{b-1}, a+1\right),\left(1, a^{b}\right),\left(a-1, a^{b-1}\right)$ or a hook. Their approach is based on Carré-Leclerc's "domino-Littlewood-Richardson tableaux" algorithm [6] for calculating the decomposition of the products $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$. A different approach for computing the expansion $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ makes use of the plethysm $s_{\lambda}\left[p_{2}\right]=p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ of the Schur function $s_{\lambda}$ with the power symmetric function $p_{2}(x)=\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}$ and involve multiplication of Schur functions.
More precisely, the approach we use to calculate $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ is the following. First we expand $s_{(2)}$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}$ in terms of the power symmetric function: $s_{(2)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}\right)$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}\right)$. However, $p_{1}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=s_{\lambda}$ so that $p_{1}^{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=s_{\lambda}^{2}$.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right] & =\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{\lambda}^{2}+p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right) \\
s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right] & =\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{\lambda}^{2}-p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$, then $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$, where the sum runs over all partitions $\gamma$ of $2 n$ and the coefficients $c_{\lambda}^{\gamma}$ are integers (see [7]). We say that the plethysm $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ of the power symmetric function $p_{2}$ and the Schur function $s_{\lambda}$ is multiplicity-free if every coefficient in the resulting Schur function expansion is $0,+1,-1$. The multiplicity-free plethysms $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ have been studied in [4]. We would like to point out that, for those partitions $\lambda$ such that $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ are multiplicity-free, i.e. $\left(a^{b}\right),\left(a^{b-1}, a+1\right),\left(1, a^{b}\right)$, $\left(a-1, a^{b-1}\right)$ or a hook, also $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ is multiplicity-free and $s_{\lambda}^{2}$ has maximal multiplicity 2 .
Here we will show how to compute the explicit expansion of the plethysm $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ of the power symmetric function $p_{2}$ and the Schur function $s_{\lambda}$, where $\lambda$ has either two rows or two columns, directly from the expansion of $s_{\lambda}^{2}$ which can be done without too much difficulty via one of the existing versions of the Littlewood-Richardson rule [12]. For the history of the rule, we refer the reader to [16, pp. 438].

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, by partition $\lambda$ of a positive integer $n$, denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$, we mean a sequence of nonnegative integers $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right)$ such that

- $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{k} ;$
- $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{k}=n$.

Each $\lambda_{i}$ is called a part of $\lambda$. The length of $\lambda$, denoted by $l(\lambda)=k$ is the number of parts of $\lambda$ and $|\lambda|$, the sum of entries, is called the weight of $\lambda$. We will also use the notation $\lambda=\left(1^{m_{1}}, 2^{m_{2}}, \ldots, n^{m_{n}}\right)$ to mean that $\lambda$ has $m_{1}$ parts of size $1, m_{2}$ parts of size 2 and so on. The conjugate partition, $\lambda^{\prime}$, is the partition obtained by interchanging the rows and columns of $\lambda$. Going forward, we require the following terminology. We call the partition $\lambda$ of $n$ linear if $\lambda=(n)$ or $\lambda=\left(1^{n}\right)$, a rectangle if $\lambda$ is of the form $\lambda=\left(a^{r}\right)$ for some $a, r \geq 1$. A hook (or proper hook) is a partition of the form $\left(1^{n-a}, a\right)$. By a near rectangle we mean a partition $\lambda$ obtained from a rectangle by adding a single row or column.
Given a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$, the diagram of $\lambda$ is the collection of left-justified boxes (called cells) such that there are $\lambda_{i}$ boxes in the ith row from the top. This is known as the English convention for the diagram of a partition. The French convention places $\lambda_{i}$ left-justified boxes in the ith row from the bottom. In this paper we will follow the French convention and we will interchangeably use greek letters to denote partitions or diagrams.
Let $\Lambda^{n}$ denote the space of homogeneous symmetric functions of degree $n, s_{\lambda}(x)$ the Schur function and $p_{\lambda}(x)$, the power symmetric function where $\lambda \vdash n$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ is an infinite sequence of variables. For $u(x) \in \Lambda^{n}$ and $\gamma \vdash n$, we use $\left\langle u(x), s_{\gamma}(x)\right\rangle$ to denote the coefficient of $s_{\gamma}(x)$ in the expansion of $u(x)$. From now on, we will write $u$ instead of $u(x)$, for any $u(x) \in \Lambda^{n}$. Let $u, v$ and $w$ be symmetric functions. We will make frequent use of the following properties for plethysm (see [9] or [13] ).
Distributivity:

- $(u+v)[w]=u[w]+v[w]$ and $(u v)[w]=u[w] v[w] ;$
commutativity with the power symmetric function:
- $u\left[p_{k}\right]=p_{k}[u] ;$
conjugation:
- $\left(s_{\mu}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)^{\prime}=s_{\mu}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]$ if $|\lambda|$ is even
- $\left(s_{\mu}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)^{\prime}=s_{\mu^{\prime}}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]$ if $|\lambda|$ is odd
where for any $\operatorname{sum} \sum c_{\nu} s_{\nu},\left(\sum c_{\nu} s_{\nu}\right)^{\prime}$ denotes the $\operatorname{sum} \sum c_{\nu} s_{\nu^{\prime}}$ and $\nu^{\prime}$ is the conjugate partition of $\nu$.


## 3. The computation of $p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right]$

Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ partitions of weight $n$ and $m$, respectively.
The famous Littlewood-Richardson rule [12] gives a combinatorial interpretation for computing $c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\gamma}$ where

$$
s_{\lambda} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash n+m} c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}
$$

where the sum runs over all partitions $\gamma$ of $n+m$ and $c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\gamma}$ are nonnegative integers.
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Let $0 \leq a \leq b, n=a+b$ and $\lambda=\mu=(a, b) \vdash n$, then

$$
s_{(a, b)}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}
$$

where $(a, b) \subset \gamma$ and $c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}=0$ if $\gamma$ has more than four parts.
The coefficients $c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}$ have been explicitly computed in [5]. Given a partition $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right) \vdash 2 n$ with four parts and $0 \leq \gamma_{1} \leq \gamma_{2} \leq \gamma_{3} \leq \gamma_{4}$, we say that $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ have the same parity if either $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ are all even or $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ are all odd otherwise we say that $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ have different parity. For example, in the partition $\gamma=(0,2,2,6) \vdash 10, \gamma_{1}=0, \gamma_{2}=2, \gamma_{3}=2, \gamma_{4}=6$ have the same parity and also in the partition $\gamma=(1,3,3,3) \vdash 10, \gamma_{1}=1, \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}=\gamma_{4}=3$ are all odd so they have the same parity. Instead the four parts of $\mu=(0,1,2,7) \vdash 10$, have different parity.
We can derive the expansion $p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right]$ directly from the expansion of $s_{(a, b)}^{2}$ according to the following result:

Theorem 3.1 Let $\lambda=(a, b) \vdash n$ and $s_{(a, b)}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$.
Assume that $P$ is the set of all the partitions $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right) \vdash 2 n$ such that $c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}$ is odd, $A$ is the set of partitions $\gamma \in P$ where $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ have the same parity and $B$ is the set of partitions $\gamma \in P$ where $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ have different parity.

Then

$$
p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \in A} s_{\gamma}-\sum_{\gamma \in B} s_{\gamma}
$$

Proof. Let $s_{(2)}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} g_{(a, b)}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$, where the $g_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}$ are nonnegative integers. By [4], $p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right]$ is multiplicity free, i.e. every coefficient in the resulting Schur function expansion is $0,1,-1$. Therefore, it follows from the formula $s_{(2)}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{(a, b)}^{2}+p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right]\right)$ that if, for a given $\gamma, c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}=\left\langle s_{(a, b)}^{2}, s_{\gamma}\right\rangle$ is even, then the coefficient $\left\langle p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right], s_{\gamma}\right\rangle$ must be zero and $\left\langle s_{(2)}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right], s_{\gamma}\right\rangle=\frac{c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}}{2}$. If $c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}=\left\langle s_{(a, b)}^{2}, s_{\gamma}\right\rangle$ is odd then the coefficient $\left\langle p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right], s_{\gamma}\right\rangle$ is either 1 or -1 and $\left\langle s_{(2)}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right], s_{\gamma}\right\rangle$ is either equal to $\frac{c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}+1}{2}$ or to $\frac{c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}-1}{2}$. Thus if $c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}$ is odd, in order to compute the coefficient $\left\langle s_{(2)}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right], s_{\gamma}\right\rangle$, we only need to determine the sign of $\left\langle p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right], s_{\gamma}\right\rangle$. This sign has been computed in [5] via the SXP-algorithm by Chen, Garsia, and Remmel [7]. Let $P$ be the set of all the partitions $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right) \vdash 2 n$ such that $c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}$ is odd, $A$ the set of partitions $\gamma \in P$ where $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ have the same parity and $B$ the set of partitions $\gamma \in P$ where $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ have different parity, then by Theorem 5 of [5], it follows that:

$$
p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \in A} s_{\gamma}-\sum_{\gamma \in B} s_{\gamma}
$$

Example 3.2 Let $\lambda=(1,2) \vdash 3$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{(1,2)}^{2}=s_{(2,4)}+s_{(1,1,4)}+s_{(3,3)}+2 s_{(1,2,3)}+s_{(1,1,1,3)}+s_{(2,2,2)}+s_{(1,1,2,2)} . \\
p_{2}\left[s_{(1,2)}\right]=s_{(2,4)}-s_{(1,1,4)}-s_{(3,3)}+s_{(1,1,1,3)}+s_{(2,2,2)}-s_{(1,1,2,2)} .
\end{gathered}
$$
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Example 3.3 Let $\lambda=(2,4) \vdash 6$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{(2,4)}^{2}=s_{(4,8)}+s_{(1,3,8)}+s_{(2,2,8)}+s_{(5,7)}+2 s_{(1,4,7)}+2 s_{(2,3,7)}+s_{(1,1,3,7)}+s_{(1,2,2,7)}+ \\
+s_{(6,6)}+2 s_{(1,5,6)}+3 s_{(2,4,6)}+s_{(1,1,4,6)}+s_{(3,3,6)}+2 s_{(1,2,3,6)}+s_{(2,2,2,6)}+s_{(2,5,5)}+ \\
+s_{(1,1,5,5)}+2 s_{(3,4,5)}+2 s_{(1,2,4,5,)}+s_{(1,3,3,5)}+s_{(2,2,3,5)}+s_{(4,4,4)}+2 s_{(1,3,4,4)}+s_{(2,2,4,4)} \\
p_{2}\left[s_{(2,4)}\right]=s_{(4,8)}-s_{(1,3,8)}+s_{(2,2,8)}-s_{(5,7)}+s_{(1,1,3,7)}-s_{(1,2,2,7)}+s_{(6,6)}+s_{(2,4,6)} \\
-s_{(1,1,4,6)}-s_{(3,3,6)}+s_{(2,2,2,6)}-s_{(2,5,5)}+s_{(1,1,5,5)}+s_{(1,3,3,5)}-s_{(2,2,3,5)}+s_{(4,4,4)}+s_{(2,2,4,4)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 3.4 Let $\lambda=(2,5) \vdash 7$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{(2,5)}^{2}=s_{(4,10)}+s_{(1,3,10)}+s_{(2,2,10)}+s_{(5,9)}+2 s_{(1,4,9)}+2 s_{(2,3,9)}+s_{(1,1,3,9)}+s_{(1,2,2,9)}+ \\
+s_{(6,8)}+2 s_{(1,5,8)}+3 s_{(2,4,8)}+s_{(1,1,4,8)}+s_{(3,3,8)}+2 s_{(1,2,3,8)}+s_{(2,2,2,8)}+s_{(7,7)}+ \\
+2 s_{(1,6,7)}+3 s_{(2,5,7)}+s_{(1,1,5,7)}+2 s_{(3,4,7)}+2 s_{(1,2,4,7)}+s_{(1,3,3,7)}+s_{(2,2,3,7)}+s_{(2,6,6)}+ \\
+s_{(1,1,6,6)}+2 s_{(3,5,6)}+2 s_{(1,2,5,6)}+s_{(4,4,6)}+s_{(1,3,4,6)}+s_{(2,2,4,6)}+s_{(4,5,5)}+s_{(1,3,5,5)}+s_{(2,2,5,5)} \\
p_{2}\left[s_{(2,5)}\right]=s_{(4,10)}-s_{(1,3,10)}+s_{(2,2,10)}-s_{(5,9)}+s_{(1,1,3,9)}-s_{(1,2,2,9)}+s_{(6,8)}+s_{(2,4,8)} \\
-s_{(1,1,4,8)}-s_{(3,3,8)}+s_{(2,2,2,8)}-s_{(7,7)}-s_{(2,5,7)}+s_{(1,1,5,7)}+s_{(1,3,3,7)}-s_{(2,2,3,7)}+ \\
+s_{(2,6,6)}-s_{(1,1,6,6)}+s_{(4,4,6)}-s_{(1,3,4,6)}+s_{(2,2,4,6)}-s_{(4,5,5)}+s_{(1,3,5,5)}-s_{(2,2,5,5)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 3.5 Let $\lambda=(3,5) \vdash 8$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{(3,5)}^{2}=s_{(6,10)}+s_{(1,5,10)}+s_{(2,4,10)}+s_{(3,3,10)}+s_{(7,9)}+2 s_{(1,6,9)}+2 s_{(2,5,9)}+s_{(1,1,5,9)} \\
+2 s_{(3,4,9)}+s_{(1,2,4,9)}+s_{(1,3,3,9)}+s_{(8,8)}+2 s_{(1,7,8)}+3 s_{(2,6,8)}+s_{(1,1,6,8)}+3 s_{(3,5,8)} \\
+2 s_{(1,2,5,8)}+s_{(4,4,8)}+2 s_{(1,3,4,8)}+s_{(2,2,4,8)}+s_{(2,3,3,8)}+s_{(2,7,7)}+s_{(1,1,7,7)}+2 s_{(3,6,7)} \\
+2 s_{(1,2,6,7)}+2 s_{(4,5,7)}+3 s_{(1,3,5,7)}+s_{(2,2,5,7)}+s_{(1,4,4,7)}+2 s_{(2,3,4,7)}+s_{(3,3,3,7)}+s_{(4,6,6)} \\
+s_{(1,3,6,6)}+s_{(2,2,6,6)}+s_{(5,5,6)}+2 s_{(1,4,5,6)}+2 s_{(2,3,5,6)}+s_{(2,4,4,6)}+s_{(3,3,4,6)}+s_{(1,5,5,5)} \\
+s_{(2,4,5,5)}+s_{(3,3,5,5)} . \\
p_{2}\left[s_{(3,5)}\right]=s_{(6,10)}-s_{(1,5,10)}+s_{(2,4,10)}-s_{(3,3,10)}-s_{(7,9)}+s_{(1,1,5,9)}-s_{(1,2,4,9)}+s_{(1,3,3,9)} \\
\quad+s_{(8,8)}+s_{(2,6,8)}-s_{(1,1,6,8)}-s_{(3,5,8)}+s_{(4,4,8)}+s_{(2,2,4,8)}-s_{(2,3,3,8)}-s_{(2,7,7)} \\
+s_{(1,1,7,7)}+s_{(1,3,5,7)}-s_{(2,2,5,7)}-s_{(1,4,4,7)}+s_{(3,3,3,7)}+s_{(4,6,6)}-s_{(1,3,6,6)}+s_{(2,2,6,6)}-s_{(5,5,6)} \\
+s_{(2,4,4,6)}-s_{(3,3,4,6)}+s_{(1,5,5,5)}-s_{(2,4,5,5)}+s_{(3,3,5,5)}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conjecture

The previous examples show that the products $s_{(2,4)}^{2}, s_{(2,5)}^{2}$ and $s_{(3,5)}^{2}$ have maximal multiplicity 3. By some computer calculation it looks like that the only partitions $\lambda$ such that the products $s_{\lambda}^{2}$ have maximal multiplicity 3 are: $(2, b)$ where $b \geq 4,(b-2, b)$ where $b \geq 5$ and their conjugates $(2, b)^{\prime}=\left(1^{b-2}, 2^{2}\right)$ and $(b-2, b)^{\prime}=\left(1^{2}, 2^{b-2}\right)$. In fact, it follows from Theorem 2 of [5], that if either $\lambda=(2, b)$ or $\lambda=(b-2, b)$, then $s_{\lambda}^{2}$ has maximal multiplicity 3 . Also, if we consider

$$
s_{(a, b)}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}
$$

by the conjugation symmetry of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients [17], it follows that

$$
s_{(a, b)^{\prime}}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} c_{(a, b)^{\prime}}^{\gamma^{\prime}} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}
$$

where $c_{(a, b)^{\prime}}^{\gamma^{\prime}}=c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}$. Therefore $s_{\lambda}^{2}$ has maximal multiplicity 3 also in the case $\lambda=(2, b)^{\prime}$ or $\lambda=(b-2, b)^{\prime}$. I claim that there are no other partitons $\lambda$ such that $s_{\lambda}^{2}$ has maximal multiplicity 3 .

Corollary 3.6 Let $\lambda=(n) \vdash n$. Then $s_{(n)}^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} s_{(n-i, n+i)}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{(2)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]=\sum_{\substack{\gamma=(k, l)-2 n \\
k, l \text { even }}} s_{\gamma} \\
& s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]=\sum_{\substack{\gamma=(k, l)+2 n \\
k, l \text { odd }}} s_{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we get the classical formulas of Littlewood for the Schur function expansions of the plethysms $s_{(2)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]$. In fact, in the special case $\lambda=(a, b)=(n)$, then $a=0$, $b=n$ and by Pieri's rule [14] it follows that

$$
s_{(a, b)}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}=s_{(n)}^{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} s_{(n-i, n+i)}
$$

Therefore the set $P$ in Theorem 3.1 of all the partitions $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right)$ of $2 n$ such that $c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}$ is odd reduces to the set of partitions $\gamma=\left(0,0, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right) \vdash 2 n$ such that $c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma}$ is 1 . If we denote $k=\gamma_{3}$ and $l=\gamma_{4}$, then, by Pieri's rule, $P$ reduces to the set of all the partitions $(k, l) \vdash 2 n$ where either $k, l$ are even or $k, l$ are odd. By Theorem 3.1 it follows

$$
p_{2}\left[s_{(n)}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \in A} s_{\gamma}-\sum_{\gamma \in B} s_{\gamma}
$$

and

$$
s_{(n)}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma \in A} s_{\gamma}+\sum_{\gamma \in B} s_{\gamma}
$$

where $A$ is the set of partitions $(k, l) \in P$ where $k, l$ are even and $B$ is the set of partitions $(k, l) \in P$ where $k, l$ are odd.

Thus, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{(2)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{(n)}^{2}+p_{2}\left[s_{(n)}\right]\right) \\
& s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{(n)}^{2}-p_{2}\left[s_{(n)}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we get Littlewood's formulas:

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{(2)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \in A} s_{\gamma}=\sum_{\substack{\gamma=(k, l)+2 n \\
k, l \text { even }}} s_{\gamma} \\
s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{(n)}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \in B} s_{\gamma}=\sum_{\substack{\gamma=(k, l)+2 n \\
k, l}} s_{\gamma} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 3.7 Let $n=3$. Then
$s_{(3)}^{2}=s_{(6)}+s_{(1,5)}+s_{(2,4)}+s_{(3,3)}$
$p_{2}\left[s_{(3)}\right]=s_{(6)}+s_{(2,4)}-s_{(1,5)}-s_{(3,3)}$
$s_{(2)}\left[s_{(3)}\right]=s_{(6)}+s_{(2,4)}$
$s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{(3)}\right]=s_{(1,5)}+s_{(3,3)}$.

Example 3.8 Let $n=4$. Then
$s_{(4)}^{2}=s_{(8)}+s_{(1,7)}+s_{(2,6)}+s_{(3,5)}+s_{(4,4)}$
$p_{2}\left[s_{(4)}\right]=s_{(8)}+s_{(2,6)}+s_{(4,4)}-s_{(3,5)}-s_{(1,7)}$
$s_{(2)}\left[s_{(4)}\right]=s_{(8)}+s_{(2,6)}+s_{(4,4)}$
$s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{(4)}\right]=s_{(1,7)}+s_{(3,5)}$.

Corollary 3.9 If $\lambda \vdash n$ and $\lambda$ is $(1, a),(a-1, a),(a, a), s_{\lambda}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$ and $P$ the set of all the partitions $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right) \vdash 2 n$ such that $c_{\lambda}^{\gamma}$ is 1 , then

$$
p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \in A} s_{\gamma}-\sum_{\gamma \in B} s_{\gamma}
$$

where $A$ is the set of partitions $\gamma \in P$ where $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ have the same parity and $B$ the set of partitions $\gamma \in P$ where $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}$ have different parity.

Proof. In [1], it has been shown that, in case $\lambda$ is either $(1, a)$ or $(a-1, a), s_{\lambda}^{2}$ has maximal multiplicity 2 . Also by [17], if $\lambda$ is $(a, a), s_{\lambda}^{2}$ is multiplicity-free. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, the set $P$ of all the partitions
$\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right)$ of $2 n$ such that $c_{\lambda}^{\gamma}$ is odd reduces to the set of partitions $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right)$ of $2 n$ such that $c_{\lambda}^{\gamma}$ is 1 and now the corollary follows.

Example 3.10 Let $\lambda=(2,3) \vdash 5$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{(2,3)}^{2}=s_{(4,6)}+s_{(1,3,6)}+s_{(2,2,6)}+s_{(5,5)}+2 s_{(1,4,5)}+2 s_{(2,3,5)}+s_{(1,1,3,5)}+s_{(1,2,2,5)} \\
+s_{(2,4,4)}+s_{(1,1,4,4)}+s_{(3,3,4)}+2 s_{(1,2,3,4)}+s_{(2,2,2,4)}+s_{(1,3,3,3)}+s_{(2,2,3,3)} \\
p_{2}\left[s_{(2,3)}\right]=s_{(4,6)}-s_{(1,3,6)}+s_{(2,2,6)}-s_{(5,5)}+s_{(1,1,3,5)}-s_{(1,2,2,5)} \\
+s_{(2,4,4)}-s_{(1,1,4,4)}-s_{(3,3,4)}+s_{(2,2,2,4)}+s_{(1,3,3,3)}-s_{(2,2,3,3)}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 4. The computation of $p_{2}\left[s_{\left(1^{r}, 2^{t}\right)}\right]$

In this section we derive formulas for the Schur function expansion of the plethysm $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]$ when $\lambda$ has two columns.

Proposition 4.1 Let $\lambda \vdash n$, $\lambda^{\prime}$ the conjugate partition of $\lambda$ and $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$. Then

- $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ if $|\lambda|$ is even
- $p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n}\left(-c_{\lambda}^{\gamma}\right) s_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ if $|\lambda|$ is odd.

Proof. Since $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{\lambda}^{2}+p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{\lambda}^{2}-p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)$, then

$$
p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]-s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]
$$

and

$$
p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]=s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]-s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]
$$

Let $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} a_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} b_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$. Then, by the conjugation property:

- $\left(s_{\mu}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)^{\prime}=s_{\mu}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]$ if $|\lambda|$ is even
it follows that if, $|\lambda|$ is even, $\left(s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)^{\prime}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} a_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}=s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]$ and $\left(s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)^{\prime}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} b_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}=s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]$. Since

$$
p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]-s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} a_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}-\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} b_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}
$$

in case $|\lambda|$ is even we get

$$
p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]=s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]-s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} a_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}-\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} b_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}} .
$$

In case $|\lambda|$ is odd we have to consider the conjugation property:

- $\left(s_{\mu}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)^{\prime}=s_{\mu^{\prime}}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]$ if $|\lambda|$ is odd

Therefore, in case $\mu=2$ and $|\lambda|$ is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)^{\prime}=s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right] \\
& \left(s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)^{\prime}=s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]=s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]-s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]=s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]-s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right]=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} b_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}-\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} a_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n}\left(-c_{\lambda}^{\gamma}\right) s_{\gamma^{\prime}}
$$

Corollary 4.2 Let $\lambda=(a, b) \vdash n$, $\lambda^{\prime}$ the conjugate partition of $\lambda, p_{2}\left[s_{(a, b)}\right]=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$. Then

- $p_{2}\left[s_{\left(1^{b-a}, 2^{a}\right)}\right]=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ if $|\lambda|$ is even
- $p_{2}\left[s_{\left(1^{b-a}, 2^{a}\right)}\right]=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n}\left(-c_{\lambda}^{\gamma}\right) s_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ if $|\lambda|$ is odd.

Proof. In case $\lambda=(a, b) \vdash n$, the conjugate partition $\lambda^{\prime}$ is equal to $\left(1^{b-a}, 2^{a}\right)$ and now the Corollary follows from Proposition 4.1.

Corollary 4.3 Let $\lambda=(a, b) \vdash n$, $\lambda^{\prime}$ the conjugate partition of $\lambda, s_{(a, b)}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$. Then

$$
s_{\left(1^{b-a}, 2^{a}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}
$$

## Proof.

Since $s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{\lambda}^{2}+p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)$ and $s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{\lambda}^{2}-p_{2}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]\right)$, then

$$
s_{\lambda}^{2}=s_{(2)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right]+s_{\left(1^{2}\right)}\left[s_{\lambda}\right] .
$$

Similarly as in Proposition 4.1 we can get the conjugation symmetry of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients which implies that if $s_{\lambda}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$ then $s_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} c_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\gamma^{\prime}} s_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ where $c_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{\gamma^{\prime}}=c_{\lambda}^{\gamma}$. Therefore in case $\lambda=(a, b)$, the conjugate partition $\lambda^{\prime}$ is equal to $\left(1^{b-a}, 2^{a}\right)$ and

$$
s_{\left(1^{b-a}, 2^{a}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime} \vdash 2 n} c_{(a, b)}^{\gamma} s_{\gamma^{\prime}} .
$$

Example 4.4 In case $\lambda=(2,3) \vdash 5$, then $|\lambda|$ is odd. By Example 3.10, Corollaries 4.2 and 4.3 we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\left(1,2^{2}\right)}^{2}= & s_{(2,3)^{\prime}}^{2}=s_{(4,6)^{\prime}}+s_{(1,3,6)^{\prime}}+s_{(2,2,6)^{\prime}}+s_{(5,5)^{\prime}}+2 s_{(1,4,5)^{\prime}}+2 s_{(2,3,5)^{\prime}}+s_{(1,1,3,5)^{\prime}}+s_{(1,2,2,5)^{\prime}} \\
& +s_{(2,4,4)^{\prime}}+s_{(1,1,4,4)^{\prime}}+s_{(3,3,4)^{\prime}}+2 s_{(1,2,3,4)^{\prime}}+s_{(2,2,2,4)^{\prime}}+s_{(1,3,3,3)^{\prime}}+s_{(2,2,3,3)^{\prime}}= \\
= & s_{\left(1^{2}, 2^{4}\right)}+s_{\left(1^{3}, 2^{2}, 3\right)}+s_{\left(1^{4}, 3^{2}\right)}+s_{\left(2^{5}\right)}+2 s_{\left(1,2^{3}, 3\right)}+2 s_{\left(1^{2}, 2,3^{2}\right)}+s_{\left(1^{2}, 2^{2}, 4\right)}+s_{\left(1^{3}, 3,4\right)} \\
& \quad+s_{\left(2^{2}, 3^{2}\right)}+s_{\left(2^{3}, 4\right)}+s_{\left(1,3^{3}\right)}+2 s_{(1,2,3,4)}+s_{\left(1^{2}, 4^{2}\right)}+s_{\left(3^{2}, 4\right)}+s_{\left(2,4^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{2}\left[s_{\left(1,2^{2}\right)}\right]=p_{2}\left[s_{(2,3)^{\prime}}\right]=-s_{(4,6)^{\prime}}+s_{(1,3,6)^{\prime}}-s_{(2,2,6)^{\prime}}+s_{(5,5)^{\prime}}-s_{(1,1,3,5)^{\prime}}+s_{(1,2,2,5)^{\prime}}-s_{(2,4,4)^{\prime}}+ \\
+s_{(1,1,4,4)^{\prime}}+s_{(3,3,4)^{\prime}}-s_{(2,2,2,4)^{\prime}}-s_{(1,3,3,3)^{\prime}}+s_{(2,2,3,3)^{\prime}}= \\
=-s_{\left(1^{2}, 2^{4}\right)}+s_{\left(1^{3}, 2^{2}, 3\right)}-s_{\left(1^{4}, 3^{2}\right)}+s_{\left(2^{5}\right)}-s_{\left(1^{2}, 2^{2}, 4\right)}+s_{\left(1^{3}, 3,4\right)} \\
\quad-s_{\left(2^{2}, 3^{2}\right)}+s_{\left(2^{3}, 4\right)}+s_{\left(1,3^{3}\right)}-s_{\left(1^{2}, 4^{2}\right)}-s_{\left(3^{2}, 4\right)}+s_{\left(2,4^{2}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$
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